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#### Abstract

I discuss the changes to the income section of the Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement, which were implemented in a split-panel test and 2014 and in full production from 2015 onward. I then detail the processing changes being implemented in 2019, as a result of the redesign. These changes include changes to imputations, edits, and income item top codes. Finally, I analyze survey data processed using the legacy and updated processing system to understand how the processing changes affect income estimates.
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## Introduction

The Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement (CPS ASEC) is one of the most widely used surveys conducted by the U.S. government. It is the source of the official estimate of poverty, as well as other widely cited income distribution statistics. Furthermore, the CPS ASEC data is used often in social science research.

In 2014, the CPS ASEC underwent a redesign to improve data quality. This paper focuses on the changes to the income section of the survey. ${ }^{1}$ In 2014, the redesigned questionnaire was tested in the field using a split-panel design, where roughly 70 percent of the sample received the traditional (pre-redesign) survey instrument and the other 30 percent received the redesigned one. The results of this test are discussed in Semega and Welniak (2015) and Rothbaum (2017). The field test was deemed successful by the Census Bureau and the redesigned instrument was used for the full CPS ASEC sample in 2015 and subsequent years.

However, the data from the redesigned survey instrument was edited using the pre-2014 processing system. This means that responses to the new survey instrument were recoded into the variables used prior to the redesign in order to enable processing. Since 2014, the Census Bureau has been working to update the processing system to take full advantage of the redesigned instrument. In this paper, we discuss the 2014 redesign, the changes that have been made to processing, and the impact on the income data as a result of the processing system changes.

## The 2014 Redesign

The 2014 redesign included a number of changes intended to improve income reporting. These included the following:

- Dual Pass Approach - For all income except wages and self-employment earnings, the questions on recipiency and sources were separated from the questions on amounts. This change was intended to prevent respondent fatigue from affecting income responses. For example, respondents to the traditional instrument may have been able to learn that followups could be avoided by answering "no" to the initial recipiency question.
- Family Income Screener -The family income screener was removed for questions on meanstested benefits and income. Prior to the redesign, only households that reported less than $\$ 75,000$ in combined family income were asked questions about means-tested transfer programs, such as questions on Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) and the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). This change was the result of research showing that households that qualified for benefits were being incorrectly screened out of receiving the questions (Semega and Welniak, 2013; Stevens, Fox, and Heggeness, 2018).
- Tailored Skip Patterns - The order of income questions in the redesigned instrument depends on household characteristics, including age of householder, and family income (from the screener).

[^0]- Income Range Follow-ups - For respondents unwilling or unable to provide a value for a given income source, unfolding brackets are used.
- Changes to Retirement and Asset Income Questions - To address under-reporting of retirement and asset income, these questions were redesigned to better collect these income sources. This includes additional questions on interest from various types of savings instruments as well as withdrawals and distributions from defined-contribution retirement accounts (such as 401(k)s). For withdrawals from defined-contribution accounts, individuals are also asked if amounts withdrawn are reinvested or "rolled over" into another retirement account.
- Capital Gains - Questions were added to capture capital gains income.
- Disability Questions - The questions on Social Security and Supplemental Security Income (SSI) were expanded to clarify respondent confusion on disability income from each program.
- Assets to Impute Interest and Dividends - If respondents were unsure of income from an interest- or dividend-bearing account, they were asked to provide information on assets from which income could be imputed.

The responses in the new instrument were recoded to be used in the pre-redesign processing system. In some cases, this meant ignoring data. For example, income range follow-ups were not used to impute missing income. In other cases, this entailed combining responses with some potential loss of information. For example, for an individual with two interest-bearing accounts that provided an amount for one account but not for the other, no value was imputed for the missing income amount. In other cases, the redesign required no change to processing. For example, the dual-pass approach and tailored skip patterns do not require any changes to processing as only the order of the questions was changed.

Rothbaum (2015) compared 2013 income estimates from the traditional and redesigned samples. He found increases in the aggregates for total income ( 4.2 percent), interest ( 113 percent), disability benefits ( 36.4 percent), public assistance ( 28.8 percent), and retirement income ( 21.9 percent). There were declines in farm self-employment income ( 42.1 percent), veterans' benefits ( 23.1 percent), and dividends (20.1 percent).

In their analysis of poverty differences, Mitchell and Renwick (2015) found evidence of sample differences. For example, child poverty was higher in the redesign, but so was the share of children living in families with female householders. They also suggest that the increase in public assistance receipt in the redesign could indicate a larger share of low income households.

## Changes to the Processing System

In order to fully utilize the income data from the redesigned instrument, the processing system has been updated. As a part of that update, additional modifications were also made to address issues in or improve upon the prior processing system. In this section, I first list the changes made to the processing system, and then discuss each in detail. The processing changes made include:

- Earnings Ranges used in Imputation - The earnings imputation model was modified to use the information from the unfolding brackets in the income range follow-up questions.
- Other Income Edit and Imputation Overhaul - For all income types, except wage and salary earnings and self-employment earnings, the imputation system was overhauled to include more variables in the imputation model, impute income at a more fine-grained level, and to utilize the income range responses. Hard-coded adjustment factors that increased imputed interest income were removed.
- Withdrawals from Defined-Contribution Benefit Plans - The processing system was updated to edit and impute withdrawals and rollovers from defined-contribution retirement plans.
- Capital Gains - The edit and imputation system was updated to process responses on capital gains.
- Mortgage Imputation - Imputation of mortgage and house value no longer use information from the American Housing Survey (often from many years earlier) for imputation.
- Means-Tested Benefit Caps Removed - Hard-coded household income caps, that prevented imputation of means-tested benefits to higher income households, were removed. For energy assistance benefits, these caps also eliminated program benefits from respondents.
- Income Allocation Flags - The income allocation flags were updated to provide more information about the quality of the imputation as well as greater clarity about which income items were imputed for supplement non-respondents.
- Increased Top-Codes for Some Income Types - Fixed nominal value top codes have declined in real value over time due to inflation. For some income items, such as rental and retirement income, the top codes were increased.
- Various Other Small Fixes to Income Items - During the updating process, we found issues with the income edits that were corrected. This includes minor issues such as changing variable names to have a more consistent naming convention to fixes to income recodes.
- Changes to Demographic Edits - Although not a part of the income update, changes to demographic edits can affect income. For example, marital status and spouse characteristics are used in income imputation models. The inclusion of same-sex married couples can, therefore, impact income imputation.


## Earnings Ranges used in Imputation

The CPS ASEC includes questions on earnings from the longest job and other wage and salary, selfemployment, and farm self-employment earnings. For each earnings question, non-respondents to the value question were asked to provide earnings values in three ranges: 1) less than $\$ 45,000,2$ ) $\$ 45,000$ to $\$ 60,000$, and 3 ) $\$ 60,000$ or more. For those in the bottom bracket, they were asked if their earnings fell in the following three ranges: 1) less than $\$ 15,000,2) \$ 15,000$ to $\$ 30,000$, and 3 ) $\$ 30,000$ or more.

The earnings imputation module was changed to use the brackets for earnings from the longest job only. ${ }^{2}$ For those who provided an earnings range in one of the five possible brackets after completing

[^1]both questions (if in the initial lowest bracket), the brackets were included in the imputation process. The imputation model was modified so that the income ranges were used in nearly all cases when they were reported. The imputation flag for earnings from the longest job was also modified to indicate when brackets were used in the imputation process.

## Other Income Edit and Imputation Overhaul

The module for editing and imputing other income types (besides earnings) was the section of the income processing system that was most affected by the redesign.

In many cases, multiple income questions are possible for income from a given income type. For example, unemployment compensation is collected from questions on federal, supplemental, or union unemployment benefits. After the redesign, Social Security income is collected from separate questions on social security disability and social security retirement income. To improve the imputation and utilize the non-response follow-up ranges, income is now imputed for each income response for a given income type.

The CPS ASEC uses a variation of the cell hot deck imputation method. Under this method values are allocated to non-respondents of a particular question by drawing from the values of "similar" respondents. Individuals are defined as similar if they match each other on all of the characteristics defined in the model. As an example, suppose the hot deck includes race (White/non-White) and gender (male/female) as the model characteristics. Suppose there is one White female non-respondent and three White female respondents with values of $\$ 1, \$ 5$, and $\$ 100$. To impute a value for the nonrespondent, a random respondent would be selected. If the first respondent were selected, the imputed value would be $\$ 1$.

One critique of the existing hot deck approach is that it suffers from match bias (Bollinger and Hirsch, 2006; Hirsch and Schumaker, 2004). Match bias is present when the exclusion of a predictor from the model biases estimates that result from using imputed data. This can bias both conditional and unconditional statistics (Hokayem, Raghunathan, and Rothbaum 2018). To address these concerns to the extent possible, imputation for each income type in this module was updated to include more variables and more match levels.

To select which variables to include in the updated imputation model for a given income type we used a random forest technique. Potential imputation model variables are chosen at random and used to predict the variable to be imputed, for example social security income. This process is repeated and potential model variables are ranked according to two random forest ranking criteria: mean decrease in impurity and Gini importance using the RandomForest R package (Liaw, 2018). These methods rank how much the inclusion of each covariate affects the quality of the prediction of the variable of interst (relative to other potential covariates). We ordered the potential imputation model variables by averaging ranks across the separate methods. We then selected cutoffs between model levels to balance improved model fit with having a reasonable number of matches at each level.

As an example, the prior imputation model for Social Security income included seven variables: age, gender, marital status, race education, worker status, and pension type. The new imputation model for Social Security includes 14 variables: age, household income, gender, relationship to household head, reason not working, marital status, disability status, transfer income status, presence of children, labor force status of spouse, education, reason for receipt of social security, race, and earnings.

For each other income item, range responses were also used in the imputation, when possible (including in the aforementioned Social Security income example). Additionally, each income item was imputed sequentially to allow for greater inclusion of processed income types in the models for income items imputed after.

In the prior processing system, imputed interest income was increased by a constant factor based on socioeconomic and demographic characteristics to remedy a shortfall in aggregate interest income (Rothbaum 2015b). That adjustment was eliminated from the updated processing system as it was based only on the aggregate shortfall, not an analysis of individual under-reporting.

Since the 1988 CPS ASEC, interest income has included interest earned on retirement accounts. ${ }^{3}$ To preserve consistency in the time series, official income and poverty statistics will include interest earned from retirement accounts, as has been the case since the 1988 CPS ASEC and that income will be included in the summary income values at the person, family, and household level (ptotval, ftotval, and htotval). However, because that money is not directly accessible for current consumption for most households, we have provided variables for researchers to use if they would like to make different assumptions about income and resources available to households. This is possible because the redesigned instrument asks for interest earned from each account separately, as opposed to in a single question as in the traditional instrument.

## Withdrawals from Defined Contribution Benefit Plans

The updated processing system includes specific edits for defined-contribution retirement accounts. In the redesigned instrument, respondents are asked about withdrawals from defined-contribution accounts. For those that took withdrawals, there is a follow-up about whether the withdrawals are rolled over into another retirement account. The withdrawals are imputed using the same approach discussed above. For individuals that report rollovers, but not the amount of the rollover, the allocation variable is the share of the withdrawal that is rolled over. Withdrawals net of rollovers are included in money income variables (ptotval, ftotval, and htotval), which are used to calculate many income and poverty statistics.

## Capital Gains

[^2]In the old processing system, information on capital gains income was not edited, imputed due to nonresponse, nor released to the public, because the questions did not exist on the traditional instrument. The processing system was updated to edit and impute capital gains income during the Other Income Edit described above. However, capital gains are not included in money income or used in calculation of official income and poverty statistics.

## Mortgage Imputation

Under the earlier processing system, imputation for mortgage- and property value-related questions was done using the American Housing Survey (AHS) using the cell hot deck approach. However, this resulted in a data lag where data from an earlier year's AHS was used. At the time, this was done to impute data from the AHS for questions not asked in the CPS ASEC. In the updated processing system, these additional variables are no longer needed. Therefore, in order to remove the processing dependency on another survey and eliminate the lag that would be present in the imputed data, the new system imputes values for these variables from respondents in the CPS ASEC.

## Means-Tested Benefit Caps Removed

Under the previous processing system, various income caps were placed on the receipt of means-tested benefits, including for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), energy assistance benefits, free or reduced price school lunches, and public housing assistance. For each of these benefits, non-respondents with household income above a given threshold were ineligible for imputed benefits. However, research using administrative data has shown benefit receipt above the imputation cutoffs (Stevens, Fox, and Heggeness, 2018). As a result, the imputation cutoff was removed in the updated processing system so that the probability of benefit receipt should be the same for respondents and non-respondents, conditional on the characteristics in the hot deck models.

Furthermore, for energy assistance, a hard cutoff was present in the processing system which edited responses for higher income households to remove their reported energy assistance benefits. This cap was removed.

## Income Allocation Flags

There are two forms of non-response possible for income items in the CPS ASEC: item non-response and supplement non-response. Item non-response is when an individual does not respond to a particular income question. Supplement non-response is when an individual does not provide enough information in the entire income supplement of the CPS ASEC. Under item non-response, values are imputed for individual income items as noted above. Under supplement non-response, all income items are imputed together by matching to another individual using characteristics drawn largely from responses to the basic CPS questionnaire.

In the previous processing system, a data user would need to look at two imputation flags to determine if a particular income item was imputed: the item allocation flag (i_ernval for earnings from the longest
job) and the supplemental allocation flag (fl_665, described as "person match, 665 " in the public-use documentation). As a result, many data users were unaware of the imputations from supplement nonresponse and did not properly account for them in their analysis if they were concerned about nonresponse bias. In the updated processing system, the item imputation flags contain a value that indicates supplement non-response and imputation.

For composite variables, which are created using information from several responses, the imputation flags also contain information on the underlying variables, which are not available on the public-use file. For composite recipiency variables (such as receipt of interest income), the composite imputation flags note whether some or all of the underlying variables were imputed. For composite value variables (such as the amount of interest income), the imputation flags indicate what share of the total income from the summed variables is imputed (in 25 percent increments).

The imputation flags have also been updated to provide more information. For value variables, the imputation flags contain information on the quality of the match, where match level 1 indicates more variables where used in the imputation model than match level 2 , etc., with the match level indicated in the data dictionary. Additionally, the imputation flags contain information on whether ranges where used in the imputation. For example, if a non-respondent indicated that they had less than $\$ 15,000$ in earnings from the longest job, the imputed value would be less than $\$ 15,000$ and the imputation flag would indicate that a range was used.

Table 1 shows how frequently ranges were used by income item in the 2017 updated file. ${ }^{4}$ For earnings from the longest job (ern_val), 73 percent of item non-respondents were imputed using a range. Overall, 50 percent of all missing income items due to item non-response were imputed using ranges. If we include supplemental non-response imputation (where no ranges are available), 34 percent of all income imputations used ranges.

## Increased Top Codes for Some Income Types

Income values on CPS ASEC are subject to a top code, which determines the maximum possible value on the file, both the public use and internal files. For example, the earnings top code has been (and continues to be) about 1.1 million dollars. The real value of these top codes has declined over time so that a greater share of respondents falls above the top code than is preferred. As a result, we increased the top codes for rental income, retirement income (defined-benefit pensions, defined-contribution withdrawals, and annuities), interest income, dividends, financial assistance, and other income from $\$ 99,999$ to $\$ 999,999$. For government transfers and means-tested program benefits, the top codes were increased to their maximum value (or a rounded number relatively close to the current statutory maximum).

## Various Other Small Fixes

[^3]Various other fixes and improvements were also made to the processing system. These fixes are too minor and numerous to detail here. We will give several examples here to give a sense for the types of small changes made.

Several variable names were changed to make them consistent with the naming conventions used on the file, such as the letter " $h$ " preceding the variable name for household level variables.

An error was found in the allocation of household SNAP benefits to subfamilies in the household that was corrected (the family market value of SNAP benefits, F_MV_FS).

An error was found in the calculation of family income for subfamilies. In cases where a primary family member has a loss in at least one income type (self-employment, farm self-employment, or rental income) and the subfamily had zero income, then the subfamily was incorrectly assigned an income of $\$ 1 .{ }^{5}$

We also found evidence of interviewer and respondent confusion when reporting pension income. After the redesign, there was a large increase in the number of cases with two sources of pensions with the exact same reported income value. In reviewing the interview logs and the data, it became clear that in the vast majority of these cases, the duplicate incomes were due to a difference between how pension sources were recorded on the instrument and how other income types with multiple possible sources were recorded. Field representatives appeared to be inadvertently recording a second pension source when they intended to select "No" to receipt of pension income from a set of possible sources. In the follow-up amount questions, the respondent was reporting the same amount as for the first pension source. We implemented an edit to address these cases in the 2017 updated (research) file and 2018 updated (bridge) file. To eliminate this confusion going forward, we also changed the 2019 instrument so that pension source reporting matched the reporting of other income types with multiple possible sources.

