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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The American Community Survey is an ongoing survey designed to collect detailed data about the nation's population and housing. Beginning with the 2010 Census, the American Community Survey has replaced the decennial long form, allowing annual updates of information that the U.S. Census Bureau previously did only once every ten years.

We designed the American Community Survey 2012 Content Reinterview Survey to evaluate the reliability of responses to American Community Survey questions. Along with other measures of data quality such as item nonresponse and response bias, item-level measures of response reliability are important to both data users and American Community Survey planners. Data users need to understand how errors in the data may affect the conclusions they draw from analyzing the data. American Community Survey planners need information about current data quality to develop and test methods for improving data quality in the future.

The American Community Survey 2012 Content Reinterview Survey used a simple response variance type reinterview, meaning that we asked essentially the same questions in the reinterview that we asked in the original American Community Survey interview. We selected a sample of 72,000 households from American Community Survey returns processed between January 3, 2012 and December 3, 2012. The Content Reinterview Survey sample included returns from all three data collection modes used in 2012: Mail, Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing, and Computer Assisted Personal Interviewing. The Content Reinterview Survey was conducted only in the Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing mode. The overall response rate for the Content Reinterview Survey was about 67 percent.

We calculated four evaluation measures: the gross difference rate, the index of inconsistency, the aggregate gross difference rate, and the aggregate index of inconsistency. We also calculated the net difference rate for each analysis category to determine when the index of inconsistency is invalid. The gross difference rate, index of inconsistency, and net difference rate are categorylevel measures. The aggregate gross difference rate and aggregate index of inconsistency are analysis-topic-level measures calculated for analysis topics with three or more analysis categories. (For dichotomous analysis topics, the aggregate measures have the same value as the gross difference rate and the index of inconsistency for either category.)

We used the index of inconsistency and gross difference rate to identify analysis categories with potential reliability problems. Analysis topics are considered to have potential reliability problems if they have one or more categories with potential reliability problems. The American Community Survey questions associated with these analysis topics have the most inconsistent responses.

Overall, we see that response error is probably not a major concern for most American Community Survey questions. Using the traditional rule of thumb for the index of inconsistency, the inconsistency level for the majority of analysis categories is either "Low" or "Moderate". There are a relatively small number of categories (and analysis topics) for which response error appears to be a significant proportion of total error, and we should focus future study on these categories and analysis topics.

We identify 36 analysis topics as having potential reliability problems, 10 housing analysis topics and 26 person analysis topics. Many of these correspond to questions that require dollar amount responses, especially amounts that tend to change frequently or are otherwise difficult to recall. Eight of the ten housing analysis topics correspond to questions that ask for dollar amounts. Examples include Monthly Electricity Cost, Annual Water and Sewer Cost, Condominium Fee, and Second Mortgage Payment Amount. Of the eight personal income amount analysis topics, six have potential reliability problems; and these six account for all person analysis topics with potential reliability problems that ask for a dollar amount response. Other analysis topics with potential reliability problems, such as Number of Rooms, Year of Naturalization, and Commute Minutes, have numeric (non-dollar) responses and a large number of analysis categories. These and other non-numeric analysis topics with potential reliability problems may present the respondent with a difficult cognitive task, or the questions may simply be unclear to some respondents.

We also calculated the response reliability evaluation measures by American Community Survey collection mode, and by five population subgroups defined using Hispanic Origin and Race (Hispanic, White, Black, Hispanic, and Other). Using a criterion based only on category gross difference rate estimates and their Coefficient of Variation values, we identified thirteen analysis topics that may have reliability issues specific to one or more of the three American Community Survey data collection modes. Similarly, we identified 22 analysis topics that may have reliability issues specific to one or more of the five Hispanic Origin/Race subgroups. Our analysis of reliability by mode and Hispanic Origin/Race subgroup is limited, but the identification of analysis topics that may have issues specific to a mode or subgroup could be the basis for future research.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Description of the American Community Survey in 2012

The American Community Survey (ACS) is an ongoing survey designed to collect detailed data about the nation's population and housing. Beginning with the 2010 Census, the ACS has replaced the decennial long form, allowing annual updates of information that the U.S. Census Bureau previously did only once every ten years.

Since its inception, the ACS has collected data using three modes: mailout/mailback of a paper questionnaire (Mail), Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview (CATI) and Computer-Assisted Personal Interview (CAPI). In general, sampled addresses receive the mail questionnaire first and are later eligible to be contacted by Computer-Assisted Telephone Interview and then as part of Computer-Assisted Personal Interview nonresponse follow-up operations.

It is assumed that one member of the household is responding for the whole household; but sometimes there are multiple respondents. We ask respondents to designate one person in each household, in whose name the house, apartment, or mobile home is rented or owned; and this person is identified as "Person 1" (the householder.) The respondent and the householder may be the same person, but that is not required or assumed.

The mailout/mailback paper questionnaire version of the ACS used for calendar year 2012 consisted of three sections, covering three broad analysis topic areas: person-level basic demographic analysis topics, housing and other household-level analysis topics, and detailed person-level analysis topics. See Appendix E for images of all questions as they appeared on the 2012 paper questionnaire. Note that the questions were presented in this order (first basic demographics, then housing, then detailed person questions) in all three modes.

The basic demographics section had six questions: (1) name, (2) relationship to householder, (3) sex, (4) age (with date of birth), (5) Hispanic origin, and (6) race. The form had five iterations of the basic demographic section, to capture data for up to five people in a household. A supplemental section allowed households responding by mail to list the name, sex, and age (without date of birth) for up to seven additional persons (Person 6 through Person 12). The ACS attempted to follow up by telephone with large households in order to collect complete basic demographic information for Persons 6 and higher. In the CATI and CAPI modes, the detailed basic demographic questions were asked about all persons in the household regardless of household size.

The housing analysis topics section had 24 questions about characteristics of the housing unit (house, apartment, or mobile home) and the household (the group of persons living in the housing unit).

The detailed person-level analysis topics section had 48 questions. As with the basic demographics section, the paper questionnaire had only five iterations of the detailed personlevel questions, and the ACS attempted to follow up by telephone with larger households that
responded by Mail to obtain answers to these questions for Persons 6 and higher. Again, in the CATI and CAPI modes, the ACS asked about all persons in the household regardless of household size.

Most ACS questions were presented with a discrete set of response options. In some cases (e.g., Sex, or Tenure) the respondent was expected to select only one response. In other cases (e.g., Race, Period of Military Service) the respondent was asked to "mark all that apply." There were also questions, such as the one about Health Insurance Coverage, that could have had "mark all that apply" response options, but were instead presented as a series of separate questions, each having "Yes or No" response options. Finally, there were a number of places on the paper questionnaire where the respondent was asked to write a response to an open-ended question. (In CATI and CAPI, such questions were asked aloud, and the interviewer typed in the response.) In some cases (such as Ancestry) the only way to answer the question was with a written (or spoken) response. In other cases (such as Hispanic Origin, or Race) a write-in response option was provided as an alternative to pre-defined categories in case the respondent could not select one of the other response options, or needed to add to them.

### 1.2 Purpose of the ACS 2012 Content Reinterview Survey

The ACS 2012 Content Reinterview Survey (CRS) is an evaluation of the quality of data collected by the ACS in 2012. Specifically, the goal of the CRS is to determine the consistency of responses to ACS questions. The results are evaluation measures for the reliability of the data collected, reliability being one measure of data quality. Along with other measures of data quality such as item nonresponse and response bias, item-level measures of reliability are important to both data users and ACS planners. Data users need to understand how response errors in the data may affect the conclusions they draw from analyzing the data. ACS planners need information about current data quality to develop and test methods for improving data quality in the future.

### 1.3 Background

The methods used to collect and process data for a survey like the ACS are complex and subject to error. One particular type of error, response error, occurs when respondents answer questions incorrectly. This can be due to flaws in the survey design, misunderstanding of the questions, misreporting by respondents, or interviewer effects. To the extent that survey questions are prone to response error, the data are less reliable. Hence, response error relates directly to reliability, the focus of this study.

One way to evaluate the extent of survey response error is a content reinterview ${ }^{1}$, where the survey organization re-contacts persons that responded to the original survey shortly after the

[^0]original interview and asks the same (or similar) questions. Analysts then compare reinterview responses with original survey responses to measure differences that translate into response error for each item of interest.

There are a number of precedents for the ACS 2012 CRS. The U.S. Census Bureau has conducted a content reinterview survey following every decennial census since 1950, up through 2010. ${ }^{2}$ While we have not previously conducted a content reinterview survey for the ACS, we did conduct content reinterviews for the ACS content tests in 2006, 2007, and 2010. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{We}$ designed the ACS content tests to test changes to questions and alternative versions of new questions under consideration for the ACS. One criterion for deciding between competing versions of a question was the level of response error associated with each version, so we used a reinterview to provide reliability measures. However, we only included the questions being tested and a few others for context in the content test reinterviews.

When conducting a reinterview survey, a reinterview question may simply be a repetition of the original interview question. We call this a response-variance-type reinterview question, since one may use it to estimate simple response variance (SRV). Simple response variance is a measure of variation in responses when the same question is asked repeatedly.

Alternatively, a reinterview question may be a detailed, probing one designed to elicit more accurate responses than were obtained in the original interview. We call this a response-bias-type reinterview question, since one may use it to estimate response bias. Response bias measures systematic patterns in the differences between respondents' answers and the true responses.

The 1990 Census CRS used both types of questions (varying by analysis topic), while the corresponding 2000 and 2010 surveys used only response-variance-type questions. For the 2010 Census, a separate reinterview, the 2010 Census Alternative Questionnaire Experiment (AQE) reinterview, estimated response bias for the race and Hispanic origin items using response-biastype reinterview questions. The content reinterviews associated with the ACS content tests used both types of questions.

For the ACS 2012 CRS, we used only response-variance-type reinterview questions. However, we may be able to estimate response bias for some analysis topics using Latent Class Analysis (LCA) techniques (Biemer, 2011). LCA may also reveal other patterns in the data not evident from the traditional evaluation measures presented here. Another advantage of LCA is that it does not require the assumption of parallel measurements that a response-variance-type

[^1]reinterview requires in order for estimates of SRV (and the related index of inconsistency) to be valid. We plan to present results of LCA applied to the CRS data in a future report.

### 1.4 Research Questions

- How consistent was the reporting of ACS 2012 analysis topics between the CRS and the original ACS production interview?
- What are the reliability measures associated with each mode of data collection in the original ACS interview?
- What are the reliability measures associated with Hispanic Origin and Race classification in the original ACS interview?


## 2 Methodology

In this section, we discuss the evaluation measures used for analysis of the CRS data, and the design of the CRS. All of the evaluation measures are calculated using weighted counts. For each analysis topic, we restrict our analysis to cases with responses in both ACS and CRS.

### 2.1 Evaluation Measures Used for CRS Analysis

We use the following evaluation measures to evaluate the consistency of reporting of ACS analysis topics:
(1) Gross Difference Rate (GDR)
(2) Index of Inconsistency (IOI)
(3) Aggregate (L-fold) gross difference rate $\left(\mathrm{GDR}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$
(4) Aggregate (L-fold) index of inconsistency ( $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ )

In addition, we calculate the Net Difference Rate (NDR) measure in order to check the validity of the IOI evaluation measure.

We define each of these five measures in detail below, but first we establish some basic concepts and notation.

We treat all ACS analysis topics as categorical for the purposes of this report. This means that all possible responses for each analysis topic are mapped to a set of $L$ discrete categories, where $L$ is an integer greater than or equal to two. For almost all analysis topics, these categories are mutually exclusive, in the sense that every household or person may only be assigned to one category. ${ }^{4}$

[^2]For some analysis topics, the categories have an obvious one-to-one correspondence with the possible responses to the ACS questions from which we derive the analysis topics. For example, we derive the Sex analysis topic directly from the question "What is Person <\#>'s sex?" for which the valid responses are "Male" or "Female." These are the two categories for the Sex analysis topic.

For other analysis topics, we worked with subject matter experts to define categories as ranges, sets, or combinations of the possible responses to the ACS question. For example, we derive the Rooms analysis topic from the question "How many separate rooms are in this house, apartment, or mobile home?" for which the valid responses are one to 99 . Rather than having 99 categories, we define nine, with the first eight corresponding to the responses one to 8 , and the ninth category defined as responses of nine or higher.

From some ACS questions, we derive multiple analysis topics. For example, from the question "What is Person <\#>'s race?" we derive seventeen analysis topics. Referring to the mail (paper) questionnaire, twelve of the Race analysis topics correspond to twelve individual checkboxes, one corresponds to a collapsed group of three checkboxes, and three correspond to the three write-in spaces. Each of these first sixteen Race analysis topics has two categories. For the checkboxes, the categories are "checked" (or "Yes") and "not checked" (or "No"). For the writein spaces, the categories are "write-in present" and "blank". However, we also wanted to measure the overall reliability of the Race question, so we created a seventeenth "Race Aggregate" analysis topic by defining seven mutually exclusive categories. Six of these categories are restricted to persons with only one race specified, while the seventh category contains all other persons. (See Appendix E -- pages E-5 to E-6 -- for details.) Defining the "Race Aggregate" analysis topic allowed us to calculate the $\mathrm{GDR}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ measures, providing a means to measure overall response reliability for Race.

See Appendix E for a list of all CRS analysis topics and analysis categories, with explanations of how we derived these from the ACS questions.

Now consider a single category for some analysis topic. For illustration, suppose the analysis topic is Tenure, and the category is "rented". Define the following quantities:
$\mathrm{a}=$ weighted count of units in the category (rented) for both ACS and CRS
$\mathrm{b}=$ weighted count of units not in the category for ACS, but in the category for CRS
$\mathrm{c}=$ weighted count of units in the category for ACS, but not in the category for CRS
$d=$ weighted count of units not in the category for either ACS or CRS
$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d}=$ weighted count of all units with a response in both ACS and CRS

[^3]We exclude units that do not have a valid non-missing response for both ACS and CRS from the analysis for this analysis topic, so the sample size for an analysis topic is the count (or sum of weights) of units with valid non-missing responses for both ACS and CRS.

Table 1 shows the cross-tabulation of ACS results by CRS results for any single analysis topic category. For illustration, Table 2 shows the actual (weighted) results for the Tenure "rented" category.

Table 1: Cross-tabulation of ACS results by CRS results for a single analysis topic category

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | in category | not in category | CRS totals |
| CRS status | in category | a | b | $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$ |
|  | not in category | c | d | $\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d}$ |
| ACS totals | $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{c}$ | $\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{d}$ | n |  |

Table 2: Cross-tabulation of ACS results by CRS results for Tenure "rented" category

|  | ACS status |  | CRS totals |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | rented | not rented |  |
| CRS status | rented | $12,450,736$ | 361,583 |
|  | not rented | 386,342 | $26,121,227$ |
| ACS totals | $12,837,078$ | $26,482,810$ | $39,512,319$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

From Table 1, we define the following four proportions, and calculate the corresponding actual estimates for Tenure based on Table 2:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\text { ACS proportion in category (rented): } & & p_{1}=\frac{a+c}{n}=0.3265 \\
\text { CRS proportion in category (rented): } & & p_{2}=\frac{a+b}{n}=0.3258 \\
\text { ACS proportion not in category (not rented): } & q_{1}=1-p_{1}=\frac{b+d}{n}=0.6735 \\
\text { CRS proportion not in category (not rented): } & q_{2}=1-p_{2}=\frac{c+d}{n}=0.6742
\end{array}
$$

We use the variables $p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}$, and $q_{2}$ in the calculation of IOI and NDR later in this section.

Next, suppose that an analysis topic has $L$ analysis categories. For Tenure, $L=4$. Let $X_{\mathrm{ij}}$ be the weighted count of sample units (households or persons) for which we have CRS responses in category $i$ and ACS responses in category $j$. Here, both $i$ and $j$ range from 1 to $L$. Table 3 shows a cross-tabulation of ACS results and CRS results for a generic analysis topic. Note that if $L=2$ then Table 3 is equivalent to Table 1.

Table 3: Cross-tabulation of ACS results with CRS results for an analysis topic with $L$ categories

|  |  | ACS analysis categories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | $\ldots$ | j | $\ldots$ | L | CRS totals |  |
|  | 1 | $X_{11}$ | $X_{12}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{1 \mathrm{j}}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{1 \mathrm{~L}}$ | $X_{1+}$ |  |
| CRS analysis | 2 | $X_{21}$ | $X_{22}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{2 \mathrm{j}}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{2 \mathrm{~L}}$ | $X_{2+}$ |  |
| categories | i | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
|  | $\ldots$ | $X_{\mathrm{i} 1}$ | $X_{\mathrm{i} 2}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{\mathrm{i}+}$ |  |
|  | L | $X_{\mathrm{L} 1}$ | $X_{\mathrm{L} 2}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{\mathrm{Lj}}$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{\mathrm{LL}}$ | $X_{\mathrm{L}+}$ |
| ACS totals |  | $X_{+1}$ | $X_{+2}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{+\mathrm{j}}$ | $\ldots$ | $X_{+\mathrm{L}}$ | $T=\sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{j=1}^{L} X_{i j}$ |  |

For illustration, Table 4 shows the actual weighted counts for "Tenure".
Table 4: Cross-tabulation of ACS results with CRS results for Tenure

|  |  | ACS analysis categories |  |  |  | CRS totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Owned with a mortgage | Owned without a mortgage | Rented | Occupied without payment of rent |  |
| CRS <br> analysis categories | Owned with a mortgage | 16,294,865 | 331,396 | 164,958 | 21,232 | 16,812,451 |
|  | Owned without a mortgage | 803,592 | 7,745,224 | 89,938 | 145,045 | 8,783,799 |
|  | Rented | 209,472 | 86,180 | 12,450,736 | 65,931 | 12,812,319 |
|  | Occupied without payment of rent | 110,504 | 127,854 | 131,446 | 541,515 | 911,319 |
| ACS totals |  | 17,418,433 | 8,290,654 | 12,837,078 | 773,723 | 39,319,888 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

Now define the following proportions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{i j}=\frac{X_{i j}}{T} \\
& p_{+j}=\frac{X_{+j}}{T} \\
& p_{i+}=\frac{X_{i+}}{T}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will use these terms for calculating $I O I_{L}$ later in this section. For illustration, Table 5 shows the estimates of these proportions for "Tenure".

Table 5: Proportion Cross-tabulation for Tenure

|  |  | ACS analysis categories |  |  |  | CRS totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Owned with a mortgage | Owned without a mortgage | Rented | Occupied without payment of rent |  |
| CRS analysis categories | Owned with a mortgage | 0.4144 | 0.0084 | 0.0042 | 0.0005 | 0.4276 |
|  | Owned without a mortgage | 0.0204 | 0.1970 | 0.0023 | 0.0037 | 0.2234 |
|  | Rented | 0.0053 | 0.0022 | 0.3167 | 0.0017 | 0.3258 |
|  | Occupied without payment of rent | 0.0028 | 0.0033 | 0.0033 | 0.0138 | 0.0232 |
| ACS totals |  | 0.4430 | 0.2109 | 0.3265 | 0.0197 | 1.0000 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

We now define the evaluation measures used in this report using the variables from Table 1 and Table 3.

### 2.1.1 Gross Difference Rate (GDR)

The GDR for an analysis category is the percentage of the total responses for the analysis topic that move to or from that category between the original interview (ACS) and the reinterview (CRS). The formula for calculating GDR (using Table 1 variables) is:

$$
G D R=\left(\frac{b+c}{n}\right) \times 100
$$

The GDR is the primary category-level evaluation measure we use in this report. A small GDR indicates good consistency for an analysis topic category, while a large GDR indicates poor consistency. For illustration, using estimates from Table 2, the GDR estimate for the "Rented" category in the "Tenure" analysis topic is:

$$
G D R=\left(\frac{361,583+386,342}{39,319,888}\right) \times 100=1.9 \text { percent }
$$

### 2.1.2 Index of Inconsistency (IOI)

In order to define the IOI, we must first discuss the variance of a category proportion estimate. If we are interested in the true proportion of a total population that is in a certain category, we can
use the proportion of a survey sample in that category as an estimate. Under certain reasonable assumptions, it can be shown that the total variance of this proportion estimate is the sum of two components, sampling variance (SV) and simple response variance (SRV). It can also be shown that an unbiased estimate of SRV is half of the GDR for the category.

SV is the part of total variance resulting from the differences between all the possible samples of size $n$ one might have selected. SRV is the part of total variance resulting from the aggregation of response error across all sample units. If the responses for all sample units were perfectly consistent, then SRV would be zero, and the total variance would be due entirely to SV. As the name suggests, the IOI is a measure of how much of total variance is due to inconsistency in responses, as measured by SRV. A preliminary definition of the IOI is:

$$
I O I=\frac{S R V}{S R V+S V} \times 100
$$

We can estimate SRV using GDR, but also need to estimate the denominator (i.e., total variance) in this expression. Based on previous studies, the estimate we use for total variance is:

$$
S R V+S V=\frac{p_{1} q_{2}+p_{2} q_{1}}{2}
$$

Here, $p_{1}, p_{2}, q_{1}$, and $q_{2}$ are the proportions defined at the beginning of this section. Using the variables $b$ and $c$ from Table 1, the calculation formula for IOI is:

$$
I O I=\frac{G D R / 2}{\left(p_{1} q_{2}+p_{2} q_{1}\right) / 2} \times 100=\frac{(b+c) / n}{p_{1} q_{2}+p_{2} q_{1}} \times 100
$$

For illustration, the estimate of IOI for the "Rented" category in the "Tenure" analysis topic is:

$$
I O I=\frac{(361,583+386,342) / 39,319,888}{(0.3265)(0.6742)+(0.3258)(0.6735)} \times 100=4.3 \text { percent }
$$

Table 6 illustrates a frequently used "rule of thumb" for interpreting the Index of Inconsistency (Singer \& Ennis, 2003). This interpretation also applies to the aggregate IOI explained in the following section. The tables of results in Appendices A and B each include a column indicating the Inconsistency Level for the analysis category or analysis topic.

Table 6: Interpretation of Index of Inconsistency (IOI) and L-fold Index of Inconsistency ( $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ )

| Index value | Inconsistency Level | Interpretation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 20 percent | Low | Usually not a major problem |
| 20 up to 50 percent | Moderate | Somewhat problematic |
| Greater than 50 percent | High | Very problematic |

### 2.1.3 Aggregate (L-fold) GDR $\left(G D R_{L}\right)$ for an analysis topic

The GDR ${ }_{L}$ for an analysis topic is a weighted average of the L category GDR estimates. This measure evaluates consistency for the analysis topic as a whole, as opposed to the individual categories. The weight for category $i$ is

$$
w_{i}=\frac{a_{i}+b_{i}+c_{i}}{M}
$$

where $a_{i} b_{i}$, and $c_{i}$ are the values $a, b$, and $c$ from Table 1 for category $i$, and $M$ is the sum

$$
M=\sum_{i=1}^{L}\left(a_{i}+b_{i}+c_{i}\right)
$$

Then we calculate $\operatorname{GDR}_{\mathrm{L}}$ as

$$
G D R_{L}=\sum_{i=1}^{L}\left(w_{i} \times G D R_{i}\right)
$$

Note that for dichotomous analysis topics $(\mathrm{L}=2)$ the aggregate GDR is equal to the category GDR for either of the two categories.

### 2.1.4 Aggregate (L-fold) IOI (IOI $L_{L}$ ) for an analysis topic

The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is an overall analysis-topic-level measure corresponding to the category-level measure IOI. It can be shown that $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ for a given analysis topic is a weighted average of the IOI measures across all the categories for that analysis topic (Biemer, 2011). However, we show here a more straightforward calculation formula:

$$
\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{1-\sum_{i=1}^{L} p_{i i}}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{L}\left(p_{i+} p_{+i}\right)} \times 100
$$

For illustration, the estimate of $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ for the "Tenure" analysis topic, using estimates from Table 5, is:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}= \\
\frac{1-[0.4144+0.1970+0.3167+0.0138]}{1-[(0.4430)(0.4276)+(0.2109)(0.2234)+(0.3265)(0.3258)+(0.0197)(0.0232)]} \times 100 \\
=8.9 \text { percent }
\end{gathered}
$$

### 2.1.5 Net Difference Rate (NDR)

The NDR for a single analysis topic category is the difference between the ACS and CRS incategory proportion estimates. The formula for calculating NDR is:

$$
\mathrm{NDR}=p_{1}-p_{2}
$$

If the CRS were a response-bias type reinterview, we would expect CRS responses more likely to be "true" than ACS responses, and NDR would be an estimate of the bias in the ACS incategory proportion estimate. In this case, a significantly negative NDR would indicate that the ACS is under-estimating the true proportion, while a significantly positive NDR would indicate an over-estimate.

However, since the CRS is a response-variance type reinterview, it is generally not valid to interpret NDR as an estimate of ACS bias. Rather, one should think of NDR in this context as a way to check whether the same essential survey conditions existed in the CRS as in the ACS. If the survey conditions were essentially the same, then we would expect $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ to be approximately equal, and NDR to be close to zero. If NDR is significantly positive or negative, we must be cautious about our interpretation of the other evaluation measures defined above, gross difference rate (GDR) and index of inconsistency (IOI).

For a few CRS analysis topics, we think it may be reasonable to treat CATI responses as being more reliable than Mail and/or CAPI responses. Recall that we administered CRS re-interviews only in the CATI mode. Therefore, if the absolute value of the NDR is relatively large for Mail and/or CAPI responses while the NDR for CATI responses is near zero, we may relax the rule stated above and treat the NDR for Mail and/or CAPI responses as an indicator of bias.
However, this situation is rare, and even for these few CRS analysis topics the interpretation of NDR as an indicator of bias is speculative.

### 2.2 How We Use the Evaluation Measures to Answer the Research Questions

We want to emphasize that the methods and specific criteria described in this section are just one of many possible approaches for interpreting the CRS evaluation measures. Alternative methods and/or criteria could identify different sets of response categories and analysis topics as having reliability problems. However, we found the approach taken here to produce the most reasonable results from among several we tried.

### 2.2.1 How consistent was the reporting of ACS 2012 analysis topics between the CRS and the original ACS production interview?

To evaluate reliability of an analysis topic, we start by looking at the reliability of response categories within each analysis topic. (If an analysis topic is binary, the measures for either category are equivalent to the analysis-topic-level measures.) In evaluating the reliability of analysis categories, the first measure we consider is the IOI. However, if the NDR for a category is large, this is strong evidence that the assumption of parallel measures does not hold. This assumption is necessary for the IOI to be a valid measure of response reliability. Consequently,
we consider the IOI invalid if the absolute value of the NDR is larger than three percent and the NDR standard error is small enough to make it significantly non-zero.

In addition, extremely large or small category proportions cause the IOI to be unstable. Therefore, if either the ACS or CRS proportion estimate for a category is extremely small (less than 3.5 percent) or extremely large (greater than 96.5 percent), we consider the IOI invalid.

We determined these cutoff values for the NDR and proportion estimates by evaluating the correlation between the category IOI and GDR estimates for those categories where the IOI is valid, given a pair of cutoff values. The correlation $\rho(X, Y)$ between two variables X and Y is the ratio of the covariance of X and Y to the product of the standard deviations of X and Y :

$$
\rho(X, Y)=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}}
$$

Correlation values range from -1 to 1 , with values closer to zero indicating a weak relationship between X and Y . Evaluated over all response categories, $\rho(I O I, G D R)$ has a value of 0.36 , indicating that GDR and IOI will often lead to different conclusions about reliability if all response categories are considered.

We limited the range of cutoff values to consider by requiring that at least 420 categories - 60 percent of the 699 defined analysis categories - have valid IOI; and we required that the NDR cutoff be no larger than 3 percent. With these constraints, the value of $\rho(I O I, G D R)$ has a maximum of 0.73 with the NDR cutoff at its maximum allowed value of 3.0 percent and the category proportion cutoff at 3.5 percent. There are 422 categories with valid IOI with these cutoffs. By excluding the 277 categories with invalid IOI estimates, we substantially increase the correlation between IOI and GDR for the remaining categories.

To identify ACS questions that may have poor response reliability, we first consider categories where the IOI is valid. For each category with a valid IOI, we designate it as having a potential reliability problem (PRP) if the upper bound of the 90 percent confidence interval for the IOI estimate is greater than 50 percent. We consider the remaining items with valid IOI to have acceptable reliability.

Next, we consider the categories designated as having invalid IOI. The only viable measure we have to judge reliability for these categories is GDR. We identify a category with invalid IOI as PRP if the GDR is 5.8 percent or higher. We chose this value as the cutoff because 75 percent of categories have GDR estimates below 5.8 percent. We designate any analysis topic with one or more PRP analysis categories as being a PRP analysis topic. Table 7 summarizes the PRP results.

Table 7: Potential Reliability Problem (PRP) Summary Counts

| Count of ... | Housing | Person | All Analysis <br> Topics |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Categories | 215 | 484 | 699 |
| Valid IOI Categories | 143 | 280 | 423 |
| Invalid IOI Categories | 72 | 204 | 276 |
| Valid IOI PRP Categories | 37 | 43 | 80 |
| Invalid IOI PRP Categories | 2 | 13 | 15 |
| Total PRP Categories | 39 | 56 | 95 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total Analysis Topics | 36 | 110 | 146 |
| PRP Analysis Topics | 10 | 25 | 35 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

### 2.2.2 What are the reliability measures associated with each mode of data collection in the original ACS interview?

To answer this question, we calculated the aggregate analysis-topic-level measures GDR $_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$, and the category-level measures GDR and IOI, for the three data collection modes: Mail (including Failed Edit Follow-Up [FEFU]), CATI, and CAPI.

A previous study using ACS data from 2005 showed that the demographic and socio-economic characteristics of respondents correlate with their propensity to respond in each mode (Joshipura, 2008). This suggests that any differences in response reliability that we observe between modes may be due to characteristic differences as much as any mode effect. Because we did not use an experimental design that would allow us to distinguish between mode effects and differences in group characteristics, we cannot use any differences in response reliability across modes observed in the CRS to conclude that there is a mode effect for response reliability.

However, we are interested in identifying response categories and analysis topics that appear to have noticeable response reliability problems in each mode, considered independently. To this end, for each mode, we independently calculated the 90th percentile of GDR for the 699 response categories. We then identified all response categories with GDR values higher than the 90th percentile for each mode. Because small sample sizes for some analysis topics cause the GDR standard error estimates to be relatively large, we eliminate from this list those categories where the GDR coefficient of variation (the estimate divided by its standard error) is greater than 50 percent. The list of categories identified for each mode is in the results section later in this report.

### 2.2.3 What are the reliability measures associated with Hispanic Origin and Race classification in the original ACS interview?

To answer this question, we first defined five mutually exclusive population subgroups:

- Hispanic - Hispanic (any race)
- White - White alone (not Hispanic)
- Black - Black alone (not Hispanic)
- Asian - Asian alone (not Hispanic)
- Other - Multiple races or any other race alone (not Hispanic)

For housing analysis topics, we classify households according to which subgroup contains the reference person (Person 1), based on the original ACS responses to the Hispanic Origin and Race questions. For person analysis topics, we classify each person based on the original ACS responses to the Hispanic Origin and Race questions for that person.

We then calculated the analysis-topic-level aggregate measures $\mathrm{GDR}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$, and the category-level measures GDR and IOI, for each of the five Hispanic Origin/Race subgroups.

To identify response categories and analysis topics that appear to have noticeable response reliability problems for each of these five groups, we followed the same procedure described in the previous sub-section for the three data collection mode groups. The list of categories identified for each group is in the results section later in this report.

### 2.3 Weighting

There were three steps in CRS weighting, which we outline here. For more details, see (Keathley, 2013).

In the first step, we defined a baseweight for each CRS sample household to make the CRS sample representative of the national population. The baseweight takes into account ACS probability of selection, CRS eligibility rates, and CRS probability of selection.

The second step in CRS weighting was a CRS non-interview adjustment. Since some CRS sample households did not respond to the reinterview, we needed to make each responding CRS household representative of similar non-responding households. We grouped the CRS sample households into twelve cells defined by CRS module ${ }^{5}$ and original ACS response mode. The noninterview adjustment factor for all responding CRS households in each cell is the ratio of the total CRS sample base weight sum for that cell to the base weight sum of households that completed a CRS reinterview. For each CRS sample household that completed a reinterview, we calculated a final household level weight as the product of the household CRS baseweight and the noninterview adjustment factor for the cell containing the household.

The third step in CRS weighting was necessary for person analysis topics. We calculated four person-level adjustment factors, used to adjust the final household-level weight depending on both the person and the analysis topic. To reduce respondent burden, we asked most person-level questions only about the CRS respondent and one other randomly selected member of the household (if any). We refer to the CRS respondent as CRS Person 1, and the randomly selected

[^4]second person (if any) as CRS Person 2. In addition, many of the person analysis topics are restricted to the universe of persons 15 years of age or older. Therefore, we calculated two adjustment factors for each CRS Person (1 and 2), one for analysis topics with no universe restriction, and one for analysis topics with the age 15+ universe restriction. We chose not to adjust the weights for analysis topics with other age-based universe restrictions since these affected a relatively small number of CRS sample cases. We decided to make CRS Person 1 selfrepresenting, so that both adjustment factors for the CRS respondent are 1 ; that is, CRS Person 1 has the same weight as the household. Since the respondents are self-representing, all CRS Person 2 responses must represent the unselected members of households (if any) who were in the universe for a given analysis topic. Therefore the adjustment factor for CRS Person 2 is ( N 1) for analysis topics with no universe restriction, and ( $\mathrm{N}_{15}-1$ ) for analysis topics with the age $15+$ universe restriction, where N is the total number of persons in the household, and $\mathrm{N}_{15}$ is the number of persons in the household age 15+.

### 2.4 Variance Estimation and Standard Errors for all CRS Evaluation measures

All of the evaluation measures in this report are weighted estimates. We estimate variances for these measures using a modified successive difference replication method developed by Fay and Train (Fay, 1995). This method uses eighty final CRS replicate weights together with the final CRS weight calculated for each unit (household or person). For each evaluation measure estimate, we also calculate eighty replicate versions of the estimate. The variance estimate is the average of the eighty squared differences between each replicate estimate and the base estimate, times a factor of 4. (See the article by Fay and Train for a derivation of this variance estimator.) The estimated standard error for each base estimate is then the square root of that variance estimate. We show every evaluation measure in Appendices A, B, C, and D with its standard error.

### 2.5 Design of the CRS

### 2.5.1 The CRS Survey Instrument

The CRS covered almost all questions on the 2012 ACS. We modified some questions that involve a reference period (e.g., "LAST WEEK, how many hours did this person work?") to refer to approximately the same period asked about in the original interview. In addition, because only households that have telephone service are eligible for the CRS, we did not ask the telephone service question. Aside from these two caveats, the CRS questions were verbatim repetitions of the production ACS CATI mode questions. We used a Spanish version of the CRS instrument for households that originally responded in Spanish in the ACS.

We grouped the questions into three CRS modules, and assigned each CRS sample household to one of the three modules. For the most part, we kept questions within a CRS module in the same order they appear in production ACS CATI to replicate any ACS sequencing and context effects. We asked a household's respondent only questions from that single module. See Appendix F for a list of the questions included in each module. This modular design was a way to reduce the respondent burden imposed by the CRS.

Module 1 consists of all of the housing questions, with the exception of the telephone service question. Module 2 contains about half of the person-level questions, beginning with the basic demographic questions and ending with the questions about Veteran's Service-Connected Disability. Module 3 begins with the age and date of birth questions, then asks the household question about food stamps, and finishes with all of the person-level questions from military service through the income questions.

Module 3 includes the food stamps question from Module 1 because we wanted a larger sample of households that received foodstamps, such households being relatively rare. Module 3 also includes the age, date of birth, military service, and Veteran's Service-Connected Disability questions from Module 2. We need the age and date of birth questions in module 3 because the CATI instrument uses age as a screener for all of the remaining person-level questions, and we didn't want to reuse the age data from the original ACS interview. We include the military service and Veteran's Service-Connected Disability questions in both modules 2 and 3 because we wanted a larger sample of veterans.

### 2.5.2 CRS Sampling

The CRS universe (Denby, Coan, and Lembo, 2011) excluded the following:

- Group Quarters
- Remote Alaska
- Puerto Rico
- Households without a valid phone number
- Households with interviews conducted in any language other than English or Spanish
- Interviews completed via Telephone Questionnaire Assistance (TQA)
- Households selected for an ACS CAPI quality control reinterview
- Households for which the 2012 ACS interview date was shifted in order to mitigate their also being in the 2012 sample for one of these other Census Bureau surveys:
o Consumer Expenditures Diary Survey (CED)
o Consumer Expenditures Quarterly Interview Survey (CEQ)
o Current Population Survey (CPS)
o National Crime Victimization Survey (NCVS)
o State Children's Health Insurance Programs Survey (SCHIP)
o Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP)
- Duplicate responses from ACS sample households already added to the CRS universe
- Temporarily occupied housing units
- Vacant housing units
- Single-person households where the person did not have a valid name
- Households with two or more persons where there were not at least two valid names, and one of the persons with a valid name was at least 15 years old
- Households with certain ACS outcome codes indicating incomplete interviews (e.g., "sufficient partials")

Beginning in January 2012, on a daily basis, we placed all other ACS returns in the CRS frame as they arrived during ACS post-collection processing. We then selected daily CRS samples from these daily frames. We also kept a cumulative record of all ACS returns during the CRS sampling period (January 3 through December 3). This record has the results of the CRS eligibility determination (if we excluded it from the frame and why) and the results of the CRS sampling process (which returns we selected for sample).

To reduce aggregate respondent burden for households that went through the ACS Failed Edit Follow Up (FEFU) process, the CRS sampling rate for FEFU returns was approximately onefifth the sampling rate used for other returns. We designated each eligible ACS mail return as FEFU or non-FEFU at the beginning of the CRS sampling process.

We then used Poisson sampling to select daily CRS samples. That is, for each of the two sampling strata (FEFU and non-FEFU), we set a probability parameter (an estimate between 0 and 1) designed to achieve the desired sample size for the stratum over the course of the survey. For each eligible ACS return, we generated a random number and compared it to the appropriate probability parameter. If the random number was less than the parameter estimate, we selected the return for the CRS sample. If we selected a return, we randomly assigned it to one of the three CRS modules described previously.

In addition to determining the CRS module, the sampling process also randomly assigned a rank to each person in a CRS sample household. The CRS CATI instrument used this rank - if the case was in module 2 or module 3 - to pick a second person to ask about once the CRS respondent was finished answering the questions about themselves (assuming there was more than one person in the household).

### 2.5.3 CRS Data Collection

When we selected an ACS return for CRS, we determined a three-week CRS interviewing window for that case.

For cases where the original interview was in the mail mode (excluding FEFU), we set the beginning of the CRS interviewing window to a date shortly after processing. We wanted this start date, ideally, to be two weeks following the actual original response date. But for the mail mode there is so much uncertainty about the actual original response date (and by the time a mail mode case is being processed it is highly likely that at least one week has elapsed since the actual original response) that it made sense to begin CRS interview attempts as soon as possible after processing. On the other hand, for FEFU and CATI/CAPI interviews, we knew the original interview date, so we set the start date for CRS interviewing to exactly two weeks after that date. For all cases, the CRS interviewing stop date was three weeks after the start date.

As stated earlier, we used a Spanish version of the CRS instrument for households that responded in Spanish in the ACS .

During the three-week interviewing window for a CRS case, CATI interviewers attempted to contact the CRS sample household by telephone on a regular basis. On the first successful
contact, the interviewer requested to speak with the original respondent from the ACS production interview. If the original respondent was unavailable, the interviewer would usually set up a callback appointment. If the interviewer determined that the original respondent would not be available at any time during the three-week interviewing window, he/she attempted to speak with a proxy. On a second successful contact, the interviewer would again request to speak with the original respondent; but if the original respondent was still unavailable, the interviewer requested to speak with another member of the household who was at least 15 years old. If no such person was available, the interviewer ended the call and the case went back into the queue for further attempts. All subsequent successful contacts followed the same protocol as the second successful contact, until the stop date.

Once the interviewer was speaking with an eligible CRS respondent, they proceeded to ask that person the questions from the assigned module. For module 1, the interview was complete after the last housing question. For modules 2 or 3 , the respondent first answered part or all of the basic demographics questions for all members of the household. Next, the respondent answered detailed demographics questions about himself/herself. Then the interviewer asked the same detailed demographics questions about the second selected person (based on the random rank assigned during sampling), if any. If for some reason the respondent could not or would not answer questions about the second selected person, the interviewer moved to the next ranked person, continuing until the respondent answered for a second person, or until there were no persons remaining.

### 2.5.4 CRS Sample Allocation and Response Rates

We selected the first CRS sample cases from the ACS returns processed January 3, 2012. We selected the final CRS sample cases from ACS returns processed December 3, 2012. We originally intended to sample from twelve full months of ACS returns; but changes in ACS production made it necessary to stop CRS sampling early, and reduced our frame to just eleven months of returns. We achieved our target designated sample size of 72,000 ACS returns by adjusting the Poisson sampling parameters. The first CRS interviews took place January 18, 2012; and we completed CRS interviewing by December 21, 2012.

During the eleven months of CRS sampling, we processed approximately 2.2 million ACS returns. These included multiple returns from some households. We determined that approximately 1.6 million ( 76 percent) of these returns were eligible for CRS sampling. We selected 72,000 (4 percent) of the eligible returns for the CRS sample, with approximately 24,000 assigned to each of the three CRS modules. We completed approximately 48,000 CRS interviews overall (67 percent).

