Inclusivity Through Accessible Web-Based Surveys

FedCASIC Washington, DC – 14 April 2021

Prepared By |Scott D. Crawford & Robert H. Young IIIPrepared On |April 14, 2021



SOUNDROCKET

Authors

Scott D. Crawford

Research Consultant @ SoundRocket <u>scott@soundrocket.com</u>

734.527.2150 (office)

Robert H. Young

Research Programmer @ SoundRocket ryoung@soundrocket.com

734.527.2157 (office)

Additional Contributors:

- Jillian A. Hunsanger, Research Analyst @ SoundRocket
- Julie M. Smith, Ph.D., Research Consultant @ SoundRocket
- Jane Berliss-Vincent, Assistive Technology Manager, University of Michigan
- Katrina Wade-Golden, PhD, Deputy Chief Diversity Officer & Director of Implementation for the Diversity, Equity & Inclusion Strategic Plan, University of Michigan



Three Key Points for Today

- How do we make web-based surveys **equitable** and not just accessible and inclusive?
- Does it work?
- Are there unintended consequences?



Accessibility: Background



GOAL:

Provide an <u>equitable experience</u> for all participants, not only accessible and inclusive. ADVANCING YOUR IMPACT



How we made surveys equitable . . .



Technical Background

- Utilize technology and survey design to improve respondent interaction and data quality
- We must consider those who use computers in nonstandard ways
- Assistive/accessible technologies cover a large segment:
 - Assistive hardware (e.g. Braille displays)
 - Screen readers & magnifiers
 - Speech control / dictation software
 - Mousegrids



Technical Background II

- Consulted with experts in IT-Accessibility & Institutional Equity
- WebAIM (webaim.org)
- WCAG

(https://www.w3.org/WAI/standards-guidelines/wcag/)

- Implemented changes including:
 - Overall design changes (header, question layout)
 - Code changes (input box labels, toggle indicator)
 - Question wording differences (semantic differentials)



"Detecting" Assistive Tech

- No good way to do this via script
- With the wide variety of devices, unlikely that any one detection method would suffice
- Solution? Ask!

This web survey is available in an accessibility optimized format. Check this box if you will be using assistive technologies such as screen readers during this survey.



Design Modifications: Remove / Move Header Contents

i Campus Cli	imate Survey ×		SoundRocket	- 0	×	
\leftrightarrow \Rightarrow C (127.0.0.1:13124/Previewer/Survey	y.ashx		☆	:	
🍥 sou	JNDROCKET [™]	Campus Climate Surve	ey	Question	is?	
	Part I	Part II	Part III			
What is the High sc	 Campus Climate Survey × 			SoundRocket	-	×
 Two-ye Four-ye Master Profess Ph.D. Other I Medica Law de Other c 	 C (i) 127.0.0.1:13124/P What is the highest degree you hat High school diploma or GED Two-year college degree (Association of the construction of the	reviewer/Survey.ashx ave earned? belor's) d Sciences (MA, MS) e.g., MBA, MPA, MSW, MSE, MSN, MPH, MFA, dD, DDiv, DrPH, DBA, etc.) 5, DVM)	etc.)			\$
0	de Dansieur Maut					



<< Previous Next >>

Design Modifications: Grids

→ C 0 127.0.0.1:13	124/Previewer	r/Survev.ashx	
SOUNDROC	KET™		Example
About You			Your Institution
Please select how often you	u participate in Never	the following activ	vities.
Please select how often you Campus clubs or groups	u participate in Never	o the following activ Occasionally	vities. 1-2 times a mont
Please select how often you Campus clubs or groups Class study groups	Never	Occasionally	vities. 1-2 times a month
Please select how often you Campus clubs or groups Class study groups Sporting events	Never	Occasionally	vities. 1-2 times a month
Please select how often you Campus clubs or groups Class study groups Sporting events Social events on-campus	Never	Coccasionally	vities. 1-2 times a month

