

Using the American Community Survey (ACS) to Implement a Supplemental Poverty Measure (SPM)

Liana Fox, José Pacas, Brian Glassman
Social, Economic and Housing Statistics Division
ACS Data Users Conference
May 11, 2017

The views expressed in this research, including those related to statistical, methodological, technical, or operational issues, are solely those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the official positions or policies of the Census Bureau. The author accepts responsibility for all errors.

This presentation is released to inform interested parties of ongoing research and to encourage discussion of work in progress. This presentation reports the results of research and analysis undertaken by Census Bureau staff. It has undergone more limited review than official publications.

Why Try to Implement the SPM in the ACS?

- Current Population Survey (CPS) ASEC sample not large enough for
 - Single year state level poverty estimates
 - Substate poverty estimates, e.g. metro area
- Researchers across country using the ACS to estimate SPM-like measures
 - New York City, Wisconsin, Urban Institute, New York State, Philadelphia, San Francisco, California, Virginia
 - Interest in production of comparable estimates
 - Provide estimates for jurisdictions not able to fund their own research operations

Contribution of Paper

- This paper builds off of previous research at Census (Renwick, et al. 2012 and Renwick 2015) regarding implementing the SPM in the ACS.
 - Tests imputation models for another year of comparison: 2014
 - Double-coding for accuracy

Implementation Challenges: Using the ACS

- Unit of analysis – ACS does not identify unrelated subfamilies
- Thresholds
 - Inflation adjustment
 - Geographic unit for cost of living adjustment
- Resources
 - Noncash benefits
 - Necessary expenditures
 - Taxes
 - Childcare and other work-related expenses
 - Medical out-of-pocket expenses

Challenges (cont.)

■ Unit of Analysis

- IPUMS rules used to assign relationship codes to unrelated individuals
 - Uses age, marital status, and the order to assign pointers
 - Reduces the number of unrelated individuals from 51.6 million to about 47 million


■ Thresholds

- Translated MSAs to PUMA level to adjust for geographic differences in the cost of living
- Used an average calendar year threshold and adjusted income

Data on Noncash Benefits: CPS vs. ACS


Noncash Benefits	CPS ASEC		ACS	
	Receipt	Amount	Receipt	Amount
SNAP – Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	YES	YES	YES	NO
WIC - Women, Infants and Children Nutrition Program	YES	NO	NO	NO
Regular School Lunch	YES	NO	NO	NO
Free or Reduced Price School Lunch	YES	NO	NO	NO
Housing Assistance	YES	NO	NO	NO
LIHEAP – Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program	YES	YES	NO	NO

Aggregate Amounts Added To Resources



Sources: 2014 American Community Survey and 2015 Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement

Marginal Impact on SPM Rates




Sources: 2014 American Community Survey and 2015 Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement

Data on Necessary Expenditures


Necessary Expenditures	CPS ASEC	ACS
Taxes	NO	NO
Childcare	YES	NO
Medical Out of Pocket (MOOP)	YES	NO
Child Support Paid	YES	NO

Aggregate Amounts Subtracted From Resources



Sources: 2014 American Community Survey and 2015 Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement

Marginal Impact on SPM Rates




Sources: 2014 American Community Survey and 2015 Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement

Comparing CPS and ACS Poverty Estimates

- Official* poverty estimates from the two sources are not identical
 - Different reference periods
 - ACS less detailed income reporting
- SPM poverty estimates also impacted by
 - Lack of relationship pointers
 - Imprecise imputations
- Poverty rates shown here are preliminary

*The CPS ASEC is the source of official poverty estimates for the nation.


2014 Official Poverty Rates: CPS vs ACS



Sources: 2014 American Community Survey and 2015 Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement

Note: The CPS ASEC is the source of official poverty estimates for the nation.


2014 SPM Poverty Rates: CPS vs ACS



Sources: 2014 American Community Survey and 2015 Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement

Note: The CPS ASEC is the source of official poverty estimates for the nation.


2014 SPM Poverty Rates: CPS vs ACS





Sources: 2014 American Community Survey and 2015 Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement

Note: The CPS ASEC is the source of official poverty estimates for the nation.



Comparing SPM and Official Poverty Rates: 2014 ACS




Comparing SPM and Official Poverty Rates: 2014 ACS




Comparing Impact of SNAP Benefits on SPM Poverty Rate: 2014 ACS





Comparing Impact of EITC Benefits on SPM Poverty Rates: 2014



Source: American Community Survey 2014 Public Use Data





Comparing Impact of MOOP on SPM Poverty Rates: 2014



Source: American Community Survey, 2014 Public Use File


Official Poverty Rates: New York City 2014

NYC Poverty Rates



SPM Poverty Rates: New York City 2014

NYC Poverty Rates



Next Steps

- Improve Imputation Models and Methods
- Release Public Use Research File
 - Solicit feedback from data users
- Extend to Additional Years

Contact Information

Liana Fox

Social, Economic and Housing Statistics Division
Bureau of the Census
Department of Commerce
liana.e.fox@census.gov
(301) 763-2676

Extra Slides

Imputation Strategy


- Use data from the CPS ASEC to
 - Model program participation for WIC, school lunch, housing assistance and LIHEAP – using logistic regression model
 - Model benefit amounts for SNAP and LIHEAP – using predicted means match
- Use administrative data to assign values to WIC, school lunch and housing assistance

Imputation Strategy


- Taxes – use TAXSIM
 - Limited relationship data to model tax units
 - Less specific data on income sources
- Childcare
 - Logistic regression to model whether unit pays for child care
 - Predicted means match to set weekly amount from CPS ASEC
 - Use reports of weeks worked
- MOOP
 - Eight groups
 - Predicted means match to model premium amounts for those reporting private insurance
 - Model other MOOP for different groups by insurance status using predicted means match

SNAP Benefits

Reciency Rates (as reported)



Mean Annual Amounts (imputed)




Sources: 2014 American Community Survey and 2015 Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement

Kernel Density Plot Comparing SNAP Amount: ACS Imputed vs. CPS Reported




SNAP Benefits

Aggregate Amount Added to Resources




Impact on SPM Poverty Rates




Sources: 2014 American Community Survey and 2015 Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement

Earned Income Tax Credit

Recipiency Rate




Mean Amounts




Sources: 2014 American Community Survey and 2015 Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement

Earned Income Tax Credit

Aggregate Amount Added to Resources




Impact on SPM Poverty Rates




Sources: 2014 American Community Survey and 2015 Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement

Kernel Density Plot Comparing FICA Values:
ACS Imputed vs. CPS Reported



Kernel Density Plot Comparing Earned Income Tax Credit Values:
ACS Imputed vs. CPS Reported




Kernel Density Plot Comparing Federal Income Tax Values:
ACS Imputed vs. CPS Reported




Medical Out of Pocket Expenditures: MOOP

Aggregate Amount Subtracted from Resources




Impact on SPM Poverty Rates




Sources: 2014 American Community Survey and 2015 Current Population Survey Annual Social and Economic Supplement


Kernel Density Plot Comparing Medical Out of Pocket Amount: ACS Imputed vs. CPS Reported





Comparing SPM and Official Poverty Rates: 2014 ACS



Comparing SPM and Official Poverty Rates: 2014 CPS ASEC



Comparing Impact of SNAP Benefits on SPM Poverty Rate: 2014 ACS



Comparing Impact of SNAP Benefits on SPM Poverty Rates: 2014 CPS ASEC