Tables 8 and 9 give a detailed breakdown of the counts and rates for CRS eligibility, sampling, and response. We define the sampling rate as the proportion of eligible ACS returns selected for the CRS sample. We define the response rate as the proportion of households in the CRS sample that completed CRS reinterviews. We define the participation rate as the proportion of eligible ACS returns that were also completed CRS interviews.

Table 8: Unweighted Counts and Rates by Original ACS Response Mode

| Response Mode | Mail <br> (w/o FEFU) | FEFU | CATI | CAPI | All Modes <br> Together |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Original ACS Return Count | 979,556 | 438,474 | 210,776 | 549,568 | $2,178,374$ |
| CRS Eligible Count | 853,338 | 271,886 | 192,337 | 326,700 | $1,644,261$ |
| CRS Eligible Percent | 87.1 | 62.0 | 91.3 | 59.4 | 75.5 |
| CRS Sample Count | 42,648 | 2,794 | 9,797 | 16,761 | 72,000 |
| CRS Sampling Rate (percent) | 5.0 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 4.4 |
| CRS Completed Interview <br> Count | 31,184 | 2,069 | 6,273 | 8,364 | 47,890 |
| CRS Response Rate (percent) | 73.1 | 74.1 | 64.0 | 49.9 | 66.5 |
| CRS Participation Rate <br> (percent) | 3.7 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.9 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

Table 9: Unweighted Sample Counts and Rates by CRS Module

| CRS Module | $\# 1$ | $\# 2$ | $\# 3$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sample Count | 23,981 | 24,091 | 23,928 |
| Completed Interview Count | 16,188 | 15,917 | 15,785 |
| Response Rate | $67.5 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ | $66.0 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

### 2.5.5 Processing of CRS Data

For every ACS return selected into the CRS sample, we obtained the unedited original responses from the production ACS process. There were a small number of cases where an ACS return was selected into the CRS sample but later was found to be an ACS noninterview. We could not obtain data for these, even if CRS reinterviews were completed; so we excluded such cases from CRS analysis. However, the CRS final weights account for these cases.

For every CRS reinterview completed, we obtained the unedited re-interview responses via a process similar to ACS CATI production data capture, but that ran independently. We excluded CRS noninterview cases from analysis, but the weights for completed re-interviews include a non-interview adjustment to account for these.

For questions with write-in responses, there are ACS production coding processes that convert each valid write-in response to a numeric code. We used these for both original ACS write-in responses and CRS re-interview write-in responses; and the results were included on the final CRS unedited data sets.

We created four final unedited CRS data sets. Two contained housing data corresponding to the CRS Module 1 analysis topics (and responses to the Foodstamps question from CRS Module 3); one for ACS responses and one for CRS responses. Similarly, two were person data sets corresponding to the CRS Module 2 and Module 3 analysis topics (except for the Foodstamps question).

Before comparing the CRS re-interview responses to the corresponding ACS original interview responses, we converted each raw response to a numeric response category. The rules for conversion were specific to each analysis topic, and we describe these in Appendix E. However, we converted the responses for many analysis topics using the following generalized algorithm:

- Count the number of distinct response options to the question as it appears on the Mail questionnaire; this is the number L of response categories.
- In the order they appear on the questionnaire, number the response options from 1 to L .
- Assign each valid response to the appropriate numeric response category, corresponding to the numbering scheme determined in the previous step.
- If a response is blank or invalid (e.g., "R" [refusal] or "D" [don't know]) assign a missing value to the numeric response category variable.

For a number of ACS questions, the responses were open-ended (write-in) and it was not possible to carry out the first step above. For these questions, we determined sets or ranges of response values corresponding to a finite number of numeric response categories. Also, there were a few exceptions to the last step. For instance, if the raw response for the Race "Asian" checkbox was blank or invalid, but there was a write-in response with a code in the "Asian" group, then we converted the "Asian" checkbox response to the numeric category 1 ("Yes, Asian"). Again, see Appendix E for the numeric category assignment rules specific to each analysis topic.

## 3 Limitations

There are a number of limitations to this report, both on the type of analysis possible and on the evaluation measures.

### 3.1 Differences in Mode of Data Collection

We conducted the reinterviews for CRS using only the CATI data collection mode; but the original ACS responses came via Mail, FEFU, CATI, and CAPI. This means that except for those who originally responded via CATI, we could not truly replicate the original ACS survey conditions in the CRS. For many analysis topics, this is apparent if one compares the number of categories with significant NDR values in the CATI subgroup with the number of categories with significant NDR values in the other two mode subgroups. The CATI subgroup tends to have fewer such categories than the Mail and CAPI subgroups, possibly because the respondents in the CATI subgroup responded via the same mode both times.

While this limitation does appear to have an impact, the ACS instruments are designed to try to elicit the same information from respondents regardless of the mode of response.

### 3.2 Within-Household Subsampling for Modules 2 and 3

For CRS sample units in Modules 2 and 3, if there were more than two persons on the original roster, we sub-sampled down to two persons in order to reduce the overall respondent burden. This increases the uncertainty of our evaluation measures over what it would be if we had asked about all persons in every household. In addition, we may be under-representing some subpopulations in the CRS sample because of the method used for sub-sampling, and because of certain processing errors that resulted in the sub-sampling method not working as intended for at least seven of the eleven months we were selecting CRS sample. In particular, we probably do not have as many persons under age 15 as we would have liked. This has more impact on some analysis topics than others. The Age analysis topic is one that is obviously affected. The School Attendance and School Grade Level analysis topics probably also have fewer persons included in the CRS analysis than we would have liked. This limitation probably does not have as much of an impact on analysis topics where the universe is restricted to persons age 15 or older.

### 3.3 Different Respondents in CRS than in ACS

To the extent possible, we attempted to conduct the CRS reinterview with the original ACS respondent; but if we could not contact that person, then we accepted another eligible member of the household as an alternate respondent for CRS. We do not currently have data on how often this was necessary; but we suspect it was a relatively rare occurrence. To the extent that we used alternate respondents, they may cause over-estimates of the gross difference rate and index of inconsistency measures, since it seems more likely that an alternate respondent would give an inconsistent reinterview response than the original respondent. It is not clear to us what effect alternate respondents might have on net difference rates, if any.

Note that we make a distinction here between a CRS "alternate respondent" and a "proxy respondent", since in both ACS and CRS the respondent (original or alternate) acts as a proxy for any other person in the household. We discuss the implications of proxy response briefly at the beginning of section 4.2.

### 3.4 Problems Inherent to the Index of Inconsistency Evaluation Measure

The index of inconsistency for an analysis category is sensitive to extremes in the prevalence of the population characteristic represented by that category. That is, if a characteristic is very rare in the overall population (say, less than one percent) or very common (say, 99 percent or more) then the index of inconsistency will have a large positive bias. This is because the estimate of total variance that is the denominator of the IOI is given by the expression [ $\left.\left(p_{1} q_{2}+p_{2} q_{1}\right) / 2\right]$, where $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are the ACS and CRS estimates of the characteristic's population proportion, and $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are their complements, respectively. Since all four terms are between 0 and 1 , extreme values will cause the entire expression to be small. No matter what the simple response variance estimate is, it will appear to be large in comparison, resulting in a large IOI estimate.

Another problem with the IOI is that the interpretation of IOI as the proportion of total variance resulting from simple response variance depends on the assumption of parallel measures. If this
assumption appears to be violated - which is indicated when the NDR is significant - then one cannot interpret the IOI this way.

### 3.5 Less Than one Full Year of ACS Sampled

The original design of the CRS called for the sample to be selected from a full 12 months of ACS returns. Due to a change in ACS production, we had to end CRS sampling after only eleven months. However, we believe the impact of this change to be minimal, since we were still able to select the full designated sample size of 72,000 households.

### 3.6 Questions Involving a Short Reference Period

Some ACS questions refer to a short, specific period, usually "last week" or "last month". For such questions, we edited the CRS CATI instrument so that in the re-interview we asked about the reference period corresponding to the original ACS response. For example, if the original ACS response date were Tuesday April 15, the reference period for the question "Did this person have a job last week?" would be Sunday April 6 through Saturday April 12. In the CRS reinterview, the question asked would be "Did this person have a job during the week beginning April 6?" Unfortunately, this means that it may have been more difficult for respondents to answer these questions accurately in the CRS re-interview than in the original ACS interview. This may result over-estimating the response error for such questions based on the CRS data.

### 3.7 Exclusions from the CRS Universe

As described earlier, we excluded some valid ACS returns from the ACS universe. Some of these exclusions may affect our measures of reliability.

We excluded ACS returns designated "sufficient partial" responses, because of the high proportion of item nonresponse in these returns. If we assume households represented by sufficient partial responses tend to respond less reliably in general than households represented by complete returns, then we are likely underestimating response error for some items. There is an added complication that the "sufficient partial" concept only applies to CATI and CAPI returns. For Mail, we may have included returns in the CRS universe that would have been "sufficient partial" (and thus excluded) had they been CATI or CAPI returns.

We also excluded returns where the ACS interview was in a language other than English or Spanish. For the ACS questions involving language, this is clearly a limitation. We must consider our reliability estimates for Language Other Than English Spoken At Home, Specific Language Spoken, and English Speaking Ability in light of this limitation. Our sample sizes for these questions are smaller than they would have been had we included other-language returns in the CRS universe.

## 4 Summary Results

In this section, we summarize the CRS evaluation measures across all analysis topics, and highlight analysis topics with measures that indicate potential problems with response reliability.

We also summarize the results of calculating the evaluation measures by mode, and by Hispanic Origin/Race subgroups.

The tables in appendices A and B contain the overall evaluation measures for all housing analysis topics and person analysis topics, respectively. The tables in appendices C and D contain the GDR and GDR ${ }_{L}$ measures by mode and by Hispanic Origin/Race subgroup, respectively. Appendix E shows the ACS questions as they appear on the 2012 ACS paper mailback questionnaire, along with the corresponding analysis topics we defined for the CRS. Some questions have multiple corresponding analysis topics. There are 36 housing analysis topics and 110 person analysis topics, 146 overall.

Appendix E also shows the analysis categories defined for each analysis topic. For dichotomous analysis topics (that is, questions with only two analysis categories, such as "Yes/No") we only report evaluation measures for the first analysis category, since the GDR and IOI estimates are identical for both categories. In addition, we include only the first category GDR and IOI estimates from dichotomous analysis topics when calculating summary statistics at the category level. Counting only one category for each of the dichotomous analysis topics, we defined 699 analysis categories across all of the CRS analysis topics. Of these, 215 categories are from housing analysis topics, and 484 categories are from person analysis topics.

### 4.1 Summary of Results for Housing Analysis Topics (All Households)

We defined 36 analysis topics based on the questions in the housing section of the ACS questionnaire. For these analysis topics, there is essentially a one-to-one correspondence between the defined CRS analysis topics and the questions as they appear on the ACS paper questionnaire. ${ }^{6}$ See Appendix E for a list of the analysis topic and category definitions corresponding to the ACS housing questions.

Table 10 shows summary statistics of the category GDR and IOI estimates for the housing analysis topics. We calculated the GDR statistics using all 215 housing analysis topic categories; but for the IOI statistics, we included only the 143 categories with valid IOI. Note that for both the GDR and IOI estimates, the median is lower than the mean, and the 75th percentile is closer to the mean than to the maximum. This indicates that the distributions of the GDR and IOI estimates are skewed toward 0 , so most housing analysis topic categories have relatively good response reliability, with just a few outliers having poor reliability.

[^5]Table 10: Summary Statistics for Housing Analysis Topics Category GDR and IOI Estimates

| Statistic | GDR | IOI (valid only) | $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| minimum | 0.0 | 4.3 | 8.9 |
| 25th percentile | 1.5 | 17.6 | 19.5 |
| median | 3.0 | 25.5 | 26.9 |
| mean | 4.7 | 30.7 | 32.8 |
| 75th percentile | 6.7 | 44.7 | 46.9 |
| 90th percentile | 11.3 | 55.6 | 55.1 |
| maximum | 22.9 | 68.9 | 67.2 |
| observations* | 215 | 143 | 24 |

* The observations are analysis categories for GDR and IOI, but analysis topics for $I O I_{L}$. From the 36 housing analysis topics, we exclude the 12 dichotomous analysis topics from the calculation of the $\mathrm{IOI}_{L}$ statistics, leaving just the 24 analysis topics with 3 or more analysis categories.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012
Table 11 shows category counts for the 10 housing analysis topics identified as PRP. Notice that none of the PRP housing analysis topics is dichotomous. Only two analysis topics have a PRP category with invalid IOI. Only one analysis topic (Annual Mobile Home Costs) is PRP for all of its analysis categories. Two analysis topics (Condominium Fee and Mortgage Status) have only one PRP category each.

Table 11: Category Counts for PRP Housing Analysis Topics

| Analysis Topic |  |  | Valid IOI <br> PRP | Invalid <br> IOI PRP | Total <br> PRP |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number Of Rooms | 9 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Monthly Electricity Cost | 9 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Monthly Gas Cost | 10 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Annual Water Sewer Cost | 11 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| Condominium Fee | 6 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Annual Property Tax Amount | 13 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Annual Property Insurance Amount | 11 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| Mortgage Status | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Second Mortgage Payment Amount | 14 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Annual Mobile Home Costs | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

The remainder of this section discusses the PRP housing analysis topics in more detail.

### 4.1.1 Number of Rooms

The response reliability for this analysis topic is among the poorest, relative to other CRS analysis topics in general, and housing analysis topics in particular. Of the nine analysis categories defined, six are PRP. The aggregate index of inconsistency $\left(\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ is 54.5 (0.7), among the highest values measured for the 24 polytomous housing analysis topics.

We conjecture that respondent confusion regarding the definition of a "room" used in the ACS survey may be an important factor contributing to poor response reliability. To illustrate, consider the question as printed on the paper mail-back questionnaire:

```
a. How many separate rooms are in this house, apartment, or mobile home?
    Rooms must be separated by built-in archways or walls that extend out at
    least }6\mathrm{ inches and go from floor to ceiling.
    - INCLUDE bedrooms, kitchens, etc.
    - EXCLUDE bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, or unfinished
        basements.
b. How many of these rooms are bedrooms?
    Count as bedrooms those rooms you would list if this house, apartment, or
    mobile home were for sale or rent. If this is an efficiency/studio apartment,
    print "0".
```

The definition of "bedrooms" used in part (b) here is probably more familiar to respondents than the definition of "rooms" in part (a). People are used to seeing and using "number of bedrooms" as one of the descriptors for a house or apartment in the real estate market. Consequently, response reliability for the Number of Bedrooms analysis topic - with $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}} 14.8$ (0.6) - is actually among the best for housing analysis topics in the CRS.

The total number of rooms in a house, on the other hand, is not an everyday concept; and the ACS definition of a "room" is somewhat ambiguous and arcane from the perspective of a typical respondent. More people are likely to know the total square feet of floor space in their home than the total number of "rooms" as defined here.

The category GDR measures for Number of Rooms display a pattern common to many analysis topics with naturally ordered analysis categories. That is, the first and last categories in the natural ordering tend to have lower GDR values, while the middle range categories tend to have higher GDR values. This makes sense intuitively -- reporting a small number of rooms may be an easy task; but as the number increases it becomes more likely that responses will be estimates and therefore inconsistent. The mid-range categories may have the most response inconsistency because, between the original interview and reinterview, estimated values may move into or out of those categories, from or to higher or lower categories. At some point, the number of higher categories becomes small enough that the inconsistency starts to decrease. Often the final category is "top-coded", as it is with this analysis topic. That is, any response equal to or higher than the given cutoff is included in the final category. Depending on the analysis topic, the GDR estimate for the final category may be higher, lower, or about the same as the estimate for the first category. For this analysis topic, the GDR estimate for "9 or more rooms" [7.3 (0.3)] is significantly higher than that for " 1 room" [2.4 (0.2)]. This could be because a response of " 9 or more rooms" is more likely to be a guess than a response of "1 room ".

### 4.1.2 Monthly Electricity Cost

The response reliability for the analysis topic Monthly Electricity Cost is also among the poorest relative to other CRS housing analysis topics. Of nine analysis categories defined, four are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is $50.9(0.8)$, again among the highest for housing analysis topics.

As we will see with other ACS questions that ask for a dollar amount response (or more generally, a numeric response), response reliability can be poor if the amount in question is one that changes frequently, as electricity bills tend to do. Responding accurately might require finding last month's electricity bill, which may be impossible, difficult, or just inconvenient, causing the respondent to guess at the amount. In the context of the CRS, if either the original interview or reinterview response is a guess, it is quite likely that the other response will fall in a different category. It is probably relevant that, for this analysis topic, the two analysis categories that are not dollar amount ranges ("Included in rent or condominium fee" and "No charge or electricity not used") the category GDR estimates are among the lowest for the analysis topic [1.2 (0.1) and 0.9 (0.1), respectively]. Responses in these two categories are most likely not guesses, and therefore not prone to the inconsistency seen in the dollar amount categories.

### 4.1.3 Monthly Gas Cost

The response reliability for the analysis topic Monthly Gas Cost is also relatively poor. Of 10 analysis categories defined, six are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is 45.0 (0.7), significantly higher than the mean for housing analysis topics.

The Monthly Gas Cost analysis topic has seven naturally ordered dollar range categories. We see the common pattern of lower GDR values for the first and last of these, with higher values in the middle categories. However, the values skew to the lower end for this particular analysis topic. We observe that the GDR estimates for the three non-dollar categories for Monthly Gas Cost ("Included in rent or condominium fee", "Included in electricity payment", and "No charge or gas not used") are not noticeably lower than the smaller GDR values for the dollar categories. We speculate that since a large proportion of households do not use gas at all, or only use gas for part of the year, inconsistency in responses in these non-dollar categories may be due to respondent uncertainty or confusion about which of the three is appropriate for them. For instance, a household for which all utilities are included in the rent might legitimately be in any of those three non-dollar categories.

### 4.1.4 Annual Water Sewer Cost

The response reliability for the analysis topic Annual Water Sewer Cost is also poor. Of eleven analysis categories defined, six are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is 46.6 (0.8), significantly higher than the mean for housing analysis topics.

This analysis topic has nine naturally ordered dollar amount categories. Similar to the pattern seen in Monthly Gas Cost, the GDR values are lower for the first and last of these categories and higher for the middle categories, but skewed toward the lower categories. The two non-dollar categories ("Included in rent or condominium fee" and "No charge") have relatively high GDR values. One possible reason for inconsistency in the "No charge" category is that while the
previous utility cost questions asked for a monthly amount, this question asks for an annual amount. If respondents fail to notice this change in reference period, and have not paid a water/sewer bill in the past month, they may mistakenly place themselves in the "No charge" category. In addition, as with Monthly Gas Cost, respondents may be confused about which of the non-dollar categories is appropriate for them. We note that in the ACS-CRS cross-tabulation for this analysis topic, 57 percent of inconsistent responses from ACS to CRS for these two categories consist of switches between these two categories (not to or from the dollar amount categories).

The category "Less than $\$ 120$ " has a very high NDR value of 6.2 (0.4), with the ACS percent for this category being 7.9 (0.4), while the CRS percent is 1.7 (0.2). Comparing the data collection mode subgroups for this category, we see that the ACS proportion estimates in Mail, CATI, and CAPI are 13.2 ( 0.6 ), 1.7 ( 0.3 ), and 2.4 (0.4), respectively. The corresponding CRS estimates are 1.7 (0.2), 1.2 ( 0.3 ), and 1.7 (0.3). Of these six estimates, the obvious outlier is the ACS Mail proportion for this category. It is possible that CATI and CAPI interviewers are able to help respondents with confusion about this question. The frequency of water and sewer bills varies, with some coming monthly, some quarterly, some semi-annually, and some annually. In addition, a large number of households use wells and septic systems, and it may not be clear whether costs associated with those should be included in responding to this question.

### 4.1.5 Condominium Fee

This analysis topic is PRP due to somewhat poor reliability for just one of its six analysis categories, "Less than $\$ 100$ per month". The remaining five categories have good reliability. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ estimate is 18.1 (3.2), which is close to the 25th percentile for housing analysis topics. It is also worth noting that the total sample size for this analysis topic is relatively small, making the confidence intervals for all the evaluation measure estimates relatively wide. In particular, the 90 percent confidence interval for the single PRP category's IOI is (21.7, 51.3). This just barely satisfies the PRP criteria, since the IOI is valid and the upper bound of the 90 percent confidence interval for the IOI estimate is only slightly above 50 percent. Given these caveats, while the analysis topic is technically PRP, we do not think there is real cause for concern about its response reliability.

### 4.1.6 Annual Property Tax Amount

This analysis topic has 13 categories, four of which are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is 37.7 (1.0), significantly higher than the mean for housing analysis topics.

As with previously discussed analysis topics that have naturally ordered dollar range analysis categories, we see the common pattern for GDR values. That is, the first and last few categories tend to have lower GDR estimates, with the higher GDR estimate values in the middle categories. The four PRP categories are in the middle (5th to 8th in the natural order). Note that for this analysis topic, the "None" category is not PRP, and has a relatively low GDR estimate of 1.9 (0.3).

One possible reason for response inconsistency for this analysis topic is that property taxes are often included as part of monthly mortgage payments. Because the actual payments may come from an escrow account, homeowners may not even be aware of the frequency or amount of property tax payments. This may be mitigated somewhat by required annual reporting of such payments by financial institutions, and by the fact that homeowners frequently deduct property taxes from their income when filing tax returns and so would become aware of the amount once a year. However, if the ACS response is not near tax time, a respondent might easily have forgotten this information. While some might be able and willing to look up the correct amount in their records, we conjecture that many respondents estimate or guess when responding to this question.

### 4.1.7 Annual Property Insurance Amount

This analysis topic has eleven categories, five of which are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is 47.0 (1.0), which is near the 75th percentile for housing analysis topics.

This analysis topic has naturally ordered dollar range analysis categories, and as we have seen previously, the GDR estimate values have the common low-high-low pattern.

It is noteworthy that for this analysis topic the "None" category is PRP. We also see that the IOI is not valid for this category, and so the PRP status is due to the GDR estimate of 5.9 (0.4). We also note that the IOI is invalid because of the large NDR estimate of 4.3 (0.4). The mode-level NDR estimates for Mail, CATI, and CAPI are 5.9 (0.5), -0.6 (0.4), and 1.6 (1.0), respectively. The corresponding mode-level GDR estimates are 6.8 ( 0.6 ), 1.5 ( 0.4 ), and 4.7 (0.9). The GDR estimates for Mail and CAPI appear large relative to CATI, suggesting there may be a problem specific to the Mail and CAPI modes for this category.

For the dollar range categories, we hypothesize that the reasons for inconsistency in responses for Annual Property Insurance Amount are similar to the reasons given in the previous section for Annual Property Tax Amount. Property Insurance payments are often included in monthly mortgage payments. Unlike property taxes, insurance premiums are not deductible for tax purposes, so there is even less reason for homeowners to be aware of the annual amounts they are paying for property insurance. Some may be able and willing to refer to records to get the correct amount, but most probably estimate or guess.

### 4.1.8 Mortgage Status

This analysis topic has three categories, with one PRP category. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is 67.2 (3.4), among the highest for housing analysis topics.

A large part of the inconsistency seen for this analysis topic may be due to a problem specific to the Mail mode. Because the information for this analysis topic is derived from a series of "unfolding" questions in CATI (and therefore for all CRS respondents), the CRS responses may actually be more accurate for this analysis topic than the ACS responses in the Mail subgroup. Since the Mail subgroup is the largest of the three mode subgroups, the overall results are similar to the Mail subgroup results. The "Owned with a mortgage" category NDR for the Mail subgroup is $-4.0(0.8)$, meaning that four percent of Mail respondents for this analysis topic
changed to this category from one of the other two when responding to the CRS. The overall NDR for this category is -2.1 (0.6), somewhat lower but still significant. We conjecture that at least for those who respond to the ACS by Mail, the proportion estimate for the "Owned with a mortgage" category may have a negative bias; that is, it may be an underestimate of the true proportion for this category.

Oddly, the CATI subgroup NDR estimates are significant for all three categories, and opposite in sign from the corresponding Mail and overall values. We might expect there to be less change in the proportion estimates for the CATI subgroup since they responded via the same mode both times. However, it may simply be that the households who originally respond in CATI are inconsistent for reasons unrelated to mode. Note that none of the NDR estimates for the CAPI subgroup is significant.

### 4.1.9 Second Mortgage Payment Amount

This analysis topic has 14 analysis categories, of which three are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ estimate is 36.2 (2.5). This is significantly higher than the median for housing analysis topics, but not significantly different from the mean.

Although this analysis topic has naturally ordered dollar range categories, the GDR estimates do not appear to follow the common low-high-low pattern.

Inconsistency in responses for this analysis topic may be due to the question presenting a difficult cognitive task. The respondent is asked to give a total of all payments on second mortgages and home equity loans. Unlike primary mortgage payments, some second mortgage and home equity loan payments may vary from month to month, making the task that much more complicated.

### 4.1.10 Annual Mobile Home Costs

This analysis topic has three analysis categories, all of which are PRP. Note that there were originally 17 proposed analysis categories, but we collapsed them down to three because of the small sample size for this analysis topic. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is 49.7 (8.9), significantly higher than the mean for housing analysis topics.

Poor response for this analysis topic may be due to confusion about what should be included in mobile home costs. As with other dollar amount analysis topics, many respondents may also be estimating or guessing the amount rather than consulting their records.

### 4.2 Summary of Results for Person Analysis Topics

We derived the person analysis topics from the basic demographic questions in the first section of the ACS questionnaire, and the more detailed questions in the third section. See Appendix E for a list of the analysis topic and category definitions corresponding to the ACS questions.

Table 12 shows summary statistics of the category GDR and IOI estimates for the person analysis topics. We calculated the GDR statistics using all 484 person analysis topic categories;
but for the IOI statistics, we included only the 281 categories with valid IOI. As we saw with the housing analysis topic categories, the median is lower than the mean for both the GDR and IOI estimates, and the 75th percentile is closer to the mean than to the maximum. This indicates that the majority of person analysis topic categories have relatively good response reliability, with a relatively small number of outliers having poor reliability.

Table 12: Summary Statistics for Person Analysis Topics Category GDR and IOI Estimates

| GDR | IOI (valid only) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| 0.5 | 10.6 |  |
| 1.8 | 22.1 |  |
| 3.6 | 24.1 |  |
| 9.1 | 33.6 |  |
|  | 9.8 | 47.5 |
|  | 484 | 73.2 |
| 280 |  |  |

is categories for GDR and IOI, but analysis topics for IOI $_{L}$. From the 110 person analysis topics, we exclude the 62 dichotomous analysis topics from th
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Table 13 shows category counts for the 25 PRP person analysis topics. In contrast with the housing analysis topics that had no dichotomous PRP analysis topics, there are seven dichotomous PRP person analysis topics. In addition to these, there are two person analysis topics (Weeks Worked and Public Assistance Income Amount) with all analysis categories PRP. Of the 15 other PRP person analysis topics, seven have only one PRP category each.

Table 13: Category Counts for PRP Person Analysis Topics

|  |  | Total | Valid <br> IOI | Invalid <br> IOI <br> IOI <br> PRP | Total <br> PRP |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Analysis Topic | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Race - Some Other Race checkbox | 7 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Race Aggregate | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Race Write-in 2 Present | 7 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Year Of Naturalization | 24 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Educational Attainment | 30 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Ancestry | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| English Speaking Ability | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Health Insurance Direct | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Grandparents Responsible For Grandchildren |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grandparents Time Responsible For | 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Grandchildren | 6 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Service Connected Disability Level | 12 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Commute Minutes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Not Working Layoff | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Not Working Informed Of Recall |  |  |  |  |  |


| Analysis Topic | Total | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Valid } \\ \text { IOI } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Valid IOI } \\ \text { PRP } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Invalid <br> IOI PRP | Total PRP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not Working Available To Work | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Weeks Worked | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Class Of Worker | 8 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Wages Income Amount | 10 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Self Employed Income Amount | 10 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| Property Income Amount | 7 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Property Income Recipiency | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Supplemental Security Income Amount | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Public Assistance Income Amount | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Other Income Amount | 6 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Total Income Amount | 11 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

With the person analysis topics, it is important to keep in mind that for most ACS mail returns and interviews, we expect one respondent to answer for themselves and for all other members of the household. For some analysis topics, such as Age and Sex, this is not problematic. A respondent would usually know these characteristics for all members of the household. However, it may be more difficult for a respondent to accurately answer for another person when the analysis topic is something like income or commute time. For the CRS, this problem is compounded by the fact that while we attempted to re-contact the original ACS respondent, we sometimes had to talk to someone else for the CRS re-interview. On the other hand, in households with three or more persons, we only asked about one other person besides the respondent in the CRS re-interviews. This may have actually reduced overall response error due to proxy interviews in the CRS, relative to ACS. That is, the proportion of persons for whom we collected data by proxy was probably lower in the CRS than in the ACS.

The remainder of this section discusses the PRP person analysis topics in more detail.

### 4.2.1 Race - Some Other Race Checkbox

This analysis topic corresponds to the "Some other race" checkbox in the ACS Race question (the last checkbox on the mail questionnaire). This is a dichotomous analysis topic, so we analyze the single category consisting of persons for whom "Other" is at least one of their Race selections. The IOI is valid for this category, and it is PRP due to the high IOI value of 66.6 (3.6), among the highest for person categories with valid IOI.

It seems reasonable that persons designated "Other" at one time might be placed in one of the more specific Race options (and not in "Other") at another time. Respondents' understanding of what is included in this catchall category is based on what they believe is covered by the rest of the categories listed. Thus, as their understanding of the rest of the categories changes, so does their definition of "Other", making this category susceptible to inconsistent reporting. This may help explain the relatively poor response reliability for this analysis topic.

Another source of inconsistency for this analysis topic (and for the Race question overall) is the well-documented phenomenon that when presented with separate race and Hispanic origin questions, Hispanics have great difficulty responding to the race question. Appendix G contains a write-up provided by Population division staff that explains this problem in further detail.

### 4.2.2 Race Aggregate

We defined the Race Aggregate analysis topic to evaluate the response reliability for the Race question as a whole. Because the aggregate measures require mutually exclusive analysis categories, we defined seven mutually exclusive categories based on combinations of responses to the 15 Race checkbox (choose all that apply) options. Our mutually exclusive categories are White alone, Black alone, American Indian or Alaska Native alone, Asian alone, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander alone, Some Other Race alone, and Multiple races. The single PRP category is "Some other race alone". The IOI is valid for this category, and the value of the IOI estimate is 68.0, among the highest for person categories with valid IOI.

The analysis-topic-level measure $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is 24.0 (1.3), close to the median for polytomous person analysis topics. This suggests that while one Race Aggregate category is PRP, the Race question as a whole has moderately good response reliability.

### 4.2.3 Race Write-in 2 Present

We defined the Race Write-in 2 Present analysis topic to evaluate the consistency of write-in responses being present when there is a "Yes" response to the Other Asian or Other Pacific Islander Race categories. This is a dichotomous analysis topic (either a write-in response is present or it is not), so we analyze the category of persons for whom this write-in response is present. The IOI is valid for this category, and it is PRP due to the high IOI value of 67.7 (3.6), among the highest for person categories with valid IOI.

As with the "Race Other" analysis topic, relatively high inconsistency in whether Race Write-in 2 is present seems plausible; respondents might easily choose to write in a response one time and not the other. The fact that Race Write-in 2 Present is PRP while the corresponding categories for Race Write-in 1 and Race Write-in 3 are not might be explained by two checkboxes being associated with it, while only one checkbox is associated with each of the others.

An additional cause for concern with this analysis topic is that the second Race write-in space is not only associated with two checkboxes, but is also associated with two of the race categories defined by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): (1) Asian, and (2) Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander (NHOPI). Therefore, inconsistency for this analysis topic adds to reliability concerns for both of those OMB race categories.

### 4.2.4 Year of Naturalization

This analysis topic has seven analysis categories, of which one is PRP. That category is "1980 to 1984", which has a valid IOI with an estimated value of 37.7 (9.0). We observe that this analysis topic has a relatively small sample size, causing the confidence intervals for the evaluation measure estimates to be quite wide. In particular, the 90 percent confidence interval for the IOI
is (22.9, 52.5), just barely satisfying the PRP criteria. We also observe that the analysis-topiclevel measure $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ has an estimate value of 22.8 (2.8), which is near the median for polytomous person analysis topics. While this analysis topic is technically PRP based on having one PRP category, we do not believe there is real cause for concern about its response reliability.

### 4.2.5 Educational Attainment

This analysis topic has 24 analysis categories, derived from the fourteen response options and the write-in box shown on the ACS questionnaire. (See Appendix D for details.) One of these categories, "Some college, less than one year", is PRP. It has a valid IOI with an estimated value of 62.3 (2.4), among the highest for person categories with valid IOI. The IOI ${ }_{L}$ is 26.7 (0.7), significantly higher than the mean for polytomous person analysis topics.

The relatively poor response reliability for "Some college, less than one year" might be due to the inherently transitional nature of the category. We see, in the cross-tabulation of ACS and CRS category counts for Educational Attainment, that of the persons in this category for only one of the two interviews, almost all were in either "Regular high school diploma" or "Some college, one or more years, no degree" in the other interview.

### 4.2.6 Ancestry

This analysis topic has 30 analysis categories, of which one is PRP. That category is "American", for which the IOI is not valid. It is PRP based on an estimated GDR value of 6.8 (0.5). We did not calculate analysis-topic-level (aggregate or L-fold) measures for Ancestry, since the analysis categories are not mutually exclusive.

We observe that this category has a large and significant NDR estimate of 3.9 (0.4).
Interestingly, the NDR estimates for the mode subgroups Mail, CATI, and CAPI are all positive: 5.2 (0.6), 0.6 (0.6), and 3.2 (0.6), respectively. This means that in all three modes, the proportion of persons reported as "American" dropped from the original ACS interview to the CRS reinterview, although the CATI change is not significant. In general, it appears that persons are least likely to be reported as "American" in the CATI mode in ACS; the ACS proportion estimates for Mail, CATI, and CAPI are 8.6 (0.6), 3.6 (0.5), and 5.4 ( 0.7 ), respectively. It may be that CATI interviewers will probe if a respondent initially responds "American" to this question, making sure the respondent understands the intent of the Ancestry question. Since we conducted the CRS only in CATI, this would be true for all three of the mode subgroups in the re-interview. This might explain the large drop in the Mail mode proportion. It is not clear why respondents would be more likely to respond "American" in CAPI than in CATI, since the Ancestry question is asked the same way in both modes. Perhaps there is a difference in the training of interviewers for the two modes.

### 4.2.7 English Speaking Ability

This analysis topic has four analysis categories, of which three are PRP. The three PRP categories are "Very well", "Well", and "Not well". The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is 41.6 (2.2), significantly higher than the 75th percentile for polytomous person analysis topics.

We observe that the NDR estimates for the "Very Well" and "Well" categories are significant and large. Furthermore, the NDR is positive for "Very Well" and negative for "Well". This is a result of many sample persons moving from "Very Well" to "Well" between the original ACS interview and the CRS reinterview (about twice as many as moved in the other direction).
Finally, we see that the GDR estimate for the category "Not at all" is significantly smaller than the GDR estimates for the other three categories.

We conjecture that people in the universe for this question (those who speak a language other than English at home) have a hard time distinguishing between the three responses, and tend to choose haphazardly among these categories. The higher number of moves from "Very well" to "Well" is probably just due to the fact that there is only one direction to go from "Very well" (down), whereas from "Well" a person can go either up or down. There appears to be some movement between "Not at all" and "Not well", but not as much as between the other three pairs of categories.

A potential limitation specific to this analysis topic is that the CRS universe excluded ACS interviews conducted in languages other than Spanish or English. It is not clear how inclusion of those interviews might have affected response reliability estimates for this analysis topic. They are a tiny fraction of ACS interviews overall, but perhaps a larger fraction of the target universe for the English Speaking Ability analysis topic. However, we also have no way of knowing, from the results of the CRS, whether this excluded group might be different from the general population with respect to response reliability for this analysis topic.

### 4.2.8 Health Insurance Direct

This is a dichotomous analysis topic corresponding to the Yes/No checkboxes in the second part of the Types of Health Insurance question, which asks whether a person has health insurance purchased directly from an insurance company. We analyze the single category consisting of persons for whom the answer to this question was "Yes". The IOI is valid, with a value of 48.6 (1.4), among the highest for person categories with valid IOI.

We observe that this category has a significant NDR estimate of -2.9 (0.4). The NDR for the CATI subgroup is $0.9(0.9)$, which is not significant. The NDR estimates for the Mail and CAPI subgroups are -3.3 ( 0.6 ) and -3.3 ( 0.7 ), respectively, which are both significant. This means that except for the CATI subgroup, the proportion of persons in this category rose significantly from the ACS to the CRS. For some reason, it appears respondents are more likely to select this category in CATI than in the other two modes.

### 4.2.9 Grandparents Responsible For Grandchildren

This is a dichotomous analysis topic corresponding to the question: "Is this grandparent currently responsible for most of the basic needs of any grandchildren under the age of 18 who lives in this house or apartment?" We analyze the category consisting of persons for whom the answer is "Yes". The IOI is not valid due to a large NDR estimate of -9.0 (4.9), so the category is PRP based on the GDR estimate of 15.9 (4.8), among the highest for all person categories.

The universe for this question is restricted to persons who have grandchildren living with them. Because of this, the sample size for this category is relatively small, and the confidence intervals are quite wide. Therefore, while this category is technically PRP, it is difficult to draw any definitive conclusions about its response reliability.

### 4.2.10 Grandparents Time Responsible For Grandchildren

This analysis topic has four categories, of which three are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is 37.4 (9.6), not significantly different from the median for polytomous person analysis topics. The IOI is valid for all of the PRP categories, so their PRP status based on the IOI confidence intervals for those categories.

The universe for this analysis topic is restricted to persons who are responsible for the care of their own grandchildren living with them. Because of this, the sample size for this analysis topic is even smaller than for the previous analysis topic (Grandparents Responsible for Grandchildren); and again the confidence intervals for all evaluation measures are quite wide. Therefore, while this analysis topic is technically PRP, it is difficult to draw any definitive conclusions about its response reliability.

### 4.2.11 Service Connected Disability Level

This analysis topic has six categories, of which one is PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ is 18.6 (2.9), significantly below the median for polytomous person analysis topics. Due to a large and significant NDR estimate, the IOI is invalid for the single PRP category, "No rating reported"; so the PRP status results from the GDR estimate of 8.1 (1.8).

In our preliminary analysis, this analysis topic had only five categories, but subject matter experts requested that we add the "No rating reported" category. This category consists of persons for whom the response to the question "Does this person have a VA service-connected disability rating?" is "Yes", but there is no valid response to the Level question that follows. The original five categories appear to have very good response reliability. It is only the addition of the sixth category that makes the analysis topic PRP. However, the sample size for this analysis topic is relatively small, making the standard errors for the evaluation measures relatively large.

### 4.2.12 Commute Minutes

This analysis topic has twelve categories, of which eight are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ estimate is 54.6 (1.0), among the highest for polytomous person analysis topics. All of the PRP categories have valid IOI.

The categories for this analysis topic are naturally ordered ("Less than 5 minutes", " 5 to 9 minutes". . . "40 to 44 minutes", "45 to 59 minutes", "60 to 89 minutes", " 90 or more minutes"). As we commonly see with naturally ordered categories, the GDR estimates tend to be lower for the first few and last few categories, and higher for categories in the middle. However, we do notice an odd dip at the sixth category, " 25 to 29 minutes", where the GDR estimate is 8.6 (0.5), compared with 14.9 ( 0.7 ) for the previous category and 12.9 (0.6) for the following category. There is a corresponding pattern in the proportion estimates for these three categories.

There are a number of possible reasons for the relatively poor response reliability we see for this analysis topic. One is simply the number of categories we defined, and the endpoints we chose for the minute ranges. The actual responses are single numbers, not ranges. If we had defined a smaller number of categories with wider ranges, and defined the categories so that the most likely responses (such as " 15 minutes", " 30 minutes", or " 60 minutes") were in the middle of the defined categories (and not at the endpoints) we almost certainly would see better response reliability. We see in the raw (uncategorized) data a definite tendency to report round numbers. (There are relatively few responses of "eleven minutes", for example.) To test our hypothesis that a smaller set of larger minute ranges would improve the evaluation measures, we defined a set of five categories ( $0-17,18-37,38-57,58-87$, and $88+$ ) and calculated the evaluation measures for these. The aggregate measure $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ for this set of categories is substantially lower, 31.3 (0.1), versus 54.6 (1.0) for the 12 -category set.

Regarding the above discussion of category definitions, it is important to note that the published statistic for this topic is "Mean Travel Time," not proportions in minute range categories. The contribution of response error to the total mean squared error for the reported statistic may actually be better represented by the measures resulting from a larger number of smaller categories. It may also be that a different approach to measuring response error would be more appropriate for this topic (and other topics where the response variables are more aptly treated as continuous rather than categorical); but that is outside the scope of this report.

Because the commute time for a given person can vary dramatically, it may not be easy for a respondent to recall accurately the "usual" number of minutes for a specific week, even in round numbers. For example, if the average duration of a given person's commute is 25 minutes, but can be as short as 15 minutes or as long as 35 minutes, it is easy to imagine a respondent reporting 20 minutes in the ACS and 30 minutes in the CRS. Even with fewer and "better" categories, this phenomenon could result in reliability problems for this analysis topic.

