# PROJECTIONS OF THE NUMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS, BY STATES JULV 1, 1970 AND 1975 

This report presents two series of projections for 1970 and 1975 of the number of households, by State. These are the first State household projections published by the Bureau of the Census and are illustrative. They have been prepared in response to requests from users of household data for figures on future numbers of households in each State that would be consistent with the national household projections and the State population projections published by the Bureau of the Census in 1967.

The projections were prepared by distributing the projected national total number of households by States, on the assumption that (1) the future population of the States will be as indicated in the prom Jections of State populations, (2) differences between States in the percentages of adults who are housebold heads will persist into the future, and (3) changes in the percentages of adults who are household heads will be the same for each State as is projected for the Nation. A full description of the method and an evaluation of the assumptions are given below.

The projections show an increase from about 57.3 million households in the United States in July 1965 to between 62.4 and 63.3 million in July 1970, and 68.2 to $70.0^{\circ}$ million in July 1975. California leads all the States in the projected number of
households, with about 6.8 or' 6.9 million in 1970 , and 7.9 to 8.1 million in 1975. California also leads in the number of husband-wife households, with about 4.6 or 4.7 million in 1970 , and 5.3 or 5.4 million in 1975. Alaska has the smallestprojected number of households--71,000 to 73,000 in 1970, and 79,000 to 81,000 in 1975.

Differences between States with respect to projected increase in the number of households are largely attributable to differences in projected population growth. Thus, California, with the largest projected population increase, also leads all the States in projected increase in the number of households, with a gain of between 969,000 and 1.1 million between 1965 and 1970 and a gain of 2.1 to 2.3 million for the ten-year period 1965 to 1975 . Wyoming has the smallest amount of increase for the same two periods, with projected increases of about 6,000 or 7,000 by 1970 , and 14,000 to 18,000 by 1975.

However, other factors may cause the projected trend in the number of households in a State to differ from the trend of population. For example, West Virginia shows a slight increase in the projected number of households in spite of a slight decline in projected total population. This is attributable, in part, to the anticipated aging of the population of West Virginia. The projected population decline occurs among persons under

[^0]20 years old, very few of whom form their own households, whereas the adult population shows a slight increase in the projections.

The figures for States in this report should be used with consideration for the limitations imposed by the method of projection. (See below:)

## PROJECTION SERIES

Household projection Series 1 and 2 for the United States from Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 360, "Projections of the Number of Households and Families, 1967 to 1985," and State population projection Series I-B from Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 375, "Revised Projections of the Population of States, 1970 to 1985," were utilized in the development of the two State household projection series shown in this report. State household projections Series 1 is consistent with national household Series 1, and State household Series 2 is consistent with national household Series 2. Only one series of State population projections was used for this report because, for the period shown, the differences between the State population series are small; important differences between the series of State population projections in $\mathrm{P}-25$, No. 375, appear only in the period after 1975, which is not covered in this report.

## METHOD OF PROJECTION

The method for preparing the projections of households by State shown in this report was generally as follows: Percentages of persons who were household heads ("headship rates") and other relevant percentages were developed from the 1960 Census, by age, by State. These percentages were applied to the State population projections, by age, to obtain preliminary projections of the number of households by State, within each age group. These preliminary prom jections were then adjusted to the projected national number of households by age (projection Series 1 and 2, shown in report Series P-25, No. 360 ) to yield the final projections by States.

The specific steps involved are shown below:

1. Data for both sexes combined from the 1960 Census were posted by State, by age ( 14 to 19 , 20 to 24,25 to 44,45 to 64 , and 65 and over) for the following categories:
(t) Total persons in age group
(a) Heads of husband-wife primary families
(b) Other primary family heads
(c) Primary individuals
(d) Household members not heads
(e) Persons not in households
2. The following household headship rates, and other proportions, were calculated by State, by age:
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3. State population projections for 1970 and 1975 by age (as above) were posted.
4. Preliminary projections of the 1970 and 1975 population in each class, (a) to (e), by age, by State were calculated (step $2 \times$ step 3 ).
5. The preliminary projections (step 4) were summed over all States to United States totals by age, for each class, (a) to (e).
6. Projections for the United States for 1970 and 1975 (Series 1 and 2) by age, for each class, (a) to (e), were posted.
7. Adjustment factors by age, for each class, (a) to (e), were calculated (step $6 \div$ step 5 ).
8. Adjustment factors derived in step 7 were applied to preliminary projections of the 1970 and 1975 population in each class, (a) to (e), by age. by State (step $7 \times$ step 4). The overall effect of this step was an upward adjustment of between 4.2 and 5.7 percent for 1970, and between 5.4 and 8.1 percent for 1975 , in the preliminary projections of the total number of households (from step 5).

