The Differential Effect of a Mobile-Friendly Instrument on Data Quality

Rachel Horwitz

Disclaimer: Any views expressed are those of the author and not necessarily those of the U.S. Census Bureau.



Background

- The rise in smartphone ownership and use in surveys is well documented (Pew Research Center; Horwitz, 2015; Baker-Prewitt, 2013)
- As are problems associated with their use in surveys (Baker-Prewitt, 2013; Mavletova, 2013; de Bruijne and Wijnant, 2013)
 - Long completion times (Mavletova, 2013; de Bruijne and Wijnant, 2014; McClain et al, 2012; Peterson, 2012)
 - Higher breakoffs (Baker-Prewitt, 2013; Callegaro, 2013; Mavletova, 2013, Wells et al., 2013)



Background

- Optimization helps but does not eliminate longer response times and higher breakoffs (Couper et al., 2015)
- Meta-analysis includes surveys from different populations, of different lengths, and different types of "optimization"
- Can we identify differential effects in the benefits of optimization by survey length?



Mobile-Friendly Census Surveys

2015 National Content Test (NCT) 2016 American Community Survey (ACS)

- First Census Bureau surveys using a mobilefriendly design
 - Use a responsive web design vs. mobile-first
 - Layout of information on the screen may change



Examples

United States Census Bureau	2015 C	census T	est	-	-	
	8		Instruct	ions FAQs	Save and	Log (
Where did you liv	e on April 1, 2015? (Help)				
Please select the typ	e of address associated	with your residence.				
Address type:	Street Address		⊚ P.O. Box			
Address Number:		Street Name:		Apt/Unit	:	
For example:	(5007)		(N Maple Ave)		("Apt. A" or "Lot 3")	
City:	State:	Select State	▼ ZIP Cod	e:		
Next						
18 No.: 0607-0981 Approv	al Expires: 3/31/2016			Access	ibility Privacy.	Security
and the second sec				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		

Where did y	ou live or	April 1, 20	15? (Hel
Please select your residence	the type of a	address asso	ciated witl
Address typ	e:		
Street Add	Iress		
C Rural Rou	te		
© P.O. Box			
Address Num	iber (For exa	ample: 5007)	
Street Name	(For example	e: N Maple Ave	e)
Apt/Unit (For e	example: "Ap	t. A" or "Lot 3")	
City:			



Examples

insted everyone who lives or stays	Enter one person on each line a there, then click Next. (Help	e. Leave any extra lines blank. Enter)	names until you have
First Name	MI	Last Name	
John	G	Smith	
First Name 2	MI 2	Last Name 2	
First Name 3	MI 3	Last Name 3	
First Name 4	MI 4	Last Name 4	
First Name 5	MI 5	Last Name 5	
Click here to add more people Previous Next >			

American Community Survey

0

The following questions are about everyone who is living or staying at 198 Young Rd.. First, create a list of people. Enter one person on each line. Leave any extra lines blank. Enter names until you have listed everyone who lives or stays there, then continue to the next page (Help)

John	
G	
Smith	

First Name 2
MI 2
Last Name 2



Data

- Surveys
 - National Census/Content Test (NCT)
 - 2012 Not optimized (25,103 respondents)
 - 2015 Optimized (435,951 respondents)
 - American Community Survey
 - January 2015 Not optimized (65,846 respondents)
 - January 2016 Optimized (69,190 respondents)
- Analysis
 - Limited to computer and phone respondents (smartphone and feature phone)



About the NCT and ACS

- Similarities
 - National sample
 - Mandatory
 - 3-month data collection period
 - Framework used to develop web survey

- Differences
 - Survey length
 - NCT ~ 10 minutes
 - ACS ~ 40 minutes
 - Survey content
 - NCT demographic and household information
 - ACS demographic, housing, social, and economic characteristics



Analysis

ANALYSIS MEASURES (BY DEVICE)

Logins	% people who successfully logged into survey
Breakoff Rate	% people who logged in but did not submit the survey
Time To Complete	difference between survey submit time & login time
Answer Changes	average # of times a respondent changed an answer
Device Switching	% respondents that started on a phone then switched to a computer



Logins by Device

How have logins by device changed?

	NCT		ACS		
	2012	2015	2015	2016	
Phone	2.6%	7.7%	4.6%	7.6%	
Computer	91.2%	83.0%	80.3%	82.2%	
Tablet	6.3%	9.3%	15.1%	10.2%	



Results – Breakoff Rate

- NCT: 2.3 times higher before optimization, 1.4 times after → differential of 0.9
- ACS: 2.2 times higher before, 1.8 times after → differential of 0.4

Breakoff Rate - Before and after optimization by survey and device





Results – Completion Time

- NCT: 1.8 times longer before, 1.3 times longer after
 → differential of 0.5
- ACS: 1.2 times longer before, 1.0 times after → differential of 0.2







Results – Answer Changes

- NCT: 1.7 times more changes before, 1.2 times more changes after → differential of 0.5
- ACS: 1.9 times more changes before, 1.1 times more changes after → differential of 0.8



census.dov

Average number of answer changes

Results – Switching to Computer

- NCT: 1.4 percent decrease after optimization
- ACS: 2.8 percent decrease after optimization



Percent of phone respondents that switched to a computer



Results – Are there differential gains by survey length?

Comparison of the difference in the phone to computer ratio before and after optimization





What does all this mean?

- Optimization yields an improvement across all measures for both surveys
- There is a difference in the effect of optimization between long and short surveys, but the direction depends on the measure
- Length is likely only one factor, future research...
 - Question Type
 - Phone Quality

- Connection Speed
- Population
- Still see gains, even for short surveys



Thank you!

Contact: Rachel.t.horwitz@census.gov