In addition, this is one of the analysis topics that could suffer from a "proxy effect", where the respondent is answering for another member of the household. It seems reasonable that one member of the household might not know the details of another household member's commute. Furthermore, this is one of the analysis topics possibly affected by the short reference period limitation.

### 4.2.13 Not Working Layoff

This is a dichotomous analysis topic corresponding to the question: "Last week, was this person on layoff from a job?" We analyze the category consisting of persons for whom the answer is "Yes". The IOI is valid, with a value of 45.1 (5.0). This is significantly higher than the 75th percentile for person analysis topics with valid IOI.

We conjecture that the relatively poor response reliability for this category may be at least partly due to respondent uncertainty about the definition of "layoff".

Additionally, this is one of the analysis topics subject to the short reference period limitation.

### 4.2.14 Not Working Informed Of Recall

This is a dichotomous analysis topic corresponding to the question: "Has this person been informed that he or she will be recalled to work within the next six months OR been given a date to return to work?" We analyze the category consisting of persons for whom the answer is "Yes". The IOI is valid, with a value of 60.9 (17.2). This is significantly higher than the mean for person analysis topics with valid IOI. The large standard error means that it is not significantly larger than the 75th percentile, however.

Due to the small sample size for this analysis topic, it is difficult to do any meaningful analysis of the response reliability.

### 4.2.15 Not Working Available To Work

This is a dichotomous analysis topic corresponding to the question: "Last week, could this person have started a job if offered one, or returned to work if recalled?" (This question actually has three response options, but because of small sample sizes we collapsed the two "No" options into one category.) We analyze the category consisting of persons for whom the answer is "Yes". The IOI is not valid, due to a significant and large NDR estimate of -4.1 (2.3). Therefore, it is PRP based on the GDR estimate of 9.9 (2.0), significantly higher than the 75th percentile for all person categories.

This is one of the analysis topics subject to the short reference period limitation.

### 4.2.16 Weeks Worked

This analysis topic has six categories, all of which are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ estimate is 63.6 (2.1), among the highest for polytomous person analysis topics. The analysis categories for Weeks Worked are ranges of weeks, which have a natural order. The GDR estimates for the categories display the common pattern we see with naturally ordered categories, with lower values for the first and last categories, and higher values in the middle categories.

We conjecture that the relatively poor response reliability we see for the Weeks Worked analysis topic is due to the difficulty of recalling the exact number of weeks worked for a person who has worked for only part of the reference year. It is likely that many respondents answering this question do not know the exact number of weeks worked and are just estimating. This may be even more likely for a respondent who is answering for another member of the household. We observe that the " 50 to 52 weeks" category's GDR estimate is among the lowest for the analysis topic. Intuitively, this makes sense; it would be relatively easy to remember that a person did not work only one or two weeks. However, even this category is PRP, perhaps because the universe for this question is supposed to be persons who answered "No" to the previous question:
"During the PAST 12 MONTHS ( 52 weeks), did this person work 50 or more weeks? Count paid time off as work." That is, theoretically, there should be nobody in the " 50 to 52 weeks" category for the Weeks Worked analysis topic. This may be further evidence of respondent uncertainty about this question.

### 4.2.17 Class of Worker

This analysis topic has eight analysis categories, of which one is PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ estimate is 32.0 (1.5), significantly higher than the mean for polytomous person analysis topics.

The single PRP category is "Employee of a private not-for-profit organization", which has a valid IOI estimate of 46.7 (3.4). We conjecture that the relatively poor response reliability for this category may be at least partly due to respondent uncertainty about the term "not-for-profit".

### 4.2.18 Wages Income Amount

This analysis topic has 10 analysis categories, of which just one is PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ estimate is 31.5 (0.9), significantly higher than the mean for polytomous person analysis topics.

This analysis topic has naturally ordered dollar range categories. We see the common pattern of GDR estimates being lower for the first and last categories, with higher values for the middle categories; however, the high GDR estimate values skew toward the lower dollar ranges.

The single PRP category is "\$10,000 to \$14,999", which has a valid IOI estimate of 48.6 (2.9). This is significantly higher than the 75th percentile for person categories with valid IOI.

While response reliability is relatively good for most categories in this analysis topic, we conjecture that it is poorer for lower categories because persons with low annual wages are likely to be part time employees whose wages tend to be unpredictable. By contrast, persons with higher incomes are more likely to be full time employees whose wages do not change frequently or drastically.

Another possible reason for the difference in reliability between the high and low ranges is that persons with higher incomes are more likely to file annual income tax returns. Since they must review documentation of their income in order to complete the tax returns, it may be easier for them to recall their annual income accurately.

### 4.2.19 Self Employed Income Amount

This analysis topic has 10 analysis categories, of which six are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ estimate is 47.2 (3.5), among the highest for polytomous person analysis topics.

This analysis topic has naturally ordered dollar range categories; and as we saw with Wages Income Amount, the GDR values follow the common low-high-low pattern, but with the higher GDR estimates skewed toward the lower dollar ranges.

A possible explanation for the relatively poor overall response reliability for this analysis topic may be that the annual income for self-employed persons is relatively unstable and therefore more difficult to recall accurately.

As with Wages Income Amount, the higher dollar range categories appear to have better response reliability than the lower range categories. Although Self Employed Income Amount is
probably less stable than Wages Income Amount even for the higher dollar ranges, it is still a reasonable conjecture that Self Employed Income Amount is relatively more stable for higher dollar ranges than for lower dollar ranges. The conjecture regarding income tax returns is probably just as applicable to Self Employed Income Amount as to Wages Income Amount.

### 4.2.20 Property Income Amount

This analysis topic has seven analysis categories, of which four are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ estimate is 50.3 (2.8), among the highest for polytomous person analysis topics.

This analysis topic has naturally ordered dollar range categories; and as we saw with Wages Income Amount and Self Employed Income Amount, the GDR estimates follow the common low-high-low pattern, but with the higher GDR estimates skewed toward the lower dollar ranges. However, we observe that except for the category "Loss or broke even", the GDR estimates for Property Income Amount categories are all among the highest for person categories.

We conjecture that Property Income Amount is highly variable, and may therefore be more difficult for respondents to recall than other types of income.

### 4.2.21 Property Income Recipiency

This analysis topic has three analysis categories, of which two are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ estimate is 48.5 (1.3), among the highest for polytomous person analysis topics.

The relatively poor overall response reliability for this analysis topic may be due to respondent uncertainty about what types of income are included in "Property Income".

It should be noted that for Mail responses where there was a value reported for Property Income Amount, we assumed a "Yes" response to Property Income Recipiency, whether that box was checked or not. Conversely, as long as there was a response to any of the Income questions, if there was no value reported for Property Income Amount, we assumed a response of "No" for Recipiency if neither box was checked.

### 4.2.22 Supplemental Security Income Amount

This analysis topic has four analysis categories, of which two are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ estimate is 32.6 (4.8), significantly higher than the mean for polytomous person analysis topics.

Although the number of categories is small relative to other income amount analysis topics, we do roughly observe the low-high-low pattern in GDR estimates.

Neither of the two PRP categories have valid IOI estimates, due to large and significant NDR estimates. We observe that three of the four category NDR estimates for the Mail mode subgroup are large and significant, as is one NDR estimate for the CAPI subgroup. In contrast, the NDR estimates for the CATI subgroup are all quite small. Furthermore, we note that the category proportion estimates for the Mail and CAPI subgroups move closer to the corresponding CATI estimates between the ACS and the CRS. It is clear that the overall
inconsistency for this analysis topic is almost completely due to inconsistency in the Mail and CAPI modes.

### 4.2.23 Public Assistance Income Amount

This analysis topic has three analysis categories, all of which are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ estimate is 43.0 (11.3), significantly higher than the mean for polytomous person analysis topics.

The sample size for this analysis topic is quite small, so the 90 percent confidence intervals for all evaluation measures are wide. Because of this, it is difficult to draw any meaningful conclusions about the response reliability for this analysis topic.

### 4.2.24 Other Income Amount

This analysis topic has six analysis categories, of which four are PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ estimate is 41.3 (6.1), significantly higher than the 75th percentile for polytomous person analysis topics.

The GDR estimates roughly follow the low-high-low pattern we see in other income amount analysis topics. Note that the four PRP categories are the four middle ranges.

One reason for the poor overall response reliability for this analysis topic is may be that the amount of Other Income varies substantially from year to year and is therefore difficult to recall. Another possible reason is respondent uncertainty about what types of income to include in this analysis topic.

### 4.2.25 Total Income Amount

The Total Income Amount question is intended to capture the sum of the amounts reported for the preceding individual income types. In fact, in CATI and CAPI, the instrument calculates this sum automatically, and the interviewer simply asks the respondent to verify that the calculated sum is correct. Therefore, any inconsistency issues with this analysis topic are confounded with issues in the specific income type analysis topics.

This analysis topic has eleven analysis categories, of which just one is PRP. The $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ estimate is 34.7 (0.7), significantly higher than the mean for polytomous person analysis topics.

The GDR estimates roughly follow the low-high-low pattern we see in other analysis topics with naturally ordered dollar range categories. The estimates are somewhat skewed toward the lower dollar ranges, although the skewing is not as pronounced as we saw with some of the other income amount analysis topics.

The single PRP category is "\$10,000 to \$14,999". However, five other categories have comparable GDR estimates; this category is PRP only because its population proportion is significantly smaller than the other categories with comparable GDR estimates. The lower proportion causes the denominator of the IOI estimate to be relatively smaller, thus making the simple response variance larger relative to the total variance. Looking only at the GDR estimates (and ignoring the IOI estimates), we see that the six categories from "Less than $\$ 10,000$ " through
" $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 74,999$ " all have GDR values significantly higher than the 75th percentile for person categories.

As we have seen with some of the specific income type amount analysis topics, responses are more consistent for the highest dollar ranges than for the lower ranges. We conjecture that part of the reason for this is a greater fluctuation in income from year to year for persons whose total income is in the lower ranges. Furthermore, as with some of the specific income type amount analysis topics, it may be that persons with higher total income are more likely to file income tax returns, and therefore can more easily recall their total income accurately.

### 4.3 Summary of Response Reliability by ACS Data Collection Mode

The second research question is: "What are the reliability measures associated with each mode of data collection in the original ACS interview?" To answer this, we calculated all of the evaluation measures by ACS response mode. We show the estimates of GDR and GDR ${ }_{L}$ by mode for all analysis topics and analysis categories in Appendix C.

As described in the methodology section earlier in this report, we identified, for each collection mode subgroup, response categories that have relatively high GDR estimates. Tables 14-16 summarize the analysis topics with response categories that have GDR estimates higher than the 90th percentile for each mode, excluding categories where the GDR Coefficient of Variation (CV) is higher than 50 percent. (For each subgroup, the percentile is calculated using all 699 response categories. The high CV categories are dropped after the percentile calculation.) We shade rows for analysis topics that are not PRP overall; these analysis topics may have reliability issues specific to the given collection mode.

The analysis topics that may have mode-specific reliability issues are:

- Number of Vehicles
- Heating Fuel Used
- Annual Other Fuel Cost
- Property Insurance Included
- Race: White
- Commute Departure Time
- Not Working Looking for Work
- When Last Worked *
- Worked 50 Weeks or More *
- Industry Type
- Occupation
- Social Security Income Amount *
- Retirement Income Amount *
[CAPI]
[CATI, CAPI]
[Mail]
[Mail, CAPI]
[CAPI]
[CATI, CAPI]
[CAPI]
[Mail, CATI, CAPI]
[Mail, CATI, CAPI]
[CATI, CAPI]
[Mail, CATI]
[Mail, CATI, CAPI]
[Mail, CATI, CAPI]

The four analysis topics in this list marked with an asterisk are not PRP overall, yet they appear to have potential reliability problems in all three modes based on the GDR criteria described above. This is because the overall IOI values for all but three of the response categories for these analysis topics were valid and relatively low. For the three categories with invalid IOI, the GDR
values were relatively small. If we had used the GDR-only criteria for the overall evaluation, we would have flagged these four analysis topics in the overall analysis.

Table 14: Analysis Topics With Response Category GDR Values Above the 90th Percentile (10.1 percent) for the Mail Collection Mode

| Analysis Topic Name | Total Response Categories | High <br> GDR <br> Response <br> Categories | Category with Highest GDR | Highest <br> GDR <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Rooms | 9 | 5 | 6 Rooms | 19.4 (0.6) |
| Monthly Electricity Cost | 9 | 5 | \$100 to \$149 | 22.5 (0.8) |
| Monthly Gas Cost | 10 | 3 | \$25 to \$49 | 14.6 (0.6) |
| Annual Water Sewer Cost | 11 | 3 | \$300 to \$599 | 17.4 (0.6) |
| Annual Other Fuel Cost | 9 | 1 | No Charge | 11.3 (0.6) |
| Annual Property Tax Amount | 13 | 2 | \$1,800 to \$2,399 | 11.4 (0.8) |
| Annual Property Insurance Amount | 11 | 4 | \$600 to \$899 | 16.8 (0.9) |
| Property Insurance Included | 1 | 1 | Yes | 12.3 (0.8) |
| Second Mortgage Payment Amount | 14 | 2 | \$100 to \$199 | 12.1 (1.6) |
| Annual Mobile Home Costs | 3 | 3 | \$250 to \$2,499 | 23.7 (5.4) |
| Ancestry | 30 | 1 | Other Groups | 12.4 (0.7) |
| English Speaking Ability | 4 | 2 | Well | 18.4 (2.3) |
| Health Insurance: Purchased Directly | 1 | 1 | Yes | 12.5 (0.5) |
| Grandparents Time Responsible for Grandchildren | 4 | 2 | 1 to 2 Years | 26.3 (11.8) |
| Commute Minutes | 12 | 4 | 20 to 24 Minutes | 15.5 (0.9) |
| Not Working, Available to Work | 1 | 1 | Yes | 14.5 (4.0) |
| When Last Worked | 3 | 1 | 1-5 Years Ago | 10.5 (0.7) |
| Worked 50 Weeks or More | 1 | 1 | Yes | 11.5 (0.6) |
| Weeks Worked | 6 | 4 | 27 to 39 Weeks | 24.9 (2.2) |
| Class of Worker | 8 | 1 | Employee of A Private for-Profit Company or Business | 13.0 (1.1) |
| Occupation | 18 | 1 | Management, Business and Financial Occupations | 11.0 (0.5) |
| Self Employed Income Amount | 10 | 3 | \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 14.1 (4.0) |
| Property Income Amount | 7 | 4 | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 21.5 (2.6) |
| Property Income Recipiency | 3 | 2 | Received A Positive Amount of Property Income | 16.9 (0.7) |
| Social Security Income Amount | 5 | 1 | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 13.6 (1.1) |
| Supplemental Security Income Amount | 4 | 3 | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 16.0 (3.9) |
| Public Assistance Income Amount | 3 | 1 | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 13.7 (5.0) |
| Retirement Income Amount | 7 | 1 | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 10.9 (1.2) |
| Other Income Amount | 6 | 4 | \$2,500 to \$4,999 | 16.3 (2.4) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

Table 15: Analysis Topics With Response Category GDR Values Above the 90th Percentile (8.9 percent) for the CATI Collection Mode

| Analysis Topic Name | Total <br> Response <br> Categories | High <br> GDR <br> Response <br> Categories | Category with Highest <br> GDR | Highest <br> GDR <br> Value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Number of Rooms | 9 | 4 | 6 Rooms | $18.9(1.0)$ |
| Heating Fuel Used | 8 | 1 | Electricity | $9.3(0.8)$ |
| Monthly Electricity Cost | 9 | 5 | $\$ 100$ to \$149 | $19.9(1.1)$ |
| Monthly Gas Cost | 10 | 3 | $\$ 50$ to \$74 | $11.9(1.0)$ |
| Annual Water Sewer Cost | 11 | 2 | $\$ 300$ to \$599 | $14.4(1.1)$ |
| Annual Property Insurance Amount | 11 | 4 | $\$ 600$ to \$899 | $15.8(1.6)$ |
| Second Mortgage Payment Amount | 14 | 2 | $\$ 200$ to \$249 | $11.7(2.6)$ |
| Annual Mobile Home Costs | 3 | 3 | $\$ 2,500$ or More | $52.5(15.4)$ |
| Ancestry | 30 | 1 | Naturalized 1990 to 1994 | $13.4(5.0)$ |
| English Speaking Ability | 4 | 3 | Other Groups | $9.9(0.9)$ |
| Health Insurance: Purchased Directly | 1 | 1 | Well | $16.0(2.2)$ |
| Grandparents Time Responsible for | 4 | 1 | Yes, Purchased Directly | $12.0(0.7)$ |
| Grandchildren | 6 | 1 |  | Yes |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

## Table 16: Analysis Topics With Response Category GDR Values Above the 90th Percentile (10.6 percent) for the CAPI Collection Mode

| Analysis Topic Name | Total <br> Response <br> Categories | High <br> GDR <br> Response <br> Categories | Category with Highest GDR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Highest <br> GDR <br> Value |
| :---: |
| Number of Rooms |
| Number of Vehicles |
| Heating Fuel Used |
| Monthly Electricity Cost |
| Monthly Gas Cost |
| Annual Water Sewer Cost |
| Annual Property Insurance Amount |
| Property Insurance Included |
| Second Mortgage Payment Amount |
| Annual Mobile Home Costs |
| Race 1 |
| Race Aggregate |
| Year of Naturalization |
| English Speaking Ability |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

We do not include testing of the statistical significance of differences in the evaluation measures between modes in this report, because the interpretation of any mode differences in response reliability is problematic, for a number of reasons.

One reason is that, as stated in the CRS project plan, we did not design the experiment to test for mode differences. Our stated objective was simply to present response reliability estimates by mode. (We may be able to use these estimates as a baseline for future evaluations.)

Secondly, the report "2005 American Community Survey Respondents Characteristics Evaluations" (Joshipura, 2008) presents evidence that households and persons with different characteristics have differential propensities to respond by Mail, CATI, or CAPI. One example is the tenure analysis topic, where approximately 69 percent of owners responded by Mail, but only 42 percent of renters responded by Mail. Another example is the Level of Education (Educational Attainment) analysis topic. Respondents were classified as having "Less than High School Education", "High School Education", or "Greater than High School Education". The percent of each of these groups responding by Mail was 47 percent, 55 percent, and 67 percent, respectively.

Another reason the interpretation of mode differences is problematic is the limitation that we administered the CRS reinterviews only in the CATI mode. For a number of analysis topics, the way the ACS presents questions on the Mail questionnaire is qualitatively different from how the ACS administers the corresponding questions in CATI and CAPI. One example of this is the series of Health Insurance Coverage questions. On the mail questionnaire, although there are eight independent questions with "Yes" and "No" checkboxes for each question, Mail respondents often simply check "Yes" for one of the questions, not bothering to check "No" for the other seven. (Because of this, in our analysis we assume a "No" response for all Health Insurance Coverage questions with no response if there is a "Yes" response for at least one of them.) In contrast, the automated instrument forces CATI and CAPI respondents to answer all of the questions, one by one.

For the "Medicaid" health insurance coverage question, the GDR estimates in Mail, CATI, and CAPI are 2.6 ( 0.3 ), 4.1 ( 0.5 ), and 6.5 (0.6), respectively. Part of the reason for the apparent disparity in these estimates may be that persons covered by Medicaid are less likely to respond by Mail in the first place; so Mail respondents are quite likely to consistently respond "No" (or leave this question blank and then respond "No" in the CATI reinterview). However, it is also possible that the difference in how we present this question in the different modes has something to do with it.

For some analysis topics, such as Food Stamps, a social desirability effect may cause respondents to respond differently in different modes. However, as we noted when discussing the "Medicaid" question, it may also be the case that households who respond "Yes" to the Food Stamps question are less likely to have responded by Mail in the first place.

All these factors combine to make any differences in response reliability by mode difficult to interpret.

We calculated the aggregate evaluation measures $\mathrm{GDR}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ for the 72 analysis topics with three or more categories (excluding Ancestry and Field of Bachelor's Degree) by data collection mode (Mail, CATI, and CAPI). Table 17 shows the distributions of $\mathrm{GDR}_{\mathrm{L}}$ values by mode, along with the overall distribution for comparison. Table 18 shows distributions for $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$.

Table 17: Distributions of GDR ${ }_{\mathrm{L}}$ Estimates by ACS Data Collection Mode

|  | Statistic | Overall | Mail | CATI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | CAPI |
| $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 3.3 | 2.4 | 0.2 |  |
| Median | 6.0 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 4.0 |
| Mean | 6.8 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 6.3 |
| $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 9.7 | 9.3 | 7.8 | 7.2 |
| $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 13.2 | 14.0 | 11.8 | 10.0 |
| Maximum | 21.5 | 21.7 | 42.3 | 12.9 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

Table 18: Distributions of IOIL $_{\text {L }}$ Estimates by ACS Data Collection Mode

| Statistic | Overall | Mail | CATI | CAPI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 16.1 | 14.5 | 14.1 | 17.2 |
| Median | 23.9 | 22.7 | 21.3 | 27.6 |
| Mean | 27.3 | 26.4 | 24.1 | 28.8 |
| $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 41.4 | 40.1 | 32.9 | 40.4 |
| $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 49.6 | 51.5 | 43.3 | 45.9 |
| Maximum | 67.2 | 66.7 | 89.3 | 78.7 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In addition, we calculated the category-level measures IOI and GDR for all analysis topics (including Ancestry and Field of Bachelor’s Degree). Including only the first category for dichotomous analysis topics, there are 699 analysis categories. Table 19 shows the distributions of GDR estimates by mode (and overall). Table 20 shows the distributions of IOI estimates.

Table 19: Distribution of category GDR Estimates by ACS Data Collection Mode

|  | Statistic | Overall | Mail | CATI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | CAPI |
| $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 |  |
| Median | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 0.8 |
| Mean | 4.0 | 3.8 | 2.3 |  |
| $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 5.8 | 5.3 | 3.5 | 4.1 |
| $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 10.1 | 10.1 | 4.7 | 5.8 |
| Maximum | 24.6 | 26.3 | 52.5 | 10.6 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

Table 20: Distribution of category IOI Estimates by ACS Data Collection Mode

|  | Statistic | Overall | Mail | CATI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | CAPI |
| $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 13.9 | 11.9 | 11.4 | 14.9 |
| Median | 26.2 | 25.0 | 23.6 | 26.5 |
| Mean | 29.9 | 29.5 | 27.5 | 31.3 |
| $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 41.1 | 41.1 | 39.4 | 43.4 |
| $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 59.9 | 64.1 | 57.0 | 62.3 |
| Maximum | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

### 4.4 Summary of Response Reliability by Hispanic Origin/Race Groups

The third research question is: "What are the reliability measures associated with Hispanic Origin and Race classification in the original ACS interview?" In order to answer this we calculated all of the evaluation measures by the Hispanic Origin/Race subgroups defined in section 1.3.3 (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, and Other). We show the estimates of GDR and $\mathrm{GDR}_{\mathrm{L}}$ by subgroup for all analysis topics and analysis categories in Appendix D.

As described in the methodology section earlier in this report, we identified, for each Hispanic Origin/Race subgroup, response categories that have relatively high GDR estimates. Tables 2125 summarize the analysis topics with response categories that have GDR estimates higher than the 90th percentile for each subgroup, excluding categories where the GDR CV is higher than 50 percent. (For each subgroup, the percentile is calculated using all 699 response categories. The high CV categories are dropped after the percentile calculation.) We shade rows for analysis topics that are not PRP overall; these analysis topics may have reliability issues specific to the given subgroup.

The analysis topics that may have reliability issues specific to an Hispanic Origin/Race subgroup are:

- Lot Size
- Number of Vehicles *
- Heating Fuel Used
- Property Tax Included
- Property Insurance Included
- Property Value
- Monthly Mortgage Payment
- Race: White
- Race: AIAN
- Race: Write-in 1 Present
- Ancestry
- Health Insurance: Through Employer
- Commute Number of Riders
- Commute Departure Time
[Black]
[Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, Other]
[Hispanic, Black, Asian, Other]
[Asian]
[White, Asian]
[Black, Other]
[Other]
[Hispanic, Other]
[Other]
[Other]
[White, Other]
[Asian]
[Hispanic]
[Hispanic, Black, Other]
- Not Working Looking for Work
- When Last Worked
- Worked 50 Weeks or More *
- Usual Hours Worked Per Week
- Industry Type
- Occupation
- Social Security Income Amount
- Retirement Income Amount
[Hispanic, Black, Other]
[Hispanic, White, Black, Asian]
[Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, Other] [Hispanic]
[Hispanic, White, Asian, Other]
[White, Asian]
[Hispanic, White, Black]
[White]

The two analysis topics in this list marked with an asterisk are not PRP overall, yet they appear to have potential reliability problems in all five subgroups based on the GDR criteria described above. This is because the overall IOI values for all but one of the response categories for these analysis topics were valid and relatively low. For the one category with invalid IOI, the GDR value was relatively small. If we had used the GDR-only criteria for the overall evaluation, we would have flagged these two analysis topics in the overall analysis.

Table 21: Analysis Topics With Response Category GDR Values Above the 90th Percentile (11.3 percent) for the HISPANIC Subgroup

| Analysis Topic Name | Total Response Categories | High <br> GDR <br> Response <br> Categories | Category with Highest GDR | Highest GDR Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Rooms | 9 | 5 | 5 Rooms | 23.2 (1.8) |
| Number of Vehicles | 6 | 1 | 2 Vehicles Available | 11.7 (1.2) |
| Heating Fuel Used | 8 | 2 | Utility Gas | 16.5 (1.6) |
| Monthly Electricity Cost | 9 | 4 | \$100 to \$149 | 21.1 (1.9) |
| Monthly Gas Cost | 10 | 1 | \$25 to \$49 | 15.3 (1.6) |
| Annual Water Sewer Cost | 11 | 3 | \$600 to \$899 | 16.0 (1.4) |
| Annual Property Insurance Amount | 11 | 2 | \$600 to \$899 | 14.3 (2.5) |
| Race 1 | 1 | 1 | Race Write-In 2 Present | 32.3 (2.1) |
| Race 15 | 1 | 1 | Race Write-In 2 Present | 32.3 (2.1) |
| Race Aggregate | 7 | 2 | White Alone | 33.6 (2.1) |
| Race wp2 | 1 | 1 | White Alone | 33.6 (2.1) |
| English Speaking Ability | 4 | 3 | Well | 21.1 (1.6) |
| Commute Number of Riders | 5 | 2 | 2 Riders | 13.6 (2.0) |
| Commute Departure Time | 6 | 2 | 7:00 A.M. to 8:59 A.M. | 12.9 (1.9) |
| Commute Minutes | 12 | 4 | 15 to 19 Minutes | 18.6 (2.0) |
| Not Working Looking for Work | 1 | 1 | Yes | 12.0 (2.1) |
| Not Working Available to Work | 1 | 1 | Yes | 14.4 (5.3) |
| When Last Worked | 3 | 2 | Over 5 Years Ago or Never Worked | 15.0 (2.4) |
| Worked 50 Weeks or More | 1 | 1 | Yes | 16.0 (1.6) |
| Weeks Worked | 6 | 4 | 27 to 39 Weeks Worked During Past 12 Months | 28.3 (4.5) |
| Usual Hours Worked Per Week | 3 | 2 | Usually Worked 15-34 Hours Per Week | 12.2 (1.6) |
| Industry Type | 4 | 2 | Other (Agriculture, Construction, Service, Government, Etc.) | 18.3 (1.9) |
| Wages Income Amount | 10 | 3 | \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 17.6 (2.1) |
| Self Employed Income Amount | 10 | 1 | Less than \$10,000 | 31.3 (14.1) |
| Social Security Income Amount | 5 | 2 | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 13.2 (4.7) |
| Other Income Amount | 6 | 2 | \$2,500 to \$4,999 | 14.2 (5.1) |
| Total Income Amount | 11 | 1 | \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 14.2 (1.4) |

[^6]Table 22: Analysis Topics With Response Category GDR Values Above the 90th Percentile (10.4 percent) for the WHITE Subgroup

| Analysis Topic Name | Total Response Categories | High <br> GDR <br> Response <br> Categories | Category with Highest GDR | Highest GDR Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Rooms | 9 | 5 | 6 Rooms | 18.3 (0.6) |
| Number of Vehicles | 6 | 1 | 2 Vehicles Available | 10.5 (0.5) |
| Monthly Electricity Cost | 9 | 5 | \$100 to \$149 | 21.4 (0.7) |
| Monthly Gas Cost | 10 | 2 | \$25 to \$49 | 13.0 (0.5) |
| Annual Water Sewer Cost | 11 | 2 | \$300 to \$599 | 16.1 (0.6) |
| Annual Property Insurance Amount | 11 | 4 | \$600 to \$899 | 16.5 (0.8) |
| Property Insurance Included | 1 | 1 | Yes | 11.6 (0.8) |
| Second Mortgage Payment Amount | 14 | 1 | \$100 to \$199 | 12.3 (1.8) |
| Annual Mobile Home Costs | 3 | 3 | \$2,500 or More | 25.5 (6.3) |
| Year of Naturalization | 7 | 1 | Naturalized 1990 to 1994 | 10.4 (4.6) |
| Ancestry | 30 | 4 | Other Groups | 14.1 (0.6) |
| English Speaking Ability | 4 | 2 | Well | 18.1 (3.6) |
| Health Insurance b | 1 | 1 | Yes, Purchased Directly | 12.0 (0.4) |
| Grandparents Responsible for Grandchildren | 1 | 1 | Yes | 20.1 (7.5) |
| Grandparents Time Responsible for Grandchildren | 4 | 3 | 1 to 2 Years | 31.9 (10.0) |
| Commute Minutes | 12 | 4 | 20 to 24 Minutes | 14.2 (0.8) |
| Not Working Available to Work | 1 | 1 | Yes | 10.6 (3.2) |
| When Last Worked | 3 | 2 | 1-5 Years Ago | 12.7 (0.7) |
| Worked 50 Weeks or More | 1 | 1 | Yes | 11.4 (0.6) |
| Weeks Worked | 6 | 4 | 27 to 39 Weeks Worked During Past 12 Months | 24.1 (1.9) |
| Class of Worker | 8 | 1 | Employee of A Private for-Profit Company or Business | 12.6 (1.0) |
| Industry Type | 4 | 1 | Other (Agriculture, Construction, Service, Government, Etc.) | 11.4 (0.5) |
| Occupation | 18 | 1 | Management, Business and Financial Occupations | 10.8 (0.5) |
| Self Employed Income Amount | 10 | 3 | \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 12.5 (2.4) |
| Property Income Amount | 7 | 4 | \$100 to \$999 | 19.6 (2.1) |
| Property Income Recipiency | 3 | 2 | Did not Receive Property Income | 14.8 (0.6) |
| Social Security Income Amount | 5 | 1 | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 13.6 (1.0) |
| Supplemental Security Income Amount | 4 | 1 | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 12.8 (3.5) |
| Public Assistance Income Amount | 3 | 1 | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 24.7 (11.4) |
| Retirement Income Amount | 7 | 1 | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 11.2 (1.2) |
| Other Income Amount | 6 | 4 | \$1,000 to \$2,499 | 16.5 (3.0) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

Table 23: Analysis Topics With Response Category GDR Values Above the 90th Percentile (11.3 percent) for the BLACK Subgroup

| Analysis Topic Name | Total Response Categories | High GDR <br> Response Categories | Category with Highest GDR | Highest GDR Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Size | 3 | 2 | Less than 1 Acre | 15.2 (2.4) |
| Number of Rooms | 9 | 4 | 5 Rooms | 20.6 (2.3) |
| Number of Vehicles | 6 | 1 | 2 Vehicles Available | 11.6 (1.4) |
| Heating Fuel Used | 8 | 2 | Electricity | 12.3 (1.5) |
| Monthly Electricity Cost | 9 | 5 | \$100 to \$149 | 18.9 (1.8) |
| Monthly Gas Cost | 10 | 1 | \$25 to \$49 | 12.1 (1.5) |
| Annual Water Sewer Cost | 11 | 4 | \$600 to \$899 | 16.1 (2.6) |
| Property Value | 8 | 1 | \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 11.8 (2.4) |
| Annual Property Tax Amount | 13 | 2 | \$1,200 to \$1,499 | 13.9 (4.7) |
| Annual Property Insurance Amount | 11 | 4 | \$1,200 to \$1,799 | 18.9 (3.5) |
| Mortgage Status | 3 | 1 | Owned With A Mortgage | 12.4 (4.5) |
| Educational Attainment | 24 | 1 | Some College, 1 or More Years, No Degree | 11.5 (1.5) |
| English Speaking Ability | 4 | 2 | Well | 22.9 (8.9) |
| Health Insurance b | 1 | 1 | Yes, Purchased Directly | 13.7 (1.6) |
| Commute Departure Time | 6 | 2 | 5:00 A.M. to 6:59 A.M. | 11.9 (2.1) |
| Commute Minutes | 12 | 4 | 20 to 24 Minutes | 17.0 (2.4) |
| Not Working Informed of Recall | 1 | 1 | Yes | 56.7 (21.9) |
| Not Working Looking for Work | 1 | 1 | Yes | 12.0 (2.0) |
| When Last Worked | 3 | 2 | Over 5 Years Ago or Never Worked | 15.1 (2.2) |
| Worked 50 Weeks or More | 1 | 1 | Yes | 16.7 (2.1) |
| Weeks Worked | 6 | 4 | 14 to 26 Weeks Worked During Past 12 Months | 34.8 (8.8) |
| Class of Worker | 8 | 2 | Employee of A Private for-Profit Company or Business | 19.9 (3.9) |
| Wages Income Amount | 10 | 1 | \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 16.7 (4.0) |
| Self Employed Income Amount | 10 | 1 | Less than \$10,000 | 14.5 (6.9) |
| Property Income Amount | 7 | 2 | \$100 to \$999 | 55.9 (20.0) |
| Social Security Income Amount | 5 | 3 | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 15.1 (4.9) |
| Supplemental Security Income Amount | 4 | 3 | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 23.4 (7.1) |
| Public Assistance Income Amount | 3 | 2 | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 29.1 (12.1) |
| Other Income Amount | 6 | 2 | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 24.3 (8.5) |
| Total Income Amount | 11 | 1 | Less than \$10,000 | 13.0 (1.9) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

Table 24: Analysis Topics With Response Category GDR Values Above the 90th Percentile ( $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ percent) for the ASIAN Subgroup

| Analysis Topic Name | Total Response Categories | High GDR <br> Response Categories | Category with Highest GDR | Highest GDR Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Rooms | 9 | 5 | 5 Rooms | 18.9 (2.4) |
| Number of Vehicles | 6 | 1 | 2 Vehicles Available | 13.4 (3.3) |
| Heating Fuel Used | 8 | 2 | Utility Gas | 24.3 (4.0) |
| Monthly Electricity Cost | 9 | 4 | \$100 to \$149 | 25.8 (3.0) |
| Monthly Gas Cost | 10 | 1 | \$25 to \$49 | 13.5 (2.8) |
| Annual Water Sewer Cost | 11 | 4 | \$600 to \$899 | 15.1 (2.2) |
| Annual Property Tax Amount | 13 | 2 | \$3,600 to \$4,799 | 16.2 (4.4) |
| Annual Property Insurance Amount | 11 | 4 | \$1,200 to \$1,799 | 15.2 (4.4) |
| Property Tax Included | 1 | 1 | Yes | 15.7 (4.3) |
| Property Insurance Included | 1 | 1 | Yes | 19.5 (4.6) |
| Annual Mobile Home Costs | 3 | 2 | Less than \$250 | 100.0 (47.4) |
| Year of Naturalization | 7 | 1 | Naturalized 1995 to 1999 | 11.1 (4.5) |
| English Speaking Ability | 4 | 2 | Well | 21.4 (3.9) |
| Health Insurance a | 1 | 1 | Yes, Through Employer | 11.3 (3.1) |
| Health Insurance b | 1 | 1 | Yes, Purchased Directly | 12.0 (2.2) |
| Commute Minutes | 12 | 3 | 10 to 14 Minutes | 14.6 (3.2) |
| When Last Worked | 3 | 1 | Over 5 Years Ago or Never Worked | 11.1 (2.7) |
| Worked 50 Weeks or More | 1 | 1 | Yes | 15.7 (2.6) |
| Weeks Worked | 6 | 3 | 14 to 26 Weeks Worked During Past 12 Months | 21.7 (10.5) |
| Class of Worker | 8 | 1 | Employee of A Private for-Profit Company or Business | 17.5 (5.2) |
| Industry Type | 4 | 1 | Other (Agriculture, Construction, Service, Government, Etc.) | 14.5 (3.0) |
| Occupation | 18 | 1 | Management, Business and Financial Occupations | 11.5 (1.8) |
| Wages Income Amount | 10 | 2 | \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 11.9 (3.5) |
| Property Income Amount | 7 | 3 | \$100 to \$999 | 19.0 (5.8) |
| Property Income Recipiency | 3 | 2 | Did not Receive Property Income | 12.0 (1.6) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

Table 25: Analysis Topics With Response Category GDR Values Above the 90th Percentile (11.7 percent) for the OTHER Subgroup

| Analysis Topic Name | Total Response Categories | High <br> GDR <br> Response Categories | Category with Highest GDR | Highest GDR Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Rooms | 9 | 3 | 4 Rooms | 18.5 (3.2) |
| Number of Vehicles | 6 | 2 | 2 Vehicles Available | 15.0 (3.3) |
| Heating Fuel Used | 8 | 2 | Electricity | 15.3 (3.0) |
| Monthly Electricity Cost | 9 | 4 | \$100 to \$149 | 17.8 (3.2) |
| Annual Water Sewer Cost | 11 | 3 | \$300 to \$599 | 15.0 (2.7) |
| Property Value | 8 | 2 | \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 16.3 (5.5) |
| Annual Property Tax Amount | 13 | 3 | \$1,800 to \$2,399 | 17.0 (6.0) |
| Annual Property Insurance Amount | 11 | 4 | \$1,200 to \$1,799 | 15.5 (5.4) |
| Monthly Mortgage Payment | 15 | 2 | \$1,500 to \$1,999 | 13.4 (4.4) |
| Race: White | 1 | 1 | White | 16.4 (3.3) |
| Race: AIAN | 1 | 1 | American Indian or Alaska Native | 14.6 (2.5) |
| Race Aggregate | 7 | 2 | Multiple Races | 32.4 (4.1) |
| Race: wp1 | 1 | 1 | Race Write-In 1 Present | 13.5 (2.5) |
| Ancestry | 30 | 1 | Other Groups | 16.6 (3.5) |
| English Speaking Ability | 4 | 2 | Very Well | 14.7 (5.1) |
| Grandparents Responsible for Grandchildren | 1 | 1 | Yes | 41.4 (20.0) |
| Commute Departure Time | 6 | 1 | 7:00 A.M. to 8:59 A.M. | 12.2 (3.3) |
| Commute Minutes | 12 | 2 | 15 to 19 Minutes | 16.4 (3.7) |
| Not Working Informed of Recall | 1 | 1 | Yes | 59.8 (23.2) |
| Not Working Looking for Work | 1 | 1 | Yes | 13.6 (3.3) |
| Not Working Available to Work | 1 | 1 | Yes | 23.6 (10.4) |
| Worked 50 Weeks or More | 1 | 1 | Yes | 14.5 (3.3) |
| Weeks Worked | 6 | 3 | 13 Weeks or Less Worked During Past 12 Months | 34.0 (11.7) |
| Industry Type | 4 | 1 | Other (Agriculture, Construction, Service, Government, Etc.) | 14.9 (3.5) |
| Wages Income Amount | 10 | 2 | \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 13.5 (3.1) |
| Property Income Amount | 7 | 1 | \$20,000 or More | 16.0 (6.7) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

As with the mode analysis, we do not present statistical significance of differences between subgroups in this report. The interpretation of any differences in response reliability between Hispanic Origin/Race subgroups is perhaps even more problematic than for mode differences.

Data from the report cited in the previous section ["2005 American Community Survey Respondents Characteristics Evaluations" (Joshipura, 2008)] show that persons with different Hispanic Origin and Race characteristics have large significant differences in their propensity to respond by Mail. Of respondents who were Hispanic, 31 percent responded by Mail. Of respondents who were not Hispanic, 64 percent responded by Mail. The percent responding by Mail for the five race groups analyzed were 66 percent for White, 36 percent for Black, 46 percent for American Indian or Alaskan Native, 57 percent for Asian or Pacific Islander, and 31 percent for Some Other Race.

These differences in propensity to respond by Mail mean that any differences in response reliability that we see between our Hispanic Origin/Race subgroups may be driven by mode differences. Thus, all the reasons cited for the difficulty in interpreting mode differences apply here as well.

We calculated the aggregate evaluation measures $\mathrm{GDR}_{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ for the 72 analysis topics with three or more analysis categories (excluding Ancestry and Field of Degree) by Hispanic Origin/Race subgroup. Table 26 shows the distributions of $G_{D R}$ values by mode, along with the overall distribution for comparison. Table 27 shows distributions for $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$.