## Changes to Demographic Edits

Separate from edits to the income items, the processing of demographic and family variables was updated. These changes would primarily affect income through the imputation system. For example, marital status is used as a match variable in several imputation models. The new instrument and demographic edits allow for same-sex married couples. This will affect the imputations as it affects which respondents can be matched with a given non-respondent. It also affects income estimates as households are reclassified as married couple households that would have been non-married households or non-family households.

## Results

[^4]In this section, we examine income estimates using responses from the same year, but processed with the earlier processing system (legacy) and the updated processing system (updated).

## 2017 Comparison of the Legacy and Updated Files (Income in 2016)

First, we show the percent differences in individual income by type and overall in Table 2. The first column shows the percent difference of the number of people with each income type. The primary differences to recipiency are in property and retirement income. There were small increases in the number of people with dividends and rental income. ${ }^{6}$

There was a 4.2 percent increase in the number of people with retirement income. However, for pension income, there was a decline in recipiency for each category reported (company or union, government, and military). This is due, in large part, to the fix for erroneous reports of multiple pension sources with the same income value. For other types of retirement income, the updated file estimates higher levels of recipiency than the legacy file, by 3.7 percent and 73.7 percent respectively for annuities and distributions from defined-contribution retirement plans.

Table 2 also shows distributional statistics of the income distribution by type, including linear interpolations of income at the $10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 50^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}, 90^{\text {th }}$, and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentiles, the mean, and aggregate income. These income distribution statistics may have changed for a variety of reasons. However, it is very difficult to disentangle the contribution of a single processing or imputation change to the distribution of a given income type given the number of changes made simultaneously to the processing system. The new imputation system will change the income distribution because: 1) any change to the system will result in a new random draw of income for imputations, and 2) the new hot deck match levels and use of range brackets will change which respondents match with a given non-respondent. Furthermore, changes to how individual income items were edited can also affect the distribution. For example, non-response to individual interest questions (checking, savings, money-market interest, etc.) were imputed separately in the updated processing system, but not in the legacy system. Finally, increases to the maximum value of several income items will affect incomes at the top of the distribution as well as mean and aggregate income.

For total income (ptotval), income in the updated file is lower at the $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile ( 3.9 percent) but higher at the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile as well as at the mean. Several income types were lower at multiple percentiles, including Social Security, Supplemental Security Income (SSI), public assistance, disability benefits, dividends, and retirement income. Others were up across multiple percentiles, such as nonfarm self-employment earnings, interest, and rent and royalties. Earnings increased at the $10^{\text {th }}$ and $25^{\text {th }}$ percentiles.

The final columns of Table 2 show the aggregate income in each income type. Aggregate income was higher overall (1.4 percent), as well as for each source of property income, annuities and distributions from defined-contribution retirement plans (401(k)s, IRAs, etc.), non-farm self-employment, and overall

[^5]retirement income. Aggregate income was down for Social Security, SSI, public assistance, and government sources of defined-benefit pension income (federal, military, and state and local pensions).

Next, we analyze the household income distribution in Tables 3-7. Each table shows the household income at a given percentile, overall and by various demographic characteristics. Table 3 shows median household income and corresponds to the statistics shown in our annual Income and Poverty Report (Fontenot, Semega, and Kollar, 2018). At the median, only a few of the analyzed groups experienced statistically significant changes in household income: Hispanics, non-citizens and households of those aged 25-34 and 65 and over.

At the $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile, household income was lower in the updated file for family households headed by males or females with no spouse present ${ }^{7}$ and households of those aged 65 and over. However, household income was higher at the $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile for households of those aged 55 to 64 , for the foreign born and naturalized citizens.

At the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile, household income was lower in the updated file for family households headed by males with no spouse present and male-headed non-family households, Hispanics, and households of those aged 25 to 34 . Household income was higher at the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile for households of those aged 55 to 64 and in the West.

The largest number of statistically different income estimates between the two files are at the top of the distribution, shown in Table 7. At the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile, household income is 3.2 percent higher in the updated file. It is higher for many subgroups, including family households, female non-family households, Whites, Asians, many age groups, the native born, foreign-born citizens, in all four census regions, and inside Metropolitan Statistical Areas. Household income is lower at the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile in the updated file for male non-family households and non-family households overall.

Tables 3-7 also show the earnings at a given point in the distribution overall and by gender of 1) all workers and 2) full-time year-round workers as well as the female-to-male earnings ratio for each. These estimates are primarily affected by the inclusion of income brackets in the imputation model and changes to the demographic edits, which will affect hot deck matches in the imputation system.

From Table 3, median earnings are higher for full-time, year-round workers overall and for women. The female-to-male earnings ratio is also higher in the updated file. For all workers, median earnings is higher only for women.

At the different percentiles analyzed, the female-to-male earnings ratio for full-time, year-round workers is higher at the $10^{\text {th }}, 50^{\text {th }}$, and $75^{\text {th }}$ percentiles. For all workers, the female-to-male earnings ratio is higher at the $10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}$, and $75^{\text {th }}$ percentiles.

## 2018 Comparison of the Legacy and Updated Files (Income in 2017)

Table 8 shows person income statistics by income type for the 2018 legacy and updated files. For the retirement income items, the number of people with income follows the same pattern as in 2017: up for retirement income overall, annuities and defined-contribution plan withdrawals and down for company

[^6]or union and government retirement pensions. For 2017, there was a slight decline in the number of people with income, a larger decline in farm self-employment earners, and increases in those with public assistance, survivor benefits, and rents, royalties, estates or trusts.

Aggregate income increased overall and for disability benefits, interest, dividends, rents, royalties, estates or trusts, and retirement income (as well as various subcomponents of retirement income). Aggregate income declined for social security, SSI, and several subcomponents of retirement income.

Tables 9-13 show household income distribution statistics by subgroup as well as earnings for different types of workers (male/female, full-time, year-round/all workers). As for 2016, estimates for 2017 from the legacy and updated files differ for a small share of subgroups analyzed at the $10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 50^{\text {th }}$, and $75^{\text {th }}$ percentiles. At the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile, more than half of the median household income subgroups analyzed have statistically significantly more income in the updated file.

## Impact of Top-Code Changes

Many of the differences in income estimates are at the top of the distribution. We can test how much of this is due to the increased top codes as opposed to other processing changes by imposing the legacy top codes on the updated processing system data. In Table 14, we compare inequality statistics across three 2018 files: 1) the file produced with the legacy processing system, 2 ) the updated file with the top codes from the legacy processing system, and 3) the updated file with the new top codes.

With the top codes held constant, the majority of the share and inequality statistics are not statistically significantly different across the files. ${ }^{8}$ However, comparing the updated file with the legacy top codes and the updated file, all of the share and inequality estimates are significantly different. If we decompose the change in the point estimates from the legacy to updated files, in each case, the share of the change explained by the increased top codes is greater than half. ${ }^{9}$

## Year-to-Year Comparisons

Finally, we analyze differences in the 2016 to 2017 year-to-year comparisons of real household median income between the legacy and updated files in Table 15. There are a small number of cases where a statistically significant difference in the legacy files is not statistically significant in the updated files or vice versa, including overall, for 15-24 and 45-54 year-olds, the native born, and inside metropolitan statistical areas. However, six of the year-to-year differences are statistically different: for male nonfamily households, Whites, Blacks, households in the West, inside metropolitan statistical areas, and inside principal cities.

[^7]
## Conclusion

In summary, the updated processing and imputation system affected the distribution of many income items at the individual level. The distribution of household income was generally not statistically different as a result of the edits. However, at the top of the distribution, household income was higher. Household income changed below the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile for a small set of subgroups. The redesigned processing system also slightly narrowed the female-to-male earnings gap. Finally, the redesigned system had a statistically significant impact on a small number of year-to-year comparisons.
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Table 1: Use of Ranges in Income Value Imputations

| Income Type | Item Response and Non-Response |  |  | Including Supplement NonResponse Items |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count Imputed |  | Share with Range | Count Imputed | Share with Range |
|  | With <br> Range | Overall |  | Overall |  |
| Annuities | 200 | 600 | 0.31 | 850 | 0.22 |
| Capital Gains | 1,200 | 3,300 | 0.38 | 4,300 | 0.29 |
| Disability Benefits | 150 | 500 | 0.32 | 800 | 0.21 |
| Dividends | 3,100 | 8,700 | 0.36 | 11,000 | 0.28 |
| Distributions from Defined-Contribution |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement Plans | 450 | 2,400 | 0.20 | 3,300 | 0.14 |
| Earnings (Longest Job) | 16,000 | 21,500 | 0.73 | 37,500 | 0.42 |
| Secondary Earnings (Self-Employment) | 0 | 450 | 0.00 | 700 | 0.00 |
| Secondary Earnings (Farm Self-Employment) | 0 | 350 | 0.00 | 500 | 0.00 |
| Secondary Earnings (Wages and Salary) | 0 | 1,300 | 0.00 | 2,700 | 0.00 |
| Financial Assistance | 150 | 450 | 0.36 | 700 | 0.22 |
| Interest | 33,000 | 63,500 | 0.52 | 87,500 | 0.37 |
| Education | 350 | 700 | 0.48 | 1,300 | 0.27 |
| Other Income | 40 | 100 | 0.34 | 400 | 0.10 |
| Public Assistance | 70 | 200 | 0.33 | 400 | 0.18 |
| Pension Income | 900 | 3,200 | 0.28 | 4,700 | 0.19 |
| Rental Income | 500 | 2,200 | 0.22 | 3,300 | 0.15 |
| SSI | 250 | 700 | 0.37 | 1,200 | 0.21 |
| Social Security | 2,800 | 7,300 | 0.39 | 11,000 | 0.26 |
| Survivor's Benefits | 150 | 450 | 0.29 | 650 | 0.20 |
| Veteran's Benefits | 150 | 550 | 0.30 | 900 | 0.18 |
| Unemployment Insurance | 200 | 450 | 0.41 | 800 | 0.22 |
| Workers' Compensation | 60 | 150 | 0.40 | 250 | 0.23 |
| Overall | 59,500 | 119,000 | 0.50 | 175,000 | 0.34 |

Source: 2017 CPS ASEC Updated Processing System File
This table shows the count of income values imputed using expanding range brackets. For 73 percent of item non-respondents to earnings from the longest job (ern_val), ranges were used in the imputation. Overall, 50 percent of item non-response imputation used ranges. Including supplemental nonresponse imputation, 34 percent of income values imputed used ranges (as no ranges were available for supplement non-respondents). Because of the already high dimensionality of the earnings imputation cell hot deck models (> 6 trillion cells in many cases), ranges for secondary earnings were not used in the imputation model.

Table 2: Percent Difference in Individual Income Statistics between the 2017 Updated and Legacy Files by Income Type

| Characteristic | Total with Income |  |  | P10 |  |  | P25 |  |  | P50 |  |  | P75 |  |  | P90 |  | P95 |  |  | Mean income |  |  | Total Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | * | Value | SE | * | Value | SE | * | Value | SE | * | Value | SE | * | Value | SE | * Value | SE | * | Value | SE | * | Value | SE | * | Value | SE |
| Total |  | 0.0 | 0.1 | * | -3.9 | 1.1 | * | 1.3 | 0.5 |  | 0.3 | 0.2 |  | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.5 | * | 1.2 | 0.7 | * | 1.4 | 0.3 | * | 1.4 | 0.3 |
| Earnings |  | 0.0 | 0.1 | * | 1.7 | 0.9 | * | 1.8 | 0.6 |  | 0.3 | 0.2 |  | 0.0 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.1 |  | -0.2 | 0.5 |  | 0.4 | 0.4 |  | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Wages and Salary |  | 0.0 | 0.1 |  | 1.1 | 0.9 |  | 0.8 | 0.6 | * | 0.4 | 0.2 |  | -0.1 | 0.2 | -0.1 | 0.1 |  | -1.1 | 1.5 |  | 0.1 | 0.4 |  | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Nonfarm Self-Employment |  | -0.2 | 0.4 | * | 3.3 | 2.0 |  | 6.2 | 5.6 | * | 6.1 | 3.6 |  | 1.6 | 2.8 | 1.5 | 3.6 |  | 7.1 | 6.4 | * | 6.4 | 2.8 | * | 6.2 | 2.8 |
| Farm Self-Employment |  | -1.5 | 1.3 |  | 2.4 | 1.8 |  | 2.4 | 1.8 |  | 2.4 | 1.8 |  | 15.6 | 14.3 | 1.0 | 5.2 |  | -5.9 | 12.1 |  | 7.1 | 5.4 |  | 5.5 | 5.3 |
| Social Security |  | 0.0 | 0.2 | * | -12.3 | 1.2 | * | -2.0 | 0.5 |  | -0.4 | 0.4 | * | -0.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.3 | * | 0.5 | 0.3 | * | -1.3 | 0.3 | * | -1.3 | 0.4 |
| SSI (Supplemental Security Income) |  | 0.5 | 0.9 | * | -18.6 | 2.9 | * | -17.7 | 2.8 | * | -1.3 | 0.4 |  | -0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.7 |  | -0.8 | 2.0 | * | -2.6 | 0.9 | * | -2.2 | 1.2 |
| Public Assistance |  | 0.1 | 1.8 | * | -7.3 | 2.6 | * | -7.3 | 2.6 | * | -11.0 | 4.0 | * | -8.7 | 3.3 | -4.8 | 3.3 |  | -1.6 | 4.1 | * | -6.5 | 2.2 | * | -6.4 | 2.9 |
| Veterans Benefits |  | -1.3 | 1.3 |  | 2.7 | 4.9 |  | 5.8 | 4.3 |  | -1.0 | 2.6 |  | -1.6 | 2.4 | -0.6 | 0.6 |  | -0.5 | 1.6 |  | -0.8 | 2.1 |  | -2.1 | 2.3 |
| Survivor Benefits |  | -1.0 | 1.4 |  | -4.4 | 5.0 |  | -2.8 | 4.6 |  | 0.3 | 3.8 |  | 2.9 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 6.1 |  | 10.4 | 7.7 |  | 3.9 | 3.4 |  | 2.9 | 3.5 |
| Disability Benefits |  | 0.6 | 1.4 | * | -7.7 | 4.4 | * | -12.6 | 6.4 | * | -6.6 | 3.2 |  | -4.3 | 2.9 | -6.3 | 7.3 |  | -5.2 | 4.8 | * | -5.5 | 3.0 |  | -4.9 | 3.3 |
| Unemployment Compensation |  | 0.7 | 1.4 |  | -3.6 | 2.4 |  | -3.6 | 2.4 | * | -4.8 | 2.3 |  | -1.9 | 1.8 | -1.5 | 1.4 |  | -1.6 | 2.3 | * | -3.2 | 1.6 |  | -2.5 | 2.0 |
| Workers Compensation |  | 4.3 | 2.4 |  | 4.1 | 6.1 |  | 6.4 | 8.7 |  | 1.1 | 7.1 |  | -8.2 | 5.4 | -2.2 | 2.9 |  | 3.2 | 5.6 |  | -2.9 | 3.4 |  | 1.3 | 4.2 |
| Property Income |  | -0.1 | 0.2 | * | 4.3 | 0.3 | * | 4.3 | 0.3 | * | 4.3 | 0.3 | * | 4.3 | 0.3 | 16.9 | 2.2 | * | 6.6 | 2.0 | * | 18.1 | 2.4 | * | 18.0 | 2.4 |
| Interest |  | -0.1 | 0.2 | * | 5.1 | 0.2 | * | 5.1 | 0.2 |  | 5.1 | 0.2 | * | 5.1 | 0.2 | 38.4 | 3.0 | * | 14.2 | 2.6 | * | 15.1 | 2.7 | * | 15.0 | 2.7 |
| Dividends |  | - 1.4 | 0.5 | * | -1.9 | 0.6 | * | -1.9 | 0.6 | * | -1.9 | 0.6 | * | -14.1 | 3.9 | -4.5 | 2.3 |  | -2.2 | 2.5 | * | 13.0 | 4.9 | * | 14.6 | 5.1 |
| Rents, Royalties, Estates or Trusts |  | * 1.9 | 0.8 | * | 4.1 | 1.7 | * | 4.1 | 1.7 | * | 14.1 | 5.9 | * | 11.7 | 3.6 | 5.2 | 5.8 |  | 2.2 | 6.5 | * | 27.8 | 5.6 | * | 30.2 | 6.1 |
| Retirement Income |  | - 4.2 | 0.5 | * | -6.8 | 2.2 | * | -4.3 | 1.9 | * | -5.6 | 1.8 | * | -3.8 | 1.2 | -2.4 | 1.5 |  | -1.8 | 2.4 |  | 1.7 | 1.4 | * | 6.0 | 1.6 |
| Company or Union Retirement |  | -4.2 | 0.7 |  | -2.7 | 2.8 |  | -0.9 | 2.3 |  | 1.3 | 2.0 |  | -2.2 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 |  | 3.4 | 2.4 | * | 6.4 | 2.4 |  | 1.9 | 2.4 |
| Federal Government Retirement |  | -5.8 | 1.9 | * | -27.0 | 8.7 | * | -20.4 | 4.9 | * | -9.1 | 2.9 | * | -12.4 | 3.5 | -9.1 | 4.7 |  | -10.8 | 7.4 | * | -9.8 | 2.6 | * | -15.0 | 3.0 |
| Military Retirement | * | -18.1 | 2.6 | * | -48.9 | 8.6 | * | -28.2 | 6.0 | * | -11.9 | 3.5 |  | 0.1 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 2.4 |  | 11.9 | 9.2 |  | -1.1 | 4.0 | * | -19.0 | 4.1 |
| State or Local Government Retirement | * | *-11.6 | 1.3 | * | 13.5 | 6.4 |  | 6.6 | 4.7 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.4 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 2.7 |  | 3.3 | 3.1 | * | 4.6 | 1.8 |  | -7.5 | 2.0 |
| Annuities | * | - 3.7 | 1.8 |  | 0.0 | 4.6 |  | 0.0 | 4.6 |  | 4.5 | 3.6 |  | 10.4 | 8.1 | -1.3 | 4.1 |  | 0.7 | 6.2 |  | 5.5 | 4.5 |  | 9.4 | 5.2 |
| IRA, Keogh, or 401 (K) |  | 73.7 | 3.2 |  | -2.9 | 3.5 |  | -2.9 | 3.6 |  | 0.9 | 2.5 |  | -2.6 | 4.9 | -7.7 | 11.3 |  | 2.1 | 3.7 | * | 8.5 | 3.9 | * | 88.4 | 7.7 |