Other possible methods by which these projections might have beenprepared, such as separate projection of changes in headship rates by States, were considered to be beyond the scope permitted by available time and resources. Such refinement of the method might have reduced the size of the needed adjustment.

## EVALUATION OF THE METHOD

The foregoing method assumes the national household projections and the State population projections cited above. In joining these to produce State household projections, the method makes two further assumptions: (1) It assumes that the interstate differences in household headship rates as shown in the 1960 Census will persist into the future; (2) it assumes that changes in headship ratesby States will occur uniformly, that is, that between

4060 and 1975 the trends in the headship rates, by age, will be the same for each State as for the Unted States.

The assumptions underlying the national household projections were described in Series P-25, No. 360 , and the assumptions underlying the State population projections were described in Series $P-25$, No. 375. The validity of the assumptions by which these were joined to produce State household projections was checked in the following manner:

A study was made of the success with which the number of households by States in 1960, as given by the Census, could be estimated from the information on the 1960 Census population by States and the 1950 Census headship rates, by states, using a method corresponding to the method described above. The 1950 headship rates were appited to 1960 Census State population figures to obtain preliminary estimates of the number of households by State, within each age group. These preliminary 1960 estimates were then adjusted proportionately so that they added to the total number of households for the United States (by age of head) as given in the 1960 Census. (This step tnvolves the assumption of uniform changes in headship rates.) The effect of this step was an upward adjustment of 9.9 percent in the preliminary estimates of the number of households with the adjustment varying according to age of head and type of household.

The adjusted 1960 estimates were then compared with the 1960 Census figures on households by State. The results of this sudy are shown in table A. The difference between the adjusted 1960 estimates and the 1960 Census was less than 4 percent in all but a few States. The average (mean) deviation disregarding sign was 2.7 percent over all States. These results suggest that, for most States, the assumptions inherent in the estimating method held reasonably true for the 1950-to-1960 period.

Comparison of the preliminary 1960 estimate and the adjusted 1960 estimate with the number of households for each state given in the 1960 Census indicated that making the adjustment to national household totals provided an improved estimate for the great majority of States. For 43 out of 50 States, and for the District of Columbia, the adjusted 1960 estimate was definitely better than the preliminary estimate; that is, the adjusted estimate was closer to the 1960 Census figure for the State than was the preliminary estimate. For six States, the adjusted estimate was worse than the preliminary estimate and for one other State the adjusted estimate was not much better than the preliminary estimate. However,
for none of these seven States did the adjusted estimate differ by more than 7.4 percent from the number of households given in the 1960 Census.

TABLE A.--DISTRIBUTION OF STATES BY PERCENT DEVIATION OF 1960 ESTIMATE OF HOUSEHOLDS FROM 1960 CENSUS NTMBER OF HOUSEHOLDS

| Percent deviation of 1960 estimate from 1960 Census figure | Total households | Husband <br> wife <br> house <br> holds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total, 50 states and the District of Columbia....... | 52 | 51 |
| 0 to 1.9. | 24 | 22 |
| 2.0 to 3.9. | 15 | 17 |
| 4.0 to 5.9. | 7 | 8 |
| 6.0 to 7.9. | 4 | 2 |
| 8.0 and over | 1 | 2 |
| Mean deviation. | 2.68 | 2.91 |

As noted above in the section on "Method of projection," the calculations were performed in considerable derail by type of household and age of head. It is believed that this feature of the method makes the projections shown here better than they would have been in the absence of this detail. However, the detailed numbers by type of household and age of head that were generated in the process of preparing the totals shown in this report are not regarded as themselves having sufficient reliability to merit publication. This judgment is based on an evaluation of detail by type of household and by age of head that was made in connection with the study of the 1960 estimates, reported above.