Table 26: Distributions of GDR $_{\mathrm{L}}$ Estimates by Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup

| Statistic | Overall | Hispanic | White | Black | Asian | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 3.3 | 4.2 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 2.7 |
| Median | 6.0 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 6.0 |
| Mean | 6.8 | 7.8 | 6.6 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 7.1 |
| $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 9.7 | 10.3 | 9.2 | 10.2 | 11.0 | 9.5 |
| $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 13.2 | 14.3 | 14.2 | 14.1 | 16.8 | 13.3 |
| Maximum | 21.5 | 30.2 | 23.7 | 42.5 | 100.0 | 25.2 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

Table 27: Distributions of $\mathrm{IOI}_{\mathrm{L}}$ Estimates by Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup

| Statistic | Overall | Hispanic | White | Black | Asian | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 16.1 | 19.3 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 20.6 | 14.9 |
| Median | 23.9 | 29.3 | 26.0 | 28.6 | 28.7 | 23.0 |
| Mean | 27.3 | 32.0 | 28.9 | 33.8 | 33.1 | 28.6 |
| $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 41.4 | 41.7 | 43.4 | 46.2 | 42.9 | 42.4 |
| $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 49.6 | 59.0 | 53.6 | 70.6 | 57.9 | 52.0 |
| Maximum | 67.2 | 88.1 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In addition, we calculated the category-level measures IOI and GDR for all analysis topics (including Ancestry and Field of Bachelor’s Degree) by Hispanic Origin/Race subgroup. Including only the first category for dichotomous analysis topics, there are 698 analysis categories. Table 28 shows the distributions of GDR estimates by subgroup (and overall). Table 29 shows the distributions of IOI estimates.

Table 28: Distribution of (category) GDR Estimates by Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup

| Statistic | Overall | Hispanic | White | Black | Asian | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Median | 2.1 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Mean | 4.0 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 5.8 | 6.2 | 5.2 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.5 |
| $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 10.1 | 11.3 | 10.4 | 11.3 | 10.8 | 11.7 |
| Maximum | 24.6 | 34.1 | 31.9 | 56.7 | 100.0 | 59.8 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

Table 29: Distribution of (category) IOI Estimates by Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup

| Statistic | Overall | Hispanic | White | Black | Asian | Other |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 13.9 | 17.1 | 14.8 | 15.2 | 12.1 | 9.4 |
| Median | 26.2 | 33.2 | 27.0 | 32.2 | 30.6 | 28.4 |
| Mean | 29.9 | 37.4 | 33.6 | 38.5 | 38.6 | 35.4 |
| $75^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 41.1 | 53.7 | 45.9 | 55.8 | 57.5 | 52.8 |
| $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile | 59.9 | 77.6 | 73.8 | 91.3 | 100.0 | 90.1 |
| Maximum | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

## 5 Conclusions

Response error can be a significant component of total survey error, but its impact varies depending on a number of factors. Therefore, it is important to establish an understanding of the proportion of total survey error accounted for by response error independently for each analysis topic, and independently for each category within an analysis topic. Our intention is that the ACS 2012 CRS will be a good foundation on which to build a better understanding of response error for ACS analysis topics in the future, as well as giving a snapshot of response error in the 2012 ACS.

Overall, we see that response error is probably not a major concern for most ACS analysis topics. Using the traditional rule of thumb for the index of inconsistency, the inconsistency level for the majority of analysis categories is either "Low" or "Moderate". There are a relatively small
number of categories (and analysis topics) for which response error appears to be a significant proportion of total error, and we should focus future study on these categories and analysis topics.

For all ACS analysis topics and categories, we should use the evaluation measure estimates found here as a baseline against which to compare future response error estimates.

Based on the criteria described at the beginning of section 4, we identify 10 PRP housing analysis topics and 25 PRP person analysis topics.

It is striking that all of the PRP housing analysis topics involve numeric response options. Furthermore, all but one of the PRP housing analysis topics involves dollar amount responses. This trend is not quite as prominent for the person analysis topics; but nine of the PRP person analysis topics involve numeric responses, and seven of these are income amount questions. In addition, a pattern that we see for most analysis topics with naturally ordered analysis categories - most of which are numeric response analysis topics - is that the GDR and IOI values tend to be highest in the middle categories, decreasing for categories that are earlier or later in the natural order. While the degree of inconsistency varies within analysis topics that involve numeric responses, and we should not attribute all of the inconsistency to this single characteristic, this trend does suggest that research into methods for mitigating response error for numeric response analysis topics in general could be fruitful.

Using a criterion based only on category GDR estimates and their CV values, we identified nine analysis topics that may have reliability issues specific to one or two of the three ACS data collection modes. In addition, we identified four other analysis topics that appear to have potential reliability issues in all three modes, based on this GDR-only criterion, but did not flag as PRP in the overall analysis.

Similarly, we identified 22 analysis topics that may have reliability issues specific to one or more of the five Hispanic Origin/Race subgroups. Two of these appear to have potential reliability issues in all five subgroups, but we did not flag them as PRP in the overall analysis.

Our analysis of reliability by mode and Hispanic Origin/Race subgroup is limited, but the identification of analysis topics that may have issues specific to a mode or subgroup could be the basis for future research. The fact that we identified certain analysis topics as having issues in all modes or all subgroups, but did not flag them as PRP overall, is a reminder that our PRP criteria are somewhat subjective. Research into alternative criteria may be useful, both for future analysis of the data collected in this survey, and for future similar projects.
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| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | $\underset{\pi}{\pi}$ | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Type | Mobile home, Boat, RV, van, etc. | 1.0 (0.1) | 8.0 (1.1) | Low |  |  | 6.8 (0.4) | 7.0 (0.4) |
|  | Single unit, detached | 3.2 (0.2) | 7.1 (0.5) | Low |  |  | 66.1 (0.6) | 66.2 (0.7) |
|  | Single unit, attached | 4.4 (0.3) | 40.6 (2.3) | Moderate |  |  | 5.6 (0.3) | 6.0 (0.3) |
|  | Apartment building, 2 units | 2.5 (0.2) | 39.8 (3.2) | Moderate | I |  | 3.7 (0.2) | 2.8 (0.2) |
|  | Apartment building, 3 or 4 units | 2.1 (0.2) | 28.9 (2.7) | Moderate |  |  | 3.8 (0.2) | 3.8 (0.2) |
|  | Apartment building, 5 to 9 units | 2.4 (0.2) | 29.1 (2.6) | Moderate |  |  | 4.1 (0.3) | 4.4 (0.3) |
|  | Apartment building, 10 to 19 units | 2.5 (0.2) | 34.0 (2.9) | Moderate |  |  | 3.9 (0.3) | 3.7 (0.3) |
|  | Apartment building, 20 to 49 units | 1.9 (0.2) | 34.5 (2.7) | Moderate | I |  | 2.9 (0.2) | 2.9 (0.2) |
|  | Apartment building, 50 or more units | 1.5 (0.2) | 23.6 (2.4) | Moderate | I |  | 3.2 (0.2) | 3.3 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 2.9 (0.2) | 19.7 (0.7) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Year Built | Built 2010 or later | 0.5 (0.1) | 20.8 (5.0) | Moderate | I |  | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.2) |
|  | Built 2000 to 2009 | 2.8 (0.2) | 9.8 (0.8) | Low |  |  | 17.2 (0.5) | 17.7 (0.5) |
|  | Built 1990 to 1999 | 4.5 (0.3) | 17.8 (1.2) | Low |  |  | 15.2 (0.5) | 14.9 (0.5) |
|  | Built 1980 to 1989 | 5.2 (0.3) | 21.3 (1.3) | Moderate |  |  | 14.3 (0.6) | 14.0 (0.5) |
|  | Built 1970 to 1979 | 5.0 (0.3) | 19.4 (1.2) | Low |  |  | 15.2 (0.5) | 15.5 (0.5) |
|  | Built 1960 to 1969 | 5.2 (0.3) | 28.1 (1.5) | Moderate |  |  | 10.5 (0.5) | 10.3 (0.4) |
|  | Built 1950 to 1959 | 4.7 (0.3) | 25.5 (1.8) | Moderate |  |  | 10.2 (0.4) | 10.5 (0.4) |
|  | Built 1940 to 1949 | 2.9 (0.3) | 32.9 (2.7) | Moderate |  |  | 4.8 (0.3) | 4.5 (0.3) |
|  | Built 1939 or earlier | 2.4 (0.2) | 11.6 (1.1) | Low |  |  | 11.6 (0.5) | 11.4 (0.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.2 (0.1) | 19.2 (0.6) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Year Person 1 Moved In | Moved in 2012 or later | 1.5 (0.2) | 11.8 (1.4) | Low |  |  | 6.7 (0.3) | 6.8 (0.3) |
|  | Moved in 2011 | 3.5 (0.3) | 17.3 (1.2) | Low |  |  | 11.6 (0.5) | 11.5 (0.5) |
|  | Moved in 2010 | 4.9 (0.3) | 31.7 (1.9) | Moderate |  |  | 8.5 (0.4) | 8.6 (0.5) |
|  | Moved in 2009 | 3.4 (0.2) | 25.8 (1.7) | Moderate |  |  | 7.3 (0.4) | 7.0 (0.3) |
|  | Moved in 2008 | 2.7 (0.2) | 29.9 (2.3) | Moderate |  |  | 4.7 (0.3) | 4.7 (0.3) |
|  | Moved in 2007 or earlier | 3.0 (0.2) | 6.4 (0.5) | Low |  |  | 61.2 (0.7) | 61.5 (0.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.2 (0.1) | 16.1 (0.6) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Lot Size | Less than one acre | 7.0 (0.4) | 19.4 (1.2) | Low |  |  | 77.0 (0.6) | 75.3 (0.6) |
|  | 1 to 9.9 acres | 7.3 (0.4) | 23.2 (1.4) | Moderate |  |  | 18.7 (0.6) | 20.2 (0.6) |
|  | 10 or more acres | 1.1 (0.1) | 13.6 (1.6) | Low |  |  | 4.2 (0.3) | 4.5 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.8 (0.4) | 20.3 (1.2) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | تِه | ACS <br> percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agricultural Sales | None | 3.4 (0.5) | 37.5 (5.6) | Moderate |  |  | 94.2 (0.7) | 96.1 (0.6) |
|  | \$1 to \$999 | 2.3 (0.4) | 74.4 (7.2) | High | I |  | 2.1 (0.4) | 1.0 (0.3) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$2,499 | 0.6 (0.1) | 42.3 (8.8) | Moderate | I |  | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) |
|  | \$2,500 to \$4,999 | 0.6 (0.2) | 76.5 (10.3) | High | I |  | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 0.7 (0.2) | 60.8 (12.6) | High | I |  | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | \$10,000 or more | 0.9 (0.2) | 27.9 (9.2) | Moderate | I |  | 1.9 (0.5) | 1.3 (0.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.3 (0.5) | 45.3 (5.7) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |
| Business On Property | Yes | 1.9 (0.3) | 75.4 (4.8) | High | I |  | 1.7 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.1) |
| Number Of Rooms | 1 room | 2.4 (0.2) | 52.7 (3.7) | High | I |  | 1.7 (0.1) | 3.1 (0.2) |
|  | 2 rooms | 3.0 (0.2) | 55.3 (3.9) | High | I |  | 2.9 (0.2) | 2.6 (0.2) |
|  | 3 rooms | 7.6 (0.4) | 49.1 (1.9) | Moderate |  | P | 8.0 (0.3) | 8.9 (0.5) |
|  | 4 rooms | 13.0 (0.5) | 47.7 (1.7) | Moderate |  | P | 15.7 (0.5) | 16.8 (0.5) |
|  | 5 rooms | 17.7 (0.5) | 53.9 (1.5) | High |  | P | 20.2 (0.5) | 21.2 (0.5) |
|  | 6 rooms | 18.0 (0.5) | 61.0 (1.5) | High |  | P | 18.1 (0.6) | 17.9 (0.5) |
|  | 7 rooms | 14.3 (0.5) | 62.1 (1.8) | High |  | P | 13.4 (0.5) | 13.2 (0.5) |
|  | 8 rooms | 10.1 (0.4) | 63.5 (1.8) | High |  | P | 9.4 (0.4) | 8.0 (0.3) |
|  | 9 or more rooms | 7.3 (0.3) | 42.3 (1.9) | Moderate |  |  | 10.7 (0.4) | 8.3 (0.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 13.3 (0.2) | 54.5 (0.7) | High |  | P |  |  |
| Number Of <br> Bedrooms | No bedrooms | 0.7 (0.1) | 80.5 (7.6) | High | I |  | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | 1 bedroom | 1.6 (0.2) | 9.1 (1.0) | Low |  |  | 9.4 (0.4) | 9.5 (0.4) |
|  | 2 bedrooms | 4.4 (0.3) | 11.5 (0.7) | Low |  |  | 25.4 (0.5) | 25.3 (0.5) |
|  | 3 bedrooms | 7.4 (0.3) | 15.1 (0.7) | Low |  |  | 42.2 (0.6) | 42.5 (0.6) |
|  | 4 bedrooms | 5.4 (0.3) | 18.3 (1.0) | Low |  |  | 18.2 (0.5) | 18.2 (0.6) |
|  | 5 or more bedrooms | 1.7 (0.1) | 20.9 (2.1) | Moderate |  |  | 4.2 (0.2) | 4.2 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.4 (0.2) | 14.8 (0.6) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Running Water | Yes | 0.3 (0.1) | 84.0 (4.7) | High | I |  | 99.8 (0.0) | 99.8 (0.1) |
| Toilet | Yes | 0.3 (0.1) | 85.9 (5.3) | High | I |  | 99.9 (0.0) | 99.8 (0.1) |
| Bath Shower | Yes | 0.3 (0.1) | 85.2 (5.6) | High | I |  | 99.9 (0.0) | 99.8 (0.1) |
| Sink | Yes | 0.5 (0.1) | 92.5 (3.0) | High | I |  | 99.9 (0.0) | 99.6 (0.1) |
| Stove | Yes | 0.8 (0.1) | 60.8 (7.1) | High | I |  | 99.5 (0.1) | 99.2 (0.1) |
| Refrigerator | Yes | 0.4 (0.1) | 97.8 (1.3) | High | I |  | 99.9 (0.0) | 99.7 (0.1) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | 荷 | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number Of Vehicles | No vehicle available | 2.4 (0.2) | 17.3 (1.4) | Low |  |  | 7.6 (0.3) | 7.6 (0.3) |
|  | 1 vehicles available | 7.4 (0.4) | 16.5 (0.9) | Low |  |  | 33.2 (0.6) | 34.1 (0.6) |
|  | 2 vehicles available | 11.0 (0.4) | 23.1 (0.9) | Moderate |  |  | 38.3 (0.6) | 39.3 (0.6) |
|  | 3 vehicles available | 7.0 (0.3) | 28.7 (1.4) | Moderate |  |  | 14.6 (0.5) | 13.8 (0.4) |
|  | 4 vehicles available | 3.2 (0.2) | 37.2 (3.1) | Moderate |  |  | 4.7 (0.3) | 4.2 (0.3) |
|  | 5 or more vehicles available | 1.2 (0.2) | 47.0 (5.8) | Moderate | I |  | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.0 (0.3) | 22.7 (0.8) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |
| Heating Fuel Used | Utility gas | 9.4 (0.4) | 18.9 (0.8) | Low |  |  | 49.0 (0.7) | 47.7 (0.7) |
|  | Bottled, tank, or LP gas | 1.9 (0.2) | 22.5 (1.8) | Moderate |  |  | 4.7 (0.2) | 4.3 (0.2) |
|  | Electricity | 10.0 (0.4) | 21.1 (0.9) | Moderate |  |  | 37.6 (0.6) | 39.1 (0.7) |
|  | Fuel oil, kerosene, etc. | 1.3 (0.1) | 12.9 (1.5) | Low |  |  | 5.4 (0.3) | 5.1 (0.3) |
|  | Coal or coke | 0.0 (0.0) | 14.8 (8.0) | Low | I |  | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) |
|  | Wood | 0.9 (0.1) | 20.7 (2.5) | Moderate | I |  | 2.0 (0.1) | 2.4 (0.2) |
|  | Solar energy or other fuel | 1.0 (0.1) | 89.9 (2.7) | High | I |  | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | No fuel used | 0.9 (0.1) | 61.3 (6.7) | High | I |  | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.5 (0.4) | 20.7 (0.8) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Electricity Cost | Less than \$25 | 1.3 (0.1) | 43.6 (3.9) | Moderate | I |  | 1.5 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.2) |
|  | \$25 to \$49 | 6.7 (0.3) | 41.3 (2.1) | Moderate |  |  | 9.2 (0.4) | 8.6 (0.3) |
|  | \$50 to \$74 | 13.4 (0.4) | 55.6 (1.5) | High |  | P | 14.0 (0.4) | 14.1 (0.5) |
|  | \$75 to \$99 | 14.8 (0.5) | 65.0 (1.7) | High |  | P | 13.4 (0.4) | 12.8 (0.4) |
|  | \$100 to \$149 | 21.2 (0.6) | 59.9 (1.7) | High |  | P | 23.2 (0.6) | 22.7 (0.5) |
|  | \$150 to \$199 | 15.0 (0.5) | 60.7 (1.7) | High |  | P | 14.5 (0.5) | 14.4 (0.5) |
|  | \$200 or more | 10.8 (0.5) | 33.2 (1.5) | Moderate |  |  | 19.9 (0.6) | 21.2 (0.6) |
|  | Included in rent or condominium fee | 1.2 (0.1) | 16.0 (1.9) | Low |  |  | 3.8 (0.2) | 3.8 (0.2) |
|  | No charge or electricity not used | 0.9 (0.1) | 68.3 (5.9) | High | I |  | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 14.0 (0.3) | 50.9 (0.8) | High |  | P |  |  |
| Monthly Gas Cost | Less than \$25 | 8.0 (0.3) | 47.6 (2.0) | Moderate |  | P | 9.4 (0.4) | 9.0 (0.4) |
|  | \$25 to \$49 | 13.1 (0.4) | 55.5 (1.6) | High |  | P | 13.7 (0.4) | 13.6 (0.4) |
|  | \$50 to \$74 | 11.4 (0.5) | 65.3 (2.4) | High |  | P | 9.7 (0.4) | 9.6 (0.4) |
|  | \$75 to \$99 | 7.1 (0.4) | 67.1 (2.5) | High |  | P | 5.8 (0.3) | 5.5 (0.4) |
|  | \$100 to \$149 | 8.9 (0.4) | 63.2 (2.4) | High |  | P | 7.9 (0.4) | 7.3 (0.4) |
|  | \$150 to \$199 | 4.0 (0.3) | 73.6 (3.6) | High | I |  | 3.0 (0.2) | 2.6 (0.2) |
|  | \$200 or more | 3.5 (0.2) | 54.9 (3.0) | High | I |  | 3.6 (0.2) | 3.1 (0.2) |
|  | Included in rent or condominium fee | 3.0 (0.2) | 37.8 (2.5) | Moderate |  |  | 4.4 (0.3) | 3.9 (0.2) |
|  | Included in electricity payment | 7.3 (0.4) | 53.1 (2.4) | High |  | P | 6.6 (0.4) | 8.3 (0.5) |
|  | No charge or gas not used | 6.8 (0.3) | 14.7 (0.7) | Low |  |  | 35.9 (0.6) | 37.1 (0.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.2 (0.1) | 45.0 (0.7) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | 芴 | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Water Sewer Cost | Less than \$120 | 8.6 (0.4) | 91.9 (2.0) | High | I | P | 7.9 (0.4) | 1.7 (0.2) |
|  | \$120 to \$299 | 7.9 (0.4) | 53.7 (2.0) | High |  | P | 7.9 (0.3) | 8.0 (0.4) |
|  | \$300 to \$599 | 15.4 (0.5) | 48.3 (1.5) | Moderate |  | P | 19.1 (0.5) | 20.8 (0.6) |
|  | \$600 to \$899 | 15.1 (0.5) | 55.3 (1.4) | High |  | P | 15.3 (0.5) | 17.3 (0.5) |
|  | \$900 to \$1199 | 8.0 (0.3) | 68.9 (2.5) | High |  | P | 5.8 (0.3) | 6.6 (0.3) |
|  | \$1200 to \$1799 | 6.6 (0.3) | 59.5 (2.4) | High |  | P | 5.5 (0.4) | 6.3 (0.4) |
|  | \$1800 to \$2399 | 1.6 (0.2) | 76.3 (5.3) | High | I |  | 0.9 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.2) |
|  | \$2400 to \$3599 | 1.0 (0.1) | 77.9 (6.7) | High | I |  | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | \$3600 or more | 0.4 (0.1) | 84.7 (10.7) | High | I |  | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | Included in rent or condominium fee | 7.7 (0.4) | 23.1 (1.1) | Moderate |  |  | 20.8 (0.6) | 21.2 (0.5) |
|  | No charge | 6.8 (0.4) | 25.3 (1.3) | Moderate |  |  | 15.8 (0.4) | 16.0 (0.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 10.2 (0.2) | 46.6 (0.8) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |
| Annual Other Fuel Cost | Less than \$300 | 2.5 (0.2) | 70.3 (4.7) | High | I |  | 1.9 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.2) |
|  | \$300 to \$599 | 1.5 (0.1) | 71.4 (4.8) | High | I |  | 1.0 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.1) |
|  | \$600 to \$899 | 1.3 (0.1) | 64.8 (5.2) | High | I |  | 1.2 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) |
|  | \$900 to \$1199 | 1.1 (0.1) | 75.2 (5.0) | High | I |  | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | \$1200 to \$1799 | 1.6 (0.2) | 65.0 (6.1) | High | I |  | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) |
|  | \$1800 to \$2399 | 1.1 (0.2) | 74.1 (5.1) | High | I |  | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | \$2400 or more | 1.0 (0.1) | 32.8 (4.0) | Moderate | I |  | 1.6 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.2) |
|  | Included in rent or condominium fee | 2.2 (0.2) | 84.6 (3.4) | High | I |  | 2.0 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | No charge | 7.6 (0.4) | 44.7 (1.9) | Moderate |  |  | 89.2 (0.4) | 92.1 (0.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.8 (0.3) | 55.7 (1.6) | High |  |  |  |  |
| Food Stamp Recipiency | Yes | 3.8 (0.2) | 16.5 (0.8) | Low |  |  | 13.0 (0.3) | 13.8 (0.3) |
| Condominium Fee | Less than \$100 per month | 6.8 (1.9) | 36.5 (9.0) | Moderate |  | P | 9.8 (2.1) | 10.9 (2.3) |
|  | \$100 to \$149 | 2.6 (1.0) | 13.6 (5.4) | Low |  |  | 11.2 (1.7) | 10.2 (1.8) |
|  | \$150 to \$199 | 4.0 (1.3) | 13.0 (4.1) | Low |  |  | 20.3 (2.7) | 18.0 (2.6) |
|  | \$200 to \$299 | 6.5 (1.6) | 16.3 (4.1) | Low |  |  | 27.1 (2.7) | 28.0 (3.0) |
|  | \$300 to \$499 | 5.8 (1.6) | 16.7 (4.2) | Low |  |  | 22.4 (3.0) | 22.5 (3.1) |
|  | \$500 or more per month | 3.4 (1.1) | 18.9 (6.2) | Low |  |  | 9.3 (1.6) | 10.4 (2.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.2 (0.9) | 18.1 (3.2) | Low |  | P |  |  |
| Condominium Status | Yes | 2.4 (0.2) | 21.9 (1.8) | Moderate |  |  | 6.0 (0.3) | 5.7 (0.3) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix A: Detailed Results for Housing Topics

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | 을 | $\underset{0}{\pi}$ | ACS <br> percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tenure | Owned with a mortgage | 4.2 (0.3) | 8.5 (0.6) | Low |  |  | 44.3 (0.6) | 42.8 (0.7) |
|  | Owned without a mortgage | 4.0 (0.3) | 11.8 (0.8) | Low |  |  | 21.1 (0.5) | 22.3 (0.5) |
|  | Rented | 1.9 (0.2) | 4.3 (0.4) | Low |  |  | 32.6 (0.7) | 32.6 (0.7) |
|  | Occupied without payment of rent | 1.5 (0.2) | 36.5 (3.9) | Moderate | I |  | 2.0 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.3 (0.2) | 8.9 (0.5) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Rent | Less than \$100 | 0.4 (0.1) | 19.3 (6.3) | Low | I |  | 1.3 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.2) |
|  | \$100 to \$149 | 0.7 (0.2) | 40.4 (10.9) | Moderate | I |  | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) |
|  | \$150 to \$199 | 0.8 (0.2) | 27.9 (7.8) | Moderate | I |  | 1.5 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.3) |
|  | \$200 to \$249 | 0.7 (0.3) | 16.9 (5.7) | Low | I |  | 2.1 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.4) |
|  | \$250 to \$299 | 0.8 (0.2) | 19.3 (7.2) | Low | I |  | 2.0 (0.6) | 2.2 (0.6) |
|  | \$300 to \$349 | 0.9 (0.3) | 25.8 (6.8) | Moderate | I |  | 1.8 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.3) |
|  | \$350 to \$399 | 1.5 (0.3) | 25.1 (4.5) | Moderate | I |  | 3.0 (0.3) | 3.1 (0.4) |
|  | \$400 to \$449 | 1.0 (0.2) | 12.0 (2.7) | Low |  |  | 4.4 (0.5) | 4.4 (0.5) |
|  | \$450 to \$499 | 1.5 (0.3) | 17.5 (3.7) | Low |  |  | 4.2 (0.5) | 4.4 (0.5) |
|  | \$500 to \$549 | 1.8 (0.3) | 16.1 (2.5) | Low |  |  | 5.9 (0.6) | 6.0 (0.6) |
|  | \$550 to \$599 | 1.8 (0.4) | 16.1 (3.0) | Low |  |  | 6.0 (0.6) | 5.8 (0.6) |
|  | \$600 to \$649 | 2.1 (0.4) | 22.0 (3.5) | Moderate |  |  | 4.9 (0.5) | 5.4 (0.4) |
|  | \$650 to \$699 | 1.9 (0.4) | 16.7 (3.1) | Low |  |  | 6.0 (0.6) | 6.0 (0.5) |
|  | \$700 to \$749 | 1.9 (0.3) | 19.7 (3.7) | Low |  |  | 5.0 (0.5) | 5.0 (0.4) |
|  | \$750 to \$799 | 1.9 (0.3) | 19.4 (3.7) | Low |  |  | 5.5 (0.6) | 4.8 (0.5) |
|  | \$800 to \$899 | 2.8 (0.4) | 18.0 (2.3) | Low |  |  | 8.6 (0.6) | 8.7 (0.6) |
|  | \$900 to \$999 | 1.8 (0.4) | 13.9 (2.8) | Low |  |  | 7.1 (0.6) | 7.0 (0.6) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$1,249 | 1.9 (0.4) | 8.0 (1.7) | Low |  |  | 13.4 (0.8) | 13.9 (0.8) |
|  | \$1,250 to \$1,499 | 1.6 (0.3) | 14.2 (3.0) | Low |  |  | 6.2 (0.6) | 6.1 (0.6) |
|  | \$1,500 to \$1,999 | 1.7 (0.3) | 14.4 (2.5) | Low |  |  | 6.1 (0.5) | 6.3 (0.6) |
|  | \$2,000 or more | 0.5 (0.2) | 6.3 (2.7) | Low |  |  | 3.9 (0.5) | 3.8 (0.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 1.7 (0.1) | 16.1 (1.0) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Meals Included In Rent | Yes | 1.2 (0.2) | 31.3 (5.8) | Moderate | I |  | 1.8 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.3) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | 芴 | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Value | Less than \$50,000 | 2.8 (0.2) | 20.8 (1.8) | Moderate |  |  | 7.8 (0.4) | 6.9 (0.4) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$99,999 | 5.0 (0.3) | 19.9 (1.3) | Low |  |  | 15.3 (0.6) | 14.3 (0.6) |
|  | \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 8.6 (0.5) | 29.6 (1.5) | Moderate |  |  | 17.5 (0.6) | 18.0 (0.7) |
|  | \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 8.5 (0.4) | 31.5 (1.4) | Moderate |  |  | 16.0 (0.6) | 16.2 (0.6) |
|  | \$200,000 to \$299,999 | 7.6 (0.5) | 23.7 (1.3) | Moderate |  |  | 20.0 (0.8) | 20.2 (0.7) |
|  | \$300,000 to \$499,999 | 5.4 (0.4) | 20.5 (1.7) | Moderate |  |  | 15.3 (0.7) | 15.9 (0.6) |
|  | \$500,000 to \$999,999 | 2.0 (0.3) | 16.0 (1.9) | Low |  |  | 6.5 (0.4) | 6.8 (0.4) |
|  | \$1,000,000 or more | 0.3 (0.1) | 10.2 (2.2) | Low | I |  | 1.7 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.4 (0.2) | 23.8 (0.8) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Property Tax Amount | None | 1.9 (0.3) | 37.8 (4.4) | Moderate | I |  | 2.8 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.3) |
|  | \$1 to \$299 | 3.0 (0.3) | 31.0 (3.1) | Moderate |  |  | 5.3 (0.4) | 5.1 (0.4) |
|  | \$300 to \$599 | 4.0 (0.4) | 32.1 (3.0) | Moderate |  |  | 6.4 (0.4) | 6.9 (0.4) |
|  | \$600 to \$899 | 4.9 (0.4) | 32.0 (2.4) | Moderate |  |  | 8.7 (0.5) | 8.0 (0.4) |
|  | \$900 to \$1199 | 5.9 (0.4) | 46.6 (2.7) | Moderate |  | P | 6.3 (0.4) | 7.4 (0.5) |
|  | \$1,200 to \$1,499 | 8.0 (0.6) | 48.0 (2.8) | Moderate |  | P | 9.0 (0.6) | 9.4 (0.5) |
|  | \$1,500 to \$1,799 | 6.3 (0.4) | 50.5 (3.1) | High |  | P | 6.9 (0.5) | 6.4 (0.4) |
|  | \$1,800 to \$2,399 | 10.2 (0.6) | 47.6 (2.5) | Moderate |  | P | 11.9 (0.5) | 12.4 (0.7) |
|  | \$2,400 to \$3,599 | 9.6 (0.5) | 34.1 (1.8) | Moderate |  |  | 17.2 (0.6) | 16.9 (0.6) |
|  | \$3,600 to \$4,799 | 5.4 (0.3) | 34.6 (2.5) | Moderate |  |  | 8.5 (0.4) | 8.4 (0.4) |
|  | \$4,800 to \$5,999 | 3.5 (0.3) | 37.9 (2.8) | Moderate |  |  | 4.8 (0.4) | 4.8 (0.4) |
|  | \$6,000 to \$7,199 | 3.2 (0.3) | 36.8 (3.2) | Moderate |  |  | 4.4 (0.4) | 4.6 (0.3) |
|  | \$7,200 or more | 2.5 (0.2) | 17.9 (2.0) | Low |  |  | 7.8 (0.4) | 7.3 (0.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.3 (0.2) | 37.7 (1.0) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |
| Annual <br> Property <br> Insurance <br> Amount | None | 5.9 (0.4) | 42.0 (2.9) | Moderate | I | P | 9.7 (0.6) | 5.3 (0.4) |
|  | \$1 to \$119 | 1.6 (0.2) | 90.6 (5.5) | High | I |  | 1.1 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.1) |
|  | \$120 to \$299 | 4.0 (0.4) | 53.7 (4.3) | High |  | P | 3.6 (0.4) | 4.1 (0.4) |
|  | \$300 to \$599 | 13.0 (0.7) | 39.7 (2.0) | Moderate |  |  | 19.8 (0.8) | 21.5 (0.7) |
|  | \$600 to \$899 | 16.0 (0.8) | 42.3 (1.9) | Moderate |  |  | 25.4 (0.8) | 25.0 (0.7) |
|  | \$900 to \$1,199 | 13.1 (0.6) | 52.0 (2.3) | High |  | P | 14.2 (0.6) | 15.2 (0.6) |
|  | \$1,200 to \$1,799 | 13.1 (0.7) | 47.3 (2.3) | Moderate |  | P | 15.9 (0.8) | 17.2 (0.7) |
|  | \$1,800 to \$2,399 | 6.0 (0.4) | 58.6 (3.5) | High |  | P | 5.2 (0.4) | 5.7 (0.5) |
|  | \$2,400 to \$3,599 | 3.6 (0.3) | 59.2 (3.5) | High | I |  | 3.0 (0.3) | 3.4 (0.3) |
|  | \$3,600 to \$4,799 | 0.9 (0.2) | 52.1 (9.8) | High | I |  | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) |
|  | \$4,800 or more | 1.1 (0.2) | 55.0 (8.8) | High | I |  | 1.1 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 11.7 (0.3) | 47.0 (1.0) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix A: Detailed Results for Housing Topics

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | تِه | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortgage Status | Owned with a mortgage | 6.7 (0.5) | 66.3 (3.5) | High |  | P | 93.6 (0.5) | 95.7 (0.4) |
|  | Under contract to purchase | 2.2 (0.3) | 76.4 (7.8) | High | I |  | 1.3 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.3) |
|  | No mortgage | 4.9 (0.4) | 64.9 (3.7) | High | I |  | 5.1 (0.4) | 2.7 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.5 (0.5) | 67.2 (3.4) | High |  | P |  |  |
| Monthly <br> Mortgage <br> Payment | Less than \$200 | 0.5 (0.1) | 31.0 (9.3) | Moderate | I |  | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) |
|  | \$200 to \$249 | 0.5 (0.1) | 28.9 (9.0) | Moderate | I |  | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | \$250 to \$299 | 0.6 (0.2) | 36.3 (8.5) | Moderate | I |  | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) |
|  | \$300 to \$349 | 0.7 (0.1) | 26.7 (5.4) | Moderate | I |  | 1.3 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.3) |
|  | \$350 to \$399 | 1.1 (0.2) | 32.3 (6.6) | Moderate | I |  | 1.9 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.2) |
|  | \$400 to \$449 | 1.4 (0.3) | 28.5 (5.0) | Moderate | I |  | 2.5 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.3) |
|  | \$450 to \$499 | 1.2 (0.2) | 30.4 (5.2) | Moderate | I |  | 1.9 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.3) |
|  | \$500 to \$599 | 2.7 (0.4) | 22.9 (2.8) | Moderate |  |  | 6.4 (0.5) | 6.2 (0.5) |
|  | \$600 to \$699 | 3.6 (0.5) | 26.3 (3.2) | Moderate |  |  | 7.6 (0.6) | 7.2 (0.5) |
|  | \$700 to \$799 | 3.3 (0.4) | 23.8 (2.4) | Moderate |  |  | 7.3 (0.6) | 7.5 (0.6) |
|  | \$800 to \$999 | 4.6 (0.4) | 18.8 (1.5) | Low |  |  | 14.4 (0.7) | 13.7 (0.8) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$1,249 | 6.2 (0.6) | 23.7 (2.4) | Moderate |  |  | 15.1 (0.8) | 16.1 (0.8) |
|  | \$1,250 to \$1,499 | 4.3 (0.4) | 23.3 (2.0) | Moderate |  |  | 10.3 (0.7) | 10.4 (0.7) |
|  | \$1,500 to \$1,999 | 4.9 (0.5) | 19.7 (2.0) | Low |  |  | 15.0 (0.9) | 14.4 (0.9) |
|  | \$2,000 or more | 2.9 (0.4) | 11.9 (1.6) | Low |  |  | 13.9 (0.7) | 14.0 (0.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.9 (0.2) | 21.7 (0.9) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Included | Yes | 7.3 (0.5) | 17.7 (1.1) | Low |  |  | 71.3 (0.8) | 71.0 (0.8) |
| Property Insurance Included | Yes | 11.5 (0.7) | 24.4 (1.5) | Moderate |  |  | 61.1 (0.9) | 63.1 (0.8) |
| Second Mortgage Type | Home equity loan | 6.5 (0.3) | 30.7 (1.5) | Moderate |  |  | 11.6 (0.5) | 12.5 (0.5) |
|  | Second mortgage | 3.0 (0.3) | 44.0 (3.2) | Moderate | I |  | 3.3 (0.3) | 3.7 (0.3) |
|  | Second mortgage and home equity loan | 1.3 (0.2) | 77.4 (7.6) | High | I |  | 0.5 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.2) |
|  | No second mortgage or home equity loan | 6.3 (0.3) | 22.8 (1.2) | Moderate |  |  | 84.5 (0.6) | 82.6 (0.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.1 (0.3) | 29.9 (1.1) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | $\underset{\pi}{\pi}$ | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second <br> Mortgage <br> Payment <br> Amount | Less than \$100 | 4.6 (1.1) | 20.8 (5.2) | Moderate |  |  | 11.9 (2.1) | 13.4 (2.3) |
|  | \$100 to \$199 | 11.3 (1.5) | 33.8 (4.1) | Moderate |  |  | 22.1 (2.0) | 20.4 (1.5) |
|  | \$200 to \$249 | 11.3 (1.4) | 54.7 (6.3) | High |  | P | 12.0 (1.5) | 11.4 (1.6) |
|  | \$250 to \$299 | 5.5 (0.9) | 33.2 (6.3) | Moderate |  |  | 8.7 (1.4) | 9.7 (1.5) |
|  | \$300 to \$349 | 6.5 (1.0) | 31.5 (4.6) | Moderate |  |  | 10.8 (1.4) | 12.5 (1.7) |
|  | \$350 to \$399 | 3.8 (0.9) | 47.2 (8.8) | Moderate | I |  | 5.1 (1.1) | 3.3 (0.7) |
|  | \$400 to \$449 | 3.3 (0.5) | 39.2 (7.8) | Moderate |  | P | 4.0 (0.9) | 4.8 (0.8) |
|  | \$450 to \$499 | 2.1 (0.6) | 33.9 (8.2) | Moderate | I |  | 3.5 (0.8) | 2.8 (0.5) |
|  | \$500 to \$599 | 6.7 (1.2) | 54.7 (7.0) | High |  | P | 5.7 (0.9) | 7.3 (1.2) |
|  | \$600 to \$699 | 2.1 (0.5) | 26.2 (7.5) | Moderate |  |  | 3.9 (0.8) | 4.6 (0.9) |
|  | \$700 to \$799 | 1.3 (0.3) | 39.2 (11.1) | Moderate | I |  | 1.8 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.4) |
|  | \$800 to \$999 | 2.4 (0.7) | 46.3 (11.0) | Moderate | I |  | 3.2 (0.8) | 2.2 (0.5) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$1,249 | 1.6 (0.4) | 21.8 (8.3) | Moderate |  |  | 3.9 (1.0) | 3.7 (0.9) |
|  | \$1,250 or more | 1.9 (0.5) | 33.9 (9.1) | Moderate | I |  | 3.4 (0.7) | 2.2 (0.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.7 (0.6) | 36.2 (2.5) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |
| Annual Mobile Home Costs | Less than \$250 | 17.0 (4.5) | 52.7 (14.6) | High |  | P | 19.9 (4.2) | 20.4 (5.2) |
|  | \$250 to \$2,499 | 22.9 (4.4) | 52.7 (10.6) | High |  | P | 30.6 (5.5) | 33.2 (5.7) |
|  | \$2,500 or more | 22.6 (5.2) | 45.2 (10.3) | Moderate |  | P | 49.6 (5.6) | 46.4 (5.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 21.5 (3.9) | 49.7 (8.9) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | ت才才刃 | ACS percent | CRS <br> percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Relationship } \\ \text { To } \\ \text { Householder } \end{gathered}$ | Householder | 0.0 （0．0） | 0.0 （0．0） | Low |  |  | 40.6 （0．3） | 40.6 （0．3） |
|  | Husband or Wife | 0.4 （0．1） | 1.4 （0．2） | Low |  |  | 19.8 （0．3） | 19.8 （0．3） |
|  | Biological Son or Daughter | 1.3 （0．1） | 3.2 （0．3） | Low |  |  | 26.8 （0．4） | 26.4 （0．4） |
|  | Adopted Son or Daughter | 0.4 （0．1） | 35.3 （5．2） | Moderate | I |  | 0.5 （0．1） | 0.6 （0．1） |
|  | Stepson or Stepdaughter | 0.5 （0．1） | 17.6 （3．1） | Low | I |  | 1.3 （0．2） | 1.4 （0．2） |
|  | Brother or sister | 0.2 （0．0） | 13.1 （2．5） | Low | I |  | 0.9 （0．1） | 0.9 （0．1） |
|  | Father or mother | 0.4 （0．1） | 20.6 （2．6） | Moderate | I |  | 1.0 （0．1） | 1.1 （0．1） |
|  | Grandchild | 0.2 （0．0） | 5.4 （1．0） | Low | I |  | 2.1 （0．2） | 2.1 （0．2） |
|  | Parent－in－law | 0.1 （0．0） | 19.2 （4．8） | Low | I |  | 0.3 （0．1） | 0.4 （0．1） |
|  | Son－in－law or daughter－in－law | 0.1 （0．0） | 12.3 （3．9） | Low | I |  | 0.3 （0．0） | 0.4 （0．1） |
|  | Other relative | 0.6 （0．1） | 29.6 （4．5） | Moderate | I |  | 1.1 （0．1） | 1.0 （0．1） |
|  | Roomer or boarder | 0.6 （0．1） | 79.0 （5．8） | High | I |  | 0.4 （0．1） | 0.4 （0．1） |
|  | Housemate or roommate | 1.3 （0．2） | 49.1 （5．2） | Moderate | I |  | 1.6 （0．2） | 1.1 （0．1） |
|  | Unmarried partner | 0.8 （0．1） | 17.7 （1．7） | Low | I |  | 2.3 （0．1） | 2.2 （0．1） |
|  | Foster child | 0.1 （0．0） | 35.0 （14．6） | Moderate | I |  | 0.1 （0．0） | 0.1 （0．0） |
|  | Other nonrelative | 1.6 （0．2） | 64.5 （4．2） | High | I |  | 0.8 （0．1） | 1.7 （0．2） |
|  | L－Fold（Aggregate） | 0.5 （0．0） | 6.0 （0．3） | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Sex | Male | 0.7 （0．1） | 1.5 （0．2） | Low |  |  | 48.6 （0．4） | 48.6 （0．3） |