For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/techdocs/cpsmar17.pdf
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2017 Annual Social and Economic Supplement.
Standard errors calculated using replicate weights. P10, P25, etc. indicate linear interpolated percentile ( $10^{\mathrm{th}}, 25^{\text {th }}$, etc.). SE indicates standard error. Asterisks indicate statistical significance at the 10 percent level.

Table 3: Comparison of Household Income under the 2017 Legacy and Updated Files, 2017: Median
(Income in 2016 dollars. Households and people as of $M$ arch of the following year. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions,

| Characteristic | Legacy |  |  | Updated |  |  | Percentage change* in real Median income (Updated less Legacy) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (thousands) | Median income (dollars) |  | Number (thousands) | Median income (dollars) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \mathrm{CI} \end{gathered}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Households | 126,200 | 59,040 | 717 | 126,300 | 59,210 | 748 | 0.3 | 0.65 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family households | 82,830 | 75,060 | 692 | 83,150 | 75,050 | 728 | 0.0 | 0.60 |
| . M arried-couple | 60,800 | 87,060 | 695 | 61,360 | 87,360 | 808 | 0.3 | 0.63 |
| .Female householder, no spouse present | 15,570 | 41,030 | 871 | 15,400 | 40,640 | 808 | -1.0 | 1.19 |
| . M ale householder, no spouse present | 6,452 | 58,050 | 2,172 | 6,388 | 56,830 | 1,395 | -2.1 | 2.48 |
| Nonfamily households | 43,400 | 35,760 | 467 | 43,120 | 35,770 | 492 | 0.0 | 0.91 |
| .Female householder | 22,860 | 30,570 | 603 | 22,740 | 30,800 | 621 | 0.8 | 1.28 |
| M ale householder | 20,540 | 41,750 | 701 | 20,380 | 41,880 | 706 | 0.3 | 1.10 |
| Race ${ }^{2}$ and Hispanic Origin of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 99,400 | 61,860 | 549 | 99,440 | 61,950 | 590 | 0.1 | 0.51 |
| ..White, not Hispanic | 84,390 | 65,040 | 839 | 84,400 | 65,440 | 754 | 0.6 | 0.68 |
| Black | 16,730 | 39,490 | 1,187 | 16,740 | 39,750 | 1,145 | 0.7 | 1.86 |
| Asian | 6,392 | 81,430 | 1,916 | 6,384 | 80,880 | 2,561 | -0.7 | 1.77 |
| Hispanic (any race) | 16,920 | 47,680 | 1,113 | 16,930 | 46,930 | 876 | *-1.6 | 1.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 65 years | 94,430 | 66,490 | 580 | 94,480 | 66,180 | 612 | -0.5 | 0.51 |
| .. 15 to 24 years | 6,238 | 41,660 | 1,145 | 6,178 | 41,010 | 1,039 | -1.6 | 1.79 |
| .. 25 to 34 years | 20,10 | 60,930 | 802 | 20,220 | 60,020 | 1,180 | *-1.5 | 1.19 |
| .. 35 to 44 years | 21,500 | 74,480 | 1,834 | 21,450 | 73,880 | 1,968 | -0.8 | 1.48 |
| .. 45 to 54 years | 22,810 | 77,210 | 1,156 | 22,780 | 77,700 | 1,523 | 0.6 | 1.17 |
| .. 55 to 64 years | 23,770 | 65,240 | 1,309 | 23,850 | 65,710 | 1,196 | 0.7 | 1.28 |
| 65 years and older | 31,800 | 39,820 | 909 | 31,790 | 40,530 | 957 | *1.8 | 1.48 |
| Nativity of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native born | 107,200 | 59,780 | 691 | 107,200 | 60,050 | 633 | 0.5 | 0.65 |
| Foreign born | 19,030 | 55,560 | 1,190 | 19,040 | 55,020 | 1,324 | -1.0 | 1.30 |
| ..Naturalized citizen | 10,050 | 63,890 | 2,628 | 10,070 | 63,740 | 2,550 | -0.2 | 2.57 |
| ..Not a citizen | 8,978 | 48,070 | 1,733 | 8,967 | 46,910 | 1,340 | *-2.4 | 1.89 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 22,320 | 64,390 | 1,806 | 22,320 | 64,900 | 1,754 | 0.8 | 1.53 |
| M idwest | 27,360 | 58,300 | 1,476 | 27,360 | 58,330 | 1,627 | 0.1 | 1.27 |
| South | 48,060 | 53,860 | 1,160 | 48,090 | 53,680 | 1,264 | -0.3 | 1.14 |
| West | 28,470 | 64,280 | 1,708 | 28,490 | 64,880 | 1,652 | 0.9 | 1.31 |
| Residence ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside metropolitan statistical areas | 108,200 | 61,530 | 533 | 108,200 | 61,700 | 510 | 0.3 | 0.44 |
| ...Inside principal cities | 42,270 | 54,670 | 1,242 | 42,290 | 54,790 | 1,161 | 0.2 | 1.05 |
| ..Outside principal cities | 65,900 | 66,330 | 765 | 65,910 | 66,470 | 768 | 0.2 | 0.67 |
| Outside metro politan statistical areas | 18,060 | 45,800 | 1,012 | 18,070 | 45,720 | 962 | -0.2 | 1.26 |
| EARNINGS OF FULL-TIM E, YEAR-ROUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Full-Time, Year-Round Workers | 113,300 | 47,180 | 241 | 113,300 | 48,180 | 543 | *2.1 | 0.83 |
| M en with earnings | 64,950 | 51,640 | 211 | 65,000 | 51,750 | 184 | 0.2 | 0.30 |
| Wo men with earnings | 48,330 | 41,550 | 246 | 48,330 | 42,010 | 285 | *1.1 | 0.38 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.805 | 0.005 | N | 0.812 | 0.006 | *0.9 | 0.44 |
| EARNINGS OF ALL WORKERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All workers | 164,600 | 36,590 | 193 | 164,700 | 36,710 | 210 | 0.3 | 0.35 |
| All men with earnings | 86,890 | 42,220 | 234 | 86,950 | 42,440 | 439 | 0.5 | 0.75 |
| All wo men with earnings | 77,740 | 30,880 | 202 | 77,730 | 31,40 | 199 | *0.8 | 0.39 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.732 | 0.006 | N | 0.734 | 0.008 | 0.3 | 0.82 |

*An asterisk preceding an estimate indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level.

## N Not applicable.

${ }^{1}$ A margin of error is a measure of an estimate's variability. The larger the margin of error in relation to the size of the estimate, the less reliable the estimate. This number, when added to and subtracted from the estimate, forms the 90 percent confidence interval. M argin of errors shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights. For more information, see "Standard Errors and Their Use" at <www2.census.gov/library/publications/2017/demo/p60-259sa.pdf>
${ }^{2}$ Federal surveys give respondents the option of reporting more than one race. Therefore, two basic ways of defining a race group are possible. A group such as Asian may be defined as those who reported Asian and no other race (the race-alone or single-race concept) or as those who reported A sian regardless of whether they also reported another race (the race-alone-or-in-combination concept). This table shows data using the first approach (race alone). The use of the single-race population does not imply that it is the preferred method of presenting or analyzing data. The Census Bureau uses a variety of approaches. Information on peo ple who reported more than one race, such as White and American Indian and Alaska Native or Asian and Black or African American, is available from the 2010 Census through American FactFinder. About 2.9 percent of people reported more than one race in the 2010 Census. Data for American Indians and Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians and Other Pacific Islanders, and those reporting two or more races are not shown separately.
${ }^{3}$ For information on metropolitan statistical areas and principal cities, see [https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html](https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html)
Note: Inflation-adjusted estimates may differ slightly from other published data due to rounding.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2017 Annual Social and Economic Supplement, Legacy and Updated (Research) Files.

Table 4: Comparison of Household Income under the 2017 Legacy and Updated Files: 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile
(Income in 2016 dollars. Households and people as of $M$ arch of the following year. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions. see umw2.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/techdocs/cpsmar17.pdf)

| Characteristic | Legacy |  |  | Updated |  |  | Percentage change* in real 10th Percentile income (Updated less Legacy) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(thousands) | 10th Percentile income (dollars) |  | Number (thousands) | 10th Percentile income (dollars) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Households | 126,200 | 13,650 | 248 | 126,300 | 13,720 | 244 | 0.5 | 1.17 |
| Type of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family households | 82,830 | 21,470 | 319 | 83,150 | 21,430 | 314 | -0.2 | 0.94 |
| . M arried-couple | 60,800 | 28,830 | 563 | 61,360 | 28,900 | 590 | 0.2 | 1.40 |
| .Female householder, no spouse present | 15,570 | 9,685 | 613 | 15,400 | 9,335 | 554 | *-3.6 | 3.48 |
| .M ale householder, no spouse present | 6,452 | 18,990 | 1,464 | 6,388 | 17,740 | 1,283 | *-6.5 | 4.56 |
| Nonfamily households | 43,400 | 9,227 | 211 | 43,120 | 9,138 | 228 | -1.0 | 1.72 |
| .Female householder | 22,860 | 8,583 | 223 | 22,740 | 8,511 | 244 | -0.8 | 2.00 |
| .M ale householder | 20,540 | 10,310 | 318 | 20,380 | 10,210 | 316 | -0.9 | 2.19 |
| Race ${ }^{2}$ and Hispanic Origin of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 99,400 | 15,370 | 263 | 99,440 | 15,430 | 263 | 0.4 | 1.12 |
| ..White, not Hispanic | 84,390 | 15,950 | 307 | 84,400 | 16,030 | 306 | 0.5 | 1.26 |
| Black | 16,730 | 8,452 | 323 | 16,740 | 8,366 | 355 | -1.0 | 2.43 |
| Asian | 6,392 | 16,830 | 1,857 | 6,384 | 17,190 | 1,560 | 2.2 | 6.46 |
| Hispanic (any race) | 16,920 | 12,290 | 481 | 16,930 | 12,160 | 409 | -1.1 | 2.08 |
| Age of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 65 years | 94,430 | 15,240 | 373 | 94,480 | 15,420 | 323 | 1.2 | 1.38 |
| .. 15 to 24 years | 6,238 | 9,293 | 1,488 | 6,178 | 9,063 | 1,463 | -2.5 | 8.21 |
| .. 25 to 34 years | 20,10 | 16,350 | 615 | 20,220 | 16,220 | 67 | -0.8 | 2.37 |
| .. 35 to 44 years | 21,500 | 19,280 | 1,137 | 21,450 | 19,450 | 1,098 | 0.9 | 2.92 |
| .. 45 to 54 years | 22,810 | 17,350 | 968 | 22,780 | 17,290 | 839 | -0.3 | 3.08 |
| .. 55 to 64 years | 23,770 | 12,470 | 560 | 23,850 | 12,880 | 643 | *3.3 | 3.18 |
| 65 years and older | 31,800 | 11,850 | 272 | 31,790 | 11,580 | 277 | *-2.3 | 1.71 |
| Nativity of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native born | 107,200 | 13,810 | 258 | 107,200 | 13,820 | 258 | 0.1 | 1.30 |
| Foreign born | 19,030 | 12,640 | 650 | 19,040 | 13,050 | 690 | *3.2 | 3.24 |
| ..Naturalized citizen | 10,050 | 13,520 | 1,090 | 10,070 | 14,280 | 1,023 | *5.6 | 4.81 |
| ..Not a citizen | 8,978 | 11,910 | 747 | 8,967 | 11,930 | 813 | 0.2 | 3.92 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 22,320 | 14,070 | 759 | 22,320 | 14,010 | 653 | -0.4 | 3.47 |
| Midwest | 27,360 | 14,130 | 519 | 27,360 | 14,340 | 525 | 1.5 | 2.58 |
| South | 48,060 | 12,580 | 357 | 48,090 | 12,520 | 340 | -0.4 | 1.93 |
| West | 28,470 | 15,090 | 547 | 28,490 | 15,210 | 546 | 0.9 | 2.46 |
| Residence ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside metropolitan statistical areas | 108,200 | 14,200 | 283 | 108,200 | 14,290 | 294 | 0.7 | 1.33 |
| ..Inside principal cities | 42,270 | 11,640 | 279 | 42,290 | 11,740 | 287 | 0.9 | 1.55 |
| ..Outside principal cities | 65,900 | 16,290 | 340 | 65,910 | 16,360 | 358 | 0.4 | 1.45 |
|  | 18,060 | 11,510 | 476 | 18,070 | 11,550 | 483 | 0.3 | 2.47 |
| EARNINGS OF FULL-TIME, YEAR-ROUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | WORKERS |
| All Full-Time, Year-Round Workers | 113,300 | 21,010 | 129 | 113,300 | 20,970 | 130 | -0.2 | 0.48 |
| M en with earnings | 64,950 | 22,230 | 219 | 65,000 | 22,000 | 211 | *-1.0 | 0.77 |
| Wo men with earnings | 48,330 | 19,750 | 350 | 48,330 | 20,010 | 272 | 1.3 | 1.42 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.889 | 0.017 | N | 0.909 | 0.014 | *2.4 | 1.59 |
| EARNINGS OF ALL WORKERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All workers | 164,600 | 7,080 | 139 | 164,700 | 7,200 | 134 | *1.7 | 1.46 |
| All men with earnings | 86,890 | 9,937 | 306 | 86,950 | 9,776 | 329 | -1.6 | 2.67 |
| All wo men with earnings | 77,740 | 5,655 | 161 | 77,730 | 5,816 | 163 | *2.9 | 1.83 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.569 | 0.024 | N | 0.595 | 0.025 | *4.5 | 3.17 |
| *An asterisk preceding an estimate indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N Not applicable. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ A margin of error is a measure of an estimate's variability. The larger the margin of error in relation to the size of the estimate, the less reliable the estimate. This number, when added to and subtracted from the estimate, forms the 90 percent confidence interval. M argin of errors shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights. For more information, see "Standard Errors and Their Use" at <www2.census.gov/library/publications/2017/demo/p60-259sa.pdf> |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Federal surveys give respondents the option of reporting more than one race. Therefore, two basic ways of defining a race group are possible. A group such as Asian may be defined as those who reported Asian and no other race (the race-alone or single-race concept) or as those who reported Asian regardless of whether they also reported another race (the race-alone-or-in-combination concept). This table shows data using the first approach (race alone). The use of the single-race population does not imply that it is the preferred method of presenting or analyzing data. The Census Bureau uses a variety of approaches. Information on peo ple who reported more than one race, such as White and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian and Alaska Native or Asian and Black or African American, is available from the 2010 Census through American FactFinder. About 2.9 percent of peo ple reported more than one race in the 2010 Census. Data for American Indians and Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians and Other Pacific Islanders, and those reporting two or more races are not shown separately. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ For information on metropolitan statistical areas and principal cities, see [https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html](https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Note: Inflation-adjusted estimates may differ slightly from other published data due to rounding. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2017 Annual Social and Economic Supplement, Legacy and Updated (Research) Files. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5: Comparison of Household Income under the 2017 Legacy and Updated Files: 25th Percentile
(Income in 2016 dollars. Households and people as of $M$ arch of the following year. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions. see umw2.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/techdocs/cpsmar17.pdf)

