## Quality Issues in the Integration of Multiple Data Sources

John L. Eltinge
Assistant Director for Research and Methodology
U.S. Census Bureau
Chair, Federal Committee on Statistical Methodology

FedCASIC Conference April 18, 2018

## **Acknowledgements and Disclaimer**

This presentation summarizes some elements of work by dozens of colleagues on the FCSM Working Group on Data Quality, the Committee on National Statistics, and participants in related workshops and meetings. Any errors are the responsibility of the presenter.

The views expressed here are those of the presenter and do not necessarily represent the policies of the United States Census Bureau.

# Overview: Transparent Quality Reporting

Context and Goals

II. Work to Date

III. Speakers for This Session

## I. Context and Goals

A. Historical focus of statistical agencies:

Use sample surveys (with some other sources) to produce high-quality statistical series, some public-use microdata

## I. Context and Goals (continued)

#### B. Changing environment:

- 1. Declining survey response rates, increasing costs, increasing expectations of data users
- 2. Increasing availability of multiple data sources (beyond surveys)

## I. Context and Goals (continued)

C. Opportunity: Integrate multiple data sources to:

1. Improve the balance of quality, risk and cost for current statistical production

 Expand the suite of statistical information products and services in priority areas (geography, time, refined models)

## I. Context and Goals (continued)

D. Starting Point:

Transparent Reporting in High-Priority Areas of:

1. Quality: Accuracy, timeliness, relevance, comparability, coherence, accessibility

2. Risk: Production failures, disclosure

3. Cost: Cash, scarce skills, respondent burden

#### **Columns: Performance Dimensions**

Rows: Areas for standards	Quality (accuracy)	Quality (other dim)	Risk	Cost
Transparent reports for users	Current emphasis	Additional discussion		
Transparent rep to improve	Additional discussion	Additional discussion		
Research, design production, empirical results				
Legal, regulatory privacy areas	8			

#### **II. Work to Date**

A. Three public workshops (with the Washington Statistical Society)

Input data quality (12/1/2017)
Processing quality (1/25/2018)
Output data quality (2/26/2018)

Additional events planned

## II. Work to Date (continued)

B. Meetings with the Committee on National Statistics, other stakeholders: Identified

1. Well-developed quality frameworks (CNSTAT, ESS)

2. Related standards (often survey-centric) from OMB, agencies (U.S. and international), professional groups (e.g., ISO)

## II. Work to Date (continued)

B.3. "Quality profiles" - some U.S. stat programs

**B.4.** Central themes:

- "Fitness for use" - context/user-specific

- Communication with identified audience: general public, "power users," technical

## III. Speakers for This Session

Alexandra Brown, JPSM

Chris Chapman, NCES

Lisa Mirel, NCHS

Linda J. Young, NASS

## Thanks to all

Comments and questions welcome: John.L.Eltinge@census.gov

## **Supplementary Questions**

A. General Questions:

In using data products (especially based on integration of multiple data sources):

1. Predominant worries about quality?

2. Impact of quality problems on practical value for your data users: Concrete cases

a. How specific data series are used by your key stakeholders

b. Specific quality issues that can degrade value of (a)?

2.c. Efforts you make to mitigate (b)?

2.d. How transparent reports on specific quality elements can help stakeholders understand (b), mitigate (c) and choose among competing data series?

2.e. Examples of good practice in (c) and (d)?

A.3. Best ways to communicate on (2) with non-specialists:

a. Criteria for "high quality data series"

Ex: Checklist for "transparent reporting"

Ex: Checklist (or longer reports) on specific quality features?

b. Why (a) is important for them?

B. Examples (conversation starters):

1. Break in series

a. Outright loss of data source

b. Changes in data capture and management systems

Ex: Duplication of records

1.c. Level shift (or changes in stability, seasonality) from (undetected?) changes in:

- (sub) population coverage

 accounting methods in administrative or commercial records

- B.2. "Apples and oranges"
  - Differences within or across data sources

a. Conceptual or operational definitions

Ex: "employment" - W-2? 1099? 1120S?

Ex: "sale" when ordered, delivered, paid?

b. "Unit" definitions: firm/establishment, geo

#### **B.3.** Relevance:

Ex: Administrative or commercial record systems may not keep up with true economic phenomena

B.4. Many other examples

## Thanks to all for your insights

# Additional comments welcome: John.L.Eltinge@census.gov