Source：U．S．Census Bureau， 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey，January to December 2012

In the＂estimate＂columns－GDR and IOI－the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate． You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages，as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix B: Detailed Results for Person Topics

| Analysis <br> Topic | Analysis category |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | $\underset{\pi}{\pi}$ | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic Origin Aggregate | Not Hispanic or Latino | 1.5 (0.2) | 5.5 (0.8) | Low |  |  | 84.3 (0.7) | 83.7 (0.7) |
|  | Mexican alone | 1.4 (0.2) | 7.3 (1.0) | Low |  |  | 10.2 (0.5) | 10.5 (0.5) |
|  | Puerto Rican alone | 0.2 (0.1) | 10.4 (2.8) | Low | I |  | 1.1 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.2) |
|  | Cuban alone | 0.1 (0.0) | 3.4 (1.5) | Low | I |  | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) |
|  | Other Hispanic or Latino (no write-in, or one write-in alone) | 0.9 (0.1) | 13.5 (1.8) | Low | I |  | 3.3 (0.2) | 3.4 (0.2) |
|  | Multiple responses (with at least one Hispanic response) | 0.5 (0.1) | 53.8 (9.6) | High | I |  | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 1.4 (0.2) | 7.9 (0.8) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Race | White | 6.1 (0.4) | 19.5 (1.3) | Low |  |  | 80.8 (0.7) | 80.4 (0.7) |
| Race | Black | 0.6 (0.1) | 3.2 (0.5) | Low |  |  | 11.5 (0.6) | 11.4 (0.6) |
| Race | American Indian or Alaska Native | 1.9 (0.2) | 48.0 (3.7) | Moderate | I |  | 1.6 (0.2) | 2.4 (0.2) |
| Race | Asian Indian | 0.3 (0.1) | 10.3 (2.6) | Low | I |  | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.2) |
| Race | Chinese | 0.2 (0.1) | 11.6 (3.3) | Low | I |  | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) |
| Race | Filipino | 0.1 (0.0) | 7.1 (2.3) | Low | I |  | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) |
| Race | Japanese | 0.1 (0.1) | 16.3 (6.9) | Low | I |  | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) |
| Race | Korean | 0.0 (0.0) | 5.7 (3.3) | Low | I |  | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
| Race | Vietnamese | 0.0 (0.0) | 7.7 (4.3) | Low | I |  | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
| Race | Other Asian | 0.5 (0.1) | 36.6 (7.4) | Moderate | I |  | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) |
| Race | Native Hawaiian | 0.0 (0.0) | 10.6 (3.7) | Low | I |  | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) |
| Race | Guamanian or Chamorro, Samoan, or Other Pacific Islander | 0.1 (0.1) | 27.6 (9.7) | Moderate | I |  | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
| Race | Some other race | 6.5 (0.5) | 66.6 (3.6) | High |  | P | 4.8 (0.3) | 5.5 (0.5) |
| Race | Race write-in 1 present | 1.5 (0.2) | 49.6 (4.1) | Moderate | I |  | 1.4 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.1) |
| Race | Race write-in 2 present | 6.1 (0.4) | 67.6 (3.6) | High |  | P | 4.3 (0.3) | 5.1 (0.5) |
| Race | Race write-in 3 present | 0.9 (0.2) | 49.2 (6.5) | Moderate | I |  | 1.1 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.1) |
| Race <br> Aggregate | White alone | 7.2 (0.5) | 20.7 (1.3) | Moderate |  |  | 78.1 (0.7) | 77.0 (0.7) |
|  | Black alone | 1.0 (0.1) | 5.2 (0.7) | Low |  |  | 10.3 (0.6) | 10.1 (0.6) |
|  | American Indian or Alaska Native alone | 0.6 (0.1) | 41.8 (4.5) | Moderate | I |  | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) |
|  | Asian alone | 0.6 (0.1) | 8.8 (1.4) | Low |  |  | 3.7 (0.3) | 3.6 (0.3) |
|  | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander alone | 0.0 (0.0) | 12.8 (5.5) | Low | I |  | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.0) |
|  | Some Other Race alone | 5.0 (0.4) | 68.0 (3.4) | High |  | P | 3.7 (0.3) | 4.0 (0.4) |
|  | Multiple Races | 4.0 (0.3) | 53.3 (3.3) | High | I |  | 3.4 (0.2) | 4.3 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.0 (0.4) | 24.0 (1.3) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix B: Detailed Results for Person Topics

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place of Birth | Born in U.S., in state of current residence | 1.3 (0.1) | 2.5 (0.3) | Low |  | 52.5 (0.6) | 52.1 (0.6) |
|  | Born in U.S., Northeast region, not state of current residence | 0.4 (0.1) | 2.7 (0.6) | Low |  | 7.0 (0.3) | 7.1 (0.3) |
|  | Born in U.S., Midwest region, not state of current residence | 0.5 (0.1) | 3.0 (0.8) | Low |  | 8.9 (0.4) | 8.9 (0.4) |
|  | Born in U.S., South region, not state of current residence | 0.7 (0.1) | 4.0 (0.6) | Low |  | 9.9 (0.4) | 10.0 (0.4) |
|  | Born in U.S., West region, not state of current residence | 0.5 (0.1) | 5.2 (1.1) | Low |  | 5.3 (0.3) | 5.3 (0.3) |
|  | Puerto Rico and U.S. Island and Outlying Areas | 0.0 (0.0) | 2.1 (1.3) | Low | I | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) |
|  | Mexico | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | Low |  | 5.5 (0.4) | 5.5 (0.4) |
|  | El Salvador | 0.0 (0.0) | 4.3 (4.1) | Low | I | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | Cuba | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.2 (1.1) | Low | I | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) |
|  | Dominican Republic | 0.1 (0.0) | 9.4 (8.5) | Low | I | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | Guatemala | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.3 (1.0) | Low | I | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) |
|  | All Other Latin America | 0.1 (0.1) | 2.7 (1.4) | Low | I | 2.2 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.3) |
|  | Northern America | 0.0 (0.0) | 6.8 (3.9) | Low | I | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | China | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.7 (1.2) | Low | I | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | India | 0.1 (0.0) | 3.8 (2.1) | Low | I | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) |
|  | Philippines | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.6 (0.6) | Low | I | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | Vietnam | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.9 (1.9) | Low | I | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | Korea | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | Low | I | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) |
|  | All Other Asia | 0.1 (0.0) | 4.0 (1.5) | Low | I | 1.4 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.2) |
|  | Europe | 0.1 (0.0) | 1.3 (0.4) | Low | I | 2.0 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.2) |
|  | Africa | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.5 (1.5) | Low | I | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) |
|  | Oceania | 0.0 (0.0) | 18.4 (9.4) | Low | I | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.8 (0.1) | 2.9 (0.3) | Low |  |  |  |
| Place of Birth US or Not | Born in the U.S. (including Puerto Rico and outlying areas) | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.3) | Low |  | 84.1 (0.6) | 84.0 (0.6) |
| Place Of Birth Outside US 1 | Born outside the U.S.: Americas | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.4) | Low |  | 60.3 (2.0) | 60.1 (2.0) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Asia | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.5) | Low |  | 23.7 (1.7) | 23.8 (1.6) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Europe | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.3) | Low |  | 12.6 (1.2) | 12.6 (1.2) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Africa | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | Low | I | 2.8 (0.6) | 2.8 (0.6) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Oceania | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.1 (0.7) | Low | I | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.3) | Low |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | $\underset{\sim}{\pi}$ | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place Of Birth Outside US 2 | Born outside the U.S.: Northern America | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.6 (0.5) | Low | I |  | 1.8 (0.3) | 1.8 (0.3) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Latin America | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.4) | Low |  |  | 58.5 (2.1) | 58.4 (2.1) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Asia | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.5) | Low |  |  | 23.7 (1.7) | 23.8 (1.6) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Europe | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.3) | Low |  |  | 12.6 (1.2) | 12.6 (1.2) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Africa | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | Low | I |  | 2.8 (0.6) | 2.8 (0.6) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Oceania | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.1 (0.7) | Low | I |  | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.3) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Citizenship Status | U.S. citizen, born in U.S. | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.3) | Low |  |  | 84.1 (0.6) | 84.0 (0.6) |
|  | U.S. citizen, born in Puerto Rico or U.S. outlying areas | 0.0 (0.0) | 4.3 (1.8) | Low | I |  | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) |
|  | U.S. citizen, born abroad of American parent(s) | 0.4 (0.1) | 25.7 (4.9) | Moderate | I |  | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) |
|  | U.S. citizen by naturalization | 0.8 (0.1) | 6.8 (1.0) | Low |  |  | 5.9 (0.3) | 6.1 (0.3) |
|  | Not a U.S. citizen | 0.5 (0.1) | 3.0 (0.5) | Low |  |  | 8.7 (0.5) | 8.6 (0.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.4 (0.1) | 3.6 (0.4) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Year Of Naturalization | Naturalized 2005 or later | 2.7 (1.2) | 6.0 (2.6) | Low |  |  | 33.1 (3.3) | 33.2 (3.3) |
|  | Naturalized 2000 to 2004 | 6.5 (2.1) | 28.1 (7.4) | Moderate |  |  | 13.0 (2.5) | 13.7 (2.1) |
|  | Naturalized 1995 to 1999 | 9.2 (2.2) | 35.0 (7.0) | Moderate |  |  | 16.2 (2.2) | 14.8 (2.5) |
|  | Naturalized 1990 to 1994 | 6.2 (1.4) | 31.8 (8.6) | Moderate |  |  | 10.7 (2.4) | 11.2 (2.6) |
|  | Naturalized 1985 to 1989 | 4.7 (1.2) | 34.9 (7.6) | Moderate |  |  | 7.1 (1.2) | 7.4 (1.4) |
|  | Naturalized 1980 to 1984 | 4.4 (1.0) | 37.7 (9.0) | Moderate |  | P | 7.1 (1.4) | 5.4 (1.2) |
|  | Naturalized before 1980 | 3.3 (0.8) | 13.9 (3.7) | Low |  |  | 12.8 (1.7) | 14.3 (1.8) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.1 (0.9) | 22.8 (2.8) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |
| Year Of Entry | Entered 2005 or later | 1.9 (0.5) | 6.9 (1.7) | Low |  |  | 17.4 (1.5) | 16.7 (1.5) |
|  | Entered 2000 to 2004 | 3.1 (0.8) | 12.0 (2.9) | Low |  |  | 14.3 (1.3) | 15.8 (1.5) |
|  | Entered 1995 to 1999 | 4.4 (0.8) | 17.0 (2.9) | Low |  |  | 15.7 (1.4) | 15.1 (1.4) |
|  | Entered 1990 to 1994 | 3.0 (0.5) | 15.6 (3.1) | Low |  |  | 10.8 (1.2) | 10.7 (1.1) |
|  | Entered 1985 to 1989 | 4.4 (0.7) | 21.4 (3.4) | Moderate |  |  | 11.8 (1.4) | 11.4 (1.4) |
|  | Entered 1980 to 1984 | 3.3 (0.8) | 20.7 (4.4) | Moderate |  |  | 9.2 (1.2) | 8.2 (1.0) |
|  | Entered before 1980 | 2.1 (0.5) | 6.3 (1.6) | Low |  |  | 20.9 (1.4) | 22.1 (1.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.1 (0.4) | 13.1 (1.5) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| School Attendance | Enrolled in Public School | 3.3 (0.3) | 14.2 (1.1) | Low |  |  | 13.7 (0.4) | 13.0 (0.4) |
|  | Enrolled in Private School | 1.4 (0.2) | 29.2 (3.1) | Moderate | I |  | 2.4 (0.2) | 2.5 (0.2) |
|  | Not enrolled in school | 2.9 (0.2) | 11.0 (0.9) | Low |  |  | 83.8 (0.5) | 84.5 (0.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 2.9 (0.2) | 14.0 (1.0) | Low |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | C | تِه | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Grade Level | Enrolled in nursery school, preschool | 0.6 (0.2) | 8.7 (3.0) | Low |  |  | 4.0 (0.6) | 3.8 (0.6) |
|  | Enrolled in kindergarten | 1.7 (0.6) | 16.4 (5.4) | Low |  |  | 5.8 (1.0) | 5.1 (0.8) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 1 | 1.6 (0.7) | 26.5 (10.3) | Moderate | I |  | 2.7 (0.6) | 3.5 (0.8) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 2 | 1.6 (0.5) | 18.7 (5.7) | Low |  |  | 4.1 (0.8) | 4.6 (0.8) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 3 | 1.8 (0.6) | 17.5 (6.1) | Low |  |  | 5.3 (0.9) | 5.3 (0.9) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 4 | 1.8 (0.6) | 24.6 (7.5) | Moderate |  |  | 4.0 (0.7) | 3.8 (0.6) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 5 | 2.7 (0.7) | 32.9 (7.5) | Moderate | I |  | 5.2 (0.8) | 3.4 (0.6) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 6 | 2.7 (0.8) | 27.7 (7.3) | Moderate |  |  | 4.7 (0.7) | 5.5 (0.8) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 7 | 1.9 (0.5) | 20.6 (5.1) | Moderate |  |  | 4.6 (0.8) | 5.0 (0.9) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 8 | 1.8 (0.4) | 22.7 (4.8) | Moderate |  |  | 4.1 (0.7) | 4.3 (0.8) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 9 | 1.6 (0.5) | 18.9 (5.5) | Low |  |  | 4.5 (0.8) | 4.6 (0.9) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 10 | 1.6 (0.5) | 14.7 (4.3) | Low |  |  | 6.1 (0.9) | 5.7 (0.9) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 11 | 1.8 (0.5) | 17.9 (5.3) | Low |  |  | 5.0 (0.8) | 5.6 (0.9) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 12 | 1.7 (0.4) | 18.4 (4.2) | Low |  |  | 4.7 (0.7) | 4.8 (0.7) |
|  | Enrolled in college, undergraduate years | 2.9 (0.5) | 7.2 (1.3) | Low |  |  | 27.9 (1.8) | 27.3 (1.7) |
|  | Graduate or professional school | 1.5 (0.3) | 10.4 (1.9) | Low |  |  | 7.6 (0.7) | 7.7 (0.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 2.1 (0.2) | 16.5 (1.5) | Low |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | تِه | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Educational Attainment | No schooling completed | 1.4 (0.2) | 37.5 (4.5) | Moderate | I |  | 2.2 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.1) |
|  | Nursery school | 0.5 (0.1) | 33.4 (5.8) | Moderate | I |  | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) |
|  | Kindergarten | 0.3 (0.1) | 22.4 (6.6) | Moderate | I |  | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | 1st grade | 0.2 (0.1) | 17.1 (5.9) | Low | I |  | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | 2nd grade | 0.4 (0.1) | 20.3 (5.9) | Moderate | I |  | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) |
|  | 3rd grade | 0.5 (0.1) | 28.6 (7.5) | Moderate | I |  | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.2) |
|  | 4th grade | 0.6 (0.1) | 34.2 (6.3) | Moderate | I |  | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.1) |
|  | 5th grade | 0.6 (0.1) | 27.4 (4.9) | Moderate | I |  | 1.1 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.1) |
|  | 6th grade | 1.0 (0.2) | 25.3 (3.9) | Moderate | I |  | 2.0 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.2) |
|  | 7th grade | 0.7 (0.1) | 33.4 (5.0) | Moderate | I |  | 1.0 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.2) |
|  | 8th grade | 1.2 (0.2) | 33.0 (4.6) | Moderate | I |  | 1.9 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.2) |
|  | 9th grade | 1.5 (0.2) | 29.6 (3.8) | Moderate | I |  | 2.5 (0.2) | 2.7 (0.2) |
|  | 10th grade | 1.5 (0.2) | 32.4 (3.3) | Moderate | I |  | 2.3 (0.2) | 2.4 (0.2) |
|  | 11th grade | 1.8 (0.2) | 31.7 (2.7) | Moderate | I |  | 2.8 (0.2) | 3.1 (0.2) |
|  | 12th grade, no diploma | 1.2 (0.1) | 74.2 (5.2) | High | I |  | 1.0 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | Regular high school diploma | 7.6 (0.3) | 22.4 (1.0) | Moderate |  |  | 21.3 (0.5) | 21.8 (0.6) |
|  | GED, or alternative credential | 2.0 (0.2) | 32.9 (2.8) | Moderate | I |  | 3.3 (0.3) | 3.0 (0.2) |
|  | Some college, less than one year | 6.1 (0.4) | 62.3 (2.4) | High |  | P | 5.5 (0.4) | 4.7 (0.3) |
|  | Some college, one or more years, no degree | 8.6 (0.4) | 33.0 (1.5) | Moderate |  |  | 14.9 (0.5) | 15.6 (0.5) |
|  | Associate's degree | 3.3 (0.2) | 26.4 (1.9) | Moderate |  |  | 6.7 (0.3) | 6.7 (0.3) |
|  | Bachelor's degree | 2.7 (0.2) | 9.6 (0.8) | Low |  |  | 16.6 (0.4) | 17.0 (0.5) |
|  | Master's degree | 1.5 (0.2) | 11.5 (1.6) | Low |  |  | 6.7 (0.4) | 7.1 (0.4) |
|  | Professional school degree | 1.4 (0.2) | 40.6 (4.1) | Moderate | I |  | 2.2 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.1) |
|  | Doctorate degree | 0.8 (0.1) | 29.0 (3.8) | Moderate | I |  | 1.2 (0.1) | 1.6 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.4 (0.1) | 26.7 (0.7) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | ت才才刃 | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Field Of Bachelor＇s Degree | Computers，Mathematics，and Statistics | 1.6 （0．4） | 13.7 （2．6） | Low |  |  | 5.0 （0．5） | 5.4 （0．6） |
|  | Biological，Agricultural，and Environmental Sciences | 1.2 （0．2） | 9.4 （1．4） | Low |  |  | 6.3 （0．4） | 6.2 （0．4） |
|  | Physical and Related Sciences | 2.4 （0．3） | 23.8 （2．2） | Moderate |  |  | 3.6 （0．4） | 4.3 （0．4） |
|  | Psychology | 1.1 （0．2） | 9.6 （1．4） | Low |  |  | 5.5 （0．5） | 5.3 （0．4） |
|  | Social Sciences | 3.0 （0．4） | 14.6 （1．6） | Low |  |  | 9.7 （0．7） | 9.7 （0．7） |
|  | Engineering | 1.2 （0．2） | 8.6 （1．5） | Low |  |  | 7.0 （0．4） | 7.1 （0．4） |
|  | Multidisciplinary Studies | 0.7 （0．2） | 24.7 （6．2） | Moderate | I |  | 1.1 （0．3） | 1.2 （0．3） |
|  | Science and Engineering Related | 2.3 （0．2） | 12.5 （1．2） | Low |  |  | 8.9 （0．6） | 8.6 （0．6） |
|  | Business | 3.1 （0．3） | 8.5 （0．8） | Low |  |  | 21.3 （0．8） | 21.1 （0．8） |
|  | Education | 3.6 （0．4） | 13.1 （1．3） | Low |  |  | 14.4 （0．7） | 13.0 （0．7） |
|  | Literature and Languages | 1.7 （0．3） | 15.6 （2．2） | Low |  |  | 4.5 （0．4） | 5.1 （0．4） |
|  | Liberal Arts and History | 3.2 （0．3） | 22.5 （1．7） | Moderate |  |  | 5.5 （0．4） | 6.0 （0．4） |
|  | Visual and Performing Arts | 1.7 （0．3） | 15.8 （2．4） | Low |  |  | 4.5 （0．4） | 5.0 （0．5） |
|  | Communications | 1.0 （0．1） | 10.5 （1．7） | Low |  |  | 4.3 （0．4） | 4.2 （0．4） |
|  | Other Bachelor Degree Field | 1.9 （0．2） | 15.2 （1．4） | Low |  |  | 5.8 （0．4） | 5.3 （0．4） |

Source：U．S．Census Bureau， 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey，January to December 2012

In the＂estimate＂columns－GDR and IOI－the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate． You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages，as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | $\underset{\sim}{\pi}$ | ACS percent | CRS <br> percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ancestry | American | 6.8 (0.5) | 42.6 (1.2) | Moderate | I | P | 6.8 (0.4) | 2.8 (0.2) |
|  | Arab | 0.2 (0.0) | 12.2 (4.0) | Low | I |  | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | British | 0.6 (0.1) | 38.5 (3.2) | Moderate | I |  | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) |
|  | Czech | 0.5 (0.1) | 28.0 (3.2) | Moderate | I |  | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.1) |
|  | Danish | 0.3 (0.0) | 18.5 (3.2) | Low | I |  | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.1) |
|  | Dutch | 1.3 (0.1) | 25.5 (2.2) | Moderate | I |  | 1.8 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.2) |
|  | English | 7.6 (0.4) | 27.8 (0.8) | Moderate |  |  | 10.5 (0.4) | 11.7 (0.5) |
|  | European | 1.7 (0.1) | 41.9 (1.0) | Moderate | I |  | 1.1 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.1) |
|  | French (except Basque) | 3.1 (0.3) | 28.7 (1.7) | Moderate |  |  | 3.6 (0.3) | 4.5 (0.3) |
|  | French Canadian | 0.8 (0.1) | 32.3 (2.1) | Moderate | I |  | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) |
|  | German | 8.1 (0.4) | 20.5 (0.7) | Moderate |  |  | 18.6 (0.4) | 20.3 (0.5) |
|  | Greek | 0.1 (0.0) | 9.6 (3.0) | Low | I |  | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) |
|  | Hungarian | 0.4 (0.1) | 24.6 (3.5) | Moderate | I |  | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.1) |
|  | Irish | 8.0 (0.3) | 24.3 (0.8) | Moderate |  |  | 13.8 (0.6) | 15.2 (0.5) |
|  | Italian | 1.8 (0.2) | 13.2 (1.1) | Low |  |  | 6.2 (0.3) | 6.4 (0.3) |
|  | Lithuanian | 0.4 (0.1) | 29.0 (3.7) | Moderate | I |  | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) |
|  | Norwegian | 0.8 (0.1) | 19.2 (2.4) | Low | I |  | 1.7 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.2) |
|  | Polish | 1.7 (0.2) | 17.7 (1.7) | Low |  |  | 3.9 (0.3) | 4.1 (0.3) |
|  | Portuguese | 0.1 (0.0) | 9.6 (2.6) | Low | I |  | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) |
|  | Russian | 0.7 (0.1) | 23.0 (2.7) | Moderate | I |  | 1.1 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.1) |
|  | Scotch-Irish | 1.6 (0.1) | 35.6 (1.5) | Moderate | I |  | 1.3 (0.1) | 1.6 (0.1) |
|  | Scottish | 1.9 (0.2) | 29.3 (1.8) | Moderate | I |  | 2.4 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.2) |
|  | Slovak | 0.1 (0.0) | 21.6 (4.8) | Moderate | I |  | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | Sub-Saharan African | 0.8 (0.1) | 36.8 (3.0) | Moderate | I |  | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | Swedish | 1.0 (0.1) | 22.9 (2.1) | Moderate | I |  | 1.7 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.2) |
|  | Swiss | 0.3 (0.1) | 26.1 (4.1) | Moderate | I |  | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) |
|  | Ukrainian | 0.1 (0.0) | 15.7 (4.5) | Low | I |  | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) |
|  | Welsh | 0.9 (0.2) | 32.8 (3.2) | Moderate | I |  | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.1) |
|  | West Indian (except Hispanic groups) | 0.6 (0.2) | 23.3 (5.0) | Moderate | I |  | 1.1 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.3) |
|  | Other groups | 11.2 (0.5) | 18.5 (0.6) | Low |  |  | 44.4 (0.7) | 43.9 (0.8) |
| Language Other Than English Spoken At Home | Yes | $4.4(0.3)$ | 13.7 (0.9) | Low |  |  | 20.0 (0.7) | 19.7 (0.7) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | $\underset{\pi}{\pi}$ | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specific <br> Language <br> Spoken | Spanish | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.4) | Low |  |  | 68.7 (1.9) | 68.5 (1.9) |
|  | French | 0.5 (0.2) | 13.9 (6.9) | Low | I |  | 1.6 (0.4) | 2.0 (0.4) |
|  | Italian | 0.3 (0.2) | 16.1 (10.1) | Low | I |  | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) |
|  | Portuguese | 0.0 (0.0) | 2.4 (1.4) | Low | I |  | 0.8 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.3) |
|  | German | 0.3 (0.1) | 13.2 (4.7) | Low | I |  | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) |
|  | Russian | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.5 (1.2) | Low | I |  | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.3) |
|  | Polish, Serbo-Croatian, and other Slavic | 0.1 (0.0) | 1.9 (1.6) | Low | I |  | 1.9 (0.7) | 1.9 (0.7) |
|  | Gujarati | 0.3 (0.3) | 12.3 (10.7) | Low | I |  | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.5 (0.5) |
|  | Hindi | 0.6 (0.3) | 27.4 (11.2) | Moderate | I |  | 1.3 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.2) |
|  | Urdu and other Indic | 0.8 (0.4) | 18.0 (8.6) | Low | I |  | 2.1 (0.6) | 2.3 (0.6) |
|  | French Creole, Yiddish, Other W. Germanic, Scandinavian, Greek, Armenian, Persian, and other Indo-European | 0.5 (0.3) | 6.8 (3.5) | Low |  |  | 4.3 (0.9) | 3.9 (0.9) |
|  | Chinese | 0.1 (0.0) | 1.0 (0.6) | Low | I |  | 3.5 (0.7) | 3.5 (0.7) |
|  | Korean | 0.0 (0.0) | 2.6 (2.7) | Low | I |  | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) |
|  | Arabic | 0.2 (0.2) | 5.3 (4.6) | Low | I |  | 1.9 (0.6) | 1.8 (0.6) |
|  | Vietnamese | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.9 (0.7) | Low | I |  | 1.5 (0.4) | 1.5 (0.4) |
|  | Japanese, Mon-Khmer, Cambodian, Hmong, Thai, Laotian, and other Asian | 0.1 (0.0) | 1.6 (1.0) | Low | I |  | 2.9 (0.6) | 2.8 (0.6) |
|  | Tagalog and other Pacific Island | 0.1 (0.1) | 1.2 (1.0) | Low | I |  | 3.1 (0.8) | 3.0 (0.8) |
|  | African languages | 0.1 (0.0) | 2.4 (1.9) | Low | I |  | 1.1 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.4) |
|  | Navajo, other Native American, Hungarian, Hebrew, and all others | 0.6 (0.2) | 32.0 (10.2) | Moderate | I |  | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.5 (0.1) | 4.9 (1.0) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| English Speaking Ability | Very well | 13.9 (1.1) | 27.9 (2.1) | Moderate | I | P | 50.0 (1.5) | 44.1 (1.6) |
|  | Well | 20.6 (1.3) | 57.5 (3.7) | High | I | P | 21.2 (1.4) | 25.4 (1.6) |
|  | Not well | 15.4 (1.3) | 51.4 (4.2) | High |  | P | 19.0 (1.3) | 17.7 (1.3) |
|  | Not at all | 6.6 (0.8) | 33.0 (4.3) | Moderate |  |  | 9.8 (1.1) | 12.7 (1.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 15.2 (0.8) | 41.6 (2.2) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix B: Detailed Results for Person Topics

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O |  | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geographical Mobility In Past Year | Same house one year ago | 4.6 (0.4) | 19.9 (1.5) | Low |  |  | 86.0 (0.6) | 87.4 (0.5) |
|  | Moved within same county | 3.4 (0.3) | 22.8 (2.1) | Moderate |  |  | 8.8 (0.5) | 7.6 (0.4) |
|  | Moved from different county within state | 1.1 (0.1) | 21.4 (2.6) | Moderate | I |  | 2.6 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.3) |
|  | Moved from different state | 0.8 (0.1) | 19.4 (3.2) | Low | I |  | 2.1 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.2) |
|  | Moved from outside U.S. | 0.3 (0.1) | 35.4 (8.4) | Moderate | I |  | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.3 (0.3) | 21.2 (1.5) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |
| Health Insurance | Yes, through employer | 7.7 (0.4) | 15.5 (0.7) | Low |  |  | 55.5 (0.8) | 56.4 (0.8) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, purchased directly | 11.1 (0.3) | 48.6 (1.4) | Moderate |  | P | 11.6 (0.4) | 14.5 (0.4) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Medicare | 2.7 (0.2) | 9.4 (0.5) | Low |  |  | 17.0 (0.5) | 18.0 (0.5) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Medicaid | 4.5 (0.3) | 19.4 (1.3) | Low |  |  | 13.2 (0.5) | 13.4 (0.6) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Military | 0.8 (0.1) | 12.9 (1.6) | Low | I |  | 2.9 (0.2) | 3.1 (0.2) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Veterans Administration | 1.4 (0.1) | 26.4 (2.2) | Moderate | I |  | 2.4 (0.2) | 2.9 (0.2) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Indian Health Service | 0.3 (0.1) | 23.6 (5.0) | Moderate | I |  | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) |
| Health Insurance Aggregate | With private health insurance coverage only | 5.1 (0.3) | 10.4 (0.5) | Low |  |  | 55.1 (0.9) | 53.6 (0.9) |
|  | With public health coverage only | 7.4 (0.4) | 25.7 (1.4) | Moderate |  |  | 18.3 (0.6) | 16.4 (0.6) |
|  | With both private and public health coverage | 6.6 (0.3) | 30.1 (1.3) | Moderate |  |  | 11.3 (0.4) | 13.6 (0.4) |
|  | No health insurance coverage | 4.4 (0.3) | 16.6 (1.2) | Low |  |  | 15.3 (0.6) | 16.3 (0.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.6 (0.2) | 18.5 (0.7) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Difficulty Hearing | Yes | 3.3 (0.2) | 41.3 (2.4) | Moderate |  |  | 3.8 (0.2) | 4.4 (0.2) |
| Difficulty Vision | Yes | 2.6 (0.2) | 53.2 (2.7) | High | I |  | 2.2 (0.1) | 2.8 (0.2) |
| Difficulty Cognitive | Yes | 4.0 (0.2) | 45.0 (2.7) | Moderate |  |  | 4.3 (0.3) | 5.1 (0.3) |
| Difficulty Ambulatory | Yes | 4.8 (0.3) | 33.8 (1.7) | Moderate |  |  | 7.3 (0.3) | 8.2 (0.4) |
| Difficulty Self Care | Yes | 2.3 (0.2) | 43.8 (3.0) | Moderate | I |  | 2.4 (0.1) | 2.9 (0.2) |
| Difficulty Independent Living | Yes | 3.6 (0.2) | 36.5 (2.3) | Moderate |  |  | 5.0 (0.3) | 5.4 (0.3) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix B: Detailed Results for Person Topics

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O |  | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marital Status | Now married | 2.5 (0.3) | 23.5 (2.9) | Moderate |  |  | 6.0 (0.6) | 5.5 (0.6) |
|  | Widowed | 1.1 (0.1) | 4.7 (0.6) | Low |  |  | 13.0 (0.5) | 13.1 (0.5) |
|  | Divorced | 3.4 (0.4) | 8.9 (1.0) | Low |  |  | 25.6 (0.8) | 26.1 (0.7) |
|  | Separated | 2.2 (0.3) | 28.5 (2.9) | Moderate |  |  | 4.1 (0.3) | 4.1 (0.3) |
|  | Never married | 2.6 (0.4) | 5.3 (0.7) | Low |  |  | 51.3 (0.8) | 51.2 (0.8) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 2.6 (0.3) | 9.2 (0.7) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Married In Past Year | Yes | 1.7 (0.3) | 28.5 (3.9) | Moderate | I |  | 3.5 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.3) |
| Widowed In Past Year | Yes | 0.6 (0.1) | 32.5 (4.6) | Moderate | I |  | 1.0 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) |
| Divorced In Past Year | Yes | 1.0 (0.2) | 38.9 (5.8) | Moderate | I |  | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.1) |
| Number Of Times Married | Once married | 2.8 (0.2) | 7.2 (0.6) | Low |  |  | 74.2 (0.6) | 73.7 (0.6) |
|  | Twice married | 3.4 (0.2) | 10.5 (0.8) | Low |  |  | 20.3 (0.6) | 20.5 (0.5) |
|  | Married three or more times | 1.1 (0.1) | 10.0 (1.2) | Low |  |  | 5.5 (0.2) | 5.8 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 2.8 (0.2) | 8.9 (0.6) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Year Last <br> Married | Before 2000 | 1.4 (0.2) | 3.4 (0.5) | Low |  |  | 71.9 (0.9) | 71.6 (0.8) |
|  | 2000 to 2004 | 1.6 (0.2) | 8.3 (1.1) | Low |  |  | 10.7 (0.5) | 10.6 (0.5) |
|  | 2005 to 2009 | 1.5 (0.2) | 7.5 (1.2) | Low |  |  | 11.4 (0.5) | 11.6 (0.5) |
|  | 2010 | 0.7 (0.1) | 14.1 (2.4) | Low | I |  | 2.6 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.3) |
|  | 2011 | 0.5 (0.1) | 9.9 (2.4) | Low | 1 |  | 2.4 (0.3) | 2.6 (0.3) |
|  | 2012 | 0.1 (0.1) | 7.6 (3.2) | Low | I |  | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 1.4 (0.2) | 6.4 (0.7) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Birth In Past Year | Yes | 1.3 (0.2) | 13.5 (1.6) | Low |  |  | 5.5 (0.4) | 5.0 (0.4) |
| Grandparents Living With Own Grandchildren | Yes | 1.2 (0.1) | 18.2 (2.4) | Low | I |  | 3.3 (0.3) | 3.3 (0.3) |
| Grandparents <br> Responsible <br> For <br> Grandchildren | Yes | 15.9 (4.8) | 31.6 (9.3) | Moderate | I | P | 48.0 (6.2) | 56.9 (5.3) |
| Grandparents Time Responsible For Grandchildren | Less than one year | 10.2 (3.6) | 29.1 (13.6) | Moderate |  | P | 22.9 (8.2) | 22.7 (8.2) |
|  | 1 to 2 years | 21.0 (6.8) | 60.4 (14.8) | High |  | P | 22.0 (5.0) | 22.7 (6.6) |
|  | 3 or 4 years | 6.6 (2.5) | 25.5 (9.3) | Moderate |  |  | 14.4 (5.2) | 16.2 (5.1) |
|  | 5 or more years | 15.9 (6.1) | 33.3 (12.7) | Moderate |  | P | 40.6 (8.6) | 38.4 (7.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 14.6 (4.5) | 37.4 (9.6) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | چ্ত | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Military Service | Now on active duty | 0.2 (0.0) | 23.7 (3.9) | Moderate | I |  | 0.6 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) |
|  | On active duty during the last 12 months but not now | 0.6 (0.1) | 93.1 (2.6) | High | I |  | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.0) |
|  | On active duty in the past, but not in last 12 months | 1.6 (0.1) | 9.3 (0.6) | Low |  |  | 9.2 (0.2) | 9.1 (0.2) |
|  | Training in Reserves or National Guard only | 0.9 (0.1) | 46.1 (3.2) | Moderate | I |  | 1.0 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.1) |
|  | Never in the military | 0.9 (0.1) | 4.7 (0.3) | Low |  |  | 89.0 (0.2) | 89.1 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 1.0 (0.1) | 10.5 (0.5) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Period Of <br> Military Service | Between Gulf War I and Vietnam era only | 4.1 (0.5) | 20.1 (2.6) | Moderate |  |  | 11.8 (0.8) | 10.9 (0.8) |
|  | Between Korean War and World War II only | 0.4 (0.1) | 38.9 (14.5) | Moderate | I |  | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) |
|  | Between Vietnam Era and Korean War only | 3.9 (0.5) | 21.3 (2.4) | Moderate |  |  | 10.7 (0.6) | 9.4 (0.5) |
|  | Gulf War I and Vietnam era | 1.2 (0.3) | 42.4 (6.0) | Moderate | I |  | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.3) |
|  | Gulf War I, no Vietnam era | 3.5 (0.5) | 19.2 (2.5) | Low |  |  | 10.1 (0.9) | 10.1 (0.8) |
|  | Gulf War II and Gulf War I, and Vietnam era / or no Vietnam era | 3.7 (0.5) | 30.1 (4.6) | Moderate |  |  | 6.2 (0.7) | 7.0 (0.7) |
|  | Gulf War II, no Gulf War I, no Vietnam Era | 3.0 (0.4) | 20.4 (2.6) | Moderate |  |  | 8.2 (0.6) | 7.8 (0.6) |
|  | Korean War and World War II, no Vietnam Era | 0.3 (0.1) | 32.1 (11.3) | Moderate | I |  | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | Korean War, no Vietnam Era, no World War II | 2.1 (0.3) | 11.2 (1.5) | Low |  |  | 10.4 (0.7) | 10.2 (0.7) |
|  | Pre-World War II only or World War II, no Korean War, no Vietnam Era | 0.6 (0.2) | 5.4 (1.3) | Low |  |  | 6.2 (0.6) | 6.3 (0.6) |
|  | Vietnam Era and Korean War, and World War II / or no World War II | 0.9 (0.2) | 27.0 (7.3) | Moderate | I |  | 1.4 (0.3) | 1.8 (0.3) |
|  | Vietnam Era, no Korean War, no World War II | 5.7 (0.6) | 12.9 (1.4) | Low |  |  | 32.7 (0.9) | 34.0 (0.9) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.8 (0.3) | 17.6 (1.1) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Service Connected Disability Status | Yes | 2.5 (0.3) | 9.4 (1.2) | Low |  |  | 16.1 (0.8) | 16.0 (0.8) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | 芴 | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service Connected Disability Level | 0 percent | 1.8 (0.9) | 36.3 (12.6) | Moderate | I |  | 2.5 (0.7) | 2.6 (0.9) |
|  | 10 or 20 percent | 5.6 (1.4) | 12.1 (3.0) | Low | I |  | 38.4 (3.3) | 34.6 (3.3) |
|  | 30 or 40 percent | 6.2 (1.7) | 20.8 (5.4) | Moderate |  |  | 18.6 (2.0) | 17.8 (1.8) |
|  | 50 or 60 percent | 2.1 (0.8) | 11.0 (4.1) | Low |  |  | 11.0 (1.6) | 9.9 (1.4) |
|  | 70 percent or higher | 4.4 (1.3) | 12.3 (3.5) | Low |  |  | 22.5 (2.0) | 24.6 (2.1) |
|  | No rating reported | 8.1 (1.8) | 50.8 (11.3) | High | I | P | 7.0 (1.9) | 10.5 (2.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.3 (0.9) | 18.6 (2.9) | Low |  | P |  |  |
| Work Last Week | Yes | 5.4 (0.3) | 11.0 (0.5) | Low |  |  | 56.6 (0.6) | 55.9 (0.6) |
| Any Work Last Week | Yes | 1.6 (0.2) | 88.9 (3.3) | High | I |  | 0.8 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.1) |
| Place Of Work | Worked in state of residence, in county of residence | 4.6 (0.4) | 11.4 (0.9) | Low |  |  | 71.7 (0.8) | 71.3 (0.8) |
|  | Worked in state of residence, outside county of residence | 4.1 (0.3) | 11.0 (0.9) | Low |  |  | 24.5 (0.8) | 24.9 (0.8) |
|  | Worked outside state of residence | 1.1 (0.2) | 14.8 (2.3) | Low |  |  | 3.7 (0.3) | 3.8 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.4 (0.3) | 11.5 (0.8) | Low |  |  |  |  |
| Commute Transportation | Car, truck, or van | 5.1 (0.4) | 21.9 (1.6) | Moderate |  |  | 86.9 (0.5) | 86.1 (0.6) |
|  | Public transportation | 1.3 (0.2) | 17.1 (2.5) | Low |  |  | 4.2 (0.3) | 4.0 (0.3) |
|  | Taxicab, motorcycle, bicycle, or other method | 1.8 (0.2) | 54.9 (5.7) | High | I |  | 1.8 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.2) |
|  | Walked | 1.7 (0.3) | 31.7 (4.0) | Moderate | I |  | 2.8 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.3) |
|  | Worked at Home | 2.7 (0.2) | 28.6 (2.3) | Moderate |  |  | 4.3 (0.3) | 5.6 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.6 (0.3) | 25.7 (1.6) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |
| Commute <br> Number Of <br> Riders | Drove alone | 6.6 (0.4) | 36.3 (2.3) | Moderate |  |  | 90.1 (0.6) | 89.8 (0.6) |
|  | 2 riders | 6.1 (0.5) | 43.4 (3.4) | Moderate |  |  | 7.6 (0.5) | 7.6 (0.5) |
|  | 3 riders | 1.7 (0.4) | 58.0 (8.8) | High | I |  | 1.3 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.3) |
|  | 4 riders | 0.7 (0.1) | 56.0 (10.7) | High | I |  | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) |
|  | 5 or more riders | 0.5 (0.2) | 56.8 (12.5) | High | I |  | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.3 (0.4) | 41.8 (2.5) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |
| Commute Departure Time | 12:00 a.m. to 4:59 a.m. | 2.7 (0.3) | 32.7 (3.3) | Moderate |  |  | 4.5 (0.4) | 4.2 (0.4) |
|  | 5:00 a.m. to 6:59 a.m. | 8.9 (0.5) | 21.2 (1.2) | Moderate |  |  | 29.6 (0.7) | 29.9 (0.8) |
|  | 7:00 a.m. to 8:59 a.m. | 10.7 (0.6) | 21.6 (1.1) | Moderate |  |  | 45.6 (0.7) | 46.0 (0.9) |
|  | 9:00 a.m. to 11:59 a.m. | 4.5 (0.3) | 29.1 (1.8) | Moderate |  |  | 8.8 (0.5) | 8.1 (0.5) |
|  | 12:00 p.m. to 3:59 p.m. | 3.0 (0.3) | 28.8 (2.8) | Moderate |  |  | 5.4 (0.4) | 5.5 (0.3) |
|  | 4:00 p.m. to 11:59 p.m. | 2.9 (0.3) | 24.5 (3.0) | Moderate |  |  | 6.2 (0.4) | 6.3 (0.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.2 (0.4) | 23.8 (0.9) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis <br> Topic | Analysis category | GDR | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis <br> Topic | Analysis category |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix B: Detailed Results for Person Topics