## RELATED REPORTS

Statistics on household projections for the United States are presented in Current Population Reports, Series P-25, No. 360. Population prom jections by State are presented in Series P-25, No. 375. The 1965 estimates of the number of households by State shown in this 'report were developed by a procedure similar to that used for the projections. These estimates were presented in Series P-25, No. 356, along with corresponding figures for 1950 and 1960 and figures on average annual rates of change.

## DEFINITIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Household.--A household consists of all the persons who occupy a housing unit. A house, an
apartment or other group of rooms, or a single room, is regarded as a housing unit when it is occupied or intended for occupancy as separate living quarters; that is, when the occupants do not live and eat with any other persons in the structure and there is either (1) direct access from the outside or through a common hall or (2) a kitchen or cooking equipment for the exclusive use of the occupants.

A household includes the related family members and all the unrelated persons, if any, such as lodgers, foster children, wards, or employees who share the housing unit. A person living alone in a housing unit, or a group of two to five urrelated persons sharing a housing unit as partners, is also counted as a household. The count of households excludes group quarters.

A "husband-wife" household is a household in which the head is a married man whose wife lives with him. The other types of households are those in which the head is a family head with no spouse present, and those in which the head lives alone or with nonrelatives only (primary indjviduals). While detail on these other types of households was used in preparing the totals shown in this report, it is not being made available separately, for reasons stated in the section above on "Evaluation of the method."

## ROUNDING OF ESTIMATES

Estimates presented in the tables of this report have been rounded to the nearest thousand without being adjusted to group totals which are inde.pendently rounded. Percentages are based on unrounded numbers.