| Characteristic | Legacy |  |  | Updated |  |  | Percentage change* in real 25th Percentile income (Updated less Legacy) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (thousands) | 25th Percentile income (dollars) |  | Number (thousands) | 25th Percentile income (dollars) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Households | 126,200 | 29,390 | 421 | 126,300 | 29,330 | 421 | -0.2 | 0.84 |
| Type of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family households | 82,830 | 40,700 | 424 | 83,150 | 40,820 | 439 | 0.3 | 0.60 |
| . M arried-couple | 60,800 | 50,560 | 507 | 61,360 | 50,920 | 526 | 0.7 | 0.65 |
| .Female householder, no spouse present | 15,570 | 21,180 | 565 | 15,400 | 21,080 | 564 | -0.5 | 1.50 |
| .M ale householder, no spouse present | 6,452 | 34,760 | 1,592 | 6,388 | 32,810 | 1,622 | *-5.6 | 3.13 |
| Nonfamily households | 43,400 | 17,100 | 269 | 43,120 | 17,090 | 269 | -0.1 | 1.09 |
| .Female householder | 22,860 | 15,380 | 340 | 22,740 | 15,470 | 310 | 0.6 | 1.50 |
| M ale householder | 20,540 | 20,800 | 459 | 20,380 | 20,340 | 540 | *-2.2 | 1.59 |
| Race ${ }^{2}$ and Hispanic Origin of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 99,400 | 31,450 | 345 | 99,440 | 31,500 | 369 | 0.2 | 0.67 |
| ..White, not Hispanic | 84,390 | 32,680 | 605 | 84,400 | 33,060 | 660 | 1.2 | 1.21 |
| Black | 16,730 | 18,300 | 747 | 16,740 | 18,030 | 754 | -1.4 | 2.40 |
| Asian | 6,392 | 40,100 | 2,546 | 6,384 | 41,090 | 2,156 | 2.5 | 3.70 |
| Hispanic (any race) | 16,920 | 25,520 | 582 | 16,930 | 25,190 | 562 | *-1.3 | 1.25 |
| Age of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 65 years | 94,430 | 34,600 | 683 | 94,480 | 34,280 | 698 | -0.9 | 1.11 |
| .. 15 to 24 years | 6,238 | 22,030 | 1,115 | 6,178 | 21,880 | 1,010 | -0.7 | 3.4 |
| .. 25 to 34 years | 20,110 | 32,850 | 1,187 | 20,220 | 31,870 | 586 | *-3.0 | 2.26 |
| .. 35 to 44 years | 21,500 | 39,520 | 1,190 | 21,450 | 39,900 | 1,205 | 1.0 | 1.46 |
| .. 45 to 54 years | 22,810 | 40,320 | 822 | 22,780 | 40,710 | 751 | 1.0 | 1.15 |
| .. 55 to 64 years | 23,770 | 30,930 | 836 | 23,850 | 31,480 | 893 | *1.8 | 1.55 |
| 65 years and older | 31,800 | 20,840 | 405 | 31,790 | 20,700 | 446 | -0.7 | 1.35 |
| Nativity of Householder $\quad$P <br> N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native born | 107,200 | 29,690 | 452 | 107,200 | 29,650 | 458 | -0.1 | 0.91 |
| Foreign born | 19,030 | 27,570 | 871 | 19,040 | 27,530 | 782 | -0.1 | 1.85 |
| ..Naturalized citizen | 10,050 | 31,220 | 1,007 | 10,070 | 31,450 | 1,001 | 0.7 | 1.86 |
| ..Not a citizen | 8,978 | 25,420 | 794 | 8,967 | 25,180 | 830 | -0.9 | 1.75 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 22,320 | 31,000 | 817 | 22,320 | 30,910 | 810 | -0.3 | 1.36 |
| Midwest | 27,360 | 30,310 | 638 | 27,360 | 30,270 | 697 | -0.1 | 1.30 |
| South | 48,060 | 26,840 | 451 | 48,090 | 26,710 | 442 | -0.5 | 0.96 |
| West | 28,470 | 31,660 | 728 | 28,490 | 32,160 | 802 | *1.6 | 1.33 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside metropolitan statistical areas | 108,200 | 30,690 | 345 | 108,200 | 30,680 | 342 | 0.0 | 0.59 |
| ..Inside principal cities | 42,270 | 26,70 | 537 | 42,290 | 26,240 | 500 | 0.3 | 1.04 |
| ..Outside principal cities | 65,900 | 33,730 | 723 | 65,910 | 33,690 | 712 | -0.1 | 1.28 |
| Outside metropolitan statistical areas | 18,060 | 23,380 | 692 | 18,070 | 23,190 | 731 | -0.8 | 1.71 |
| EARNINGS OF FULL-TIME, YEAR-ROUND |  |  |  |  |  | WORKERS |  |  |
| All Full-Time, Year-Round Workers | 113,300 | 30,860 | 130 | 113,300 | 30,770 | 139 | -0.3 | 0.30 |
| M en with earnings | 64,950 | 32,630 | 604 | 65,000 | 32,270 | 176 | -1.1 | 1.50 |
| Wo men with earnings | 48,330 | 27,540 | 375 | 48,330 | 27,680 | 440 | 0.5 | 1.03 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.844 | 0.017 | N | 0.858 | 0.013 | 1.6 | 1.90 |
| EARNINGS OF ALL WORKERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All workers | 164,600 | 19,240 | 325 | 164,700 | 19,590 | 315 | *1.8 | 1.04 |
| All men with earnings | 86,890 | 23,020 | 469 | 86,950 | 22,680 | 420 | *-1.5 | 1.34 |
| All wo men with earnings | 77,740 | 15,490 | 195 | 77,730 | 15,890 | 202 | *2.6 | 0.76 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.673 | 0.016 | N | 0.701 | 0.015 | *4.1 | 1.60 |

*An asterisk preceding an estimate indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level.

## N Not applicable

${ }^{1}$ A margin of error is a measure of an estimate's variability. The larger the margin of error in relation to the size of the estimate, the less reliable the estimate. This number, when added to and subtracted from the estimate, forms the 90 percent confidence interval. M argin of errors shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights. For more information, see "Standard Errors and Their Use" at <www2.census.gov/library/publications/2017/demo/p60-259sa.pdf>
${ }^{2}$ Federal surveys give respondents the option of reporting more than one race. Therefore, two basic ways of defining a race group are possible. A group such as Asian may be defined as those who reported Asian and no other race (the race-alone or single-race concept) or as those who reported Asian regardless of whether they also reported another race (the race-alone-or-in-combination concept). This table shows data using the first approach (race alone). The use of the single-race population does not imply that it is the preferred method of presenting or analyzing data. The Census Bureau uses a variety of approaches. Information on peo ple who reported more than one race, such as White and American Indian and Alaska Native or Asian and Black or African American, is available from the 2010 Census through American FactFinder. About 2.9 percent of people reported more than one race in the 2010 Census. Data for American Indians and Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians and Other Pacific Islanders, and those reporting two or more races are not shown separately.
${ }^{3}$ For information on metropolitan statistical areas and principal cities, see [https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html](https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html) Note: Inflation-adjusted estimates may differ slightly from other published data due to rounding.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2017 Annual Social and Economic Supplement, Legacy and Updated (Research) Files.

Table 6: Comparison of Household Income under the 2017 Legacy and Updated Files: 75 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile
(Income in 2016 dollars. Households and people as of $M$ arch of the following year. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions. see umw2.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/techdocs/cpsmar17.pdf)

| Characteristic | Legacy |  |  | Updated |  |  | Percentage change* in real 75th Percentile income (Updated less Legacy) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (thousands) | 75th Percentile income (dollars) |  | Number (thousands) | 75th Percentile income (dollars) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \mathrm{Cl} \end{gathered}$ |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \mathrm{Cl} \end{gathered}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Households | 126,200 | 106,200 | 837 | 126,300 | 106,200 | 869 | 0.0 | 0.49 |
| Type of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family households | 82,830 | 124,700 | 1,136 | 83,150 | 125,600 | 1,173 | *0.7 | 0.63 |
| M arried-couple | 60,800 | 140,100 | 1,447 | 61,360 | 141,300 | 1,142 | 0.9 | 0.71 |
| .Female householder, no spouse present | 15,570 | 72,840 | 1,928 | 15,400 | 71,200 | 1,511 | *-2.3 | 1.46 |
| . M ale householder, no spouse present | 6,452 | 97,090 | 2,890 | 6,388 | 93,190 | 3,392 | *-4.0 | 2.45 |
| Nonfamily households | 43,400 | 66,060 | 931 | 43,120 | 65,480 | 921 | -0.9 | 0.96 |
| .Female householder | 22,860 | 58,080 | 1,513 | 22,740 | 57,110 | 1,192 | -1.7 | 171 |
| M ale householder | 20,540 | 75,670 | 1,486 | 20,380 | 73,450 | 2,211 | *-2.9 | 1.99 |
| Race ${ }^{2}$ and Hispanic Origin of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 99,400 | 110,400 | 799 | 99,440 | 110,200 | 936 | -0.2 | 0.52 |
| ..White, not Hispanic | 84,390 | 14,900 | 1,230 | 84,400 | 115,400 | 1,249 | 0.4 | 0.75 |
| Black | 16,730 | 73,370 | 2,315 | 16,740 | 74,000 | 1,988 | 0.8 | 1.92 |
| Asian | 6,392 | 145,500 | 3,365 | 6,384 | 142,700 | 6,059 | -2.0 | 3.00 |
| Hispanic (any race) | 16,920 | 84,140 | 2,019 | 16,930 | 82,560 | 1,514 | *-1.9 | 1.39 |
| Age of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 65 years | 94,430 | 14,900 | 1,145 | 94,480 | 144,900 | 1,201 | 0.1 | 0.65 |
| .. 15 to 24 years | 6,238 | 67,570 | 2,017 | 6,178 | 65,450 | 1,847 | *-3.1 | 2.14 |
| .. 25 to 34 years | 20,10 | 99,950 | 2,038 | 20,220 | 99,030 | 2,410 | -0.9 | 1.50 |
| .. 35 to 44 years | 21,500 | 122,900 | 2,018 | 21,450 | 122,100 | 1,595 | -0.6 | 1.02 |
| .. 45 to 54 years | 22,810 | 132,300 | 2,252 | 22,780 | 134,000 | 2,806 | 1.3 | 1.38 |
| .. 55 to 64 years | 23,770 | 17,000 | 2,537 | 23,850 | 118,800 | 2,750 | 1.6 | 1.62 |
| 65 years and older | 31,800 | 76,330 | 1,351 | 31,790 | 77,050 | 1,447 | 0.9 | 1.22 |
| Nativity of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native born | 107,200 | 107,000 | 908 | 107,200 | 107,100 | 975 | 0.1 | 0.55 |
| Foreign born | 19,030 | 101,500 | 1,356 | 19,040 | 101,100 | 1,303 | -0.3 | 0.84 |
| ..Naturalized citizen | 10,050 | 14,600 | 3,533 | 10,070 | 113,300 | 3,767 | -1.1 | 2.29 |
| ..Not a citizen | 8,978 | 86,710 | 2,230 | 8,967 | 85,230 | 2,805 | -1.7 | 2.18 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 22,320 | 117,900 | 2,892 | 22,320 | 118,800 | 2,856 | 0.8 | 1.46 |
| M idwest | 27,360 | 102,600 | 1,723 | 27,360 | 103,000 | 1,771 | 0.4 | 0.93 |
| South | 48,060 | 99,20 | 1,664 | 48,090 | 98,380 | 1,947 | -0.7 | 1.17 |
| West | 28,470 | 113,700 | 2,384 | 28,490 | 144,700 | 2,900 | 0.9 | 1.23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside metropolitan statistical areas | 108,200 | 110,800 | 797 | 108,200 | 110,700 | 921 | -0.1 | 0.46 |
| ...Inside principal cities | 42,270 | 101,800 | 1,071 | 42,290 | 101,300 | 1,175 | -0.5 | 0.61 |
| ..Outside principal cities | 65,900 | 115,700 | 1,258 | 65,910 | 116,600 | 1,589 | *0.8 | 0.70 |
| Outside metro politan statistical areas | 18,060 | 82,100 | 1,680 | 18,070 | 81,370 | 1,852 | -0.9 | 1.30 |
| EARNINGS OF FULL-TIM E, YEAR-ROUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Full-Time, Year-Round Workers | 113,300 | 75,400 | 370 | 113,300 | 75,330 | 378 | -0.1 | 0.33 |
| M en with earnings | 64,950 | 82,140 | 435 | 65,000 | 81,980 | 396 | -0.2 | 0.35 |
| Wo men with earnings | 48,330 | 62,730 | 1,070 | 48,330 | 63,600 | 1,388 | 1.4 | 1.50 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.764 | 0.013 | N | 0.776 | 0.017 | *1.6 | 1.55 |
| EARNINGS OF ALL WORKERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All workers | 164,600 | 62,170 | 219 | 164,700 | 62,150 | 221 | 0.0 | 0.23 |
| All men with earnings | 86,890 | 72,880 | 1,445 | 86,950 | 72,250 | 413 | -0.9 | 1.56 |
| All women with earnings | 77,740 | 51,810 | 266 | 77,730 | 52,290 | 255 | *0.9 | 0.31 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.711 | 0.014 | N | 0.724 | 0.005 | *1.8 | 1.63 |

*An asterisk preceding an estimate indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level.