<< Previous Next >>

\rightarrow	C 127.0.0.1:13124/Previewer/Survey.ashx
Pleas	e select now often you participate in the following activities.
Camp	
	2 times a month
-	-z unies a monuri
	veeny
	zaliy
Class	study groups
	lever
00	Occasionally
1	-2 times a month
• V	Veekly
0	Daily
Enort	ing events
Sport	
	locasionally
. 1	-2 times a month
e v	Veekly
e r	Daily
Socia	l events on-campus
• •	lever
0	Occasionally
1	-2 times a month
V	Veekly
0	Daily
Socia	l events off-campus
	lever
0	Occasionally
1	-2 times a month
• V	Veekly

Code Modifications

(a) Campus Climate Survey ×	SoundRocket	-		×
← → C ③ 127.0.0.1:13124/Previewer/Survey.ashx			☆	:
 What is the highest degree you have earned? High school diploma or GED Two-year college degree (Associate's) Four-year college degree (Bachelor's) Master's degree in the Arts and Sciences (MA, MS) Professional Master's degree (e.g., MBA, MPA, MSW, MSE, MSN, MPH, MFA, etc.) Ph.D. Other Doctoral degree (e.g., EdD, DDiv, DrPH, DBA, etc.) Medical degree (MD, DO, DDS, DVM) Law degree (JD, LLM, 6JD) Other degree (<i>Please specify</i>): 				
<< Previous Next >>		Que	estions	s?
buttonId.setAttribute("aria-exp	anded",	"tru	ıe")	;



Q Modifications: Semantic Differentials

For the next sev based on your d	eral que irect ex	estions, perience	select o es:	one opti	on bet	ween each set of adjectives that best represents how you would rate your institution			
Disrespectful		۲	۲		۲	Respectful			
Diverse		۲	۲		۲	Homogenous			
Friendly		۲	۲		۲	Hostile			
Racist		•		۲		Non-racist			
					()	Campus Climate Survey ×	SoundRocket —		×
<< Previous	Next	>>			← -	C 127.0.0.1:13124/Previewer/Survey.ashx		☆	r] :
					For t repre Thi valu	he next few questions, we will ask you to think about a scale from one to five. You will be presented wi seents the first word of the pair, and 5 represents the second word of the pair. nking of the words Friendly and Hostile, where 1 represents Friendly and 5 represents Hostile. On the ues in between, which adjective best represents how you would rate your institution based on your dir	th a word pair where on scale of 1 to 5, including ect experiences.	ne g all	
					•	1			
						3			
					õ	4			
						5			
					Thi	nking of the words Diverse and Homogenous, where 1 represents Diverse and 5 represents Homogenc luding all values in between, which adjective best represents how you would rate your institution base	ous. On the scale of 1 to d on your direct experie	o 5, ences.	_
					0	1			
10						3			
Τζ						4			

0 5

Did it work?



Study Design (DEI Survey @ University of Michigan)

Questionnaire

- Average 15 minutes
- Mobile optimized
- Accessible to all

Incentives

Lottery, Individual

Messaging

- Coordinated
- Consistent
 - Authoritative internal sources
 - Media, press
 - External sources
 ADVANCING YOUR IMPACT

Two-phase communication design

- Phase I: Mass
 - Mail & E-mail contacts
- Phase II: Personal
 - Interviewer phone & inperson contacts

Data Collection

- Schedule
 - Institutional calendar
 - Holidays



Who Actually Used the Accessibility Options?

	Accessible Version	Standard Version
Completes + Partials (n)	364	9,644
Completion Time (mean number of minutes)	12.10	11.49
Self-reported Disability	3.9% (14)	6.3% (603)
Types of disabilities reported		
Acquired/Traumatic Brain Injury	-	3.7% (22)
Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder	42.9% (6)	21.6% (130)
Asperger's/Autism Spectrum	-	5.3% (32)
Blind/Low Vision	14.3% (2)	2.3% (14)
Deaf/Hard of Hearing	21.4% (3)	4.8% (29)
Cognitive or Learning Disability	14.3% (2)	10.6% (64)
Chronic Illness/Medical Condition	7.1% (1)	24.1% (145)
Mental Health/Psychological Condition	35.7% (5)	51.7% (311)
Physical/Mobility condition that affects walking	-	7.8% (47)
Physical/Mobility condition that does not affect walking	7.1% (1)	6.0% (36)
Speech/Communication Condition	-	2.2% (13)
Other (please specify)	7.1% (1)	6.6% (40)