| Region, division, and State | Estimate, July 1, 1965 | Projections |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | July 1, 1970 |  | July 1, 1975 |  |
|  |  | Series 1 | Series 2 | Series 1 | Series 2 |
| United States. TKIORE: | 57,300,000 | 63,300,000 | 62,425,000 | 70,001,000 | 68,229,000 |
|  | 14,311,000 | 15,453,000 | 15,258 | 16.713000 |  |
| $4^{4}$ ) North Central. | 16,069,000 | 17,266,000 | 17,033,000 | 1.8,708,000 | 18,242,000 |
| - . South. . | 17,093,000 | 19,139,000 | 18,874,000 | 21,320,000 | 20,792,000 |
| Mest. | 9,827,000 | 121,442,000 | 11,260,000 | 13,260,000 | 12,875,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,279,000 | 3,534,000 | 3,490,000 | 3,825,000 | 3,737,000 |
|  | 11,032,000 | 11,919,000 | 31,768,000 | 12,888,000 | 12,584,000 |
| ncerte cenmalu: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 East Noxth Central. | 111,254,000 | 12,165,000 | 12,002,000 | 13,266,000 | 12,939,000 |
| 51. West North Central. | 4,81.5,000 | 5,101,000 | 5,031,000 | 5,442,000 | 5,302,000 |
| Souris |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% South Atiantic. | 8,217,000 | 9,195,000 | 9,068,000 | 10,365,000 | 10,110,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ) cist South Central. | 3,560,000 | 3,904,000 | 3,554,000 | 4, 252,000 | 4,154,000 |
| 3) feest South Central. | 5,415,000 | 6,039,000 | 5,952,000 | 6,703,000 | 6,529,000 |
| 4. wers |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mourtajn. | 2,224,000 | 2,564,000 | 2,525;000 | 2,941,000 | 2,860,000 |
| Pamfic. | 7,603,000 | 8,878,000 | 8,735,000 | 10,319,000 | 10,014,000 |
| 4- Wa maiand: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 284,000 | 298,000 | 294,000 | 324,000 | 307,000 |
| 4) New Hampshire. | 198,000 | 222,000 | 218,000 | 246,000 | 240,000 |
| - | 215,000 | 124,000 | 122,000 | 134,000 | 133,000 |
| 4. Massachusatts. | 1,588,000 | 1,680,000 | 1,659,000 | 1,793,000 | 1,752,000 |
| 4 ${ }_{\text {a }}$ Phode Island. | 266,000 | 281,000 | 270,000 | 298,000 | 291,000 |
| comectiout. | 829,000 | 930,000 | 91.8,000 | 1,039,000 | 1,016,000 |
| - midele milantic: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) New York. | 5,609,000 | 6,081,000 | 5,998,000 | 6,593,000 | 6,425,000 |
| New jersey.. | 1,983,000 | 2,221,000 | 2,195,000 | 2,478,000 | 2,424,000 |
| Pernsylvania. | 3,440,000 | 3,617,000 | 3,575,000 | 3,818,000 | 3,736,000 |
| Whist norme central: |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% onio......... | 3,000,000 | 3,257,000 | 3,214,000 | 3,574,000 | 3,488,000 |
| \$ Indiana. | 1,456,000 | 1,577,000 | 1,555,000 | 1,721,000 | 1,679,000 |
| Mlinois. | 3,224,000 | 3,459,000 | 3,411,000 | 3,743,000 | 3,648,000 |
| Wisconsin. | 1, $1,193,000$ | 2,590,000 | 2,256,000 | 1,390,000 | 2,767,000 $1,357,000$ |
| yest north cmmand; |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota. . | 1,035,000 | 1,110,000 | 1,095,000 | 1,205,000 | 1,174,000 |
| Iowa.. | 848,000 | 881,000 | 869,000 | 1224,000 | 901,000 |
| - Missouri..... | 1,412,000 | 1,503,000 | 1,482,000 | 1.608,000 | 1,566,000 |
| - North Dakota. | 181,000 | 193,000 | 188,000 | 202,000 | 197,000 |
| . ${ }_{\text {a }}$ South Dakota, | 196,000 | 205,000 | 202,000 | 214,000 | 209,000 |
| \% Kensas... | 445,0001 | 469,000 | 463,000 | 496,000 | 483,000 |
| SOTMH ATS ANTITC: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ Marylanà., | 1,471,000 | 160,000 | 157,000 | 1.182,000 | 177,000 |
| - District of Coiumbia. | 260,000 | -108,000 | 1,024,000 | $1,262,000$ 308,000 | $\begin{array}{r}1,233,000 \\ \hline 297,000\end{array}$ |
| - Virginia. | 1., 201,000 | 1,356,000 | 1,338,000 | 1,515,000 | 1.,479,000 |
| - West Virginis. | 524,000 | 543,000 | 537,000 | 561,000 | 549,000 |
| - North Carozina. | 1,334,000 | 1,490,000 | 1,477,000 | 1,642,000 | 1,606,000 |
| - ${ }_{\text {S }}^{\text {South Carolina. }}$ | 1,661,000 | 737,000 | 728,000 | 812,000 | 794,000 |
| T- Florida. | 1.,225,000 | 2, 1, 174, 0 , | 1,3,300,000 | 2,501,000 | 2,465,000 |
| ESST SOEPH CINTRAL: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky. . | 897,000 | 966,000 | 953,000 | 1,031,000 | 1,007,000 |
| -. Tennessee. | 1,098,000 | 1,217,000 | 1, 201,000 | 1,333,000 | 1,303,000 |
|  | 960,000 | 1,060,000 | 1,046,000 | 1,167,000 | 1, 1.40,000 |
| Mississippi. | 605,000 | 652,000 | 653,000 | 721,000 | 703,000 |
| Vest south oentral: |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Arkansas.. | 570,000 | 630,000 | 622,000 | 683,000 | 666,000 |
| Loulbiana. | 977,000 | 1,089,000 | 1,074,000 | 1,220,000 | 1,189,000 |
| tramoma. | 7884,000 | 851,000 | 838,000 | 914,000 | 889,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana. | 212,000 | 228,000 | 225,000 | 248,000 | 241,000 |
| Tidigho... | 206,000 | 226,000 | 223,000 | 249,000 | 242,000 |
| Wyoming. | 100,000 | 107,000 | 106,000 | 1113,000 | 1114,000 |
| Colorado. | 588,000 | 670,000 | 660,000 | 760,000 | 739,000 |
| New Mexico | 267,000 | 300,000 | 296,000 | 34,000 | 336,000 |
| Utah. | -274,000 | 341,000 | 311,000 | 6465,000 | 630,000 355,000 |
| Nevada. | 134,000 | 175,000 | 172,000 | 208,000 | 202,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington. | 940,000 | 2,025,000 | 1,009,000 | 1,227,000 | 1,095,000 |
| Oregon.... | 622,000 | 700,000 | 689,000 | 781,000 | 759,000 |
| Alaska.... | 5,807,000 | 6,8888,000 | 6,776,000 | 8,129,000 | 7,676,000 |
| Hawail. | $\begin{array}{r}64,000 \\ +70,000 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 73,000 | 771,000 | 81,000 | 79,000 |
| Havis | 170,000 | 192,000 | 189,000 | 211,000 | 206,000 |