## N Not applicable.

${ }^{1}$ A margin of error is a measure of an estimate's variability. The larger the margin of error in relation to the size of the estimate, the less reliable the estimate. This number, when added to and subtracted from the estimate, forms the 90 percent confidence interval. M argin of errors shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights. For more information, see "Standard Errors and Their Use" at <www2.census.gov/library/publications/2017/demo/p60-259sa.pdf>
${ }^{2}$ Federal surveys give respondents the option of reporting more than one race. Therefore, two basic ways of defining a race group are possible. A group such as Asian may be defined as those who reported Asian and no other race (the race-alone or single-race concept) or as those who reported Asian regardless of whether they also reported another race (the race-alone-or-in-combination concept). This table shows data using the first approach (race alone). The use of the single-race population does not imply that it is the preferred method of presenting or analyzing data. The Census Bureau uses a variety of approaches. Information on peo ple who reported more than one race, such as White and American Indian and Alaska Native or Asian and Black or African American, is available from the 2010 Census through American FactFinder. About 2.9 percent of people reported more than one race in the 2010 Census. Data for American Indians and Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians and Other Pacific Islanders, and those reporting two or more races are not shown separately.
${ }^{3}$ For information on metropolitan statistical areas and principal cities, see [https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html](https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html)
Note: Inflation-adjusted estimates may differ slightly from other published data due to rounding.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2017 Annual Social and Economic Supplement, Legacy and Updated (Research) Files

Table 7: Comparison of Household Income under the 2017 Legacy and Updated Files: 95th Percentile
(Income in 2016 dollars. Households and people as of $M$ arch of the following year. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions. see umw2.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/techdocs/cpsmar17.pdf)

| Characteristic | Legacy |  |  | Updated |  |  | Percentage change* in real 95th Percentile income (Updated less Legacy) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (thousands) | 95th Percentile income (dollars) |  | Number (thousands) | 95th Percentile income (dollars) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Households | 126,200 | 226,000 | 2,855 | 126,300 | 233,300 | 3,668 | *3.2 | 1.06 |
| Type of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family households | 82,830 | 254,000 | 3,931 | 83,150 | 264,100 | 4,573 | *4.0 | 1.37 |
| . M arried-couple | 60,800 | 276,400 | 5,914 | 61,360 | 286,700 | 4,959 | 3.8 | 1.62 |
| .Female householder, no spouse present | 15,570 | 151,000 | 4,576 | 15,400 | 150,500 | 4,041 | -0.3 | 2.12 |
| .M ale householder, no spouse present | 6,452 | 205,200 | 14,260 | 6,388 | 210,500 | 12,010 | 2.6 | 5.96 |
| Nonfamily households | 43,400 | 151,200 | 2,448 | 43,120 | 147,600 | 3,788 | *-2.4 | 1.92 |
| .Female householder | 22,860 | 125,900 | 5,051 | 22,740 | 129,800 | 4,403 | *3.1 | 2.97 |
| M ale householder | 20,540 | 172,800 | 5,809 | 20,380 | 166,500 | 5,965 | *-3.6 | 3.16 |
| Race ${ }^{2}$ and Hispanic Origin of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 99,400 | 231,300 | 3,422 | 99,440 | 240,200 | 4,021 | *3.8 | 1.20 |
| ..White, not Hispanic | 84,390 | 240,200 | 3,846 | 84,400 | 250,600 | 3,242 | *4.3 | 1.15 |
| Black | 16,730 | 158,000 | 4,417 | 16,740 | 159,800 | 4,574 | 1.2 | 2.37 |
| Asian | 6,392 | 276,000 | 10,580 | 6,384 | 289,600 | 17,230 | *4.9 | 4.60 |
| Hispanic (any race) | 16,920 | 170,500 | 4,459 | 16,930 | 168,400 | 4,955 | -1.2 | 2.42 |
| Age of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 65 years | 94,430 | 239,300 | 4,008 | 94,480 | 246,900 | 3,955 | *3.2 | 1.20 |
| .. 15 to 24 years | 6,238 | 144,500 | 8,628 | 6,178 | 138,500 | 8,031 | -4.2 | 4.97 |
| .. 25 to 34 years | 20,110 | 189,500 | 6,077 | 20,220 | 190,400 | 5,256 | 0.5 | 2.52 |
| .. 35 to 44 years | 21,500 | 250,500 | 3,923 | 21,450 | 259,000 | 6,594 | *3.4 | 2.00 |
| .. 45 to 54 years | 22,810 | 273,600 | 7,829 | 22,780 | 280,700 | 7,860 | *2.6 | 2.20 |
| .. 55 to 64 years | 23,770 | 251,700 | 6,485 | 23,850 | 262,200 | 6,274 | *4.2 | 2.12 |
| 65 years and older | 31,800 | 181,700 | 5,231 | 31,790 | 190,300 | 5,323 | *4.7 | 2.21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native born | 107,200 | 225,300 | 3,185 | 107,200 | 233,000 | 3,953 | *3.4 | 1.19 |
| Foreign born | 19,030 | 232,100 | 7,899 | 19,040 | 235,200 | 8,295 | 1.3 | 2.64 |
| ..Naturalized citizen | 10,050 | 251,100 | 8,095 | 10,070 | 264,500 | 10,560 | *5.4 | 3.22 |
| ..Not a citizen | 8,978 | 202,800 | 8,364 | 8,967 | 205,900 | 8,392 | 1.5 | 3.39 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 22,320 | 253,400 | 7,535 | 22,320 | 266,200 | 8,776 | *5.1 | 2.49 |
| Midwest | 27,360 | 210,200 | 4,820 | 27,360 | 220,300 | 5,400 | *4.8 | 1.88 |
| South | 48,060 | 211,600 | 5,429 | 48,090 | 215,700 | 4,711 | *2.0 | 1.80 |
| West | 28,470 | 246,100 | 7,305 | 28,490 | 251,500 | 6,661 | *2.2 | 2.16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside metropolitan statistical areas | 108,200 | 236,200 | 2,869 | 108,200 | 243,900 | 4,162 | *3.2 | 1.21 |
| ..Inside principal cities | 42,270 | 225,900 | 6,509 | 42,290 | 230,400 | 7,019 | 2.0 | 2.08 |
| ..Outside principal cities | 65,900 | 241,100 | 3,722 | 65,910 | 251,700 | 3,622 | *4.4 | 1.24 |
| Outside metropolitan statistical areas | 18,060 | 166,900 | 3,502 | 18,070 | 168,000 | 4,727 | 0.6 | 1.96 |
| EARNINGS OF FULL-TIME, YEAR-ROUND |  |  |  |  |  | WORKERS |  |  |
| All Full-Time, Year-Round Workers | 113,300 | 151,900 | 347 | 113,300 | 151,900 | 350 | 0.0 | 0.14 |
| M en with earnings | 64,950 | 176,700 | 3,772 | 65,000 | 179,900 | 5,764 | 1.8 | 2.25 |
| Women with earnings | 48,330 | 121,900 | 716 | 48,330 | 122,500 | 4,289 | 0.4 | 2.65 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.690 | 0.014 | N | 0.681 | 0.027 | -1.3 | 3.51 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All workers | 164,600 | 140,700 | 1,294 | 164,700 | 140,400 | 1,822 | -0.2 | 0.81 |
| All men with earnings | 86,890 | 156,100 | 5,332 | 86,950 | 155,700 | 6,005 | -0.2 | 2.71 |
| All wo men with earnings | 77,740 | 106,300 | 2,106 | 77,730 | 107,500 | 3,777 | 1.1 | 2.29 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.681 | 0.026 | N | 0.690 | 0.036 | 1.4 | 3.72 |

*An asterisk preceding an estimate indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level.

## N Not applicable

${ }^{1}$ A margin of error is a measure of an estimate's variability. The larger the margin of error in relation to the size of the estimate, the less reliable the estimate. This number, when added to and subtracted from the estimate, forms the 90 percent confidence interval. M argin of errors shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights. For more information, see "Standard Errors and Their Use" at <www2.census.gov/library/publications/2017/demo/p60-259sa.pdf>
${ }^{2}$ Federal surveys give respondents the option of reporting more than one race. Therefore, two basic ways of defining a race group are possible. A group such as Asian may be defined as those who reported Asian and no other race (the race-alone or single-race concept) or as those who reported Asian regardless of whether they also reported another race (the race-alone-or-in-combination concept). This table shows data using the first approach (race alone). The use of the single-race population does not imply that it is the preferred method of presenting or analyzing data. The Census Bureau uses a variety of approaches. Information on peo ple who reported more than one race, such as White and American Indian and Alaska Native or Asian and Black or African American, is available from the 2010 Census through American FactFinder. About 2.9 percent of people reported more than one race in the 2010 Census. Data for American Indians and Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians and Other Pacific Islanders, and those reporting two or more races are not shown separately.
${ }^{3}$ For information on metropolitan statistical areas and principal cities, see [https://wnw.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html](https://wnw.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html).
Note: Inflation-adjusted estimates may differ slightly from other published data due to rounding.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2017 Annual Social and Economic Supplement, Legacy and Updated (Research) Files

Table 8: Percent Difference in Individual Income Statistics between the 2018 Updated and Legacy Files by Income Type

| Characteristic | Total with Income |  |  | P10 |  |  | P25 |  |  | P50 |  |  | P75 |  |  | P90 |  |  | P95 |  |  | Mean income |  |  | Total Income |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | * | Value | SE | * | Value | SE | * | Value | SE | * | Value | SE | * | Value | SE | * | Value | SE | * | Value | SE | * | Value | SE | * | Value | SE |
| Total | * | -0.1 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.9 | * | 1.8 | 0.4 | * | 0.6 | 0.2 |  | -0.1 | 0.2 |  | 0.2 | 0.2 | * | 1.7 | 0.8 | * | 1.7 | 0.4 | * | 1.6 | 0.4 |
| Earnings |  | 0.0 | 0.1 | * | 5.5 | 1.3 | * | 1.1 | 0.3 | * | 1.4 | 0.6 | * | -0.4 | 0.3 |  | -0.1 | 0.3 |  | -0.2 | 0.8 |  | 0.0 | 0.4 |  | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Wages and Salary |  | -0.1 | 0.1 | * | 5.5 | 1.1 | * | 0.9 | 0.3 | * | 1.3 | 0.5 | * | -0.5 | 0.2 |  | -0.1 | 0.3 |  | -1.5 | 1.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.4 |  | -0.1 | 0.4 |
| Nonfarm Self-Employment |  | 0.1 | 0.5 | * | 5.4 | 2.0 | * | 13.0 | 4.8 | * | 10.6 | 3.9 |  | 6.2 | 5.2 | * | 4.6 | 2.7 |  | 1.1 | 6.4 |  | 1.3 | 2.6 |  | 1.4 | 2.7 |
| Farm Self-Employment | * | -4.2 | 1.4 |  | 3.4 | 3.0 |  | 3.4 | 3.0 |  | 3.4 | 3.0 |  | 16.6 | 13.2 |  | 2.1 | 7.5 |  | 10.7 | 7.1 |  | 14.3 | 8.9 |  | 9.5 | 8.5 |
| Social Security |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | * | -13.5 | 1.3 | * | -2.4 | 0.4 |  | -0.3 | 0.3 | * | -1.0 | 0.3 |  | -0.5 | 0.4 |  | 0.6 | 0.6 | * | -1.7 | 0.3 | * | -1.5 | 0.4 |
| SSI (Supplemental Security Income) |  | -0.7 | 1.0 | * | -20.4 | 3.5 | * | -15.0 | 3.5 | * | -1.5 | 0.6 |  | -0.1 | 0.5 |  | -1.9 | 2.2 | * | -3.1 | 1.6 | * | -3.4 | 1.2 | * | -4.1 | 1.5 |
| Public Assistance | * | 4.4 | 2.2 | * | -6.5 | 3.2 | * | -6.5 | 3.2 | * | -6.5 | 3.6 | * | -3.7 | 2.2 |  | -1.6 | 2.5 |  | 1.2 | 2.6 | * | -5.7 | 2.6 |  | -1.6 | 3.4 |
| Veterans Benefits |  | 0.0 | 1.5 |  | 0.7 | 5.3 |  | -0.4 | 4.7 |  | -3.5 | 2.7 |  | -1.7 | 2.2 |  | 0.3 | 0.8 |  | 0.8 | 1.9 |  | -0.1 | 2.1 |  | -0.2 | 2.6 |
| Survivor Benefits | * | 3.9 | 1.6 |  | -5.9 | 5.4 |  | -5.2 | 5.7 |  | -1.8 | 4.1 | * | -8.5 | 4.2 |  | -3.3 | 6.9 |  | -0.8 | 12.8 |  | -3.8 | 3.1 |  | -0.1 | 3.6 |
| Disability Benefits |  | 2.1 | 1.8 |  | -0.2 | 5.3 |  | 3.3 | 7.9 | * | 6.2 | 3.0 |  | 0.1 | 2.8 |  | 11.6 | 7.5 |  | 1.1 | 3.6 |  | 4.6 | 2.8 | * | 6.8 | 3.4 |
| Unemployment Compensation |  | 0.3 | 1.4 |  | -3.5 | 2.5 |  | -3.5 | 2.5 |  | -3.4 | 2.4 |  | -2.3 | 2.3 |  | -0.4 | 2.2 |  | 2.4 | 5.6 |  | -1.3 | 2.2 |  | -1.1 | 2.6 |
| Workers Compensation |  | 1.6 | 2.3 |  | 10.1 | 6.2 |  | 11.6 | 7.3 |  | -0.5 | 5.9 |  | -6.7 | 5.1 |  | -1.5 | 6.4 |  | -3.7 | 5.3 |  | -2.8 | 4.2 |  | -1.2 | 4.9 |
| Property Income |  | -0.1 | 0.2 | * | 4.6 | 0.3 | * | 4.6 | 0.3 | * | 4.6 | 0.3 | * | 4.6 | 0.3 | * | 18.4 | 2.0 | * | 14.1 | 2.4 | * | 22.5 | 3.0 | * | 22.3 | 3.0 |
| Interest |  | 0.0 | 0.2 | * | 5.7 | 0.2 | * | 5.7 | 0.2 | * | 5.7 | 0.2 | * | 5.7 | 0.2 | * | 46.1 | 2.7 | * | 30.6 | 2.7 | * | 19.6 | 2.4 |  | 19.6 | 2.4 |
| Dividends |  | 0.9 | 0.5 | * | -1.8 | 0.7 | * | -1.8 | 0.7 | * | -1.8 | 0.7 | * | -11.9 | 4.6 |  | -3.1 | 2.3 |  | -0.4 | 2.4 | * | 8.8 | 4.4 |  | 9.7 | 4.5 |
| Rents, Royalties, Estates or Trusts | * | 2.2 | 0.9 | * | 3.4 | 1.7 | * | 3.4 | 1.7 | * | 11.5 | 4.5 |  | 7.5 | 2.3 |  | 6.4 | 3.4 |  | 6.6 | 8.0 | * | 43.0 | 9.6 | * | 46.1 | 9.7 |
| Retirement Income | * | 5.3 | 0.5 | * | -13.1 | 2.2 | * | -9.2 | 1.9 | * | -4.7 | 1.4 | * | -5.3 | 1.4 | * | -4.8 | 1.5 |  | -3.0 | 2.6 | * | 3.5 | 1.7 | * | 9.0 | 1.9 |
| Company or Union Retirement | * | -4.5 | 0.8 | * | -4.8 | 2.5 |  | -3.8 | 2.5 |  | 2.2 | 2.0 |  | 2.1 | 2.0 |  | 3.0 | 2.4 |  | -0.2 | 2.2 | * | 7.4 | 2.3 |  | 2.6 | 2.2 |
| Federal Government Retirement | * | -10.5 | 2.1 | * | -33.9 | 13.5 | * | -11.8 | 4.2 | * | -11.7 | 4.8 |  | -3.7 | 4.3 |  | -5.3 | 4.6 |  | -5.0 | 6.7 |  | -4.3 | 2.7 | * | -14.3 | 3.0 |
| Military Retirement | * | -12.2 | 3.2 | * | -71.5 | 4.7 | * | -50.4 | 6.3 | * | -18.6 | 3.6 | * | -14.8 | 6.9 | * | -15.9 | 6.2 |  | -2.3 | 6.8 | * | -18.2 | 3.5 | * | -28.2 | 4.4 |
| State or Local Government Retirement | * | -10.1 | 1.3 | * | -12.4 | 5.7 |  | -5.9 | 3.9 | * | -7.8 | 4.0 |  | -1.0 | 3.0 |  | 0.5 | 1.6 |  | -0.5 | 2.8 | * | 6.3 | 3.7 |  | -4.5 | 3.5 |
| Annuities | * | 4.6 | 1.7 | * | -8.1 | 3.6 | * | -8.1 | 3.6 | * | -9.7 | 3.9 |  | -5.2 | 5.3 |  | -9.3 | 8.1 |  | -6.7 | 4.7 |  | -1.2 | 4.7 |  | 3.4 | 5.2 |
| IRA, Keogh, or 401(K) | * | 73.3 | 3.7 | * | -12.1 | 3.4 | * | -15.2 | 4.0 | * | -4.2 | 2.2 |  | -1.3 | 3.4 |  | -0.9 | 10.1 |  | -1.9 | 5.0 | * | 14.8 | 5.9 | * | 98.9 | 11.7 |

For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/techdocs/cpsmar18.pdf
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2018 Annual Social and Economic Supplement, Legacy and Updated (Bridge) Files.
Standard errors calculated using replicate weights. P10, P25, etc. indicate linear interpolated percentile ( $10^{\mathrm{th}}, 25^{\text {th }}$, etc.). SE indicates standard error. Asterisks indicate statistical significance at the 10 percent level.

