Heaping at Round Numbers on Financial Questions: Satisficing and Fatigue

Jonathan Eggleston, U.S. Census Bureau Michael Gideon, U.S. Census Bureau



- answered is a proxy for decision fatigue
- If rounding consistent with satisficing
- \uparrow motivation $\rightarrow \downarrow$ rounding
- \uparrow fatigue \rightarrow \uparrow rounding

2014 Panel of the Survey of Income and **Program Participation (SIPP)**

- Sponsored & collected by U.S. Census Bureau. Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing (CAPI). Nationally representative of U.S. households. Wave 1: Sample size of 31,000 households
- Sample restrictions: non-proxy interviews, completed interview in one session \rightarrow 21,000 households
- Paradata from SIPP Instrument Audit Trails (Key Stroke File): Time per question, Time in Survey, Number of Questions Answered
- Focus on rounding on home value, mortgage, checking accounts, credit cards and social security income



Joanne W. Hsu, Federal Reserve Board of Governors **Brooke Helppie McFall, University of Michigan**

Is rounding associated with motivation and fatigue?

rounding = -

Rounding is negatively associated with motivation (time spent on question)



SOURCE: Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) 2014 Wave 1. NOTE: The pattern holds across all questions we analyzed, which includes home value, mortgage balance, checking account balance, credit card balance and social security income

Inconclusive evidence about association of rounding and decision fatigue (number of prior questions), after controlling for heterogeneity in effort across respondents



SOURCE: Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP) 2014 Wave 1. NOTE: Due to differences in paths taken through the SIPP, there is considerable respondent-level variation in the number of questions answered prior to each of our questions of interest

Technical details:

• Regression of rounding on fatigue, controlling for demographic characteristics and and across-person observed motivation on previous questions

rounding $_{ai} = f(N_{ai}) + a_1 TPQ_{ai} + a_2 TPQ_{ai}^2 + X_i \beta + \varepsilon_i$

- Time per question (TPQ_{ai}) equals T_{ai}/N_{ai} , the time spent on survey before being asked question (T_{ai}) divided by the number of questions answered before question q (N_{ai})
- $f(N_{ai})$ is a cubic spline with five knots
- Use predicted values computed from model estimates to show the relationship between number of questions and rounding



Rounding on similar questions across surveys

- Strong order effects should generate different levels of rounding when questions in different orders
- Focus on questions asked in SIPP, the Survey of Consumer Finances (SCF) and the Cognitive Economics Study (CogEcon), and reported in Gideon et al. (2016)

Different question order yet similar rounding



SOURCE: SCF 2013, CogEcon 2011, SIPP 2014 Wave 1. NOTE: there are slight differences in questions across surveys, in terms of whether the question asks about self only, or joint with spouse/partner, and whether about the primary account or all accounts

Summary

- Survey responses frequently display patterns of heaping at round numbers
- On financial questions, rounding is associated with motivation
- Inconclusive evidence about whether rounding is associated with fatigue

References

- 1. Gideon, Michael, Joanne W. Hsu and Brooke Helppie McFall. 2016. "Heaping at Round Numbers on Financial Questions: The Role of Satisficing." Working paper.
- 2. Heitjan, Daniel F. and Donald B. Rubin. 1991. "Ignorability and Coarse Data." The Annals of Statistics. Vol 19, No. 4, 2244-2253.
- 3. Krosnick, Jon A. 1991. "Response Strategies for Coping with Cognitive Demands of Attitude Measures in Surveys." Applied Cognitive Psychology 5: 213-236.



This poster is released to inform interested parties of ongoing research and to encourage discussion. Any views expressed are those of the author and not necessarily those of the U.S. Census Bureau