Tuble 2.-PROAECTIONS OF THE NUMEER OF HUSBAND-WIEE hOUSEHOLDS BY STATES, FOR THE UNITED STATES, 1970 ANO 197s, wITHESTIMATES FOR 1965

| Region, division, and State | Gatimate, July 1., 196.5 | Projectiouts |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Juily 1, 1970 |  | July 1., 1975 |  |
|  |  | Seriee 1. | Series 2 | Serdes 1 | Series 2 |
| United States. | 41,590,000 | $45.556,000$ | 45,053,000 | 50,020,000 | 49,087,000 |
| mumons: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeest, | 10, 195,000 | 10,927,000 | 10,816,000 | 11,748,000 | 11,542,000 |
| Worth Central. | 17.,884,000 | 12,666,000 | 12,226,000 | 13,655,000 | 13,398,000 |
| Gouth. | 12,596,000 | 14,023,000 | 13,862,000 | 1.5,518,000 | 15,220,000 |
|  |  | 7,940,000 | 7,850,000 | 2,100,000 | 8,927,000 |
| Whtemeatrs |  |  |  |  |  |
| Now Englard. | 2,353,000 | 2,521,000 | 2,494,000 | 2,72,000 | 2,673,000 |
| Midale Athartic. | 7, 84, 6,000 | 8,406,000 | 8,321,000 | 9,027,000 | 8,870,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East Nortic Ueatral.. | 8,358,000 | 8,963,000 | 8,865,000 | 9,724,000 | 9,543,000 |
| Wert Horth certral. | 3,526,000 | 3,703,000 | 3,661,000 | 3,931,000 | 3,456,000 |
| sovire: |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Athatic. | 5,949,000 | 6,699,000 | 6,623,000 | 7,499,000 | 7,356,000 |
| Tast South Central., | 2,666,000 | 2,918,000 | 2,885,000 | 3,166,000 | 3,106,000 |
| Weat South Central. | 3,987,000 | 4,405,000 | 4,354,000 | 4,853,000 | 4, 1758,000 |
| Wenty: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mountain. | 1,689,000 | 1,4r9,000 | 2, 4rer,000 | 2,135,000 | 2,094,000 |
| Pacific. | 5,266,000 | 6,062,000 | 5,993,000 | 6,964,000 | 6,833,000 |
| Wew mainan: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Noine........ New Harpshire. | 200,000 145,000 | $21 \%, 000$ $16.3,000$ | 214,000 $16 ., 000$ | 238,000 181,000 | 223,000 $1.77,000$ |
| New Hanpshire. Vermont....... | 145,000 84,000 | 163,000 90,000 | 161,000 89,000 | 181,000 98,000 | $1.77,000$ 96,000 |
| Massachusetts. | 1,108,000 | 2,365,000 | 1,253,000 | 1,240,000 | 1., 218,000 |
| Fhode Island. | 387,000 | 196,000 | 194,000 | 207,000 | 203,000 |
| Comecticut. | 61.5,000 | 690,000 | 683,000 | 769,000 | 755,000 |
| MLDOLTE ATLANYLC: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,859,000 | 4, 14, ${ }^{1,653,000}$ | 4, 100,000 | 4, 4,49,000 | 4, 372,000 |
| New Jersey... | $\frac{1}{2}, 484,000$ | 1,653,000 | 1,636,000 | 1,836,000 | 1.,804,000 |
| Pernsylvania. | 2,502,000 | 2,612,000 | 2,585,000 | 2,741,000 | 2,693,000 |
| BAST NOTTH CENTRAL: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ohio... | 2,251,000 | 2,427,000 | 2,400,000 | 2,654,000 | 2,604,000 |
| Indiana. | 1,103,000 | 1,187,000 | 1,174,000 | 1,291,000 | 1,266,000 |
| Glinois.. | 2,310,000 | 2,454,000 | 2,427,000 | 2,637,000 | 2,588,000 |
| Michigan. | 2, 799,000 | 1,941,000 | 1,920,000 | 2,113,000 | 2,074,000 |
| Wisconstn. | 895,000 | 954,000 | 943,000 | 1,029,000 | 1,010,000 |
