Using CARI and Behavior Coding to Evaluate Questionnaires

Joanne Pascale
US Census Bureau
Federal CASIC Workshop
March 22-23, 2011

What is CARI

- Computer Audio Recorded Interview
- Records both CATI and CAPI interviews directly on to interviewers' laptops

What is Behavior Coding?

- Systematic method to describe & quantify interviewer-respondent interactions
- Each turn of speech ("utterance") by interviewer or respondent is assigned standardized code(s)
- Open-text notes complement codes
- Analytic focus: frequency of behaviors; notes help interpret quantitative data

Anatomy of an Interview

- "Utterance" = everything one person says before the other person says something
- "Exchange" = pair of utterances: one from interviewer and one from respondent
- "1st level" exchange = first pair of utterances
- "2nd level" exchange = second pair, etc.
- "Final outcome" = respondent's final answer to the question

Typical Behaviors of Interest

Interviewers

- Asking questions as worded or changing wording
- Verifying or skipping questions
- Providing clarification
- Probing for more detail

Respondents

- Providing straight answers
- Providing indirect or qualified answers
- Asking for clarification
- Other response that does not answer question

Evaluating Questionnaires

- How often are Qs asked as worded?
- What wording changes are most common?
- What are the consequences of wording changes?
- How often do Rs give straight answers?
- How do these factors vary by:
 - Question
 - Interviewer
 - Treatment (test vs control)
 - Mode (CATI vs CAPI)
 - Language
 - Etc.

Conventional Behavior Coding

- Usually used for CAPI since CATI has centralized monitoring
- Interviewers carried analog tape recorders and tapes
- Requested consent; turned on recorder; recorded full interview
- Unsystematic selection of cases recorded; usually interviewer judgment of respondent's likelihood to cooperate
- Rule-of-thumb goal: record ~100 cases

CARI Behavior Coding

- Interviews are recorded directly on to computers; no need for additional equipment
- Feasible to record all interviews not just subset
- CARI produces image of Q as displayed for interviewer and final data entry of R's answer
- Researchers can sample at varying rates from entire universe:
 - HHs/people based on known characteristics
 - Select topic areas; particular questions

American Community Survey (ACS)

- Replaces Census "long form"
- Year-round data collection
- Annual data release
- Person-by-Person questions
- Multi-mode:
 - Mail out/mail back
 - CATI
 - CAPI

ACS Content Test

- Two main goals for content test:
 - explore improvements to existing Qs
 (program participation; veterans; wages)
 - examine alternative Qs for new content areas (computers/internet; place of birth)
- Data Collection:
 - August-September: mail out/mail back
 - October-November: CATI and CAPI interviews conducted and recorded

HH and R Characteristics

- HH and person-level characteristics of interest varied by topic area
- Computers:
 - Urban vs rural
 - More or less than HS education
- Parental Place of Birth:
 - 1st vs 2nd vs 3rd generation
 - Hispanic vs Non-hispanic

Case Allotment by Topic Area

Goal: 1,600 cases (hh interviews)

- 800 CATI
- 800 CAPI

Within each mode:

- 400 English cases
 - 100 program participation/computers
 - 100 parental place of birth
 - 100 wages
 - 100 veterans
- 400 Spanish cases

ACS Caseload Disposition

	CATI	CAPI
Sample released	23,673	15,202
Completed + partial cases	4,523	(pending)
Recording consent rate	88.5%	64.8%
Cases recorded	4,005	4,137
Cases selected for behavior coding	796	708

ACS Scope

- First two exchanges & final outcome:
 - Interviewer 1st level exchange
 - Respondent 1st level exchange
 - Interviewer 2nd level exchange (if any)
 - Respondent 2nd level exchange (if any)
 - Final outcome
- Codes applied at utterance-level
- Multiple aspects of each utterance coded (eg: behavior, language, interruptions)

Language and ACS

- English-Spanish translation
- Cross-cultural differences
- Multiple cultures within Spanishspeaking population
- Interviewers' language proficiency
- Interviewers' language training

Interviewer 1st Level

- 1. Initial Q-Asking
 - As worded
 - Major change
 - Verification
 - Skip
- 2. Language (English, Spanish, mix)
- 3. Interrupted (if applicable)
- 4. Language Problems (if applicable)



- If Major Change (check all that apply):
 - Modified or dropped introduction
 - Modified or dropped reference period
 - Other
- If Verification (check one):
 - Correct
 - Incorrect
 - Unclear

Respondent Behavior (1st and 2nd Levels)

1. Answering Behavior

- Codeable answer
- Indirect answer
- Qualified answer
- Uncodeable response
- Request for clarification
- DK or Refused
- 2. Language (English, Spanish, mix)

Interviewer 2nd Level

1. Follow-up Behavior

- Probe/clarify/verify correctly
- Probe/clarify/verify incorrectly
- Probe/clarify/verify (unclear)
- Off-topic comment
- Other

2. Language

Final Outcome Group

- Respondent Answer (same as in Respondent Behavior)
- 2. Interviewer Data Entry
 - Matches respondent answer
 - Does not match respondent answer
- 3. Respondent Misunderstanding (if app)
- 4. Overall Language Problem (if app)