Satisfaction with Overall Campus DEI Climate

	Acc ON	Acc OFF	Disabled	Non- Disabled	Accessible Version + Disabled	Non-Accessible Version + Disabled
Very Satisfied + Satisfied %	54	63	51	63	40	51
n	76	9506	469	9070	6	463
				-		
Neutral %	29	23	27	23	40	27
n	41	3542	249	3308	6	243
Dissatisfied + Very Dissatisfied %	17	14	23	14	20	23
n	24	2165	211	1957	3	208
	N	S	Chi-squa	are = 78.45	N	S



ADVANCING YOUR IMPACT

p < 0.01

Satisfaction with DEI Climate in Work Unit

	Acc ON	Acc OFF	Disabled	Non- Disabled	Accessible Version + Disabled	Non-Accessible Version + Disabled
Very Satisfied + Satisfied %	58	63	48	65	67	48
N	81	9599	442	9192	10	432
Neutral %	19	17	19	16	7	20
N	26	2510	179	2341	1	178
Dissatisfied + Very Dissatisfied %	24	20	33	19	27	33
Ν	33	3021	303	2725	4	299
	N	S	Chi-squa	are = 121.78	N	S

ADVANCING YOUR IMPACT

p < 0.01

Unintended Consequences . . .



Felt Discrimination re: Ability/Disability Status in Past 12 Months



Those who used the accessible version of the survey did report a higher level of discrimination regarding their ability/disability status—**but it was not a significant difference.**

Chi-square = 3.35 p = 0.067.



Felt Discrimination re: Racial/Ethnic Identity in Past 12 Months



Those who used the accessible version of the survey also reported a higher level of discrimination based on racial/ethnic identity—and this **was significant**.

Chi-square = 18.5929 p < 0.01

0

Felt Discrimination re: Country of Origin in Past 12 Months





Why more difference in non-disability measures?

We wondered why we were seeing such differences . . .

So we returned to look at WHO exactly used the accessible version of the survey . . . (remember, only 3.9% of those who used it claimed they had a disability).



Who Did We Think Will Use the Accessibility Options?

It was anticipated that the participants opting-in to the accessible version of the survey would be **those with visual or auditory impairments who rely on assistive technology software** to interact with digital content.

What we discovered, however . . .



Who Actually Used the Accessible Version?

		Accessible Version	Standard Version
Were you born in the United States, Puerto	Yes	49.9%	73.6%
Rico, a U.S. Island area, or born abroad of U.S. citizen parents?	No	50.1%	26.4%
	Non- Resident Alien	35.2%	14.1%
Citizenship Status - Sample Variable	Permanent Resident	1.9%	2.1%
	U.S. Citizen	62.9%	83.7%

50.1% of respondents using the accessible version reported that they were not born in the United States, compared to **26.4%** using the standard version.

Using a variable indicating citizenship status, which was provided by the university in the sample file, we determined that **35.2%** of accessible version respondents were classified as "Non-Resident Alien", compared to only **14.1%** of standard version participants.



Why so many non-US born / non-Citizens?

We don't know for sure-more research is needed.

But we believe it is due to reliance on **screen reading translation tools**. Students who do not speak English as their primary language may be relying on translation software to complete the survey.



Concluding Thoughts

- (More) equal, accessible & inclusive surveys are possible with a careful approach to the technology, and guidance from experts.
- Accessible designs are used when made available and do allow people to participate who appear different. *Theoretically, this may be reducing nonresponse bias.*
- Equitable designs *may also bring in others* who rely on different types of assistive technology, in particular, for language translation.
- The *study topic may also be relevant*. It is easy to consider how the DEI topic may have had a role in these results. We do not yet know if a similar substantive impact would be found in different topics.



Thank you!