| WESE NORTH CENTTRAL: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota. | 756,000 | 805,000 | 796,000 | 8771,000 | 854,000 |
| Iowa.... | 629,000 | 648,000 | 641,000 | 677,000 | 664,000 |
| Missourt. | 1,007,000 | 1.,063,000 | 1,051,000 | 1,1.30,000 | 1, 108,000 |
| North Dakota. | 138,000 | 144,000 | 142,000 | 152,000 | 149,000 |
| South Dakota. | 148,000 | 352,000 | 150,000 | 159,000 | 156,000 |
| Nebraska. | 331,000 | 34,5,000 | 341,000 | 363,000 | 356,000 |
| Kansas. | 5218, 000 | 546,000 | 540,000 | 580,000 | 568,000 |
| SOUTH ARTMATIC: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delaware. | 106,000 | 119,000 | 117,000 | 134,000 | 131,000 |
| Maryland. | 733,000 | 832,000 | 823,000 | 942,000 | 925,000 |
| District of Columbia. | 132,000 | 138,000 | 136,000 | 149,000 | 146,000 |
| Virginia,... | 899,000 | 1,008,000 | 996,000 | 1,219,000 | 2,096,000 |
| West Virginia. | 390,000 | 401,000 | 397,000 | 413,000 | 405,000 |
| North Caroline. | 1,020,000 | 1,137,000 | 1,124,000 | 1.2247,000 | 1,224,000 |
| South Carolina. | 487,000 | 542,000 | 536,000 | 595,000 | 584, 000 |
| Georgia.. | 888,000 | -996,000 | -985,000 | 1,103,000 | 1,082,000 |
| Florida. | 1,295,000 | 1,527,000 | 1,509,000 | 1,797,000 | 1,762,000 |
| EASI SOUTH CENTRAL: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kentucky. . | 676,000 | 726,000 | 718,000 | 7772,000 | 758,000 |
| q'ennessee. . | 829,000 | 917,000 | 906,000 | 1,000,000 | 982,000 |
| Alabama... | 718,000 | 1992,000 | 783,000 | 868,000 | 851,000 |
| Mississippi.... | 443,000 | 484,000 | 4778,000 | 525,000 | 515,000 |
| WEST SOUTH CENTRAL: |  |  |  |  |  |
| brkansas....... | 424,000 | 467,000 | 4.61,000 | 505,000 | 495,000 |
| Louistana. | 700,000 | 777,000 | 768,000 | 865,000 | 849,000 |
| Oklahome. | 566,000 | 607,000 | 600,000 | 6477,000 | 634,000 |
| Texas. | 2,290,000 | 2,554,000 | 2,524,000 | 2,836,000. | 2,781,000 |
| MOUNTATN: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Montana. | 153,000 | 163,000 | 161,000 | 1776,000 | 172,000 |
| Idaho.. | 158,000 | 172,000 | 170,000 | 188,000 | 184,000 |
| wyoming. . | 175,000 | 779,000 | 78,000 | 85,000 | 884,000 |
| Colonado. | 426,000 | 480,000 | 475,000 | 540,000 | 530,000 |
| New Mexico. | 204,000 | 227,000 | 224,000 | 255,000 | 254,000 |
| Arizona. | 327,000 | 394,000 | 390,000 | 468, 000 | 459,000 |
| Utah. | 212,000 | 243,000 | 24.1,000 | 279,000 | 273,000 |
| Nevada. | 93,000 | 121,000 | 1.19,000 | 141,000 | 138,000 |
| PACIFIC: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington. | 666,000 | 775,000 | 706,000 | 7778,000 | 763,000 |
| Oregon...... | 452,000 | 505,000 | 4.99,000 | 558,000 | 548,000 |
| Californa. | 3,980,000 | 4,656,000 | 4,603,000 | 5,424,000 | 5,322,000 |
| Alaska... | -47,000 | 52,000 | 51,000 | $57,000$ | 56,000 |
| Hawait.. | 122,000 | 235,000 | 133,000 | 1446,000 | 1,44,000 |


[^0]:    * This report was prepared by Robert 0. Grymes, Marriage and Family Statistics Branch, Population Division.
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