Table 9: Comparison of Household Income under the 2018 Legacy and Updated Files: Median
(Income in 2017 dollars. Households and people as of $M$ arch of the following year. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions,

| Characteristic | Legacy |  |  | Updated |  |  | Percentage change* in real Median income (Updated less Legacy) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (thousands) | Median income (dollars) |  | Number (thousands) | Median income (dollars) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Households | 127,600 | 61,370 | 552 | 127,700 | 61,140 | 529 | -0.4 | 0.48 |
| Type of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family households | 83,090 | 77,710 | 836 | 83,520 | 77,800 | 863 | 0.1 | 0.66 |
| M arried-couple | 61,240 | 90,390 | 820 | 61,870 | 91,330 | 842 | 1.0 | 0.60 |
| Female householder, no spouse present | 15,420 | 41,700 | 746 | 15,300 | 41,650 | 841 | -0.1 | 1.23 |
| . M ale householder, no spouse present | 6,424 | 60,840 | 1,733 | 6,351 | 58,220 | 2,023 | *-4.3 | 2.42 |
| Nonfamily households | 44,500 | 36,650 | 557 | 44,150 | 36,340 | 500 | -0.8 | 0.85 |
| .Female householder | 23,480 | 30,750 | 632 | 23,320 | 31,160 | 579 | *1.3 | 1.26 |
| M ale householder | 21,020 | 44,250 | 2,185 | 20,830 | 42,800 | 1,640 | *-3.3 | 2.71 |
| Race ${ }^{2}$ and Hispanic Origin of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 100,100 | 65,270 | 685 | 100,100 | 64,830 | 842 | *-0.7 | 0.67 |
| ..White, not Hispanic | 84,680 | 68,150 | 1,050 | 84,710 | 68,190 | 1,109 | 0.1 | 0.85 |
| Black | 17,000 | 40,260 | 949 | 17,020 | 39,360 | 1,396 | *-2.2 | 1.99 |
| Asian | 6,735 | 81,330 | 1,962 | 6,750 | 81,390 | 1,779 | 0.1 | 1.33 |
| Hispanic (any race) | 17,320 | 50,490 | 721 | 17,340 | 50,170 | 758 | -0.6 | 0.95 |
| Age of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 65 years | 94,610 | 69,630 | 917 | 94,700 | 69,260 | 993 | -0.5 | 0.75 |
| .. 15 to 24 years | 6,211 | 40,090 | 1,430 | 6,223 | 38,950 | 1,624 | -2.8 | 3.02 |
| .. 25 to 34 years | 20,260 | 62,290 | 1,051 | 20,260 | 61,240 | 832 | *-1.7 | 1.03 |
| .. 35 to 44 years | 21,580 | 78,370 | 1,578 | 21,610 | 78,850 | 1,848 | 0.6 | 1.42 |
| .. 45 to 54 years | 22,540 | 80,670 | 1,064 | 22,570 | 80,160 | 1,332 | -0.6 | 0.93 |
| .. 55 to 64 years | 24,020 | 68,570 | 1,587 | 24,050 | 68,900 | 1,565 | 0.5 | 1.51 |
| 65 years and older | 32,970 | 41,130 | 839 | 32,970 | 41,300 | 789 | 0.4 | 1.32 |
| Nativity of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native born | 107,700 | 61,990 | 574 | 107,700 | 61,870 | 566 | -0.2 | 0.53 |
| Foreign born | 19,930 | 57,270 | 1,630 | 19,950 | 56,420 | 1,203 | -1.5 | 1.50 |
| ..Naturalized citizen | 10,880 | 65,860 | 1,753 | 10,890 | 64,530 | 2,455 | -2.0 | 2.19 |
| ..Not a citizen | 9,056 | 49,740 | 1,406 | 9,063 | 49,160 | 1,666 | -1.2 | 2.07 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 22,510 | 66,450 | 1,437 | 22,510 | 65,590 | 1,666 | -1.3 | 1.38 |
| M idwest | 27,630 | 61,140 | 1,039 | 27,660 | 61,120 | 1,118 | 0.0 | 1.04 |
| South | 48,590 | 55,710 | 990 | 48,630 | 55,770 | 982 | 0.1 | 0.97 |
| West | 28,850 | 67,520 | 1,354 | 28,870 | 66,960 | 1,247 | -0.8 | 0.92 |
| Residence ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside metropolitan statistical areas | 109,700 | 64,270 | 971 | 109,800 | 63,590 | 849 | *-1.0 | 0.79 |
| ...Inside principal cities | 42,560 | 55,710 | 1,073 | 42,570 | 54,960 | 1,275 | *-1.3 | 1.11 |
| ..Outside principal cities | 67,170 | 69,360 | 1,178 | 67,230 | 69,920 | 1,051 | 0.8 | 0.94 |
| Outside metro politan statistical areas | 17,850 | 47,560 | 1,364 | 17,870 | 47,950 | 1,508 | 0.8 | 1.54 |
| EARNINGS OF FULL-TIM E, YEAR-ROUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Full-Time, Year-Round Workers | 115,700 | 48,500 | 622 | 115,700 | 49,750 | 580 | *2.6 | 0.72 |
| M en with earnings | 66,380 | 52,150 | 225 | 66,500 | 52,190 | 223 | 0.1 | 0.29 |
| Wo men with earnings | 49,290 | 41,980 | 208 | 49,230 | 42,620 | 872 | 1.5 | 1.66 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.805 | 0.005 | N | 0.817 | 0.016 | 1.5 | 1.71 |
| EARNINGS OF ALL WORKERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All workers | 166,300 | 37,480 | 322 | 166,300 | 37,990 | 573 | *1.4 | 1.02 |
| All men with earnings | 88,100 | 44,410 | 1,226 | 88,020 | 45,070 | 674 | 1.5 | 1.91 |
| All women with earnings | 78,200 | 31,610 | 171 | 78,290 | 31,890 | 191 | *0.9 | 0.38 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.712 | 0.019 | N | 0.708 | 0.010 | -0.6 | 1.93 |

*An asterisk preceding an estimate indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level.
N Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ A margin of error is a measure of an estimate's variability. The larger the margin of error in relation to the size of the estimate, the less reliable the estimate. This number, when added to and subtracted from the estimate, forms the 90 percent confidence interval. M argin of errors shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights. For more information, see "Standard Errors and Their Use" at <www2.census.gov/library/publications/2018/demo/p60-263sa.pdf>
${ }^{2}$ Federal surveys give respondents the option of reporting more than one race. Therefore, two basic ways of defining a race group are possible. A group such as Asian may be defined as those who reported Asian and no other race (the race-alone or single-race concept) or as those who reported A sian regardless of whether they also reported another race (the race-alone-or-in-combination concept). This table shows data using the first approach (race alone). The use of the single-race population does not imply that it is the preferred method of presenting or analyzing data. The Census Bureau uses a variety of approaches. Information on people who reported more than one race, such as White and American Indian and Alaska Native or Asian and Black or African American, is available from the 2010 Census through American FactFinder. About 2.9 percent of people reported more than one race in the 2010 Census. Data for American Indians and Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians and Other Pacific Islanders, and those reporting two or more races are not shown separately.
${ }^{3}$ For information on metropolitan statistical areas and principal cities, see [https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html](https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html)
Note: Inflation-adjusted estimates may differ slightly from other published data due to rounding.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2018 Annual Social and Economic Supplement, Legacy and Updated (Research) Files.

Table 10: Comparison of Household Income under the 2018 Legacy and Updated Files: 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile
(Income in 2017 dollars. Households and people as of M arch of the following year. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions. see $\mathbf{w w w 2 . c e n s u s . g o v / p r o g r a m s - s u r v e y s / c p s / t e c h d o c s / c p s m a r 1 8 . p d f ) ~}$

| Characteristic | Legacy |  |  | Updated |  |  | Percentage change* in real 10th Percentile income (Updated less Legacy) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (thousands) | 10th Percentile income (dollars) |  | Number (thousands) | 10th Percentile income (dollars) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \mathrm{Cl} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Households | 127,600 | 14,220 | 276 | 127,700 | 14,310 | 270 | 0.6 | 1.30 |
| Type of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family households | 83,090 | 22,250 | 366 | 83,520 | 22,410 | 366 | 0.7 | 1.28 |
| . M arried-couple | 61,240 | 29,740 | 594 | 61,870 | 30,280 | 402 | 1.8 | 1.34 |
| .Female householder, no spouse present | 15,420 | 10,220 | 431 | 15,300 | 10,300 | 414 | 0.7 | 2.71 |
| . M ale householder, no spouse present | 6,424 | 19,310 | 1,516 | 6,351 | 18,500 | 1,171 | -4.2 | 4.67 |
| Nonfamily households | 44,500 | 9,487 | 234 | 44,150 | 9,337 | 250 | -1.6 | 2.16 |
| Female householder | 23,480 | 8,908 | 280 | 23,320 | 8,716 | 286 | -2.2 | 2.59 |
| M ale householder | 21,020 | 10,350 | 354 | 20,830 | 10,250 | 378 | -1.0 | 2.64 |
| Race ${ }^{2}$ and Hispanic Origin of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 100,100 | 15,950 | 281 | 100,100 | 15,990 | 256 | 0.3 | 1.07 |
| ..White, not Hispanic | 84,680 | 16,510 | 310 | 84,710 | 16,570 | 283 | 0.4 | 1.23 |
| Black | 17,000 | 8,652 | 308 | 17,020 | 8,531 | 374 | -1.4 | 2.81 |
| Asian | 6,735 | 16,680 | 1,533 | 6,750 | 17,670 | 1,446 | *5.9 | 5.70 |
| Hispanic (any race) | 17,320 | 12,550 | 573 | 17,340 | 12,380 | 498 | -1.4 | 2.88 |
| Age of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 65 years | 94,610 | 16,000 | 355 | 94,700 | 16,200 | 338 | 1.2 | 1.25 |
| .. 15 to 24 years | 6,211 | 8,177 | 1,291 | 6,223 | 7,926 | 1,340 | -3.1 | 8.56 |
| .. 25 to 34 years | 20,260 | 17,100 | 881 | 20,260 | 17,490 | 970 | 2.2 | 3.24 |
| .. 35 to 44 years | 21,580 | 19,750 | 1,034 | 21,610 | 20,150 | 874 | 2.0 | 2.87 |
| .. 45 to 54 years | 22,540 | 19,300 | 992 | 22,570 | 19,970 | 808 | *3.5 | 3.16 |
| .. 55 to 64 years | 24,020 | 13,200 | 689 | 24,050 | 13,530 | 682 | 2.5 | 2.94 |
| 65 years and older | 32,970 | 12,120 | 266 | 32,970 | 11,870 | 306 | *-2.1 | 1.83 |
| Nativity of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native born | 107,700 | 14,380 | 302 | 107,700 | 14,430 | 286 | 0.3 | 1.43 |
| Foreign born | 19,930 | 13,200 | 751 | 19,950 | 13,600 | 741 | 3.0 | 3.35 |
| ..Naturalized citizen | 10,880 | 14,380 | 923 | 10,890 | 15,000 | 8 13 | 4.3 | 4.38 |
| ..Not a citizen | 9,056 | 11,930 | 768 | 9,063 | 12,010 | 744 | 0.6 | 3.43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 22,510 | 14,130 | 664 | 22,510 | 14,200 | 676 | 0.5 | 2.83 |
| M idwest | 27,630 | 14,690 | 607 | 27,660 | 14,870 | 543 | 1.2 | 2.43 |
| South | 48,590 | 13,290 | 398 | 48,630 | 13,360 | 419 | 0.5 | 1.94 |
| West | 28,850 | 15,550 | 473 | 28,870 | 15,640 | 487 | 0.6 | 2.03 |
| Residence ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside metropolitan statistical areas | 109,700 | 14,800 | 334 | 109,800 | 14,860 | 325 | 0.4 | 1.52 |
| ...Inside principal cities | 42,560 | 11,880 | 311 | 42,570 | 11,960 | 311 | 0.7 | 1.75 |
| ..Outside principal cities | 67,170 | 16,880 | 365 | 67,230 | 17,010 | 370 | 0.8 | 1.40 |
| Outside metropolitan statistical areas | 17,850 | 11,940 | 468 | 17,870 | 12,110 | 504 | 1.5 | 2.41 |
| EARNINGS OF FULL-TIME, YEAR-ROUND ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Full-Time, Year-Round Workers | 115,700 | 21,310 | 131 | 115,700 | 21,360 | 139 | 0.2 | 0.51 |
| M en with earnings | 66,380 | 22,810 | 340 | 66,500 | 22,470 | 262 | *-1.5 | 1.15 |
| Wo men with earnings | 49,290 | 20,060 | 166 | 49,230 | 20,260 | 166 | *1.0 | 0.67 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.879 | 0.014 | N | 0.902 | 0.012 | *2.6 | 1.35 |
| EARNINGS OF ALL WORKERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All workers | 166,300 | 7,347 | 142 | 166,300 | 7,748 | 254 | *5.5 | 2.09 |
| All men with earnings | 88,100 | 10,150 | 205 | 88,020 | 10,530 | 204 | *3.8 | 1.53 |
| All women with earnings | 78,200 | 6,019 | 158 | 78,290 | 6,180 | 165 | *2.7 | 1.60 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.593 | 0.017 | N | 0.587 | 0.016 | -1.1 | 2.23 |

*An asterisk preceding an estimate indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level.
N Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ A margin of error is a measure of an estimate's variability. The larger the margin of error in relation to the size of the estimate, the less reliable the estimate. This number, when added to and subtracted from the estimate, forms the 90 percent confidence interval. M argin of errors shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights. For more information, see "Standard Errors and Their Use" at <www2.census.gov/library/publications/2018/demo/p60-263sa.pdf>
${ }^{2}$ Federal surveys give respondents the option of reporting more than one race. Therefore, two basic ways of defining a race group are possible. A group such as Asian may be defined as those who reported Asian and no other race (the race-alone or single-race concept) or as those who reported Asian regardless of whether they also reported another race (the race-alone-or-in-combination concept). This table shows data using the first approach (race alone). The use of the single-race population does not imply that it is the preferred method of presenting or analyzing data. The Census Bureau uses a variety of approaches. Information on peo ple who reported more than one race, such as White and American Indian and Alaska Native or Asian and Black or African American, is available from the 2010 Census through American FactFinder. About 2.9 percent of people reported more than one race in the 2010 Census. Data for American Indians and Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians and Other Pacific Islanders, and those reporting two or more races are not shown separately.
${ }^{3}$ For information on metropolitan statistical areas and principal cities, see [https://wnw.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html](https://wnw.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html).
Note: Inflation-adjusted estimates may differ slightly from other published data due to rounding.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2018 Annual Social and Economic Supplement, Legacy and Updated (Research) Files.

Table 11: Comparison of Household Income under the 2018 Legacy and Updated Files: 25th Percentile
(Income in 2017 dollars. Households and people as of $M$ arch of the following year. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions. see $\mathbf{w w w 2 . c e n s u s . g o v / p r o g r a m s - s u r v e y s / c p s / t e c h d o c s / c p s m a r 1 8 . p d f ) ~}$