Interviewer 1st Level Screen

Categories	1	. Int Q Asking (check on	MC Detail (all that			
Int 1st Level	ES	Exact/slight			apply)	
Resp Behavior Int 2nd Level	мс	Major change =>detail		INTR	Dr/chng intro*	
Final Outcome	VER	Verification =>detail		TIME	Dr/chng time*	
				омс	Other MC*	
	SKIP	Skipped Q*		1 h 1/	Detail (abaala	1
	OTH	mer*		IB.Ve	er Detail (check	one
	0100	NAO Inquidible/Incomplete Audie*			Ver correctly*	
notes	INAQ	INAQ Inaudible/Incomplete Audio*			Ver incorrectly*	
required				VER?	Ver; unclear*	
	2.	Int Lang (check one)	3. In	t Inter	upted (if app)	
	ENGI	English only	BRI I	nt gets i	nterrupted*	
	SPAI	Spanish only	4. Int	Lang F	Prob (if app)	
	MIXI	English/Spanish mix*	PRNI	Lang p	robs*	

Respondent Behavior Screen

Categories		1.Response (check	cone)	
Resp Behavior	CODR	Codeable answer			
Final Outcome Sound File Problem	INDR	Uncodeable but R indirectly answers Q*			
Sound File Problem	QUAL	Qual/uncertain answer*			
	UNCR	Uncodeable; R does	not a	nswer Q*	
	CLAR	Request for clarifica	tion		
	DKR	DK/uncertainty			
	REFR	Refused			
	OTHR	Other*			
	INAR	Inaudible/Incomple	e Aud	lio*	
	2. R	esp Lang (check o	ne)	3. Resp Inte	errupted (if app)
	ENGR	English only		BRR Resp ge	ets interrupted
	SPAR	Spanish only		4. Resp Lan	g Prob (if app)
	MIXR	English/Spanish mix	* 🗆	PRNR Lang	probs*
	5. Res	sp Misses Q (if app)		
	MISR	R misses Q*			

Final Outcome Screen

Categories		1.Resp Final Answr (check one)	
Resp Behavior Int 2nd Level	CODO	Codeable Answer	
inal Outcome Sound File Problem	INDO	Uncodeable but R indirectly answers Q*	
	QUAO	Qual/uncertain answer*	
	UNCO	Uncodeable; R does not answer Q*	
	DKO	DK/uncertainty	
	REFO	Refused	
	отно	Other*	
	INAO	Inaudible/Incomplete Audio*	
		2. Int Data Entry (check one)	
	MATD	Int entry matches R answer	
	NMTD	Int entry does not match R answer*	
	OTHD	Other/Unclear if entry matches*	
	3. R	Misses Q (if app) 4. Overall Lang	Pro
	LPO O	verall lang prob* MISO R misses	Q*

RESULTS (hypothetical)

Interviewer Q-Asking

Interviewer 1 st Level Initial Q-Asking	n	%
Exact		
Major change		
Verification (correct)		
Verification (incorrect)		
Verification (unclear)		
Skipped		
Other		
Inaudible		



	n	%
Dropped/modified introduction		
Dropped/modified reference period		
Other major change		
Category A (from open text notes)		
Category B (from open text notes)		
Category C (from open text notes)		
etc.		

Respondent Q-Answering

Respondent 1st Level Q-Answering	n	%
Codeable answer		
Indirect answer		
Qualified answer		
Uncodeable answer		
Request for clarification		
Don't know		
Refused		
Other		
Inaudible		

Sequence of Behaviors

1 st Leve	l Exchange	2 nd Level Exchange		Final Out	come		
Int Q- Asking	R Q- Answer	Int Followup	R Answer	R Answer	Data Entry	n	%
Exact	Codeable	None	None	Codeable	Match		
Exact	Uncodable	Correct probe	Codeable	Codeable	Match		
Major change	Codeable	None	None	Codeable	Match		
Major change	Request for Clarify	Correct probe	Codeable	Codeable	Match		
Major change	Request for Clarify	Incorrect probe	Un- codeable	Un- codeable	Non- Match		
Etc.							

Sequence of Language

1 st Level Exchange		2 nd Level			
Int Q-Asking	R Q-Answer	Int Followup	R Answer	n	%
English	English	None	None		
Spanish	Spanish	None	None		
English	English	English	English		
Spanish	Spanish	Spanish	Spanish		
English	Spanish	None	None		
English	Spanish	Spanish	Spanish		
Spanish	English	Spanish	English		
Etc.					

Subgroup Analysis

- Question (test vs. control)
- Language
- CATI vs. CAPI
- Person number in household
- Coder
- Interviewer
- Topic Area (and subgroups within)

HH and R Characteristics by Topic Area

- Computers:
 - Urban vs rural
 - More or less than HS education
- Parental Place of Birth:
 - 1st vs 2nd vs 3rd generation
 - Hispanic vs Non-hispanic

CARI Summary

- Unobtrusive easy recording mechanism
- Levels playing field between CATI & CAPI
- Valuable sampling capabilities
- Flexibility in defining what behaviors to code
- Image display offers:
 - Exact display of wording (including fills)
 - Interviewer's actual data entry value
- Real time monitoring of coding quality
- Data extractable at any time