| Characteristic | Legacy |  |  | Updated |  |  | Percentage change* in real 25th Percentile income (Updated less Legacy) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (thousands) | 25th Percentile income (dollars) |  | Number (thousands) | 25th Percentile income (dollars) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \mathrm{Cl} \end{gathered}$ |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \mathrm{Cl} \end{gathered}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Households | 127,600 | 30,190 | 323 | 127,700 | 30,370 | 302 | 0.6 | 0.62 |
| Type of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family households | 83,090 | 41,600 | 454 | 83,520 | 41,880 | 460 | 0.7 | 0.70 |
| . M arried-couple | 61,240 | 51,970 | 617 | 61,870 | 52,720 | 812 | 1.4 | 0.91 |
| .Female householder, no spouse present | 15,420 | 22,050 | 526 | 15,300 | 21,820 | 571 | -1.0 | 1.74 |
| . M ale householder, no spouse present | 6,424 | 35,360 | 946 | 6,351 | 34,100 | 1,665 | *-3.6 | 3.52 |
| Nonfamily households | 44,500 | 17,500 | 329 | 44,150 | 17,450 | 292 | -0.3 | 1.26 |
| .Female householder | 23,480 | 15,790 | 323 | 23,320 | 15,670 | 300 | -0.7 | 1.39 |
| M ale householder | 21,020 | 21,100 | 697 | 20,830 | 21,090 | 607 | 0.0 | 1.80 |
| Race ${ }^{2}$ and Hispanic Origin of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 100,100 | 32,110 | 387 | 100,100 | 32,360 | 377 | *0.8 | 0.71 |
| ..White, not Hispanic | 84,680 | 33,640 | 609 | 84,710 | 34,130 | 572 | *1.5 | 1.08 |
| Black | 17,000 | 18,760 | 680 | 17,020 | 18,750 | 672 | -0.1 | 2.43 |
| Asian | 6,735 | 40,900 | 1,440 | 6,750 | 41,080 | 1,577 | 0.4 | 2.19 |
| Hispanic (any race) | 17,320 | 26,320 | 522 | 17,340 | 26,160 | 509 | -0.6 | 1.17 |
| Age of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 65 years | 94,610 | 35,560 | 367 | 94,700 | 35,540 | 373 | 0.0 | 0.55 |
| .. 15 to 24 years | 6,211 | 21,610 | 947 | 6,223 | 20,980 | 907 | *-2.9 | 2.73 |
| .. 25 to 34 years | 20,260 | 34,370 | 1,152 | 20,260 | 33,950 | 1,320 | -1.2 | 2.06 |
| .. 35 to 44 years | 21,580 | 40,640 | 809 | 21,610 | 41,140 | 761 | *1.2 | 1.13 |
| .. 45 to 54 years | 22,540 | 41,530 | 757 | 22,570 | 41,560 | 957 | 0.1 | 1.25 |
| .. 55 to 64 years | 24,020 | 32,390 | 999 | 24,050 | 32,420 | 1,054 | 0.1 | 1.88 |
| 65 years and older | 32,970 | 20,990 | 464 | 32,970 | 21,170 | 465 | 0.9 | 1.35 |
| Nativity of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native born | 107,700 | 30,450 | 342 | 107,700 | 30,630 | 323 | 0.6 | 0.65 |
| Foreign born | 19,930 | 28,070 | 1,091 | 19,950 | 28,320 | 1,143 | 0.9 | 2.25 |
| ..Naturalized citizen | 10,880 | 31,630 | 1,177 | 10,890 | 31,600 | 1,189 | -0.1 | 2.01 |
| ..Not a citizen | 9,056 | 25,530 | 841 | 9,063 | 25,970 | 754 | 1.7 | 1.94 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 22,510 | 31,160 | 832 | 22,510 | 31,520 | 933 | 1.2 | 1.54 |
| Midwest | 27,630 | 30,580 | 656 | 27,660 | 30,660 | 574 | 0.2 | 1.25 |
| South | 48,590 | 27,630 | 619 | 48,630 | 27,670 | 649 | 0.1 | 1.29 |
| West | 28,850 | 33,040 | 1,090 | 28,870 | 33,200 | 1,099 | 0.5 | 1.91 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside metropolitan statistical areas | 109,700 | 31,220 | 341 | 109,800 | 31,440 | 345 | *0.7 | 0.62 |
| ..Inside principal cities | 42,560 | 26,460 | 534 | 42,570 | 26,310 | 549 | -0.6 | 1.14 |
| ..Outside principal cities | 67,170 | 34,620 | 638 | 67,230 | 35,170 | 545 | *1.6 | 1.07 |
| Outside metro politan statistical areas | 17,850 | 23,860 | 860 | 17,870 | 23,760 | 815 | -0.4 | 1.78 |
| EARNINGS OF FULL-TIM E, YEAR-ROUND ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Full-Time, Year-Round Workers | 115,700 | 31,200 | 130 | 115,700 | 31,230 | 144 | 0.1 | 0.31 |
| M en with earnings | 66,380 | 34,40 | 746 | 66,500 | 33,660 | 765 | -1.4 | 1.62 |
| Wo men with earnings | 49,290 | 28,470 | 416 | 49,230 | 28,970 | 484 | *1.8 | 1.31 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.834 | 0.019 | N | 0.861 | 0.022 | *3.2 | 2.13 |
| EARNINGS OF ALL WORKERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All workers | 166,300 | 20,320 | 142 | 166,300 | 20,550 | 149 | *1.1 | 0.45 |
| All men with earnings | 88,100 | 24,390 | 384 | 88,020 | 24,330 | 416 | -0.2 | 1.17 |
| All wo men with earnings | 78,200 | 16,260 | 189 | 78,290 | 16,600 | 27 | *2.1 | 0.78 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.667 | 0.012 | N | 0.682 | 0.014 | *2.3 | 1.38 |

*An asterisk preceding an estimate indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level.

## N Not applicable.

${ }^{1}$ A margin of error is a measure of an estimate's variability. The larger the margin of error in relation to the size of the estimate, the less reliable the estimate. This number, when added to and subtracted from the estimate, forms the 90 percent confidence interval. M argin of errors shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights. Formore information, see "Standard Errors and Their Use" at <www2.census.gov/library/publications/2018/demo/p60-263sa.pdf>
${ }^{2}$ Federal surveys give respondents the option of reporting more than one race. Therefore, two basic ways of defining a race group are possible. A group such as Asian may be defined as those who reported Asian and no other race (the race-alone or single-race concept) or as those who reported Asian regardless of whether they also reported another race (the race-alone-or-in-combination concept). This table shows data using the first approach (race alone). The use of the single-race population does not imply that it is the preferred method of presenting or analyzing data. The Census Bureau uses a variety of approaches. Information on peo ple who reported more than one race, such as White and American Indian and Alaska Native or Asian and Black or African American, is available from the 2010 Census through American FactFinder. About 2.9 percent of people reported more than one race in the 2010 Census. Data for American Indians and Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians and Other Pacific Islanders, and those reporting two or more races are not shown separately.
${ }^{3}$ For information on metropolitan statistical areas and principal cities, see [https://wnw.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html](https://wnw.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html).
Note: Inflation-adjusted estimates may differ slightly from other published data due to rounding.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2018 Annual Social and Economic Supplement, Legacy and Updated (Research) Files.

Table 12: Comparison of Household Income under the 2018 Legacy and Updated Files: 75th Percentile
(Income in 2017 dollars. Households and people as of $M$ arch of the following year. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions. see www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/techdocs/cpsmar18.pdf)

| Characteristic | Legacy |  |  | Updated |  |  | Percentage change* in real 75th Percentile income (Updated less Legacy) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (thousands) | 75th Percentile income (dollars) |  | Number (thousands) | 75th Percentile income (dollars) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \mathrm{CI} \end{gathered}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Households | 127,600 | 110,900 | 899 | 127,700 | 111,000 | 908 | 0.1 | 0.46 |
| Type of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family households | 83,090 | 130,500 | 1,063 | 83,520 | 131,400 | 1,293 | *0.7 | 0.62 |
| .M arried-couple | 61,240 | 145,900 | 1,286 | 61,870 | 148,400 | 1,897 | 1.7 | 0.86 |
| .Female householder, no spouse present | 15,420 | 74,670 | 1,610 | 15,300 | 72,750 | 1,546 | *-2.6 | 1.55 |
| . M ale householder, no spouse present | 6,424 | 99,230 | 3,192 | 6,351 | 97,150 | 2,953 | -2.1 | 2.56 |
| Nonfamily households | 44,500 | 70,240 | 1,098 | 44,150 | 67,780 | 1,388 | *-3.5 | 1.24 |
| .Female householder | 23,480 | 59,370 | 1,487 | 23,320 | 59,10 | 1,463 | -0.4 | 1.57 |
| M ale householder | 21,020 | 81,300 | 1,380 | 20,830 | 78,130 | 2,584 | *-3.9 | 2.11 |
| Race ${ }^{2}$ and Hispanic Origin of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 100,100 | 115,100 | 1,303 | 100,100 | 115,300 | 1,259 | 0.1 | 0.65 |
| ..White, not Hispanic | 84,680 | 120,500 | 1,085 | 84,710 | 120,600 | 1,105 | 0.1 | 0.55 |
| Black | 17,000 | 76,700 | 1,617 | 17,020 | 75,450 | 1,723 | *-1.6 | 1.27 |
| Asian | 6,735 | 150,800 | 4,264 | 6,750 | 150,300 | 3,702 | -0.3 | 1.87 |
| Hispanic (any race) | 17,320 | 88,220 | 1,855 | 17,340 | 86,750 | 1,226 | *-1.7 | 1.22 |
| Age of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 65 years | 94,610 | 120,600 | 921 | 94,700 | 120,500 | 979 | -0.1 | 0.44 |
| .. 15 to 24 years | 6,211 | 70,890 | 2,144 | 6,223 | 68,870 | 3,250 | -2.9 | 3.70 |
| .. 25 to 34 years | 20,260 | 101,900 | 1,466 | 20,260 | 101,300 | 1,356 | -0.6 | 0.88 |
| .. 35 to 44 years | 21,580 | 129,700 | 2,130 | 21,610 | 130,600 | 2,530 | 0.7 | 1.29 |
| .. 45 to 54 years | 22,540 | 137,200 | 2,599 | 22,570 | 137,200 | 2,946 | 0.0 | 1.38 |
| .. 55 to 64 years | 24,020 | 123,900 | 3,285 | 24,050 | 126,000 | 2,282 | 1.7 | 1.89 |
| 65 years and older | 32,970 | 80,870 | 1,542 | 32,970 | 81,170 | 1,820 | 0.4 | 1.35 |
| Nativity of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native born | 107,700 | 111,600 | 876 | 107,700 | 111,800 | 914 | 0.2 | 0.51 |
| Foreign born | 19,930 | 105,000 | 2,891 | 19,950 | 104,300 | 3,123 | -0.6 | 1.63 |
| ..Naturalized citizen | 10,880 | 121,00 | 3,077 | 10,890 | 120,100 | 3,917 | -0.8 | 2.01 |
| ..Not a citizen | 9,056 | 90,510 | 2,559 | 9,063 | 87,190 | 2,521 | *-3.7 | 1.96 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 22,510 | 122,700 | 3,40 | 22,510 | 122,000 | 2,298 | -0.6 | 1.50 |
| M idwest | 27,630 | 107,300 | 2,159 | 27,660 | 108,100 | 2,085 | 0.7 | 1.33 |
| South | 48,590 | 102,100 | 1,031 | 48,630 | 101,900 | 1,011 | -0.2 | 0.60 |
| West | 28,850 | 119,700 | 2,105 | 28,870 | 120,900 | 1,614 | 1.0 | 1.08 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside metropolitan statistical areas | 109,700 | 115,500 | 1,301 | 109,800 | 115,500 | 1,292 | 0.0 | 0.66 |
| ...nside principal cities | 42,560 | 105,300 | 2,187 | 42,570 | 103,100 | 2,244 | *-2.1 | 1.36 |
| ..Outside principal cities | 67,170 | 121,00 | 1,155 | 67,230 | 122,000 | 1,281 | *0.7 | 0.63 |
| Outside metro politan statistical areas | 17,850 | 85,450 | 1,765 | 17,870 | 84,720 | 1,775 | -0.9 | 1.48 |
| EARNINGS OF FULL-TIM E, YEAR-ROUND WORKERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Full-Time, Year-Round Workers | 115,700 | 76,710 | 429 | 115,700 | 76,260 | 434 | *-0.6 | 0.34 |
| M en with earnings | 66,380 | 85,480 | 809 | 66,500 | 84,780 | 1,889 | -0.8 | 1.61 |
| Wo men with earnings | 49,290 | 65,610 | 553 | 49,230 | 65,390 | 623 | -0.3 | 0.66 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.768 | 0.008 | N | 0.771 | 0.016 | 0.5 | 1.74 |
| EARNINGS OF ALL WORKERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All workers | 166,300 | 65,600 | 402 | 166,300 | 65,320 | 504 | *-0.4 | 0.42 |
| All men with earnings | 88,100 | 76,070 | 537 | 88,020 | 75,640 | 553 | *-0.6 | 0.47 |
| All wo men with earnings | 78,200 | 53,410 | 1,044 | 78,290 | 54,800 | 838 | *2.6 | 1.27 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.702 | 0.013 | N | 0.725 | 0.011 | *3.2 | 1.39 |

*An asterisk preceding an estimate indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level.
N Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ A margin of error is a measure of an estimate's variability. The larger the margin of error in relation to the size of the estimate, the less reliable the estimate. This number, when added to and subtracted from the estimate, forms the 90 percent confidence interval. M argin of errors shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights. Formore information, see "Standard Errors and Their Use" at <www2.census.gov/library/publications/2018/demo/p60-263sa.pdf>
${ }^{2}$ Federal surveys give respondents the option of reporting more than one race. Therefore, two basic ways of defining a race group are possible. A group such as Asian may be defined as those who reported Asian and no other race (the race-alone or single-race concept) or as those who reported Asian regardless of whether they also reported another race (the race-alone-or-in-combination concept). This table shows data using the first approach (race alone). The use of the single-race population does not imply that it is the preferred method of presenting or analyzing data. The Census Bureau uses a variety of approaches. Information on peo ple who reported more than one race, such as White and American Indian and Alaska Native or Asian and Black or African American, is available from the 2010 Census through American FactFinder. About 2.9 percent of people reported more than one race in the 2010 Census. Data for American Indians and Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians and Other Pacific Islanders, and those reporting two or more races are not shown separately.
${ }^{3}$ For information on metropolitan statistical areas and principal cities, see [https://wnw.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html](https://wnw.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html).
Note: Inflation-adjusted estimates may differ slightly from other published data due to rounding.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2018 Annual Social and Economic Supplement, Legacy and Updated (Research) Files.

Table 13: Comparison of Household Income under the 2018 Legacy and Updated Files: 95th Percentile
(Income in 2017 dollars. Households and people as of $M$ arch of the following year. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions. see $\mathbf{w w w 2 . c e n s u s . g o v / p r o g r a m s - s u r v e y s / c p s / t e c h d o c s / c p s m a r 1 8 . p d f ) ~}$

| Characteristic | Legacy |  |  | Updated |  |  | Percentage change* in real 95th Percentile income (Updated less Legacy) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (thousands) | 95th Percentile income (dollars) |  | Number (thousands) | 95th Percentile income (dollars) |  |  |  |
|  |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |  | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { percent } \\ \mathrm{Cl} \end{gathered}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Households | 127,600 | 237,100 | 3,672 | 127,700 | 243,900 | 3,702 | *2.9 | 1.19 |
| Type of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family households | 83,090 | 264,500 | 4,323 | 83,520 | 275,300 | 4,391 | *4.1 | 1.32 |
| . M arried-couple | 61,240 | 289,400 | 6,218 | 61,870 | 300,400 | 3,457 | 3.8 | 1.84 |
| .Female householder, no spouse present | 15,420 | 155,700 | 3,465 | 15,300 | 153,000 | 4,946 | -1.8 | 2.43 |
| . M ale householder, no spouse present | 6,424 | 201,200 | 5,751 | 6,351 | 194,300 | 8,916 | -3.4 | 3.73 |
| Nonfamily households | 44,500 | 161,000 | 4,284 | 44,150 | 156,600 | 4,927 | *-2.7 | 2.53 |
| .Female householder | 23,480 | 136,800 | 6,916 | 23,320 | 134,200 | 4,472 | -1.9 | 3.77 |
| M ale householder | 21,020 | 185,700 | 7,021 | 20,830 | 183,700 | 6,432 | -1.1 | 3.06 |
| Race ${ }^{2}$ and Hispanic Origin of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 100,100 | 242,100 | 3,076 | 100,100 | 250,500 | 3,205 | *3.5 | 1.07 |
| ..White, not Hispanic | 84,680 | 250,900 | 3,187 | 84,710 | 260,600 | 4,131 | *3.9 | 1.25 |
| Black | 17,000 | 166,000 | 4,980 | 17,020 | 166,900 | 6,123 | 0.6 | 3.06 |
| Asian | 6,735 | 308,200 | 13,180 | 6,750 | 309,100 | 12,160 | 0.3 | 3.00 |
| Hispanic (any race) | 17,320 | 180,400 | 5,958 | 17,340 | 181,900 | 5,349 | 0.8 | 2.61 |
| Age of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 65 years | 94,610 | 250,600 | 2,540 | 94,700 | 256,300 | 4,353 | *2.3 | 1.28 |
| .. 15 to 24 years | 6,211 | 150,500 | 10,020 | 6,223 | 144,900 | 11,050 | -3.7 | 6.16 |
| .. 25 to 34 years | 20,260 | 205,200 | 6,409 | 20,260 | 202,300 | 5,296 | -1.4 | 2.17 |
| .. 35 to 44 years | 21,580 | 258,500 | 8,172 | 21,610 | 262,200 | 7,173 | 1.4 | 2.56 |
| .. 45 to 54 years | 22,540 | 272,600 | 6,341 | 22,570 | 281,500 | 8,290 | *3.2 | 2.20 |
| .. 55 to 64 years | 24,020 | 269,800 | 10,010 | 24,050 | 284,600 | 7,973 | *5.5 | 3.18 |
| 65 years and older | 32,970 | 188,500 | 4,286 | 32,970 | 198,400 | 7,871 | *5.3 | 3.34 |
| Nativity of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native born | 107,700 | 235,500 | 4,227 | 107,700 | 243,500 | 3,810 | *3.4 | 1.39 |
| Foreign born | 19,930 | 243,000 | 6,923 | 19,950 | 245,700 | 9,165 | 1.1 | 2.60 |
| ..Naturalized citizen | 10,880 | 260,200 | 15,260 | 10,890 | 265,500 | 12,270 | 2.0 | 4.61 |
| ..Not a citizen | 9,056 | 220,100 | 9,544 | 9,063 | 210,300 | 10,260 | *-4.4 | 3.34 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 22,510 | 265,100 | 8,364 | 22,510 | 276,500 | 9,714 | *4.3 | 2.59 |
| Midwest | 27,630 | 221,700 | 6,451 | 27,660 | 228,400 | 6,256 | *3.0 | 2.23 |
| South | 48,590 | 220,000 | 4,790 | 48,630 | 221,800 | 4,735 | 0.8 | 1.58 |
| West | 28,850 | 250,300 | 6,814 | 28,870 | 259,800 | 7,773 | *3.8 | 2.01 |
| Residence ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~F}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside metropolitan statistical areas | 109,700 | 245,200 | 3,768 | 109,800 | 252,200 | 2,959 | *2.9 | 1.13 |
| ...Inside principal cities | 42,560 | 237,200 | 8,262 | 42,570 | 243,400 | 7,798 | *2.6 | 2.22 |
| ..Outside principal cities | 67,170 | 250,200 | 4,159 | 67,230 | 258,700 | 5,774 | *3.4 | 1.66 |
| Outside metro politan statistical areas | 17,850 | 177,100 | 6,617 | 17,870 | 177,500 | 5,081 | 0.2 | 2.79 |
| EARNINGS OF FULL-TIM E, YEAR-ROUND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Full-Time, Year-Round Workers | 115,700 | 156,700 | 5,453 | 115,700 | 155,700 | 5,171 | -0.7 | 2.30 |
| M en with earnings | 66,380 | 180,800 | 2,608 | 66,500 | 180,400 | 4,242 | -0.2 | 1.60 |
| Wo men with earnings | 49,290 | 126,000 | 1,250 | 49,230 | 126,400 | 1,186 | 0.3 | 0.70 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.697 | 0.011 | N | 0.700 | 0.017 | 0.5 | 1.72 |
| EARNINGS OF ALL WORKERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All workers | 166,300 | 146,200 | 2,746 | 166,300 | 145,900 | 3,128 | -0.2 | 1.40 |
| All men with earnings | 88,100 | 161,900 | 2,931 | 88,020 | 161,500 | 1,620 | -0.3 | 1.39 |
| All wo men with earnings | 78,200 | 112,000 | 1,564 | 78,290 | 111,600 | 1,053 | -0.3 | 1.04 |
| Female-to-male earnings ratio | N | 0.692 | 0.015 | N | 0.691 | 0.009 | -0.1 | 1.76 |

*An asterisk preceding an estimate indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level.
N Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ A margin of error is a measure of an estimate's variability. The larger the margin of error in relation to the size of the estimate, the less reliable the estimate. This number, when added to and subtracted from the estimate, forms the 90 percent confidence interval. M argin of errors shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights. For more information, see "Standard Errors and Their Use" at <www2.census.gov/library/publications/2018/demo/p60-263sa.pdf>
${ }^{2}$ Federal surveys give respondents the option of reporting more than one race. Therefore, two basic ways of defining a race group are possible. A group such as Asian may be defined as those who reported Asian and no other race (the race-alone or single-race concept) or as those who reported Asian regardless of whether they also reported another race (the race-alone-or-in-combination concept). This table shows data using the first approach (race alone). The use of the single-race population does not imply that it is the preferred method of presenting or analyzing data. The Census Bureau uses a variety of approaches. Information on peo ple who reported more than one race, such as White and American Indian and Alaska Native or Asian and Black or African American, is available from the 2010 Census through American FactFinder. About 2.9 percent of people reported more than one race in the 2010 Census. Data for American Indians and Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians and Other Pacific Islanders, and those reporting two or more races are not shown separately.
${ }^{3}$ For information on metropolitan statistical areas and principal cities, see [https://wnw.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html](https://wnw.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html).
Note: Inflation-adjusted estimates may differ slightly from other published data due to rounding.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2018 Annual Social and Economic Supplement, Legacy and Updated (Research) Files.

## Table 14: Comparison of Inequality Statistics under the 2018 Legacy and Updated Files

(For information on confidentiaility protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/techdocs/cpsmar18.pdf)

| Measure | Legacy |  | Updatedwith Legacy Top Codes |  | Updated |  | Percentage change ${ }^{2{ }^{2}}$(Updated with Legacy Top Codes - Legacy) |  | Percentage change ${ }^{2 *}$ <br> (Updated - Updated with Legacy Top Codes) |  | Percentage change ${ }^{2+}$ (Updated-Legacy) |  | Share of Difference From Top Codes (Percent Change Updated-Updated with Legacy Top Codes)/Percent Change Updated - Legacy) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimate | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Estimate | Margin of $\operatorname{error}^{1}( \pm)$ | Estimate | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Estimate | Margin of error ${ }^{1}( \pm)$ | Estimate | Margin of error ${ }^{1}$ ( $\pm$ ) | Estimate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Margin of } \\ & \text { error }^{1}( \pm) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Shares of Aggregate Income by Percentile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Low est quintile | 3.1 | 0.05 | 3.1 | 0.05 | 3.04 | 0.05 | -0.2 | 1.10 | *-1.0 | 0.21 | *-1.2 | 1.13 | 0.87 |
| Second quintile | 8.2 | 0.08 | 8.2 | 0.08 | 8.10 | 0.09 | -0.3 | 0.68 | *1.0 | 0.21 | * 1.3 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Third quintilie | 14.3 | 0.11 | 14.1 | 0.11 | 14.00 | 0.12 | *-1.0 | 0.54 | *-1.0 | 0.21 | *-2.0 | 0.62 | 0.51 |
| Fourth quintile | 23.0 | 0.15 | 22.8 | 0.14 | 22.60 | 0.16 | *-0.7 | 0.47 | *-1.0 | 0.22 | *-1.6 | 0.55 | 0.60 |
| Highest quintile | 51.5 | 0.33 | 51.8 | 0.31 | 52.26 | 0.35 | ${ }^{*} 0.6$ | 0.42 | ${ }^{*} 0$ | 0.20 | *1.6 | 0.51 | 0.60 |
| Top 5 percent | 22.3 | 0.40 | 22.6 | 0.36 | 23.18 | 0.44 | 1.0 | 1.21 | *2.7 | 0.68 | *3.8 | 1.53 | 0.73 |
| Summary Measures |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gini index of income inequality | ${ }^{0.482}$ | 0.0034 | ${ }^{0.484}$ | 0.0032 | 0.4891 | 0.0036 | ${ }^{*} 0.5$ | 0.46 | ${ }^{*} .0$ | 0.22 | * 1.5 | 0.55 | 0.65 |
| Mean logarithmic deviation of income | 0.609 | 0.0121 | 0.609 | 0.0114 | 0.6169 | 0.0119 | -0.1 | 1.19 | *1.3 | 0.30 | 1.2 | 1.27 | 1.05 |
| Theil | 0.424 | 0.0089 | 0.428 | 0.0084 | 0.4414 | 0.0103 | 1.1 | 1.34 | *3.1 | 0.93 | * 4.2 | 1.81 | 0.75 |
| e=0.25 | 0.103 | 0.0018 | 0.103 | 0.0017 | 0.1061 | 0.0020 | 0.9 | 1.13 | *2.5 | 0.69 | *3.5 | 1.46 | 0.73 |
| e=0.50 | 0.202 | 0.0030 | 0.203 | 0.0028 | 0.2072 | 0.0032 | 0.7 | 0.95 | *2.0 | 0.51 | ${ }^{2} .8$ | 1.18 | 0.74 |
| $\mathrm{e}=0.75$ | 0.310 | 0.0041 | 0.309 | 0.0038 | 0.3134 | 0.0042 | -0.4 | 0.83 | * 1.5 | 0.36 | *1.1 | 0.96 | 1.31 |

${ }^{*}$ An asterisk preceding an estimate indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level.
${ }^{1}$ A margin of error is a measure of an estimate's variability. The larger the margin of error in relation to the size of the estimate, the less reliable the estimate. This number, when added to and subtracted from the estimate, forms the 90 percent confidence interval. Margins of error shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights. For more information, see "Standard Errors and Their Use" at
<www2.census.gov/library/publications/2018/demo/P60-263sa.pdf>
${ }^{2}$ Calculated estimate may be different due to rounded components.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2018 Annual Social and Economic Supplement, Legacy and Updated (Bridge) Files.

Table 15: Comparison Year-to-Year Changes of Household Income under Legacy and Updated Processing System: Median
(Income in 2017 dollars. Households and people as of M arch of the following year. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/cps/techdocs/cpsmar18.pdf)

| Characteristic | Legacy |  | Updated |  | Percentage change <br> (2017 less 2016 ) |  | Difference in Percentage Change (Updated less Legacy) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | Legacy | Updated | Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 90 \text { Percent } \\ \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |
| HOUSEHOLDS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Households | 60,310 | 61,370 | 60,490 | 61,140 | * 1.76 | 1.07 | -0.69 | 0.79 |
| Type of Household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family households | 76,680 | 77,710 | 76,660 | 77,800 | * 1.35 | * 1.48 | 0.13 | 0.91 |
| M arried-couple | 88,930 | 90,390 | 89,240 | 91,330 | * 1.64 | * 2.35 | 0.71 | 0.87 |
| .Female householder, no spouse present | 41,910 | 41,700 | 41,510 | 41,650 | -0.49 | 0.34 | 0.84 | 1.62 |
| M Male householder, no spouse present | 59,300 | 60,840 | 58,050 | 58,220 | 2.60 | 0.29 | -2.32 | 3.59 |
| Nonfamily households | 36,530 | 36,650 | 36,540 | 36,340 | 0.33 | -0.54 | -0.87 | 1.25 |
| .Female householder | 31,230 | 30,750 | 31,470 | 31,160 | -1.54 | -0.99 | 0.55 | 1.70 |
| M ale householder | 42,650 | 44,250 | 42,780 | 42,800 | 3.76 | 0.05 | * -3.71 | 3.21 |
| Race ${ }^{2}$ and Hispanic Origin of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 63,190 | 65,270 | 63,280 | 64,830 | * 3.30 | * 2.45 | * -0.85 | 0.83 |
| ..White, not Hispanic | 66,440 | 68,150 | 66,850 | 68,190 | * 2.57 | * 2.01 | -0.56 | 1.11 |
| Black | 40,340 | 40,260 | 40,610 | 39,360 | -0.20 | -3.06 | * -2.86 | 2.75 |
| Asian | 83,180 | 81,330 | 82,620 | 81,390 | -2.23 | -1.48 | 0.74 | 2.22 |
| Hispanic (any race) | 48,700 | 50,490 | 47,940 | 50,170 | * 3.67 | * 4.64 | 0.97 | 1.72 |
| Age of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 65 years | 67,920 | 69,630 | 67,610 | 69,260 | * 2.52 | * 2.44 | -0.08 | 0.91 |
| .. 15 to 24 years | 42,550 | 40,090 | 41,890 | 38,950 | -5.78 | * -7.01 | -1.23 | 3.29 |
| .. 25 to 34 years | 62,240 | 62,290 | 61,310 | 61,240 | 0.08 | -0.11 | -0.19 | 1.70 |
| . 35 to 44 years | 76,080 | 78,370 | 75,470 | 78,850 | * 3.00 | * 4.48 | 1.48 | 2.05 |
| .. 45 to 54 years | 78,870 | 80,670 | 79,370 | 80,160 | * 2.28 | 0.99 | -1.29 | 1.42 |
| .. 55 to 64 years | 66,640 | 68,570 | 67,120 | 68,900 | 2.89 | 2.65 | -0.24 | 2.02 |
| 65 years and older | 40,680 | 41,130 | 41,400 | 41,300 | 1.10 | -0.25 | -1.35 | 1.87 |
| Nativity of Householder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Native born | 61,070 | 61,990 | 61,340 | 61,870 | * 1.51 | 0.86 | -0.65 | 0.83 |
| Foreign born | 56,750 | 57,270 | 56,200 | 56,420 | 0.92 | 0.39 | -0.52 | 2.10 |
| ..Naturalized citizen | 65,270 | 65,860 | 65,110 | 64,530 | 0.91 | -0.89 | -1.80 | 3.01 |
| ..Not a citizen | 49,100 | 49,740 | 47,920 | 49,160 | 1.30 | 2.60 | 1.30 | 2.84 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 65,770 | 66,450 | 66,300 | 65,590 | 1.03 | -1.06 | -2.09 | 2.10 |
| M idwest | 59,560 | 61,140 | 59,590 | 61,120 | 2.65 | 2.57 | -0.08 | 1.60 |
| South | 55,020 | 55,710 | 54,840 | 55,770 | 1.25 | 1.71 | 0.46 | 1.53 |
| West | 65,660 | 67,520 | 66,270 | 66,960 | 2.83 | 1.04 | * -1.80 | 1.65 |
| Residence ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Inside metropolitan statistical areas | 62,850 | 64,270 | 63,030 | 63,590 | * 2.25 | 0.90 | * -1.35 | 0.89 |
| ...nside principal cities | 55,850 | 55,710 | 55,970 | 54,960 | -0.25 | -1.80 | * -1.55 | 1.53 |
| ..Outside principal cities | 67,750 | 69,360 | 67,900 | 69,920 | * 2.37 | * 2.98 | 0.62 | 1.19 |
| Outside metro politan statistical areas | 46,790 | 47,560 | 46,700 | 47,950 | 1.65 | 2.66 | 1.01 | 1.99 |

*An asterisk preceding an estimate indicates change is statistically different from zero at the 90 percent confidence level.
N Not applicable.
${ }^{1}$ A margin of error is a measure of an estimate's variability. The larger the margin of error in relation to the size of the estimate, the less reliable the estimate. This number, when added to and subtracted from the estimate, forms the 90 percent confidence interval. Margin of errors shown in this table are based on standard errors calculated using replicate weights. For more information, see "Standard Errors and Their Use" at
<www2.census.gov/library/publications/2018/demo/p60-263sa.pdf>
${ }^{2}$ Federal surveys give respondents the option of reporting more than one race. Therefore, two basic ways of defining a race group are possible. A group such as Asian may be defined as those who reported Asian and no other race (the race-alone or single-race concept) or as those who reported Asian regardless of whether they also reported another race (the race-alone-or-in-combination concept). This table shows data using the first approach (race alone). The use of the single-race population does not imply that it is the preferred method of presenting or analyzing data. The Census Bureau uses a variety of approaches. Information on peo ple who reported more than one race, such as White and American Indian and Alaska Native or Asian and Black or African American, is available from the 2010 Census through American FactFinder. About 2.9 percent of people reported more than one race in the 2010 Census. Data for American Indians and Alaska Natives, Native Hawaiians and Other Pacific Islanders, and those reporting two or more races are not shown separately.
${ }^{3}$ For information on metro politan statistical areas and principal cities, see <https://www.census .gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro/about/glossary.html>. Note: Inflation-adjusted estimates may differ slightly from other published data due to rounding.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Current Population Survey, 2017 and 2018 Annual Social and Economic Supplement, Legacy and Updated (Research in 2017 and Bridge in 2018 ) Files.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For background on the redesign, see Semega and Welniak (2015).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ We chose to use only ranges from earnings from the longest job because including multiple bracket responses would have required a more complete overhaul of the imputation system. Furthermore, including four possible sets of range brackets in the hot deck model, on top of the demographic and social characteristics already included, would have resulted in some very sparse imputation cells by increasing the number of cells by a factor of 625.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ In the 1988 questionnaire, the interest question specifically mentioned checking and savings accounts, money market funds, bonds, treasury notes, Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs), certificates of deposits or any other investments which pay interest. The 1988 questionnaire can be found at https://www.nber.org/cps/cpsmar88.oldformat.pdf.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ I use the naming convention of legacy file to refer to data processed under the legacy processing system and updated file to refer to data processed using the updated processing system.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ This affected a very small number of subfamilies. The error occurred because we assign $\$ 1$ to income recodes where a gain and a loss sum to $\$ 0$. For example, if a person has $\$ 1,000$ in wage income and has a $\$ 1,000$ loss in self-employment, we would recode their total income to be $\$ 1$ to indicate that they had income and a loss rather than \$0.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ The percent difference in the number of people with dividends was not statistically different from the percent difference in the number of people with rental income.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ These two groups were affected by the inclusion of same-sex marriages in the demographic edits.

[^7]:    ${ }^{8}$ When comparing the updated file with legacy top codes and the legacy files, the share of income in the third, fourth, and fifth quintiles are statistically different as is the Gini coefficient.
    ${ }^{9}$ This is merely an accounting exercise to decompose the statistically significant changes. No statistical significance is implied or has been tested.