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | 苛 | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry | Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining | 1.0 (0.1) | 26.7 (3.5) | Moderate | I |  | 2.2 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.2) |
|  | Construction | 2.5 (0.3) | 19.6 (1.8) | Low |  |  | 6.5 (0.3) | 7.0 (0.4) |
|  | Manufacturing | 4.3 (0.2) | 22.2 (1.4) | Moderate |  |  | 10.6 (0.4) | 10.8 (0.4) |
|  | Wholesale trade | 2.8 (0.3) | 48.7 (3.8) | Moderate | I |  | 2.9 (0.2) | 3.0 (0.3) |
|  | Retail trade | 3.9 (0.3) | 19.9 (1.2) | Low |  |  | 11.3 (0.5) | 10.6 (0.5) |
|  | Utilities, and transportation and warehousing | 1.6 (0.2) | 18.0 (1.8) | Low |  |  | 4.9 (0.3) | 4.7 (0.3) |
|  | Information | 1.2 (0.2) | 26.2 (3.3) | Moderate | I |  | 2.3 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.2) |
|  | Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing | 1.7 (0.2) | 14.2 (1.3) | Low |  |  | 6.1 (0.3) | 6.4 (0.3) |
|  | Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services | 6.1 (0.4) | 31.3 (1.4) | Moderate |  |  | 10.6 (0.5) | 11.0 (0.6) |
|  | Educational services, and health care and social assistance | 3.9 (0.3) | 10.6 (0.9) | Low |  |  | 24.1 (0.6) | 24.0 (0.6) |
|  | Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services | 1.9 (0.2) | 12.8 (1.3) | Low |  |  | 8.1 (0.4) | 8.1 (0.4) |
|  | Other services, except public administration | 2.5 (0.2) | 26.6 (2.0) | Moderate |  |  | 5.0 (0.3) | 4.9 (0.3) |
|  | Public administration | 2.2 (0.2) | 22.8 (2.0) | Moderate |  |  | 4.9 (0.3) | 5.0 (0.3) |
|  | Military | 0.3 (0.1) | 40.4 (6.6) | Moderate | I |  | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.3 (0.1) | 20.2 (0.6) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |
| Industry Type | Manufacturing | 5.1 (0.3) | 27.6 (1.8) | Moderate |  |  | 9.8 (0.4) | 10.7 (0.4) |
|  | Wholesale trade | 3.6 (0.3) | 59.8 (4.1) | High | I |  | 2.9 (0.3) | 3.4 (0.3) |
|  | Retail trade | 10.5 (0.4) | 39.9 (1.5) | Moderate |  |  | 15.0 (0.5) | 16.0 (0.6) |
|  | Other (agriculture, construction, service, government, etc.) | 12.5 (0.5) | 30.5 (1.2) | Moderate |  |  | 72.3 (0.8) | 69.9 (0.8) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 11.0 (0.4) | 34.5 (1.1) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix B: Detailed Results for Person Topics

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | C | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation | Management, business and financial occupations | 10.0 (0.4) | 37.1 (1.2) | Moderate |  | 15.8 (0.5) | 16.5 (0.5) |
|  | Computer, engineering, and science occupations | 2.8 (0.2) | 26.3 (2.0) | Moderate |  | 5.5 (0.3) | 5.7 (0.4) |
|  | Education, legal, community service, arts, and media occupations | 3.4 (0.2) | 17.2 (1.1) | Low |  | 11.3 (0.3) | 10.6 (0.3) |
|  | Healthcare practitioners and technical occupations | 1.9 (0.2) | 18.6 (1.6) | Low |  | 5.8 (0.3) | 5.3 (0.3) |
|  | Healthcare support occupations | 1.9 (0.2) | 36.8 (3.3) | Moderate | I | 2.7 (0.2) | 2.7 (0.2) |
|  | Protective service occupations | 0.6 (0.1) | 13.9 (2.6) | Low | I | 2.1 (0.2) | 2.2 (0.2) |
|  | Food preparation and serving related occupations | 1.6 (0.2) | 17.6 (2.3) | Low |  | 4.8 (0.3) | 4.7 (0.3) |
|  | Building and grounds cleaning and maintenance occupations | 1.7 (0.2) | 23.2 (2.5) | Moderate |  | 3.8 (0.2) | 3.8 (0.2) |
|  | Personal care and service occupations | 2.1 (0.2) | 29.4 (2.7) | Moderate |  | 3.5 (0.3) | 3.8 (0.3) |
|  | Sales and related occupations | 5.4 (0.3) | 29.9 (1.6) | Moderate |  | 10.1 (0.4) | 9.8 (0.4) |
|  | Office and administrative support occupations | 8.0 (0.4) | 34.4 (1.6) | Moderate |  | 13.2 (0.5) | 13.6 (0.5) |
|  | Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations | 0.6 (0.1) | 34.7 (5.5) | Moderate | I | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) |
|  | Construction and extraction occupations | 2.5 (0.2) | 24.9 (2.1) | Moderate |  | 5.5 (0.3) | 5.2 (0.3) |
|  | Installation , maintenance, and repair occupations | 2.0 (0.2) | 33.2 (2.6) | Moderate | I | 3.2 (0.2) | 3.2 (0.2) |
|  | Production occupations | 3.3 (0.3) | 30.5 (2.3) | Moderate |  | 5.8 (0.3) | 5.8 (0.3) |
|  | Transportation occupations | 1.5 (0.2) | 21.5 (2.8) | Moderate | I | 3.5 (0.3) | 3.6 (0.2) |
|  | Material moving occupations | 2.3 (0.3) | 49.8 (4.6) | Moderate | I | 2.3 (0.2) | 2.5 (0.3) |
|  | Military occupations | 0.2 (0.0) | 42.3 (9.8) | Moderate | I | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.7 (0.1) | 28.4 (0.7) | Moderate |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | $\underset{\sim}{\pi}$ | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wages Income Amount | Less than \$10,000 | 4.7 (0.4) | 21.7 (1.7) | Moderate |  |  | 12.2 (0.5) | 12.3 (0.6) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 6.5 (0.5) | 48.6 (2.9) | Moderate |  | P | 6.9 (0.5) | 7.5 (0.4) |
|  | \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 10.0 (0.5) | 40.9 (2.1) | Moderate |  |  | 13.6 (0.7) | 14.8 (0.7) |
|  | \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 9.6 (0.5) | 42.4 (2.0) | Moderate |  |  | 13.1 (0.6) | 13.0 (0.5) |
|  | \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 9.3 (0.5) | 33.7 (1.7) | Moderate |  |  | 17.3 (0.7) | 16.0 (0.6) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 7.1 (0.5) | 22.6 (1.5) | Moderate |  |  | 19.7 (0.8) | 19.7 (0.8) |
|  | \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 3.4 (0.3) | 22.9 (2.3) | Moderate |  |  | 8.3 (0.5) | 8.0 (0.5) |
|  | \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 2.5 (0.3) | 23.2 (2.4) | Moderate |  |  | 6.0 (0.4) | 5.6 (0.4) |
|  | \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 1.0 (0.2) | 29.3 (5.5) | Moderate | I |  | 1.6 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.2) |
|  | \$200,000 or more | 0.3 (0.1) | 12.2 (3.2) | Low | I |  | 1.3 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.2 (0.2) | 31.5 (0.9) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |
| Wages Income Recipiency | Yes | 7.6 (0.4) | 27.0 (1.3) | Moderate |  |  | 83.8 (0.5) | 82.5 (0.5) |
| Self Employed Income Amount | Loss or broke even | 6.1 (2.5) | 87.7 (7.7) | High | I | P | 6.5 (2.5) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | Less than \$10,000 | 13.2 (2.6) | 29.0 (5.6) | Moderate |  |  | 34.5 (2.6) | 35.3 (3.2) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 10.5 (2.5) | 53.3 (8.5) | High |  | P | 10.3 (1.4) | 11.9 (2.7) |
|  | \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 14.7 (3.0) | 56.1 (8.8) | High |  | P | 15.9 (3.0) | 15.1 (2.3) |
|  | \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 11.2 (1.8) | 57.9 (9.8) | High |  | P | 10.9 (2.0) | 10.9 (2.0) |
|  | \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 9.5 (1.5) | 59.9 (7.2) | High | I | P | 7.0 (1.1) | 10.4 (1.8) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 4.7 (1.0) | 38.4 (7.7) | Moderate |  | P | 6.7 (1.3) | 6.4 (1.1) |
|  | \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 1.9 (0.5) | 54.9 (10.9) | High | I |  | 2.0 (0.4) | 1.5 (0.4) |
|  | \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 3.1 (0.8) | 48.4 (12.6) | Moderate | I |  | 2.6 (0.8) | 3.9 (0.9) |
|  | \$150,000 or more | 2.1 (0.8) | 28.4 (9.7) | Moderate |  |  | 3.8 (0.8) | 4.0 (0.9) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 10.7 (1.3) | 47.2 (3.5) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |
| Self Employed Income Recipiency | Received a positive amount of self-employment income | 7.1 (0.4) | 41.3 (1.9) | Moderate |  |  | 9.2 (0.4) | 10.0 (0.4) |
|  | Did not receive selfemployment income | 7.1 (0.4) | 39.4 (1.6) | Moderate |  |  | 89.9 (0.4) | 90.0 (0.4) |
|  | Had a net loss or broke even for self-employment income | 0.9 (0.2) | 98.6 (0.8) | High | I |  | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.1 (0.3) | 41.9 (1.7) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |
| Property Income Amount | Loss or broke even | 2.4 (0.4) | 96.0 (4.7) | High | I |  | 2.0 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | Positive, less than \$100 | 6.8 (0.8) | 28.3 (3.2) | Moderate |  |  | 13.2 (1.2) | 14.7 (1.2) |
|  | \$100 to \$999 | 20.4 (2.3) | 53.5 (5.4) | High |  | P | 25.9 (1.8) | 25.3 (2.3) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 19.6 (2.1) | 56.0 (5.0) | High |  | P | 23.2 (2.3) | 22.2 (1.6) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 11.3 (1.1) | 53.9 (6.2) | High |  | P | 11.1 (1.4) | 12.6 (1.7) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 13.0 (1.5) | 73.2 (4.6) | High |  | P | 10.4 (1.3) | 9.2 (1.0) |
|  | \$20,000 or more | 8.7 (1.1) | 34.6 (4.1) | Moderate |  |  | 14.1 (1.4) | 15.5 (1.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 14.7 (1.1) | 50.3 (2.8) | High |  | P |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | 可 | ACS percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRS } \\ \text { percent } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Income Recipiency | Received a positive amount of property income | 11.8 (0.4) | 48.4 (1.4) | Moderate |  | P | 12.9 (0.4) | 15.5 (0.5) |
|  | Did not receive property income | 11.8 (0.4) | 48.0 (1.3) | Moderate |  | P | 86.8 (0.4) | 84.5 (0.5) |
|  | Had a net loss or broke even for property income | 0.3 (0.0) | 100.0 (0.0) | High | I |  | 0.3 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 11.8 (0.4) | 48.5 (1.3) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |
| Social <br> Security <br> Income <br> Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 1.5 (0.2) | 76.2 (11.6) | High | I |  | 1.6 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 5.8 (0.6) | 36.9 (4.1) | Moderate |  |  | 9.5 (0.7) | 7.7 (0.7) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 8.3 (0.8) | 22.2 (2.2) | Moderate |  |  | 24.2 (1.2) | 25.3 (1.2) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 13.5 (0.9) | 27.1 (1.7) | Moderate |  |  | 51.2 (1.4) | 53.1 (1.4) |
|  | \$20,000 or more | 6.1 (0.5) | 26.3 (2.1) | Moderate |  |  | 13.4 (1.0) | 13.4 (0.9) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 10.3 (0.6) | 27.5 (1.5) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |
| Social <br> Security <br> Income <br> Recipiency | Yes | 3.8 (0.3) | 11.5 (0.8) | Low |  |  | 19.9 (0.4) | 21.6 (0.5) |
| Supplemental Security Income Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 9.8 (2.5) | 48.4 (9.5) | Moderate | I | P | 15.9 (3.4) | 6.4 (2.1) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 9.4 (2.5) | 27.6 (7.2) | Moderate |  |  | 21.4 (3.3) | 22.2 (3.8) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 14.7 (2.6) | 29.9 (5.1) | Moderate | I | P | 53.5 (4.9) | 61.5 (4.2) |
|  | \$10,000 or more | 5.5 (2.0) | 31.8 (9.3) | Moderate |  |  | 9.2 (2.1) | 10.0 (2.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 11.9 (1.9) | 32.6 (4.8) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |
| Supplemental Security Income Recipiency | Yes | 2.0 (0.2) | 34.2 (3.0) | Moderate | I |  | 3.2 (0.2) | 2.9 (0.2) |
| Public Assistance Income Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 8.1 (3.8) | 39.0 (17.4) | Moderate |  | P | 13.3 (4.4) | 10.3 (4.1) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 22.4 (6.4) | 46.5 (12.7) | Moderate |  | P | 56.3 (8.1) | 64.8 (6.9) |
|  | \$5,000 or more | 16.4 (6.0) | 40.9 (13.4) | Moderate |  | P | 30.4 (8.3) | 24.9 (6.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 18.8 (5.3) | 43.0 (11.3) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |
| Public Assistance Income Recipiency | Yes | 1.3 (0.2) | 54.3 (5.1) | High | I |  | 1.5 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level | O | 芴 | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retirement Income Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 2.0 (0.4) | 37.9 (6.6) | Moderate | I |  | 3.2 (0.5) | 2.2 (0.4) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 6.2 (0.7) | 23.2 (3.1) | Moderate |  |  | 16.3 (1.5) | 15.6 (1.4) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 7.2 (0.9) | 27.3 (3.3) | Moderate |  |  | 15.0 (1.4) | 16.4 (1.5) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 10.8 (1.1) | 29.6 (3.2) | Moderate |  |  | 23.8 (1.5) | 24.4 (1.6) |
|  | \$20,000 to \$49,999 | 10.0 (1.0) | 22.3 (2.2) | Moderate |  |  | 33.8 (1.7) | 33.7 (1.7) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 3.6 (0.6) | 33.0 (5.7) | Moderate |  |  | 6.1 (0.8) | 5.6 (0.7) |
|  | \$75,000 or more | 1.1 (0.4) | 29.4 (9.5) | Moderate | I |  | 1.8 (0.4) | 2.1 (0.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.3 (0.6) | 26.5 (1.9) | Moderate |  |  |  |  |
| Retirement Income Recipiency | Yes | 4.9 (0.2) | 24.9 (1.1) | Moderate |  |  | 11.0 (0.3) | 11.0 (0.3) |
| Other Income Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 4.1 (0.9) | 40.0 (9.0) | Moderate |  | P | 5.4 (1.0) | 5.5 (1.1) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$2,499 | 14.4 (2.2) | 46.6 (8.8) | Moderate |  | P | 17.5 (2.8) | 20.5 (3.0) |
|  | \$2,500 to \$4,999 | 15.1 (2.0) | 46.0 (6.2) | Moderate |  | P | 21.8 (2.8) | 19.4 (2.5) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 12.4 (1.7) | 40.4 (5.4) | Moderate |  |  | 20.6 (2.3) | 17.4 (2.1) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 15.3 (2.5) | 41.3 (6.1) | Moderate |  | P | 23.7 (2.4) | 25.5 (2.4) |
|  | \$20,000 or more | 5.6 (1.5) | 27.9 (7.4) | Moderate |  |  | 11.1 (2.1) | 11.7 (1.8) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 12.9 (1.2) | 41.3 (3.7) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |
| Other Income Recipiency | Yes | 6.0 (0.3) | 44.8 (1.9) | Moderate |  |  | 6.7 (0.3) | 7.7 (0.3) |
| Total Income Amount | Loss or broke even | 5.3 (0.3) | 22.0 (1.3) | Moderate |  |  | 14.7 (0.6) | 13.4 (0.6) |
|  | Less than \$10,000 | 8.1 (0.3) | 32.7 (1.3) | Moderate |  |  | 14.0 (0.4) | 15.0 (0.5) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 7.1 (0.4) | 46.9 (2.4) | Moderate |  | P | 8.1 (0.4) | 8.5 (0.3) |
|  | \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 9.7 (0.4) | 40.3 (1.5) | Moderate |  |  | 13.5 (0.5) | 14.4 (0.5) |
|  | \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 9.0 (0.3) | 46.6 (1.6) | Moderate |  |  | 10.7 (0.4) | 11.0 (0.4) |
|  | \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 8.8 (0.4) | 39.0 (1.7) | Moderate |  |  | 13.2 (0.4) | 12.5 (0.4) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 6.4 (0.4) | 27.3 (1.5) | Moderate |  |  | 13.5 (0.5) | 13.5 (0.5) |
|  | \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 3.1 (0.2) | 29.5 (2.1) | Moderate |  |  | 5.7 (0.3) | 5.4 (0.3) |
|  | \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 2.1 (0.2) | 28.2 (2.5) | Moderate |  |  | 4.0 (0.3) | 3.9 (0.2) |
|  | \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 1.1 (0.1) | 43.1 (5.4) | Moderate | I |  | 1.2 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.1) |
|  | \$200,000 or more | 0.6 (0.1) | 23.2 (3.1) | Moderate | I |  | 1.3 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.2 (0.2) | 34.7 (0.7) | Moderate |  | P |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

| Analysis <br> Topic | Analysis category | GDR <br> Estimate | IOI <br> Estimate | IOI Level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the "estimate" columns - GDR and IOI - the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

## Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Building Type | Mobile Home, Boat, Rv, Van, Etc. | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.2) | 5.8 (0.5) | 5.7 (0.5) | 6.0 (0.6) | 6.0 (0.6) | 8.1 (0.6) | 8.6 (0.6) |
|  | Single Unit, Detached | 2.0 (0.2) | 3.1 (0.4) | 4.6 (0.4) | 70.7 (0.7) | 71.3 (0.7) | 82.3 (0.9) | 82.3 (0.9) | 58.1 (1.1) | 57.7 (1.1) |
|  | Single Unit, Attached | 3.2 (0.3) | 3.3 (0.5) | 6.0 (0.5) | 6.5 (0.4) | 5.9 (0.4) | 4.4 (0.6) | 4.5 (0.5) | 4.6 (0.5) | 6.5 (0.5) |
|  | Apartment Building, 2 Units | 1.3 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.3) | 4.0 (0.4) | 2.5 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.3) | 5.4 (0.5) | 3.7 (0.4) |
|  | Apartment Building, 3 or 4 Units | 1.5 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 3.1 (0.4) | 2.5 (0.2) | 2.6 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.3) | 5.7 (0.5) | 5.7 (0.5) |
|  | Apartment Building, 5 to 9 Units | 1.6 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) | 3.4 (0.5) | 3.0 (0.3) | 3.4 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.3) | 5.8 (0.6) | 6.0 (0.5) |
|  | Apartment Building, 10 to 19 Units | 1.7 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 3.8 (0.5) | 2.9 (0.2) | 3.0 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.3) | 5.6 (0.6) | 5.0 (0.5) |
|  | Apartment Building, 20 to 49 Units | 1.5 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 2.8 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.2) | 2.6 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.3) | 3.8 (0.5) | 3.4 (0.4) |
|  | Apartment Building, 50 or More Units | 1.3 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 1.9 (0.3) | 3.7 (0.3) | 3.5 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.3) | 3.0 (0.4) | 3.3 (0.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 1.9 (0.2) | 2.7 (0.4) | 4.0 (0.3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year Built | Built 2010 or Later | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.3) |
|  | Built 2000 to 2009 | 2.5 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.4) | 3.5 (0.5) | 16.6 (0.6) | 16.6 (0.6) | 13.1 (1.1) | 13.4 (1.0) | 19.0 (1.2) | 20.4 (1.2) |
|  | Built 1990 to 1999 | 3.8 (0.3) | 4.2 (0.5) | 5.8 (0.7) | 14.9 (0.6) | 14.5 (0.6) | 16.1 (1.1) | 16.3 (1.2) | 15.5 (1.0) | 15.3 (1.0) |
|  | Built 1980 to 1989 | 4.4 (0.3) | 4.7 (0.6) | 6.5 (0.8) | 14.6 (0.6) | 14.3 (0.6) | 12.2 (0.9) | 12.4 (1.0) | 14.4 (1.0) | 13.8 (1.0) |
|  | Built 1970 to 1979 | 4.5 (0.3) | 4.5 (0.5) | 6.1 (0.7) | 15.0 (0.6) | 15.5 (0.5) | 16.4 (0.9) | 15.9 (1.0) | 15.3 (0.9) | 15.3 (0.9) |
|  | Built 1960 to 1969 | 4.5 (0.3) | 4.3 (0.5) | 6.6 (0.7) | 11.2 (0.6) | 10.8 (0.6) | 11.4 (0.9) | 11.3 (0.9) | 9.1 (0.9) | 9.2 (0.9) |
|  | Built 1950 to 1959 | 3.9 (0.3) | 4.4 (0.6) | 6.1 (0.7) | 10.5 (0.6) | 10.6 (0.6) | 11.5 (0.8) | 11.6 (0.8) | 9.5 (0.8) | 10.0 (0.8) |
|  | Built 1940 to 1949 | 3.0 (0.3) | 3.0 (0.5) | 2.8 (0.5) | 4.9 (0.4) | 4.7 (0.3) | 5.3 (0.6) | 5.6 (0.6) | 4.5 (0.5) | 3.9 (0.5) |
|  | Built 1939 or Earlier | 2.1 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.4) | 2.8 (0.5) | 11.2 (0.5) | 11.7 (0.4) | 13.5 (0.9) | 13.0 (0.8) | 11.7 (0.9) | 10.8 (0.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.6 (0.1) | 3.8 (0.3) | 5.1 (0.3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

## Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Year Person 1 Moved in | Moved in 2012 or Later | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 2.7 (0.4) | 3.4 (0.2) | 3.2 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) | 11.4 (0.7) | 11.8 (0.7) |
|  | Moved in 2011 | 1.9 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.3) | 5.8 (0.5) | 9.0 (0.5) | 9.0 (0.5) | 3.4 (0.5) | 3.4 (0.5) | 15.8 (0.9) | 15.5 (0.8) |
|  | Moved in 2010 | 3.2 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.4) | 7.3 (0.6) | 6.8 (0.4) | 6.4 (0.3) | 3.8 (0.5) | 4.2 (0.5) | 11.1 (0.8) | 11.8 (0.9) |
|  | Moved in 2009 | 2.6 (0.3) | 2.3 (0.4) | 4.5 (0.4) | 5.8 (0.4) | 6.0 (0.3) | 5.3 (0.6) | 5.0 (0.6) | 9.4 (0.7) | 8.4 (0.5) |
|  | Moved in 2008 | 2.3 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.4) | 3.2 (0.4) | 4.7 (0.4) | 4.6 (0.3) | 3.6 (0.5) | 4.1 (0.6) | 4.8 (0.5) | 4.9 (0.4) |
|  | Moved in 2007 or Earlier | 2.2 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.3) | 4.1 (0.4) | 70.2 (0.8) | 70.8 (0.8) | 83.3 (0.9) | 82.4 (0.9) | 47.6 (1.2) | 47.7 (1.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 2.3 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.3) | 4.6 (0.3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lot Size | Less than 1 Acre | 5.4 (0.4) | 7.7 (0.8) | 9.3 (0.9) | 76.6 (0.8) | 76.3 (0.7) | 70.4 (1.4) | 70.9 (1.2) | 79.0 (1.2) | 74.8 (1.1) |
|  | 1 to 9.9 Acres | 5.8 (0.5) | 8.1 (0.8) | 9.2 (0.9) | 19.3 (0.8) | 19.6 (0.7) | 23.1 (1.3) | 23.3 (1.2) | 16.9 (1.1) | 20.5 (1.2) |
|  | 10 or More Acres | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.3) | 4.1 (0.3) | 4.2 (0.3) | 6.4 (0.6) | 5.8 (0.6) | 4.0 (0.5) | 4.7 (0.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.3 (0.4) | 7.4 (0.7) | 8.9 (0.8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agricultural Sales | None | 4.0 (0.6) | 1.6 (0.7) | 3.0 (1.1) | 92.6 (0.9) | 95.9 (0.8) | 96.1 (0.9) | 96.3 (0.9) | 96.5 (1.1) | 96.5 (0.9) |
|  | \$1 to \$999 | 2.5 (0.5) | 0.8 (0.5) | 2.3 (1.1) | 2.7 (0.5) | 0.8 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.4) | 1.2 (0.6) | 1.7 (0.9) | 1.2 (0.6) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$2,499 | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.5) | 0.0 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.5) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.3) |
|  | \$2,500 to \$4,999 | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.4) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.5) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.6) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.3) |
|  | \$10,000 or More | 1.2 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.4) | 0.2 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.7) | 1.5 (0.6) | 1.7 (0.5) | 0.7 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.4) | 1.0 (0.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.8 (0.6) | 1.5 (0.6) | 2.9 (1.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business On Property | Yes | 1.9 (0.4) | 0.9 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.4) | 0.9 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.1) | 1.8 (0.4) | 0.8 (0.2) |
| Number of Rooms | 1 Room | 1.7 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.2) | 3.5 (0.4) | 0.7 (0.1) | 1.9 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.3) | 2.8 (0.3) | 4.7 (0.4) |
|  | 2 Rooms | 2.8 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.2) | 3.5 (0.4) | 3.1 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) | 3.0 (0.4) | 3.2 (0.4) |
|  | 3 Rooms | 6.3 (0.4) | 3.4 (0.5) | 9.7 (0.7) | 6.2 (0.4) | 7.4 (0.5) | 4.6 (0.6) | 5.3 (0.6) | 10.6 (0.6) | 11.1 (0.7) |
|  | 4 Rooms | 11.8 (0.7) | 8.5 (0.6) | 15.0 (0.8) | 11.9 (0.5) | 13.9 (0.6) | 11.7 (0.8) | 10.9 (0.8) | 20.6 (0.8) | 20.9 (0.9) |
|  | 5 Rooms | 17.7 (0.7) | 17.2 (1.0) | 17.7 (0.8) | 18.1 (0.7) | 21.1 (0.6) | 22.0 (1.0) | 21.7 (1.1) | 22.3 (0.9) | 21.3 (0.9) |
|  | 6 Rooms | 19.4 (0.6) | 18.9 (1.0) | 16.3 (0.9) | 18.4 (0.7) | 18.4 (0.7) | 22.9 (1.1) | 23.6 (1.1) | 17.0 (0.9) | 16.5 (0.8) |
|  | 7 Rooms | 16.2 (0.6) | 14.4 (0.9) | 12.1 (0.8) | 15.4 (0.7) | 15.0 (0.7) | 13.2 (0.8) | 14.5 (0.9) | 11.1 (0.7) | 11.0 (0.8) |
|  | 8 Rooms | 12.3 (0.5) | 10.5 (0.8) | 7.5 (0.7) | 11.6 (0.5) | 9.9 (0.4) | 11.8 (0.9) | 10.6 (0.8) | 6.6 (0.7) | 5.3 (0.6) |
|  | 9 or More Rooms | 9.3 (0.5) | 6.4 (0.6) | 5.1 (0.5) | 14.6 (0.6) | 10.0 (0.5) | 12.0 (0.9) | 11.6 (0.9) | 6.1 (0.6) | 5.9 (0.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 14.1 (0.2) | 13.3 (0.5) | 13.0 (0.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

## Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mail } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Number of Bedrooms | No Bedrooms | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.0) | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | 1 Bedroom | 1.2 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.3) | 8.4 (0.5) | 8.4 (0.5) | 4.3 (0.5) | 5.0 (0.6) | 11.4 (0.7) | 11.4 (0.8) |
|  | 2 Bedrooms | 4.0 (0.3) | 5.0 (0.6) | 4.7 (0.5) | 23.2 (0.7) | 22.4 (0.7) | 18.5 (1.0) | 18.8 (1.0) | 29.1 (0.9) | 29.8 (1.0) |
|  | 3 Bedrooms | 7.6 (0.5) | 7.8 (0.7) | 7.1 (0.6) | 44.0 (0.8) | 44.9 (0.8) | 48.9 (1.4) | 49.4 (1.4) | 39.1 (1.2) | 38.7 (1.1) |
|  | 4 Bedrooms | 5.8 (0.4) | 5.8 (0.6) | 4.9 (0.5) | 19.9 (0.7) | 19.7 (0.7) | 21.7 (1.2) | 20.9 (1.3) | 15.6 (0.9) | 15.9 (1.0) |
|  | 5 or More Bedrooms | 1.7 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.4) | 1.7 (0.3) | 4.2 (0.3) | 4.5 (0.3) | 5.9 (0.6) | 5.8 (0.5) | 3.8 (0.4) | 3.7 (0.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.6 (0.3) | 6.1 (0.5) | 5.2 (0.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Running Water | Yes | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 100.0 (0.0) | 99.8 (0.1) | 99.7 (0.1) | 99.8 (0.1) | 99.7 (0.1) | 99.7 (0.2) |
| Toilet | Yes | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 100.0 (0.0) | 99.8 (0.1) | 99.9 (0.1) | 99.8 (0.1) | 99.8 (0.1) | 99.7 (0.1) |
| Bath Shower | Yes | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 100.0 (0.0) | 99.8 (0.1) | 99.9 (0.1) | 99.8 (0.1) | 99.8 (0.1) | 99.7 (0.1) |
| Sink | Yes | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) | 100.0 (0.0) | 99.7 (0.1) | 99.8 (0.1) | 99.6 (0.1) | 99.7 (0.1) | 99.5 (0.2) |
| Stove | Yes | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.3) | 99.7 (0.1) | 99.4 (0.1) | 99.4 (0.2) | 99.3 (0.2) | 99.3 (0.2) | 99.0 (0.2) |
| Refrigerator | Yes | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 100.0 (0.0) | 99.8 (0.1) | 99.8 (0.1) | 99.7 (0.1) | 99.9 (0.1) | 99.5 (0.2) |
| Number of Vehicles | No Vehicle Available | 2.0 (0.2) | 2.2 (0.3) | 3.0 (0.3) | 6.1 (0.4) | 5.3 (0.3) | 7.4 (0.8) | 7.4 (0.8) | 9.4 (0.5) | 10.3 (0.6) |
|  | 1 Vehicles Available | 5.3 (0.4) | 5.9 (0.5) | 10.1 (0.7) | 31.3 (0.7) | 32.7 (0.8) | 28.4 (1.2) | 28.5 (1.2) | 36.2 (0.9) | 36.6 (1.0) |
|  | 2 Vehicles Available | 9.8 (0.6) | 8.8 (0.7) | 12.7 (0.7) | 40.9 (0.8) | 42.6 (0.9) | 40.9 (1.4) | 40.3 (1.3) | 34.8 (1.2) | 35.3 (1.2) |
|  | 3 Vehicles Available | 6.8 (0.4) | 6.8 (0.6) | 7.2 (0.6) | 15.5 (0.6) | 14.4 (0.6) | 15.1 (0.9) | 16.2 (0.9) | 13.5 (0.9) | 12.6 (0.9) |
|  | 4 Vehicles Available | 2.9 (0.3) | 3.4 (0.5) | 3.5 (0.4) | 4.6 (0.4) | 3.9 (0.4) | 6.3 (0.7) | 5.8 (0.7) | 4.6 (0.5) | 4.2 (0.4) |
|  | 5 or More Vehicles Available | 1.1 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.3) | 1.8 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.0 (0.4) | 6.7 (0.4) | 9.4 (0.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Heating Fuel Used | Utility Gas | 7.0 (0.4) | 7.5 (0.7) | 12.4 (0.8) | 53.2 (0.9) | 51.7 (0.9) | 46.6 (1.2) | 47.7 (1.3) | 44.6 (1.2) | 43.1 (1.2) |
|  | Bottled, Tank, or LP Gas | 1.6 (0.2) | 3.2 (0.4) | 2.1 (0.3) | 4.9 (0.3) | 4.1 (0.3) | 7.5 (0.6) | 6.7 (0.6) | 4.1 (0.4) | 4.3 (0.5) |
|  | Electricity | 7.7 (0.5) | 9.3 (0.8) | 12.8 (0.8) | 33.5 (0.8) | 35.6 (0.9) | 32.5 (1.4) | 31.8 (1.3) | 43.1 (1.2) | 44.2 (1.3) |
|  | Fuel Oil, Kerosene, Etc. | 0.7 (0.1) | 1.4 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.3) | 5.3 (0.5) | 5.6 (0.5) | 8.6 (0.7) | 8.2 (0.7) | 4.9 (0.4) | 4.1 (0.4) |
|  | Coal or Coke | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) |
|  | Wood | 0.6 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.2) | 3.5 (0.4) | 3.7 (0.5) | 1.9 (0.2) | 2.4 (0.3) |
|  | Solar Energy or Other Fuel | 0.7 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | No Fuel Used | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.4 (0.4) | 6.9 (0.6) | 11.1 (0.7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mail } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Monthly <br> Electricity <br> Cost | Less than \$25 | 1.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.3) |
|  | \$25 to \$49 | 7.7 (0.4) | 4.7 (0.6) | 5.7 (0.6) | 9.4 (0.5) | 8.8 (0.5) | 5.1 (0.5) | 5.5 (0.5) | 9.6 (0.7) | 8.7 (0.7) |
|  | \$50 to \$74 | 14.2 (0.6) | 11.3 (1.0) | 12.8 (0.7) | 14.2 (0.5) | 13.8 (0.5) | 13.0 (0.9) | 11.7 (0.8) | 13.9 (0.8) | 14.8 (0.8) |
|  | \$75 to \$99 | 16.2 (0.6) | 13.3 (1.0) | 13.2 (0.8) | 14.6 (0.6) | 13.9 (0.5) | 12.9 (0.9) | 13.5 (1.0) | 11.9 (0.7) | 11.4 (0.7) |
|  | \$100 to \$149 | 22.5 (0.8) | 19.9 (1.1) | 19.8 (1.1) | 24.2 (0.8) | 23.5 (0.7) | 23.7 (1.2) | 21.0 (1.2) | 21.8 (1.0) | 22.1 (1.0) |
|  | \$150 to \$199 | 16.7 (0.7) | 15.0 (1.1) | 13.1 (0.9) | 14.6 (0.7) | 14.8 (0.7) | 16.2 (1.0) | 17.7 (1.0) | 14.2 (0.9) | 13.5 (0.9) |
|  | \$200 or More | 12.6 (0.7) | 11.2 (0.7) | 8.7 (0.7) | 17.6 (0.6) | 19.8 (0.7) | 26.1 (1.2) | 27.6 (1.2) | 21.8 (0.9) | 22.1 (1.0) |
|  | Included in Rent or Condominium Fee | 1.0 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.3) | 3.2 (0.3) | 3.3 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.3) | 4.9 (0.5) | 4.8 (0.4) |
|  | No Charge or Electricity not Used | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 15.4 (0.3) | 13.6 (0.5) | 12.4 (0.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Gas Cost | Less than \$25 | 9.1 (0.4) | 6.9 (0.7) | 6.8 (0.6) | 10.2 (0.4) | 9.7 (0.4) | 8.8 (0.9) | 7.8 (0.7) | 8.7 (0.8) | 8.5 (0.7) |
|  | \$25 to \$49 | 14.6 (0.6) | 11.3 (0.8) | 11.6 (0.7) | 15.1 (0.5) | 15.0 (0.6) | 12.6 (1.0) | 13.0 (1.0) | 12.3 (0.7) | 12.0 (0.7) |
|  | \$50 to \$74 | 13.4 (0.6) | 11.9 (1.0) | 9.0 (0.8) | 11.7 (0.6) | 9.9 (0.5) | 10.8 (0.8) | 10.9 (0.9) | 7.3 (0.5) | 9.0 (0.7) |
|  | \$75 to \$99 | 8.6 (0.6) | 7.6 (0.8) | 5.3 (0.5) | 6.8 (0.4) | 6.9 (0.6) | 6.3 (0.7) | 5.7 (0.7) | 4.5 (0.5) | 3.8 (0.5) |
|  | \$100 to \$149 | 10.3 (0.6) | 10.1 (0.8) | 7.1 (0.6) | 9.4 (0.6) | 8.2 (0.5) | 9.9 (0.8) | 7.7 (0.8) | 6.0 (0.6) | 6.2 (0.6) |
|  | \$150 to \$199 | 4.7 (0.3) | 5.0 (0.6) | 3.1 (0.5) | 3.8 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.2) | 3.9 (0.6) | 4.1 (0.5) | 1.9 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.4) |
|  | \$200 or More | 4.1 (0.3) | 4.2 (0.7) | 2.8 (0.4) | 4.3 (0.4) | 3.3 (0.3) | 5.0 (0.6) | 5.1 (0.7) | 2.5 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.3) |
|  | Included in Rent or Condominium Fee | 2.7 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.3) | 3.6 (0.4) | 4.4 (0.3) | 3.4 (0.3) | 1.8 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.4) | 4.8 (0.5) | 4.9 (0.4) |
|  | Included in Electricity Payment | 8.3 (0.5) | 5.3 (0.6) | 6.5 (0.6) | 4.1 (0.3) | 8.5 (0.5) | 6.6 (0.7) | 8.4 (0.8) | 9.4 (0.7) | 8.0 (0.7) |
|  | No Charge or Gas not Used | 6.9 (0.4) | 5.5 (0.7) | 6.9 (0.5) | 30.2 (0.8) | 32.6 (0.9) | 34.5 (1.4) | 35.7 (1.5) | 42.7 (1.2) | 42.5 (1.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 9.4 (0.2) | 7.7 (0.4) | 7.2 (0.3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Annual Water Sewer Cost | Less than \$120 | 13.4 (0.6) | 2.5 (0.5) | 3.5 (0.5) | 13.2 (0.6) | 1.7 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.4) | 1.7 (0.3) |
|  | \$120 to \$299 | 9.9 (0.6) | 6.9 (0.7) | 5.4 (0.5) | 9.8 (0.5) | 9.8 (0.6) | 7.5 (0.8) | 7.0 (0.7) | 5.6 (0.5) | 5.9 (0.6) |
|  | \$300 to \$599 | 17.4 (0.6) | 14.4 (1.1) | 13.2 (0.8) | 19.1 (0.7) | 22.6 (0.7) | 22.3 (1.1) | 22.0 (1.1) | 18.7 (0.9) | 18.4 (1.1) |
|  | \$600 to \$899 | 16.3 (0.7) | 14.1 (1.0) | 13.7 (0.8) | 13.7 (0.5) | 17.9 (0.7) | 17.8 (0.9) | 19.2 (1.1) | 16.9 (0.9) | 16.3 (0.9) |
|  | \$900 to \$1199 | 8.3 (0.5) | 8.7 (0.8) | 7.6 (0.6) | 5.6 (0.3) | 7.0 (0.4) | 9.4 (0.9) | 8.0 (0.9) | 5.6 (0.5) | 5.8 (0.7) |
|  | \$1200 to \$1799 | 6.2 (0.4) | 7.7 (0.8) | 7.0 (0.6) | 5.5 (0.5) | 5.9 (0.4) | 6.1 (0.7) | 8.1 (0.8) | 5.3 (0.7) | 6.6 (0.7) |
|  | \$1800 to \$2399 | 1.6 (0.2) | 2.6 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.1) | 1.4 (0.2) | 2.5 (0.5) | 1.7 (0.4) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.3) |
|  | \$2400 to \$3599 | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) |
|  | \$3600 or More | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) |
|  | Included in Rent or Condominium Fee | 5.4 (0.4) | 4.9 (0.6) | 10.9 (0.8) | 16.5 (0.6) | 17.8 (0.6) | 10.1 (0.9) | 9.5 (0.8) | 27.7 (1.1) | 27.1 (1.1) |
|  | No Charge | 5.3 (0.4) | 4.3 (0.5) | 9.0 (0.7) | 15.0 (0.5) | 15.0 (0.5) | 20.7 (1.1) | 21.6 (1.1) | 16.2 (0.8) | 16.4 (0.8) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 11.1 (0.3) | 9.2 (0.4) | 10.2 (0.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Other Fuel Cost | Less than \$300 | 3.6 (0.4) | 3.0 (0.5) | 1.2 (0.2) | 2.8 (0.4) | 2.0 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.4) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.2) |
|  | \$300 to \$599 | 1.8 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.2) |
|  | \$600 to \$899 | 1.9 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.1) | 1.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.1) | 1.9 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.1) |
|  | \$900 to \$1199 | 1.4 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | \$1200 to \$1799 | 2.2 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.2) | 2.4 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) |
|  | \$1800 to \$2399 | 1.6 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.3) | 1.8 (0.4) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | \$2400 or More | 1.4 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.4) | 0.4 (0.1) | 2.4 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.4) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) |
|  | Included in Rent or Condominium Fee | 3.4 (0.3) | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.3) | 3.6 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) |
|  | No Charge | 11.3 (0.6) | 5.0 (0.6) | 4.3 (0.5) | 83.1 (0.8) | 91.0 (0.6) | 89.0 (0.8) | 88.1 (0.9) | 95.4 (0.4) | 93.7 (0.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 9.6 (0.5) | 4.5 (0.5) | 4.0 (0.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Food Stamp Recipiency | Yes | 2.3 (0.2) | 3.1 (0.3) | 5.8 (0.3) | 8.3 (0.2) | 8.4 (0.3) | 11.5 (0.6) | 11.2 (0.6) | 18.7 (0.6) | 20.4 (0.7) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

## Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Condominium Fee | Less than \$100 Per Month | 5.8 (1.9) | 4.2 (3.1) | 9.2 (4.5) | 3.7 (1.1) | 8.9 (2.2) | 8.8 (4.3) | 4.6 (3.0) | 22.1 (6.1) | 15.8 (5.1) |
|  | \$100 to \$149 | 2.4 (1.2) | 3.4 (2.3) | 2.9 (1.7) | 12.0 (1.8) | 10.5 (2.0) | 16.4 (6.9) | 19.8 (7.1) | 8.9 (3.6) | 8.6 (3.5) |
|  | \$150 to \$199 | 1.2 (0.5) | 4.7 (3.2) | 9.5 (3.7) | 18.4 (2.7) | 18.0 (2.7) | 12.0 (6.0) | 12.9 (6.3) | 24.9 (6.1) | 18.6 (5.7) |
|  | \$200 to \$299 | 6.7 (2.1) | 4.7 (3.4) | 6.4 (3.3) | 29.9 (3.1) | 28.7 (3.2) | 25.0 (7.7) | 24.1 (7.4) | 21.7 (5.1) | 27.0 (6.0) |
|  | \$300 to \$499 | 6.3 (1.8) | 1.9 (2.0) | 5.4 (3.3) | 26.3 (3.2) | 24.8 (3.3) | 21.3 (7.5) | 19.4 (7.2) | 14.7 (5.2) | 18.3 (5.9) |
|  | \$500 or More Per Month | 3.1 (0.8) | 2.8 (2.7) | 4.0 (3.2) | 9.7 (1.6) | 9.2 (1.6) | 16.5 (8.3) | 19.3 (8.4) | 7.7 (3.3) | 11.7 (4.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.8 (1.1) | 3.5 (1.7) | 6.9 (2.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condominium Status | Yes | 2.3 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.4) | 7.5 (0.4) | 6.3 (0.3) | 3.3 (0.5) | 3.4 (0.5) | 4.6 (0.5) | 5.4 (0.5) |
| Tenure | Owned With A Mortgage | 4.1 (0.3) | 4.9 (0.5) | 4.1 (0.5) | 50.1 (0.8) | 47.4 (0.9) | 49.6 (1.4) | 50.1 (1.4) | 36.8 (1.1) | 36.3 (1.2) |
|  | Owned Without A Mortgage | 4.4 (0.4) | 4.5 (0.4) | 3.5 (0.4) | 26.1 (0.7) | 28.5 (0.7) | 33.7 (1.3) | 33.2 (1.3) | 13.5 (0.7) | 13.6 (0.7) |
|  | Rented | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.3) | 3.0 (0.4) | 22.1 (0.7) | 22.4 (0.7) | 15.0 (0.9) | 14.8 (0.8) | 47.4 (1.3) | 47.0 (1.3) |
|  | Occupied Without Payment of Rent | 1.4 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.4) | 1.7 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.4) | 2.3 (0.3) | 3.1 (0.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.5 (0.2) | 4.2 (0.4) | 3.4 (0.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Monthly Rent | Less than \$100 | 0.2 (0.1) | 2.1 (1.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.9) | 1.8 (0.9) | 1.6 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.3) |
|  | \$100 to \$149 | 0.6 (0.4) | 1.5 (0.9) | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.8) | 2.3 (1.0) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.3) |
|  | \$150 to \$199 | 0.9 (0.5) | 2.3 (1.3) | 0.7 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.6) | 1.7 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.6) | 2.4 (1.0) | 1.2 (0.4) | 1.2 (0.3) |
|  | \$200 to \$249 | 0.7 (0.5) | 1.0 (0.6) | 0.7 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.5) | 3.0 (0.7) | 1.7 (0.8) | 2.2 (0.8) | 1.9 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.4) |
|  | \$250 to \$299 | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (1.2) | 1.2 (0.3) | 2.8 (1.4) | 2.9 (1.4) | 1.8 (0.8) | 1.8 (0.8) | 1.5 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.4) |
|  | \$300 to \$349 | 0.8 (0.5) | 1.6 (1.0) | 0.8 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.2) | 3.5 (1.6) | 2.2 (1.0) | 1.8 (0.4) | 1.7 (0.4) |
|  | \$350 to \$399 | 1.1 (0.5) | 1.8 (0.8) | 1.7 (0.4) | 3.0 (0.5) | 3.5 (0.7) | 4.0 (1.3) | 2.8 (1.0) | 3.0 (0.5) | 2.9 (0.4) |
|  | \$400 to \$449 | 0.8 (0.2) | 2.6 (1.2) | 1.1 (0.3) | 6.1 (1.3) | 6.1 (1.3) | 6.2 (1.6) | 6.5 (1.8) | 3.4 (0.5) | 3.4 (0.5) |
|  | \$450 to \$499 | 0.9 (0.2) | 3.7 (1.7) | 1.7 (0.4) | 3.9 (0.7) | 3.7 (0.7) | 5.1 (1.7) | 3.8 (1.2) | 4.4 (0.7) | 4.8 (0.7) |
|  | \$500 to \$549 | 1.3 (0.3) | 2.5 (1.4) | 2.0 (0.5) | 5.6 (0.8) | 5.7 (0.7) | 5.7 (1.7) | 7.6 (2.4) | 6.1 (0.7) | 6.1 (0.7) |
|  | \$550 to \$599 | 1.3 (0.4) | 0.6 (0.5) | 2.1 (0.5) | 5.2 (0.8) | 5.2 (0.8) | 6.7 (1.9) | 7.1 (2.0) | 6.5 (0.8) | 6.2 (0.8) |
|  | \$600 to \$649 | 1.9 (0.6) | 0.6 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.5) | 6.1 (0.7) | 6.8 (0.8) | 5.7 (1.5) | 5.4 (1.6) | 4.2 (0.7) | 4.6 (0.6) |
|  | \$650 to \$699 | 2.2 (0.7) | 3.4 (1.3) | 1.6 (0.4) | 7.2 (1.1) | 6.5 (0.9) | 3.6 (1.3) | 4.2 (1.2) | 5.5 (0.9) | 5.8 (0.8) |
|  | \$700 to \$749 | 1.8 (0.6) | 1.6 (0.8) | 1.9 (0.5) | 3.8 (0.3) | 4.5 (0.6) | 7.6 (2.0) | 6.0 (1.6) | 5.6 (0.8) | 5.2 (0.7) |
|  | \$750 to \$799 | 2.0 (0.5) | 1.1 (0.9) | 1.9 (0.5) | 4.0 (0.7) | 3.4 (0.5) | 3.4 (1.5) | 2.8 (1.3) | 6.5 (0.9) | 5.7 (0.8) |
|  | \$800 to \$899 | 2.8 (0.6) | 3.0 (1.2) | 2.9 (0.5) | 8.3 (0.8) | 7.7 (0.7) | 7.8 (2.0) | 8.5 (1.9) | 8.7 (0.8) | 9.3 (0.9) |
|  | \$900 to \$999 | 1.8 (0.5) | 1.7 (0.8) | 1.8 (0.4) | 7.2 (0.9) | 7.6 (0.9) | 6.5 (2.0) | 6.7 (1.9) | 7.0 (1.0) | 6.6 (1.0) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$1,249 | 1.4 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.9) | 2.2 (0.6) | 11.8 (1.2) | 12.0 (1.2) | 10.4 (2.3) | 10.4 (2.3) | 14.4 (1.2) | 15.1 (1.2) |
|  | \$1,250 to \$1,499 | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.6) | 2.1 (0.5) | 6.1 (0.6) | 5.8 (0.6) | 4.4 (1.7) | 5.1 (1.9) | 6.3 (0.9) | 6.3 (0.9) |
|  | \$1,500 to \$1,999 | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.6) | 2.2 (0.5) | 5.3 (0.5) | 5.5 (0.5) | 7.5 (1.9) | 6.5 (1.9) | 6.5 (0.8) | 6.7 (1.0) |
|  | \$2,000 or More | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.0 (1.2) | 0.5 (0.3) | 5.7 (0.7) | 5.5 (0.7) | 3.7 (1.6) | 3.7 (1.6) | 2.9 (0.6) | 2.9 (0.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 1.4 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.3) | 1.9 (0.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meals <br> Included in Rent | Yes | 0.8 (0.3) | 3.0 (1.6) | 1.3 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.5) | 2.8 (0.5) | 3.0 (1.1) | 4.7 (1.8) | 1.5 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.4) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Property Value | Less than \$50,000 | 3.4 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.5) | 6.6 (0.4) | 5.2 (0.4) | 6.5 (0.7) | 6.1 (0.7) | 10.7 (1.0) | 10.8 (1.0) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$99,999 | 5.3 (0.4) | 5.1 (0.6) | 4.5 (0.7) | 14.3 (0.7) | 12.8 (0.7) | 16.6 (1.0) | 17.1 (1.0) | 16.9 (1.3) | 16.7 (1.2) |
|  | \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 9.3 (0.6) | 6.3 (0.8) | 7.8 (1.0) | 16.6 (0.7) | 18.0 (0.8) | 18.4 (1.3) | 17.5 (1.2) | 19.0 (1.4) | 18.0 (1.5) |
|  | \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 9.0 (0.6) | 6.0 (0.8) | 8.2 (1.0) | 16.7 (0.7) | 16.6 (0.7) | 16.6 (1.3) | 17.4 (1.3) | 14.5 (1.2) | 15.1 (1.4) |
|  | \$200,000 to \$299,999 | 8.3 (0.7) | 7.0 (0.8) | 6.4 (0.9) | 21.2 (1.0) | 20.8 (0.9) | 16.5 (1.4) | 17.2 (1.3) | 18.4 (1.5) | 19.9 (1.5) |
|  | \$300,000 to \$499,999 | 5.7 (0.6) | 4.8 (0.7) | 4.9 (0.9) | 15.7 (0.7) | 17.2 (0.8) | 15.4 (1.2) | 14.9 (1.2) | 14.4 (1.3) | 13.5 (1.2) |
|  | \$500,000 to \$999,999 | 2.1 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.5) | 7.3 (0.4) | 7.8 (0.5) | 7.7 (0.9) | 8.1 (1.0) | 4.5 (0.8) | 4.4 (0.8) |
|  | \$1,000,000 or More | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.3 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.2) | 2.2 (0.5) | 1.8 (0.4) | 1.7 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.9 (0.3) | 5.3 (0.4) | 5.7 (0.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual <br> Property Tax Amount | None | 1.9 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.5) | 2.5 (0.4) | 2.1 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.5) | 3.5 (0.6) | 3.8 (0.7) | 2.9 (0.5) |
|  | \$1 to \$299 | 2.9 (0.5) | 2.8 (0.5) | 3.4 (0.7) | 4.4 (0.5) | 3.8 (0.4) | 6.2 (0.9) | 5.3 (0.9) | 6.9 (1.0) | 8.0 (1.2) |
|  | \$300 to \$599 | 3.7 (0.4) | 4.8 (0.7) | 4.3 (0.8) | 5.3 (0.4) | 6.0 (0.4) | 9.5 (0.9) | 9.4 (0.9) | 7.9 (0.9) | 8.3 (1.1) |
|  | \$600 to \$899 | 4.9 (0.5) | 5.0 (0.6) | 5.0 (0.9) | 8.1 (0.6) | 7.7 (0.5) | 9.7 (1.0) | 9.1 (0.8) | 9.9 (1.2) | 8.4 (1.0) |
|  | \$900 to \$1199 | 6.3 (0.5) | 4.8 (0.7) | 5.4 (0.9) | 5.9 (0.3) | 7.2 (0.5) | 7.2 (0.8) | 8.6 (1.0) | 6.9 (1.1) | 7.4 (1.2) |
|  | \$1,200 to \$1,499 | 7.9 (0.7) | 6.9 (0.9) | 8.7 (1.1) | 8.6 (0.7) | 8.9 (0.5) | 10.4 (1.1) | 8.5 (1.0) | 9.7 (1.1) | 11.0 (1.4) |
|  | \$1,500 to \$1,799 | 6.3 (0.5) | 6.1 (0.9) | 6.2 (1.2) | 7.1 (0.5) | 6.7 (0.5) | 6.7 (0.9) | 7.1 (0.9) | 6.6 (1.2) | 5.5 (1.0) |
|  | \$1,800 to \$2,399 | 11.4 (0.8) | 7.5 (0.8) | 7.9 (1.2) | 12.4 (0.7) | 13.0 (1.0) | 10.0 (0.8) | 9.6 (0.9) | 11.3 (1.3) | 11.6 (1.5) |
|  | \$2,400 to \$3,599 | 11.2 (0.7) | 7.3 (1.0) | 6.7 (1.1) | 18.8 (0.8) | 18.2 (0.8) | 15.6 (1.3) | 16.9 (1.3) | 13.8 (1.5) | 14.1 (1.4) |
|  | \$3,600 to \$4,799 | 6.2 (0.5) | 4.5 (0.7) | 3.6 (0.7) | 8.7 (0.5) | 8.5 (0.5) | 6.8 (0.8) | 6.8 (0.8) | 8.5 (1.3) | 8.4 (1.3) |
|  | \$4,800 to \$5,999 | 3.8 (0.3) | 2.3 (0.5) | 3.0 (0.7) | 5.2 (0.4) | 5.3 (0.4) | 3.5 (0.6) | 3.3 (0.6) | 4.4 (0.9) | 4.0 (0.9) |
|  | \$6,000 to \$7,199 | 3.1 (0.3) | 3.3 (0.7) | 3.3 (0.7) | 4.7 (0.5) | 4.9 (0.4) | 5.2 (0.8) | 4.6 (0.8) | 3.5 (0.7) | 4.0 (0.7) |
|  | \$7,200 or More | 2.7 (0.3) | 2.9 (0.6) | 1.8 (0.6) | 8.3 (0.6) | 7.7 (0.5) | 7.2 (0.9) | 7.3 (0.9) | 6.9 (1.0) | 6.3 (0.9) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.0 (0.3) | 5.3 (0.3) | 5.4 (0.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
|  | None | 6.8 (0.6) | 1.5 (0.4) | 4.7 (0.9) | 9.4 (0.6) | 3.5 (0.4) | 4.7 (0.6) | 5.4 (0.7) | 11.7 (1.3) | 10.1 (1.3) |
|  | \$1 to \$119 | 1.5 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.5) | 1.9 (0.6) | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.4) | 1.0 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.5) | 0.7 (0.3) |
|  | \$120 to \$299 | 4.3 (0.5) | 2.6 (0.6) | 3.4 (0.8) | 4.1 (0.5) | 3.9 (0.4) | 3.4 (0.6) | 3.1 (0.5) | 2.4 (0.7) | 4.9 (1.1) |
|  | \$300 to \$599 | 14.2 (0.8) | 11.8 (1.1) | 10.6 (1.3) | 20.6 (0.9) | 22.7 (0.9) | 19.2 (1.4) | 18.1 (1.3) | 18.0 (1.7) | 19.5 (1.6) |
| Annual | \$600 to \$899 | 16.8 (0.9) | 15.8 (1.6) | 14.0 (1.6) | 25.4 (0.9) | 25.6 (0.9) | 28.0 (1.5) | 27.1 (1.5) | 24.8 (2.1) | 23.0 (2.1) |
| Property | \$900 to \$1,199 | 13.8 (0.7) | 13.5 (1.2) | 11.1 (1.7) | 14.1 (0.8) | 15.3 (0.7) | 16.8 (1.3) | 16.9 (1.3) | 13.8 (1.7) | 14.5 (1.4) |
| Insurance | \$1,200 to \$1,799 | 13.5 (0.8) | 12.1 (1.3) | 12.3 (1.5) | 15.5 (0.9) | 18.2 (1.1) | 15.0 (1.2) | 17.2 (1.3) | 17.2 (1.7) | 14.9 (1.5) |
| Amount | \$1,800 to \$2,399 | 6.1 (0.6) | 6.1 (0.9) | 5.8 (0.9) | 5.0 (0.4) | 5.1 (0.4) | 6.6 (0.8) | 7.1 (0.9) | 5.5 (1.1) | 6.7 (1.1) |
|  | \$2,400 to \$3,599 | 3.3 (0.4) | 2.9 (0.7) | 4.7 (0.9) | 2.9 (0.3) | 3.2 (0.4) | 3.5 (0.8) | 2.5 (0.5) | 3.0 (0.8) | 4.2 (0.9) |
|  | \$3,600 to \$4,799 | 1.0 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.8 (0.4) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.3) |
|  | \$4,800 or More | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.6) | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.0 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.6) | 1.2 (0.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 12.4 (0.4) | 11.4 (0.7) | 9.9 (0.7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Owned With A Mortgage | 8.6 (0.8) | 5.1 (0.9) | 4.0 (0.8) | 91.0 (0.8) | 95.0 (0.5) | 96.0 (0.7) | 93.3 (1.0) | 97.3 (0.7) | 97.3 (0.7) |
| Mortgage | Under Contract to Purchase | 1.5 (0.3) | 3.2 (0.7) | 3.1 (0.7) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.4) | 2.8 (0.7) | 2.3 (0.6) | 1.9 (0.6) |
| Status | No Mortgage | 7.5 (0.7) | 2.2 (0.5) | 1.2 (0.4) | 8.3 (0.8) | 3.8 (0.4) | 2.6 (0.6) | 3.8 (0.7) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.4 (0.8) | 4.9 (0.8) | 4.0 (0.7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Monthly <br> Mortgage <br> Payment | Less than \$200 | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.4) | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.8 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.4) |
|  | \$200 to \$249 | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.4) | 0.6 (0.3) |
|  | \$250 to \$299 | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.4) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.6) | 1.2 (0.5) | 0.9 (0.4) | 1.2 (0.5) |
|  | \$300 to \$349 | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.4) | 0.6 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.6) | 1.3 (0.5) | 1.1 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.4) |
|  | \$350 to \$399 | 1.4 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.6) | 0.5 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.5) | 1.5 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.5) | 2.8 (0.5) | 1.7 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.4) |
|  | \$400 to \$449 | 1.6 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.6) | 1.0 (0.4) | 2.0 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.4) | 2.6 (0.7) | 2.4 (0.7) | 3.2 (0.7) | 2.8 (0.7) |
|  | \$450 to \$499 | 1.1 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.6) | 1.3 (0.5) | 1.8 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.2) | 3.0 (0.7) | 3.7 (0.8) | 1.9 (0.5) | 2.7 (0.7) |
|  | \$500 to \$599 | 2.3 (0.4) | 3.3 (0.7) | 3.2 (0.8) | 5.7 (0.5) | 6.0 (0.6) | 6.5 (0.9) | 6.0 (1.1) | 7.4 (1.3) | 6.6 (1.0) |
|  | \$600 to \$699 | 3.9 (0.7) | 3.7 (0.7) | 3.0 (0.6) | 7.5 (0.9) | 6.8 (0.7) | 8.7 (1.2) | 8.4 (1.0) | 7.5 (1.1) | 7.6 (1.0) |
|  | \$700 to \$799 | 3.1 (0.5) | 2.9 (0.6) | 3.6 (0.8) | 7.2 (0.7) | 7.2 (0.8) | 6.1 (0.8) | 6.5 (1.0) | 7.8 (1.2) | 8.3 (1.2) |
|  | \$800 to \$999 | 4.6 (0.5) | 3.9 (0.8) | 4.6 (0.9) | 13.5 (1.0) | 12.7 (0.8) | 14.7 (1.3) | 14.8 (1.4) | 15.8 (1.5) | 15.2 (1.7) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$1,249 | 7.1 (0.9) | 3.2 (0.7) | 5.5 (1.1) | 15.9 (1.0) | 17.1 (1.1) | 16.0 (1.6) | 15.6 (1.5) | 13.5 (1.6) | 14.6 (1.5) |
|  | \$1,250 to \$1,499 | 4.5 (0.4) | 3.7 (0.8) | 4.1 (0.9) | 10.9 (0.8) | 11.1 (0.8) | 7.5 (1.3) | 8.4 (1.3) | 9.7 (1.4) | 9.6 (1.5) |
|  | \$1,500 to \$1,999 | 4.8 (0.4) | 3.1 (0.7) | 5.6 (1.1) | 15.9 (1.2) | 15.7 (1.2) | 13.0 (1.5) | 12.3 (1.6) | 13.8 (1.5) | 12.8 (1.3) |
|  | \$2,000 or More | 3.1 (0.5) | 1.3 (0.5) | 2.7 (0.6) | 13.9 (0.7) | 14.0 (0.8) | 14.6 (1.6) | 14.6 (1.7) | 13.8 (1.6) | 13.9 (1.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.2 (0.3) | 2.9 (0.3) | 3.8 (0.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax Included | Yes | 6.8 (0.7) | 6.0 (0.9) | 8.3 (1.1) | 69.0 (1.0) | 69.9 (1.0) | 68.8 (1.7) | 69.6 (1.5) | 75.4 (1.6) | 73.0 (1.6) |
| Property Insurance Included | Yes | 12.3 (0.8) | 8.2 (1.1) | 10.9 (1.5) | 56.3 (1.3) | 61.1 (1.1) | 60.8 (1.7) | 62.1 (1.6) | 68.9 (1.6) | 66.3 (1.7) |
| Second <br> Mortgage <br> Type | Home Equity Loan | 6.9 (0.5) | 5.8 (0.7) | 6.0 (0.8) | 13.6 (0.6) | 13.4 (0.6) | 14.4 (0.9) | 14.2 (1.0) | 7.2 (1.0) | 10.5 (1.1) |
|  | Second Mortgage | 2.0 (0.3) | 3.2 (0.5) | 4.7 (0.6) | 2.9 (0.3) | 2.9 (0.3) | 3.6 (0.6) | 2.6 (0.5) | 4.2 (0.6) | 5.4 (0.7) |
|  | Second Mortgage and Home Equity Loan | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.4) | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.4) | 0.7 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.4) |
|  | No Second Mortgage or Home Equity Loan | 5.7 (0.4) | 4.8 (0.7) | 7.7 (0.8) | 83.2 (0.7) | 82.5 (0.7) | 80.9 (1.2) | 81.7 (1.1) | 87.9 (1.2) | 82.8 (1.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.7 (0.4) | 4.8 (0.6) | 7.3 (0.7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { CRS } \\ \text { percent } \end{gathered}$ | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Second <br> Mortgage <br> Payment <br> Amount | Less than \$100 | 5.0 (1.3) | 2.4 (1.3) | 4.4 (2.8) | 10.0 (1.7) | 11.8 (2.0) | 13.0 (2.7) | 12.5 (2.6) | 16.3 (6.4) | 17.5 (6.8) |
|  | \$100 to \$199 | 12.1 (1.6) | 9.2 (2.3) | 10.1 (4.3) | 23.5 (2.3) | 20.8 (2.0) | 17.1 (2.9) | 19.0 (2.9) | 20.6 (4.6) | 20.0 (3.5) |
|  | \$200 to \$249 | 11.0 (1.5) | 11.7 (2.6) | 11.9 (4.4) | 12.8 (1.8) | 13.2 (2.0) | 11.5 (2.5) | 11.2 (2.7) | 10.2 (3.8) | 6.8 (3.2) |
|  | \$250 to \$299 | 4.6 (0.9) | 5.9 (1.6) | 7.7 (3.3) | 9.8 (1.9) | 10.0 (1.7) | 8.9 (2.2) | 7.8 (1.9) | 5.7 (2.6) | 9.8 (3.9) |
|  | \$300 to \$349 | 7.1 (1.3) | 7.5 (2.0) | 4.5 (2.4) | 9.2 (1.2) | 11.4 (1.7) | 13.1 (2.6) | 12.7 (3.0) | 13.8 (4.2) | 15.1 (4.6) |
|  | \$350 to \$399 | 4.8 (1.2) | 2.6 (1.0) | 1.8 (1.2) | 5.2 (1.2) | 3.1 (0.6) | 2.7 (1.1) | 3.8 (1.4) | 5.5 (2.4) | 3.7 (2.2) |
|  | \$400 to \$449 | 3.8 (0.6) | 2.1 (1.0) | 2.4 (1.5) | 4.5 (1.1) | 5.2 (1.0) | 4.7 (1.8) | 5.0 (1.5) | 2.4 (1.7) | 3.6 (2.1) |
|  | \$450 to \$499 | 2.0 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.9) | 2.4 (2.2) | 3.8 (0.8) | 3.2 (0.8) | 2.1 (1.3) | 3.5 (1.5) | 3.4 (2.4) | 1.5 (1.0) |
|  | \$500 to \$599 | 6.1 (1.0) | 5.3 (1.8) | 8.6 (3.9) | 6.6 (1.0) | 8.1 (1.3) | 7.0 (2.1) | 6.8 (1.9) | 3.0 (2.0) | 5.6 (3.4) |
|  | \$600 to \$699 | 1.9 (0.5) | 4.0 (1.5) | 2.0 (1.7) | 3.2 (0.8) | 3.9 (0.9) | 7.7 (2.3) | 7.3 (2.2) | 4.3 (2.2) | 5.5 (2.6) |
|  | \$700 to \$799 | 1.4 (0.4) | 2.0 (1.0) | 0.8 (0.8) | 2.2 (0.6) | 1.7 (0.5) | 2.9 (1.5) | 2.4 (1.4) | 0.3 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.9) |
|  | \$800 to \$999 | 3.0 (1.1) | 2.2 (1.2) | 1.1 (0.9) | 3.6 (1.1) | 2.5 (0.5) | 2.9 (1.4) | 2.2 (1.0) | 2.5 (1.7) | 1.4 (1.4) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$1,249 | 1.7 (0.4) | 1.6 (1.1) | 1.3 (1.3) | 3.2 (0.8) | 3.5 (0.8) | 3.2 (1.5) | 1.9 (1.0) | 6.0 (3.3) | 4.8 (3.1) |
|  | \$1,250 or More | 1.8 (0.5) | 0.7 (0.7) | 2.3 (1.5) | 2.4 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.4) | 3.3 (1.3) | 3.9 (1.5) | 5.9 (2.5) | 3.6 (2.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.0 (0.6) | 6.0 (0.9) | 6.3 (1.9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Annual Mobile Home Costs | Less than \$250 | 16.7 (4.4) | $\begin{array}{r} 27.4 \\ (11.5) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 16.2 (8.5) | 26.7 (7.3) | 30.2 (7.0) | $\begin{array}{r} 21.1 \\ (12.0) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 7.3 (5.5) | 11.4 (6.1) | 9.9 (7.2) |
|  | \$250 to \$2,499 | 23.7 (5.4) | $\begin{array}{r} 37.7 \\ (13.5) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 20.4 (8.3) | 27.2 (5.7) | 20.1 (4.7) | $\begin{array}{r} 53.1 \\ (14.8) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 32.1 (10.3) | 32.3 (10.1) | $\begin{array}{r} 49.2 \\ (11.7) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | \$2,500 or More | 23.7 (5.6) | $\begin{array}{r} 52.5 \\ (15.4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 18.3 (9.2) | 46.1 (7.0) | 49.8 (6.9) | $\begin{array}{r} 25.8 \\ (11.5) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 60.7 (12.3) | 56.2 (10.7) | $\begin{array}{r} 40.9 \\ (11.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 21.7 (4.5) | $\begin{array}{r} 42.3 \\ (10.9) \end{array}$ | 18.8 (7.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Relationship to Householder | Householder | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 43.6 (0.5) | 43.6 (0.5) | 38.1 (0.5) | 38.1 (0.5) | 37.9 (0.6) | 37.9 (0.6) |
|  | Husband or Wife | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 24.4 (0.3) | 24.4 (0.3) | 22.1 (0.4) | 22.1 (0.4) | 14.7 (0.4) | 14.6 (0.5) |
|  | Biological Son or Daughter | 0.6 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.1) | 2.0 (0.2) | 22.5 (0.6) | 22.3 (0.6) | 27.4 (0.7) | 27.3 (0.7) | 31.1 (0.9) | 30.4 (0.8) |
|  | Adopted Son or Daughter | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | Stepson or Stepdaughter | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.4) |
|  | Brother or Sister | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.1) |
|  | Father or Mother | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.1) |
|  | Grandchild | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.8 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.3) | 3.6 (0.4) | 3.5 (0.4) | 2.0 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.3) |
|  | Parent-In-Law | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) |
|  | Son-In-Law or Daughter-In-Law | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) |
|  | Other Relative | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.2) |
|  | Roomer or Boarder | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | Housemate or Roommate | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.4) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 2.5 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.3) |
|  | Unmarried Partner | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.1) | 1.7 (0.1) | 1.6 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.1) | 3.2 (0.2) | 3.1 (0.2) |
|  | Foster Child | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) |
|  | Other Nonrelative | 1.2 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 2.2 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.0) | 0.9 (0.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex | Male | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) | 47.9 (0.5) | 47.8 (0.5) | 46.7 (0.5) | 46.7 (0.5) | 49.7 (0.6) | 49.7 (0.6) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

## Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Age | Age 0-4 | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.0) | 4.9 (0.2) | 4.7 (0.2) | 4.3 (0.3) | 4.4 (0.3) | 8.3 (0.3) | 8.3 (0.3) |
|  | Age 5-9 | 0.3 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 5.4 (0.2) | 5.4 (0.2) | 7.3 (0.3) | 7.2 (0.3) | 7.9 (0.2) | 7.9 (0.3) |
|  | Age 10-14 | 0.3 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 5.5 (0.2) | 5.6 (0.2) | 7.0 (0.3) | 7.1 (0.4) | 8.4 (0.2) | 8.3 (0.2) |
|  | Age 15-17 | 0.3 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 3.1 (0.1) | 3.1 (0.1) | 4.3 (0.2) | 4.3 (0.2) | 4.4 (0.2) | 4.4 (0.2) |
|  | Age 18-19 | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.8 (0.1) | 1.8 (0.1) | 2.3 (0.2) | 2.2 (0.2) | 3.0 (0.2) | 3.0 (0.2) |
|  | Age 20 | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) | 1.4 (0.1) | 1.4 (0.1) |
|  | Age 21 | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) | 1.9 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.2) |
|  | Age 22-24 | 0.3 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.7 (0.1) | 3.0 (0.1) | 2.9 (0.1) | 1.9 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.2) | 5.2 (0.3) | 5.3 (0.3) |
|  | Age 25-29 | 0.4 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) | 5.4 (0.2) | 5.4 (0.2) | 2.9 (0.2) | 3.0 (0.2) | 8.4 (0.3) | 8.3 (0.3) |
|  | Age 30-34 | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 5.4 (0.2) | 5.4 (0.2) | 3.8 (0.3) | 3.8 (0.2) | 8.2 (0.3) | 8.1 (0.3) |
|  | Age 35-39 | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 5.1 (0.2) | 5.1 (0.2) | 4.8 (0.3) | 4.9 (0.3) | 6.9 (0.3) | 6.9 (0.2) |
|  | Age 40-44 | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) | 5.9 (0.2) | 5.8 (0.2) | 6.5 (0.3) | 6.4 (0.3) | 7.2 (0.3) | 7.1 (0.3) |
|  | Age 45-49 | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) | 6.8 (0.2) | 6.8 (0.2) | 7.2 (0.3) | 7.3 (0.3) | 6.7 (0.3) | 6.8 (0.3) |
|  | Age 50-54 | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.1) | 8.0 (0.2) | 8.0 (0.2) | 8.1 (0.4) | 8.3 (0.4) | 6.6 (0.3) | 6.6 (0.3) |
|  | Age 55-59 | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 8.2 (0.2) | 8.2 (0.2) | 8.6 (0.4) | 8.6 (0.4) | 5.0 (0.2) | 5.0 (0.2) |
|  | Age 60-61 | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 3.4 (0.2) | 3.5 (0.2) | 3.3 (0.2) | 3.2 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.1) |
|  | Age 62-64 | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 5.2 (0.2) | 5.1 (0.2) | 4.8 (0.3) | 4.8 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.1) | 2.0 (0.1) |
|  | Age 65-66 | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 3.2 (0.2) | 3.3 (0.2) | 2.8 (0.2) | 2.8 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.1) |
|  | Age 67-69 | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.0) | 4.0 (0.1) | 4.0 (0.1) | 3.4 (0.3) | 3.4 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.1) |
|  | Age 70-74 | 0.4 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.0) | 5.1 (0.2) | 5.3 (0.2) | 4.9 (0.3) | 4.9 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.2) |
|  | Age 75-79 | 0.3 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 3.8 (0.2) | 3.7 (0.2) | 4.2 (0.3) | 4.2 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.1) |
|  | Age 80-84 | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 2.8 (0.1) | 2.8 (0.2) | 3.1 (0.2) | 3.1 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) |
|  | Age 85 + | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 2.3 (0.2) | 2.4 (0.2) | 2.7 (0.2) | 2.8 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.4 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.0) | 0.5 (0.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age Range Estimate | Age Range 0-14 | 1.5 (1.3) | 4.6 (3.2) | 5.3 (2.6) | 8.9 (3.8) | 10.4 (4.2) | 9.5 (4.0) | 8.0 (4.8) | 15.4 (5.8) | 12.6 (4.0) |
| Hispanic Origin | Not Hispanic | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.4) | 2.5 (0.4) | 91.8 (0.6) | 91.8 (0.6) | 86.3 (1.1) | 86.2 (1.1) | 75.8 (1.3) | 74.7 (1.2) |
| Hispanic Origin | Mexican | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.3) | 2.3 (0.5) | 5.2 (0.6) | 5.2 (0.6) | 10.1 (1.1) | 10.0 (1.1) | 15.3 (0.9) | 16.5 (1.0) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mail } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Hispanic Origin | Puerto Rican | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.3) | 1.9 (0.3) |
| Hispanic Origin | Cuban | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.3) |
| Hispanic Origin | Other Hispanic | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.5) | 1.9 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.2) | 2.4 (0.4) | 2.6 (0.4) | 6.5 (0.6) | 6.4 (0.5) |
| Hispanic Origin | Hispanic Write-In Present | 1.6 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.5) | 2.8 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.4) | 2.6 (0.4) | 6.4 (0.6) | 6.3 (0.5) |
| Hispanic <br> Origin <br> Aggregate | Not Hispanic or Latino | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.3) | 2.3 (0.4) | 91.9 (0.6) | 91.8 (0.6) | 86.3 (1.1) | 86.3 (1.1) | 76.1 (1.2) | 74.8 (1.2) |
|  | Mexican Alone | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.4) | 4.9 (0.6) | 5.1 (0.6) | 10.1 (1.1) | 9.9 (1.1) | 15.6 (1.0) | 16.2 (1.0) |
|  | Puerto Rican Alone | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.3) | 1.8 (0.3) |
|  | Cuban Alone | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.3) |
|  | Other Hispanic or Latino (No Write-In, or One Write-In Alone) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.4) | 2.4 (0.4) | 5.3 (0.5) | 5.5 (0.5) |
|  | Multiple Responses (With At Least One Hispanic Response) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Race | White | 2.3 (0.3) | 3.4 (0.5) | 10.6 (0.8) | 88.2 (0.7) | 88.0 (0.7) | 84.5 (1.2) | 83.5 (1.3) | 72.4 (1.3) | 71.7 (1.3) |
| Race | Black | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) | 6.4 (0.6) | 6.3 (0.6) | 11.5 (1.2) | 11.4 (1.1) | 16.8 (1.1) | 16.7 (1.1) |
| Race | American Indian or Alaska Native | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.3) | 2.8 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.4) | 2.1 (0.3) | 3.3 (0.4) |
| Race | Asian Indian | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.6) | 1.2 (0.6) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.4) |
| Race | Chinese | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) |
| Race | Filipino | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) |
| Race | Japanese | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
| Race | Korean | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
| Race | Vietnamese | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
| Race | Other Asian | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.2) |
| Race | Native Hawaiian | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

|  |  | Mail |  |  | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis <br> Topic | Analysis category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mail } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | GDR | GDR | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Race | Guamanian or Chamorro, Samoan, or Other Pacific Islander | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) |
| Race | Some Other Race | 3.4 (0.4) | 3.5 (0.5) | 10.3 (0.9) | 2.1 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.4) | 2.3 (0.4) | 3.3 (0.6) | 8.0 (0.7) | 8.8 (0.9) |
| Race | Race Write-In 1 Present | 1.3 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.3) |
| Race | Race Write-In 2 Present | 3.0 (0.4) | 3.5 (0.5) | 9.8 (0.8) | 1.6 (0.2) | 2.6 (0.4) | 2.1 (0.4) | 3.0 (0.6) | 7.7 (0.7) | 8.1 (0.9) |
| Race | Race Write-In 3 Present | 1.3 (0.3) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.3) |
|  | White Alone | 3.7 (0.4) | 4.3 (0.6) | 11.5 (0.8) | 85.6 (0.7) | 85.0 (0.8) | 82.0 (1.3) | 80.5 (1.4) | 69.4 (1.4) | 68.0 (1.3) |
|  | Black Alone | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.3) | 5.5 (0.5) | 5.3 (0.5) | 10.5 (1.1) | 10.0 (1.0) | 15.3 (1.0) | 15.2 (1.0) |
|  | American Indian or Alaska Native Alone | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.2) |
| Race | Asian Alone | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.2) | 4.1 (0.4) | 4.1 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.7) | 2.4 (0.7) | 3.5 (0.5) | 3.3 (0.5) |
| Aggregate | Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | Some Other Race Alone | 1.9 (0.3) | 2.9 (0.5) | 8.8 (0.8) | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.3) | 2.9 (0.6) | 6.7 (0.6) | 6.9 (0.8) |
|  | Multiple Races | 2.9 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.4) | 5.4 (0.5) | 3.1 (0.3) | 3.7 (0.4) | 2.9 (0.4) | 3.5 (0.5) | 3.9 (0.5) | 5.2 (0.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.3 (0.4) | 3.6 (0.5) | 8.9 (0.6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

## Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Place of Birth | Born in U.S., in State of Current Residence | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.2) | 53.2 (0.9) | 52.9 (0.9) | 56.1 (1.3) | 56.2 (1.3) | 51.0 (1.2) | 50.4 (1.2) |
|  | Born in U.S., Northeast Region, not State of Current Residence | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 8.6 (0.4) | 8.7 (0.5) | 7.6 (0.8) | 7.6 (0.9) | 5.3 (0.5) | 5.4 (0.5) |
|  | Born in U.S., Midwest Region, not State of Current Residence | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 11.3 (0.7) | 11.2 (0.6) | 8.1 (0.6) | 8.0 (0.6) | 6.5 (0.5) | 6.7 (0.6) |
|  | Born in U.S., South Region, not State of Current Residence | 0.5 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) | 10.4 (0.6) | 10.6 (0.6) | 9.6 (0.8) | 9.5 (0.8) | 9.3 (0.7) | 9.5 (0.7) |
|  | Born in U.S., West Region, not State of Current Residence | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.3) | 5.2 (0.3) | 5.3 (0.4) | 4.3 (0.5) | 4.3 (0.5) | 5.6 (0.6) | 5.6 (0.5) |
|  | Puerto Rico and U.S. Island and Outlying Areas | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | Mexico | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 1.9 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.4) | 6.3 (0.9) | 6.4 (0.9) | 9.1 (0.8) | 9.2 (0.8) |
|  | El Salvador | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | Cuba | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) |
|  | Dominican Republic | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | Guatemala | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) |
|  | All Other Latin America | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.3) | 1.8 (0.4) | 1.7 (0.4) | 3.2 (0.7) | 3.3 (0.7) |
|  | Northern America | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | China | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) |
|  | India | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.3) |
|  | Philippines | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | Vietnam | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | Korea | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) |
|  | All Other Asia | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.4) | 1.7 (0.4) |
|  | Europe | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 2.2 (0.2) | 2.2 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.3) | 1.9 (0.3) |
|  | Africa | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | Oceania | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mail } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACS } \\ \text { percent } \end{gathered}$ | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Place of Birth United States or not | Born in the U.S. (Including Puerto Rico and Outlying Areas) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 89.1 (0.7) | 89.1 (0.7) | 86.0 (1.0) | 85.8 (1.0) | 78.4 (1.3) | 78.2 (1.3) |
| Place of Birth Outside United States 1 | Born Outside the U.S.: Americas | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.3) | 37.6 (3.8) | 37.5 (3.8) | 67.1 (4.4) | 67.2 (4.4) | 71.4 (2.5) | 71.1 (2.5) |
|  | Born Outside the U.S.: Asia | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.3) | 37.5 (3.0) | 37.5 (3.0) | 15.7 (3.5) | 15.7 (3.5) | 17.4 (2.1) | 17.6 (2.1) |
|  | Born Outside the U.S.: Europe | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 20.4 (2.1) | 20.4 (2.1) | 13.3 (2.6) | 13.2 (2.6) | 8.4 (1.5) | 8.5 (1.5) |
|  | Born Outside the U.S.: Africa | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 3.9 (1.3) | 3.9 (1.3) | 2.4 (1.1) | 2.4 (1.1) | 2.2 (0.7) | 2.2 (0.7) |
|  | Born Outside the U.S.: Oceania | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.9) | 1.5 (0.9) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Place of Birth Outside United States 2 | Born Outside the U.S.: Northern America | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 2.9 (0.4) | 2.9 (0.4) | 2.1 (0.8) | 2.1 (0.8) | 1.2 (0.5) | 1.2 (0.5) |
|  | Born Outside the U.S.: Latin America | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.3 (0.3) | 34.7 (3.8) | 34.7 (3.8) | 65.0 (4.3) | 65.0 (4.3) | 70.2 (2.6) | 69.9 (2.6) |
|  | Born Outside the U.S.: Asia | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.3) | 37.5 (3.0) | 37.5 (3.0) | 15.7 (3.5) | 15.7 (3.5) | 17.4 (2.1) | 17.6 (2.1) |
|  | Born Outside the U.S.: Europe | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 20.4 (2.1) | 20.4 (2.1) | 13.3 (2.6) | 13.2 (2.6) | 8.4 (1.5) | 8.5 (1.5) |
|  | Born Outside the U.S.: Africa | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 3.9 (1.3) | 3.9 (1.3) | 2.4 (1.1) | 2.4 (1.1) | 2.2 (0.7) | 2.2 (0.7) |
|  | Born Outside the U.S.: Oceania | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.9) | 1.5 (0.9) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Citizenship Status | U.S. Citizen, Born in U.S. | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 89.2 (0.7) | 89.0 (0.7) | 86.1 (1.0) | 86.0 (1.0) | 78.4 (1.2) | 78.3 (1.2) |
|  | U.S. Citizen, Born in Puerto Rico or U.S. Outlying Areas | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) |
|  | U.S. Citizen, Born Abroad of American Parent(S) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.2) |
|  | U.S. Citizen By Naturalization | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.2) | 5.9 (0.5) | 5.9 (0.5) | 6.0 (0.7) | 6.4 (0.7) | 5.9 (0.5) | 6.2 (0.5) |
|  | Not A U.S. Citizen | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 3.9 (0.4) | 3.9 (0.4) | 7.1 (0.8) | 7.1 (0.8) | 14.0 (1.1) | 13.8 (1.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Year of Naturalization | Naturalized 2005 or Later | 1.6 (0.6) | 2.1 (1.1) | 4.1 (2.6) | 31.8 (5.2) | 31.3 (5.1) | 29.3 (7.9) | 27.6 (7.9) | 35.4 (4.9) | 36.6 (5.1) |
|  | Naturalized 2000 to 2004 | 2.8 (0.8) | 4.0 (2.4) | 11.3 (4.2) | 12.9 (3.2) | 14.0 (3.1) | 16.8 (4.9) | 16.0 (4.6) | 12.3 (4.2) | 12.9 (3.1) |
|  | Naturalized 1995 to 1999 | 4.8 (1.6) | 8.7 (4.3) | 14.5 (4.5) | 14.7 (2.3) | 12.1 (2.0) | 22.2 (5.1) | 19.3 (5.2) | 16.9 (4.2) | 17.1 (4.7) |
|  | Naturalized 1990 to 1994 | 2.4 (0.7) | 13.4 (5.0) | 9.2 (3.2) | 9.7 (3.9) | 9.9 (3.9) | 10.3 (4.1) | 15.4 (5.4) | 11.9 (3.6) | 11.9 (4.0) |
|  | Naturalized 1985 to 1989 | 5.1 (1.7) | 1.9 (1.1) | 4.7 (1.8) | 7.6 (1.6) | 8.3 (2.1) | 6.7 (4.8) | 7.1 (4.8) | 6.6 (1.7) | 6.3 (2.1) |
|  | Naturalized 1980 to 1984 | 3.9 (1.2) | 2.4 (1.3) | 5.5 (2.0) | 6.0 (1.4) | 4.7 (1.0) | 2.5 (1.3) | 3.7 (1.3) | 9.4 (3.0) | 6.5 (2.5) |
|  | Naturalized Before 1980 | 4.0 (1.4) | 2.7 (1.6) | 2.5 (1.4) | 17.4 (3.3) | 19.7 (3.5) | 12.3 (2.8) | 10.8 (2.5) | 7.5 (2.6) | 8.6 (2.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.2 (0.6) | 5.7 (2.4) | 7.9 (2.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year of Entry | Entered 2005 or Later | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.8 (1.4) | 2.4 (0.7) | 16.9 (2.4) | 16.8 (2.3) | 7.6 (2.2) | 6.5 (1.8) | 19.0 (2.3) | 18.0 (2.3) |
|  | Entered 2000 to 2004 | 2.7 (1.6) | 3.1 (1.6) | 3.3 (0.9) | 12.6 (2.1) | 13.8 (2.5) | 13.2 (3.1) | 13.5 (3.1) | 15.3 (2.1) | 17.2 (2.2) |
|  | Entered 1995 to 1999 | 1.9 (0.5) | 2.4 (1.2) | 6.1 (1.3) | 11.9 (1.8) | 13.1 (1.8) | 13.5 (3.0) | 14.3 (3.0) | 18.1 (2.1) | 16.4 (2.1) |
|  | Entered 1990 to 1994 | 2.2 (0.5) | 3.4 (1.1) | 3.4 (0.9) | 7.8 (1.4) | 7.4 (1.4) | 18.7 (4.3) | 20.2 (4.3) | 11.4 (1.8) | 11.3 (1.7) |
|  | Entered 1985 to 1989 | 2.3 (0.6) | 3.9 (1.3) | 5.6 (1.2) | 13.2 (2.8) | 12.5 (2.7) | 9.9 (2.1) | 10.3 (2.3) | 11.3 (1.8) | 10.8 (1.7) |
|  | Entered 1980 to 1984 | 2.6 (1.4) | 3.1 (1.1) | 3.7 (0.9) | 8.2 (1.7) | 7.2 (1.3) | 12.2 (2.7) | 10.1 (2.6) | 9.3 (1.6) | 8.5 (1.6) |
|  | Entered Before 1980 | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.7) | 3.0 (0.9) | 29.3 (2.7) | 29.1 (2.6) | 24.9 (3.4) | 25.2 (3.5) | 15.5 (1.9) | 17.7 (2.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 1.7 (0.4) | 2.8 (0.6) | 3.9 (0.6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| School <br> Attendance | Enrolled in Public School | 2.4 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.2) | 4.6 (0.5) | 9.1 (0.4) | 8.6 (0.3) | 10.3 (0.9) | 10.2 (0.9) | 19.3 (0.9) | 18.3 (0.9) |
|  | Enrolled in Private School | 1.0 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.3) | 1.9 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.3) | 2.3 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.4) | 2.2 (0.4) | 2.5 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.3) |
|  | Not Enrolled in School | 2.3 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.3) | 3.9 (0.5) | 88.5 (0.5) | 89.1 (0.4) | 87.2 (1.0) | 87.6 (1.0) | 78.3 (1.0) | 79.0 (0.9) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 2.3 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.2) | 4.0 (0.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| School Grade Level | Enrolled in Nursery School, Preschool | 0.7 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.9) | 0.5 (0.3) | 4.1 (0.7) | 3.4 (0.5) | 4.8 (1.6) | 4.1 (1.5) | 3.9 (1.0) | 3.9 (1.0) |
|  | Enrolled in Kindergarten | 3.6 (1.7) | 1.1 (0.7) | 0.7 (0.3) | 5.9 (1.7) | 3.8 (0.7) | 3.2 (1.9) | 3.0 (1.9) | 6.0 (1.3) | 6.2 (1.3) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 1 | 4.2 (1.8) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) | 2.5 (0.7) | 5.4 (1.8) | 4.6 (1.7) | 4.7 (1.7) | 2.6 (0.8) | 2.3 (0.8) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 2 | 2.1 (0.8) | 1.4 (0.9) | 1.3 (0.6) | 3.4 (0.9) | 2.9 (0.5) | 4.3 (1.6) | 4.5 (1.7) | 4.5 (1.2) | 5.5 (1.3) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 3 | 1.4 (0.5) | 1.8 (1.0) | 1.9 (1.0) | 3.5 (0.7) | 3.4 (0.8) | 5.9 (1.8) | 5.7 (1.8) | 6.2 (1.4) | 6.4 (1.5) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 4 | 1.6 (0.5) | 1.0 (0.7) | 2.1 (0.9) | 4.5 (0.9) | 4.1 (0.9) | 1.8 (0.7) | 2.4 (1.0) | 3.9 (1.1) | 3.8 (1.0) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 5 | 2.9 (0.7) | 0.4 (0.4) | 2.8 (1.1) | 5.5 (0.9) | 4.6 (1.0) | 3.3 (1.5) | 3.0 (1.4) | 5.2 (1.2) | 2.8 (0.8) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 6 | 3.0 (0.9) | 0.5 (0.4) | 2.8 (1.2) | 4.6 (1.1) | 5.0 (1.0) | 6.1 (2.3) | 6.5 (2.2) | 4.5 (0.9) | 5.7 (1.3) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 7 | 2.1 (0.8) | 1.5 (1.2) | 1.8 (0.7) | 3.4 (1.0) | 4.1 (1.2) | 9.8 (3.9) | 9.6 (4.0) | 4.6 (1.1) | 4.9 (1.2) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 8 | 1.8 (0.6) | 3.7 (1.9) | 1.6 (0.6) | 4.6 (0.8) | 4.7 (0.7) | 7.5 (2.3) | 7.5 (2.0) | 3.4 (1.1) | 3.6 (1.1) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 9 | 2.1 (0.7) | 2.8 (1.5) | 1.2 (0.6) | 4.2 (1.1) | 3.6 (1.0) | 4.4 (2.0) | 5.3 (2.2) | 4.6 (1.2) | 5.1 (1.3) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 10 | 2.0 (0.6) | 1.0 (0.7) | 1.5 (0.8) | 5.0 (1.2) | 5.3 (1.3) | 4.6 (1.6) | 4.3 (1.6) | 6.9 (1.4) | 6.1 (1.3) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 11 | 2.0 (0.5) | 0.6 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.9) | 4.3 (0.7) | 4.1 (0.7) | 8.0 (2.1) | 7.7 (2.0) | 5.1 (1.2) | 6.1 (1.4) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 12 | 1.6 (0.5) | 3.1 (1.9) | 1.5 (0.6) | 2.8 (0.6) | 3.8 (0.8) | 4.9 (2.3) | 2.7 (0.9) | 5.7 (1.1) | 5.7 (1.3) |
|  | Enrolled in College, Undergraduate Years | 2.6 (0.5) | 5.0 (2.1) | 2.8 (0.8) | 28.9 (2.5) | 29.4 (2.5) | 22.8 (3.8) | 24.6 (3.8) | 27.9 (2.6) | 26.4 (2.5) |
|  | Graduate or Professional School | 2.1 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.7) | 1.0 (0.4) | 12.7 (1.4) | 12.4 (1.4) | 4.0 (1.1) | 4.4 (1.1) | 5.1 (0.9) | 5.5 (0.9) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 2.4 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.8) | 1.9 (0.3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Educational <br> Attainment | No Schooling Completed | 1.9 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.1) | 1.4 (0.4) | 1.2 (0.4) | 2.5 (0.4) | 2.3 (0.3) |
|  | Nursery School | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.3) |
|  | Kindergarten | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) |
|  | 1st Grade | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.3) |
|  | 2nd Grade | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.3) |
|  | 3rd Grade | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.3) |
|  | 4th Grade | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.2) |
|  | 5th Grade | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.5) | 1.5 (0.5) | 1.7 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.3) |
|  | 6th Grade | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) | 3.1 (0.6) | 3.5 (0.7) | 3.0 (0.4) | 3.0 (0.4) |
|  | 7th Grade | 0.5 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.3) |
|  | 8th Grade | 1.0 (0.3) | 1.8 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.3) | 2.3 (0.4) | 2.6 (0.4) | 2.3 (0.4) |
|  | 9th Grade | 1.0 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.3) | 2.6 (0.4) | 2.6 (0.4) | 3.7 (0.5) | 3.8 (0.4) |
|  | 10th Grade | 1.3 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.2) | 3.1 (0.4) | 2.9 (0.4) | 2.9 (0.3) | 2.9 (0.4) |
|  | 11th Grade | 1.8 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.4) | 2.3 (0.3) | 3.0 (0.5) | 3.1 (0.4) | 3.5 (0.3) | 3.9 (0.4) |
|  | 12th Grade, No Diploma | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | Regular High School Diploma | 7.0 (0.5) | 6.6 (0.5) | 8.4 (0.5) | 20.5 (0.7) | 22.0 (0.8) | 25.1 (1.3) | 25.0 (1.4) | 21.5 (1.0) | 21.0 (1.0) |
|  | Ged, or Alternative Credential | 2.1 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.2) | 3.9 (0.4) | 2.7 (0.2) | 2.8 (0.4) | 3.0 (0.4) | 2.7 (0.4) | 3.3 (0.4) |
|  | Some College, Less than 1 Year | 7.0 (0.5) | 5.0 (0.5) | 5.3 (0.6) | 7.5 (0.6) | 4.6 (0.3) | 4.4 (0.5) | 4.4 (0.5) | 3.5 (0.4) | 4.9 (0.5) |
|  | Some College, 1 or More Years, No Degree | 8.4 (0.7) | 6.8 (0.6) | 9.0 (0.7) | 12.2 (0.5) | 14.9 (0.6) | 13.7 (1.0) | 13.5 (1.0) | 18.1 (0.9) | 16.8 (0.8) |
|  | Associate's Degree | 2.5 (0.2) | 3.2 (0.5) | 4.2 (0.4) | 6.9 (0.4) | 7.1 (0.4) | 5.9 (0.5) | 6.3 (0.6) | 6.7 (0.6) | 6.4 (0.6) |
|  | Bachelor's Degree | 1.9 (0.2) | 2.4 (0.4) | 3.6 (0.4) | 21.0 (0.7) | 21.5 (0.6) | 15.4 (1.0) | 15.2 (0.9) | 12.0 (0.6) | 12.4 (0.6) |
|  | Master's Degree | 1.7 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.3) | 8.3 (0.4) | 9.0 (0.4) | 7.0 (0.6) | 7.2 (0.6) | 5.0 (0.8) | 5.1 (0.8) |
|  | Professional School Degree | 1.7 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.2) | 3.0 (0.3) | 1.8 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.2) |
|  | Doctorate Degree | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) | 1.7 (0.2) | 2.2 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.2 (0.2) | 3.8 (0.2) | 4.8 (0.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Field of Bachelor's Degree | Computers, Mathematics, and Statistics | 1.5 (0.5) | 1.3 (0.5) | 1.8 (0.7) | 4.6 (0.4) | 5.1 (0.7) | 5.1 (1.1) | 5.9 (1.1) | 5.7 (1.3) | 6.0 (1.3) |
|  | Biological, Agricultural, and Environmental Sciences | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.4) | 7.0 (0.4) | 6.8 (0.5) | 4.9 (1.0) | 4.8 (1.0) | 5.4 (0.8) | 5.4 (0.8) |
|  | Physical and Related Sciences | 2.2 (0.2) | 3.3 (0.9) | 2.6 (0.6) | 3.8 (0.5) | 5.1 (0.5) | 5.5 (1.3) | 3.8 (0.9) | 2.7 (0.5) | 2.8 (0.7) |
|  | Psychology | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.5) | 1.1 (0.4) | 5.8 (0.6) | 5.4 (0.6) | 4.7 (0.9) | 5.6 (1.0) | 5.2 (0.9) | 5.1 (0.9) |
|  | Social Sciences | 2.8 (0.4) | 2.3 (0.5) | 3.5 (1.0) | 10.6 (0.8) | 9.5 (0.8) | 8.9 (1.5) | 9.3 (1.5) | 8.0 (1.3) | 10.1 (1.6) |
|  | Engineering | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.7) | 1.9 (0.7) | 7.3 (0.4) | 7.2 (0.4) | 7.5 (1.5) | 8.5 (1.6) | 6.3 (1.0) | 6.5 (1.0) |
|  | Multidisciplinary Studies | 0.5 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.5) | 1.0 (0.4) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.4) | 0.8 (0.4) | 1.5 (0.8) | 2.1 (0.8) |
|  | Science and Engineering Related | 2.6 (0.3) | 2.6 (0.6) | 1.6 (0.4) | 9.7 (0.7) | 9.1 (0.7) | 9.4 (1.5) | 9.6 (1.5) | 7.2 (1.3) | 7.2 (1.3) |
|  | Business | 2.5 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.6) | 4.9 (0.9) | 20.5 (0.9) | 20.1 (0.9) | 17.9 (1.8) | 17.4 (1.9) | 24.0 (2.3) | 24.2 (2.4) |
|  | Education | 3.5 (0.4) | 3.8 (0.9) | 3.7 (1.1) | 14.9 (0.7) | 13.5 (0.7) | 13.6 (1.6) | 14.0 (1.8) | 13.5 (1.5) | 11.7 (1.3) |
|  | Literature and Languages | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.6) | 2.2 (0.6) | 4.8 (0.4) | 5.4 (0.4) | 4.9 (0.9) | 5.2 (1.0) | 3.9 (0.9) | 4.4 (1.1) |
|  | Liberal Arts and History | 3.2 (0.4) | 2.6 (0.7) | 3.1 (0.7) | 5.1 (0.4) | 6.2 (0.4) | 7.8 (1.4) | 8.5 (1.4) | 5.9 (1.1) | 4.9 (1.0) |
|  | Visual and Performing Arts | 1.3 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.6) | 2.2 (0.8) | 4.1 (0.4) | 4.3 (0.4) | 4.8 (0.9) | 5.6 (1.1) | 5.1 (0.9) | 6.2 (1.3) |
|  | Communications | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.5) | 0.8 (0.3) | 4.3 (0.5) | 4.3 (0.5) | 4.6 (0.9) | 3.5 (0.8) | 4.2 (0.8) | 4.2 (0.8) |
|  | Other Bachelor Degree Field | 1.6 (0.2) | 2.7 (0.7) | 2.2 (0.6) | 5.2 (0.5) | 4.9 (0.4) | 5.5 (0.9) | 5.2 (1.0) | 7.2 (1.0) | 6.3 (1.0) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mail } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Ancestry | American | 8.3 (0.6) | 4.7 (0.6) | 5.6 (0.7) | 8.6 (0.6) | 3.4 (0.4) | 3.6 (0.5) | 3.0 (0.6) | 5.4 (0.7) | 2.2 (0.3) |
|  | Arab | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | British | 1.0 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | Czech | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | Danish | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) |
|  | Dutch | 1.4 (0.1) | 1.7 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.2) | 2.5 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.3) |
|  | English | 9.7 (0.6) | 8.7 (0.8) | 5.1 (0.5) | 13.0 (0.5) | 15.5 (0.8) | 13.4 (1.1) | 13.0 (0.9) | 7.3 (0.6) | 7.4 (0.7) |
|  | European | 2.3 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | French (Except Basque) | 3.9 (0.5) | 2.9 (0.4) | 2.4 (0.4) | 3.9 (0.3) | 5.4 (0.5) | 3.9 (0.5) | 5.3 (0.5) | 3.2 (0.4) | 3.4 (0.4) |
|  | French Canadian | 0.9 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.4) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) |
|  | German | 10.0 (0.6) | 6.1 (0.6) | 6.5 (0.5) | 22.5 (0.7) | 25.5 (0.9) | 21.6 (1.2) | 21.5 (1.1) | 13.9 (0.8) | 14.7 (0.8) |
|  | Greek | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) |
|  | Hungarian | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | Irish | 8.9 (0.5) | 7.9 (0.6) | 6.9 (0.6) | 15.3 (0.8) | 17.0 (0.7) | 14.3 (0.9) | 14.4 (0.8) | 12.1 (0.9) | 13.5 (0.8) |
|  | Italian | 1.7 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.3) | 7.6 (0.5) | 7.5 (0.5) | 6.7 (0.7) | 6.5 (0.7) | 4.7 (0.5) | 5.1 (0.5) |
|  | Lithuanian | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | Norwegian | 1.2 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 1.9 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.3) | 2.6 (0.5) | 2.6 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.3) |
|  | Polish | 1.9 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.3) | 4.9 (0.4) | 5.0 (0.4) | 4.2 (0.6) | 4.7 (0.7) | 2.8 (0.5) | 3.1 (0.6) |
|  | Portuguese | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) |
|  | Russian | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.6 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | Scotch-Irish | 1.9 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.4) | 2.6 (0.4) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) |
|  | Scottish | 2.1 (0.2) | 3.4 (0.6) | 1.5 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.2) | 2.7 (0.2) | 3.2 (0.6) | 2.8 (0.4) | 2.0 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.3) |
|  | Slovak | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | Sub-Saharan African | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) |
|  | Swedish | 1.3 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.4) | 2.1 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.3) |
|  | Swiss | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.3) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | Ukrainian | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) |
|  | Welsh | 1.3 (0.4) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.4) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | West Indian (Except Hispanic Groups) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.6) | 1.4 (0.6) |
|  | Other Groups | 12.4 (0.7) | 9.9 (0.9) | 10.2 (0.8) | 33.8 (1.0) | 32.0 (1.1) | 39.8 (1.7) | 40.5 (1.9) | 56.7 (1.3) | 57.3 (1.3) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

|  | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Topic |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Language Other than |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| English <br> Spoken At <br> Home | Yes | 3.9 (0.4) | 2.6 (0.4) | 5.2 (0.5) | 13.8 (0.9) | 12.6 (0.8) | 18.1 (1.4) | 17.9 (1.4) | 27.1 (1.3) | 27.8 (1.3) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Specific <br> Language <br> Spoken | Spanish | 1.5 (0.6) | 0.6 (0.6) | 0.2 (0.2) | 47.3 (3.4) | 47.2 (3.4) | 70.2 (4.3) | 69.6 (4.2) | 78.7 (2.4) | 78.6 (2.4) |
|  | French | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.4) | 3.2 (0.9) | 3.4 (0.9) | 1.8 (0.8) | 2.1 (0.8) | 0.8 (0.5) | 1.3 (0.6) |
|  | Italian | 0.5 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.2 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.5) | 1.8 (0.6) | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) |
|  | Portuguese | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.4 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.4) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.4) |
|  | German | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.8) | 0.1 (0.1) | 2.3 (0.6) | 2.1 (0.6) | 1.7 (0.6) | 2.5 (1.0) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.2) |
|  | Russian | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.7 (0.5) | 1.8 (0.5) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.3) |
|  | Polish, Serbo-Croatian, and Other Slavic | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.1) | 1.8 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.4) | 1.9 (1.1) | 1.9 (1.1) | 1.9 (1.0) | 1.8 (1.0) |
|  | Gujarati | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.4) | 1.7 (0.6) | 1.6 (0.6) | 3.3 (2.9) | 3.3 (2.9) | 0.8 (0.5) | 1.2 (0.6) |
|  | Hindi | 1.4 (0.8) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.3 (0.3) | 2.7 (1.0) | 1.5 (0.4) | 1.0 (0.8) | 1.0 (0.8) | 0.7 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.2) |
|  | Urdu and Other Indic | 1.1 (0.8) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.5) | 2.4 (0.7) | 3.5 (1.1) | 0.5 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.4) | 2.1 (0.9) | 1.9 (0.8) |
|  | French Creole, Yiddish, Other W. Germanic, Scandinavian, Greek, Armenian, Persian, and Other Indo-European | 0.2 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.8) | 0.6 (0.4) | 6.9 (2.3) | 7.0 (2.3) | 5.3 (2.1) | 4.4 (2.0) | 3.0 (0.9) | 2.3 (0.8) |
|  | Chinese | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 7.1 (1.8) | 7.3 (1.8) | 1.6 (0.9) | 1.6 (0.9) | 2.0 (0.7) | 2.0 (0.7) |
|  | Korean | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.1) | 1.4 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.3) |
|  | Arabic | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.9) | 1.6 (0.9) | 2.4 (1.2) | 2.3 (1.2) | 2.1 (0.8) | 1.8 (0.8) |
|  | Vietnamese | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 2.7 (0.8) | 2.7 (0.8) | 0.9 (0.6) | 0.9 (0.6) | 1.0 (0.5) | 1.0 (0.5) |
|  | Japanese, Mon-Khmer, Cambodian, Hmong, thai, Laotian, and Other Asian | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 4.9 (1.1) | 4.8 (1.1) | 3.4 (1.4) | 3.4 (1.4) | 1.8 (0.9) | 1.8 (0.9) |
|  | Tagalog and Other Pacific Island | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 6.4 (2.2) | 6.3 (2.2) | 3.1 (1.8) | 3.4 (1.8) | 1.4 (0.7) | 1.4 (0.7) |
|  | African Languages | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 2.1 (1.1) | 2.1 (1.1) | 0.8 (0.6) | 0.8 (0.6) | 0.6 (0.4) | 0.6 (0.4) |
|  | Navajo, Other Native American, Hungarian, Hebrew, and All Others | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.7) | 0.4 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.5) | 1.2 (0.7) | 0.7 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.4) | 0.2 (0.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| English <br> Speaking <br> Ability | Very Well | 15.7 (2.1) | 11.8 (2.1) | 13.3 (1.4) | 54.4 (2.8) | 53.7 (2.9) | 43.7 (4.0) | 42.5 (3.9) | 48.5 (2.2) | 39.4 (2.4) |
|  | Well | 18.4 (2.3) | 16.0 (2.2) | 22.4 (2.1) | 26.6 (3.1) | 29.1 (3.2) | 18.2 (2.9) | 22.0 (3.1) | 18.9 (1.5) | 24.0 (2.3) |
|  | Not Well | 9.6 (1.8) | 11.2 (2.0) | 18.9 (1.9) | 15.5 (3.0) | 11.7 (2.9) | 22.6 (3.5) | 19.2 (3.3) | 20.2 (1.6) | 20.7 (1.5) |
|  | Not At All | 2.2 (0.8) | 5.7 (1.2) | 9.0 (1.3) | 3.5 (1.4) | 5.5 (1.7) | 15.5 (2.9) | 16.3 (2.9) | 12.3 (1.5) | 15.9 (1.9) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 15.0 (1.7) | 11.7 (1.6) | 16.2 (1.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Geographical <br> Mobility in <br> Past Year | Same House 1 Year Ago | 2.1 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.4) | 7.7 (0.8) | 90.9 (0.5) | 91.8 (0.5) | 95.1 (0.6) | 96.0 (0.5) | 79.0 (1.1) | 81.0 (1.0) |
|  | Moved Within Same County | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.3) | 5.8 (0.7) | 5.1 (0.4) | 4.7 (0.4) | 3.0 (0.5) | 2.2 (0.4) | 13.9 (1.0) | 11.7 (0.8) |
|  | Moved from Different County Within State | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.3) | 1.9 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.3) | 3.6 (0.5) | 3.5 (0.5) |
|  | Moved from Different State | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 2.9 (0.4) | 3.0 (0.5) |
|  | Moved from Outside U.S. | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 2.0 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.4) | 6.9 (0.7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health Insurance | Yes, through employer | 7.2 (0.4) | 7.0 (0.6) | 8.3 (0.7) | 62.2 (1.0) | 64.7 (1.1) | 58.5 (1.4) | 58.1 (1.3) | 47.6 (1.5) | 47.2 (1.4) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, purchased directly | 12.5 (0.5) | 12.0 (0.7) | 9.3 (0.5) | 14.1 (0.6) | 17.3 (0.6) | 17.7 (1.0) | 16.9 (0.9) | 7.8 (0.7) | 11.1 (0.6) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Medicare | 2.4 (0.2) | 3.2 (0.5) | 3.0 (0.3) | 22.7 (0.7) | 23.8 (0.8) | 24.9 (0.9) | 25.3 (0.9) | 9.4 (0.6) | 10.3 (0.6) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Medicaid | 2.6 (0.3) | 4.1 (0.5) | 6.5 (0.6) | 8.5 (0.6) | 8.8 (0.7) | 11.6 (1.1) | 10.9 (1.1) | 18.4 (1.0) | 18.7 (1.0) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Military | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.2) | 3.2 (0.2) | 3.4 (0.3) | 3.3 (0.6) | 3.2 (0.5) | 2.5 (0.4) | 2.8 (0.4) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Veterans Administration | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.2) | 2.8 (0.3) | 3.6 (0.3) | 3.5 (0.5) | 3.3 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.3) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Indian Health Service | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Health <br> Insurance <br> Aggregate | With Private Health Insurance Coverage Only | 3.8 (0.3) | 4.7 (0.6) | 6.6 (0.5) | 60.8 (1.0) | 59.6 (1.1) | 54.3 (1.1) | 53.7 (1.1) | 49.2 (1.5) | 47.2 (1.5) |
|  | With Public Health Coverage Only | 6.9 (0.6) | 6.9 (0.5) | 7.9 (0.7) | 14.8 (0.7) | 12.5 (0.8) | 15.9 (1.1) | 16.0 (0.9) | 22.4 (1.2) | 20.7 (1.0) |
|  | With Both Private and Public Health Coverage | 8.0 (0.5) | 7.2 (0.7) | 4.9 (0.4) | 15.5 (0.6) | 18.8 (0.7) | 18.2 (0.8) | 17.8 (0.8) | 5.5 (0.5) | 7.4 (0.5) |
|  | No Health Insurance Coverage | 2.4 (0.3) | 3.2 (0.4) | 6.8 (0.6) | 8.9 (0.7) | 9.1 (0.7) | 11.6 (0.9) | 12.5 (0.9) | 22.9 (1.2) | 24.7 (1.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.0 (0.3) | 5.4 (0.4) | 6.8 (0.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Difficulty Hearing | Yes | 3.4 (0.3) | 3.2 (0.3) | 3.1 (0.4) | 4.3 (0.3) | 5.2 (0.4) | 5.8 (0.5) | 5.3 (0.5) | 2.9 (0.3) | 3.3 (0.3) |
| Difficulty Vision | Yes | 2.0 (0.3) | 3.2 (0.4) | 3.0 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.2) | 2.4 (0.4) | 4.6 (0.5) | 3.8 (0.5) | 2.2 (0.2) | 3.0 (0.3) |
| Difficulty Cognitive | Yes | 3.2 (0.3) | 4.4 (0.6) | 4.8 (0.4) | 4.2 (0.4) | 4.6 (0.4) | 6.9 (0.6) | 6.4 (0.6) | 3.9 (0.4) | 5.3 (0.5) |
| Difficulty Ambulatory | Yes | 4.7 (0.4) | 5.5 (0.5) | 4.9 (0.4) | 7.4 (0.4) | 8.9 (0.5) | 12.1 (0.8) | 11.0 (0.7) | 6.1 (0.5) | 7.0 (0.6) |
| Difficulty Self Care | Yes | 2.3 (0.3) | 2.8 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.2) | 3.2 (0.4) | 4.8 (0.5) | 4.1 (0.5) | 1.9 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.3) |
| Difficulty Independent Living | Yes | 3.3 (0.4) | 4.3 (0.4) | 3.9 (0.4) | 5.7 (0.5) | 5.7 (0.5) | 8.7 (0.7) | 7.6 (0.7) | 3.4 (0.4) | 4.7 (0.4) |
| Marital Status | Now Married | 2.1 (0.5) | 2.0 (0.6) | 3.0 (0.5) | 4.6 (0.6) | 4.2 (0.6) | 6.3 (1.3) | 5.8 (1.3) | 7.0 (1.0) | 6.5 (0.9) |
|  | Widowed | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.4) | 1.0 (0.2) | 18.0 (0.7) | 18.1 (0.7) | 27.0 (1.5) | 27.2 (1.6) | 7.2 (0.6) | 7.3 (0.6) |
|  | Divorced | 2.7 (0.5) | 3.2 (0.7) | 4.0 (0.6) | 29.5 (1.1) | 29.8 (1.0) | 25.6 (1.6) | 25.5 (1.6) | 22.6 (1.2) | 23.3 (1.1) |
|  | Separated | 1.6 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.7) | 2.7 (0.5) | 2.9 (0.4) | 2.5 (0.3) | 3.4 (0.6) | 3.7 (0.8) | 5.1 (0.6) | 5.4 (0.6) |
|  | Never Married | 2.0 (0.6) | 1.0 (0.3) | 3.4 (0.6) | 45.0 (1.1) | 45.4 (1.1) | 37.7 (2.1) | 37.7 (2.1) | 58.0 (1.4) | 57.5 (1.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 2.0 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.3) | 3.3 (0.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married in Past Year | Yes | 2.1 (0.4) | 0.2 (0.1) | 1.6 (0.4) | 3.7 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) | 4.0 (0.7) | 4.6 (0.8) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Widowed in Past Year | Yes | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.2) |
| Divorced in Past Year | Yes | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 2.0 (0.5) | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 2.5 (0.5) | 1.8 (0.3) |
| Number of Times Married | Once Married | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.3) | 5.4 (0.5) | 74.4 (0.8) | 74.4 (0.7) | 73.7 (1.1) | 74.2 (1.1) | 74.0 (1.3) | 72.3 (1.2) |
|  | Twice Married | 1.8 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.3) | 6.1 (0.6) | 19.6 (0.7) | 19.5 (0.6) | 21.2 (1.1) | 20.4 (1.1) | 21.1 (1.1) | 22.1 (1.1) |
|  | Married Three or More Times | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.4) | 6.0 (0.3) | 6.0 (0.3) | 5.1 (0.5) | 5.4 (0.5) | 4.9 (0.5) | 5.6 (0.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 1.3 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.3) | 5.3 (0.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year Last <br> Married | Before 2000 | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.6) | 2.4 (0.5) | 77.0 (0.9) | 77.0 (0.9) | 81.6 (1.1) | 80.7 (1.0) | 61.0 (1.7) | 60.3 (1.8) |
|  | 2000 to 2004 | 0.7 (0.1) | 1.7 (0.5) | 2.9 (0.5) | 9.1 (0.5) | 8.9 (0.5) | 9.5 (1.0) | 10.6 (1.0) | 13.6 (1.2) | 13.4 (1.3) |
|  | 2005 to 2009 | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.4) | 3.1 (0.6) | 9.5 (0.6) | 9.7 (0.6) | 6.7 (0.9) | 6.5 (0.8) | 15.9 (1.1) | 16.1 (1.1) |
|  | 2010 | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.4) | 3.9 (0.7) | 4.1 (0.7) |
|  | 2011 | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.3) | 4.0 (0.7) | 4.5 (0.8) |
|  | 2012 | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 1.7 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.7 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.5) | 2.5 (0.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Birth in Past Year | Yes | 1.8 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.3) | 6.0 (0.7) | 5.1 (0.7) | 2.1 (0.6) | 2.5 (0.6) | 5.6 (0.7) | 5.2 (0.7) |
| Grandparents Living With Own Grandchildren | Yes | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.3) | 3.4 (0.6) | 2.9 (0.6) | 5.7 (0.9) | 5.8 (0.9) | 2.7 (0.4) | 3.2 (0.5) |
| Grandparents Responsible for Grandchildren | Yes | 15.2 (8.8) | 14.8 (4.8) | 17.4 (4.9) | 44.4 (11.0) | 57.8 (9.5) | 45.2 (7.5) | 48.0 (7.6) | 54.6 (8.1) | 59.9 (8.4) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

|  | Analysis category | Mail | CATI |  | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Topic |  | GDR | GDR | GDR | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Grandparents <br> Time <br> Responsible for Grandchildren | Less than 1 Year | 12.7 (6.3) | 0.0 (2.9) | 10.8 (5.2) | 22.8 (12.1) | $\begin{array}{r} 21.3 \\ (12.3) \end{array}$ | 7.4 (5.5) | 7.4 (5.5) | 28.9 (14.8) | $\begin{array}{r} 30.2 \\ (14.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | 1 to 2 Years | $\begin{array}{r} 26.3 \\ (11.8) \end{array}$ | 3.1 (2.2) | 20.5 (9.3) | 19.3 (7.3) | $\begin{array}{r} 32.0 \\ (11.8) \end{array}$ | 19.3 (5.3) | 22.4 (5.6) | 26.7 (9.8) | 10.4 (4.6) |
|  | 3 or 4 Years | 3.8 (1.7) | 15.4 (8.3) | 7.2 (5.3) | 13.2 (8.9) | 15.8 (9.1) | 41.0 (9.9) | 25.6 (8.6) | 6.0 (3.5) | 13.2 (6.3) |
|  | 5 or More Years | $\begin{array}{r} 15.9 \\ (11.2) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 15.3 (8.3) | 16.2 (6.9) | 44.7 (12.7) | $\begin{array}{r} 30.8 \\ (10.0) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 32.2 (7.8) | 44.5 (8.7) | 38.4 (13.0) | $\begin{array}{r} 46.3 \\ (12.4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 16.8 (8.5) | 12.0 (6.7) | 14.8 (5.6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

## Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Military Service | Now On Active Duty | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | On Active Duty During the Last 12 Months but not Now | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | On Active Duty in the Past, but not in Last 12 Months | 1.6 (0.1) | 2.0 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.2) | 11.1 (0.3) | 11.0 (0.3) | 10.3 (0.5) | 10.4 (0.4) | 6.8 (0.3) | 6.6 (0.3) |
|  | Training in Reserves or National Guard Only | 1.2 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) |
|  | Never in the Military | 1.0 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) | 86.7 (0.3) | 87.0 (0.3) | 87.7 (0.5) | 87.9 (0.4) | 91.9 (0.3) | 91.9 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 1.1 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

## Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Period of Military Service | Between Gulf War I and Vietnam Era Only | 2.8 (0.4) | 3.6 (0.7) | 6.8 (1.4) | 9.1 (0.8) | 8.8 (0.7) | 7.4 (1.4) | 7.6 (1.4) | 18.3 (2.1) | 16.1 (2.1) |
|  | Between Korean War and World War II Only | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.6) | 0.8 (0.6) |
|  | Between Vietnam Era and Korean War Only | 4.8 (0.6) | 3.6 (0.8) | 2.0 (0.6) | 13.3 (0.9) | 11.2 (0.7) | 9.3 (1.2) | 9.2 (1.3) | 5.8 (0.9) | 5.8 (0.9) |
|  | Gulf War I and Vietnam Era | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.5) | 1.3 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.4) | 1.7 (0.5) | 2.0 (0.5) | 1.0 (0.5) | 0.9 (0.5) |
|  | Gulf War I, No Vietnam Era | 2.1 (0.3) | 3.7 (1.1) | 6.2 (1.4) | 7.5 (0.9) | 7.8 (0.9) | 8.7 (1.7) | 7.6 (1.3) | 15.7 (1.8) | 15.5 (1.8) |
|  | Gulf War II and Gulf War I, and Vietnam Era / or No Vietnam Era | 2.6 (0.4) | 4.3 (1.3) | 5.8 (1.3) | 4.5 (0.5) | 4.5 (0.5) | 3.6 (1.1) | 6.2 (1.5) | 10.5 (2.1) | 12.1 (2.0) |
|  | Gulf War II, No Gulf War I, No Vietnam Era | 2.0 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.7) | 5.4 (1.3) | 5.2 (0.5) | 4.5 (0.3) | 3.8 (1.1) | 2.7 (0.9) | 15.6 (1.9) | 15.7 (1.7) |
|  | Korean War and World War II, No Vietnam Era | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.1) |
|  | Korean War, No Vietnam Era, No World War II | 1.8 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.5) | 2.6 (0.8) | 12.8 (1.0) | 13.2 (1.0) | 13.2 (1.9) | 13.5 (1.9) | 4.9 (0.9) | 3.3 (0.6) |
|  | Pre-World War II Only or World War II, No Korean War, No Vietnam Era | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.5) | 6.6 (0.8) | 6.6 (0.8) | 10.1 (1.5) | 10.1 (1.5) | 4.1 (1.2) | 4.6 (1.3) |
|  | Vietnam Era and Korean War, and World War II / or No World War II | 0.7 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.5) | 1.3 (0.3) | 1.8 (0.4) | 2.4 (0.7) | 2.4 (0.7) | 1.3 (0.6) | 1.7 (0.7) |
|  | Vietnam Era, No Korean War, No World War II | 6.2 (0.8) | 4.6 (0.8) | 5.1 (1.1) | 37.3 (1.1) | 38.7 (1.1) | 39.1 (2.2) | 38.2 (2.4) | 21.8 (2.0) | 23.4 (2.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.8 (0.4) | 3.3 (0.4) | 5.1 (0.6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service <br> Connected <br> Disability <br> Status | Yes | 2.2 (0.4) | 2.8 (0.6) | 3.1 (0.7) | 14.4 (0.9) | 14.0 (0.9) | 15.8 (1.4) | 15.1 (1.4) | 19.9 (1.9) | 20.5 (2.0) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Service <br> Connected <br> Disability <br> Level | 0 Percent | 1.8 (1.0) | 0.7 (0.5) | 1.9 (1.9) | 4.0 (1.3) | 2.9 (0.8) | 3.0 (2.0) | 3.1 (2.0) | 0.0 (0.4) | 1.9 (1.9) |
|  | 10 or 20 Percent | 5.1 (1.5) | 4.1 (1.8) | 6.7 (3.1) | 40.3 (3.6) | 36.3 (3.5) | 24.8 (4.7) | 24.8 (4.6) | 38.6 (6.8) | 34.2 (7.0) |
|  | 30 or 40 Percent | 7.0 (2.5) | 0.2 (0.2) | 6.4 (3.1) | 17.9 (2.3) | 20.1 (2.8) | 21.7 (4.6) | 21.5 (4.6) | 19.0 (4.5) | 13.7 (3.4) |
|  | 50 or 60 Percent | 2.0 (0.7) | 2.9 (2.8) | 2.0 (1.6) | 10.9 (2.1) | 10.1 (2.0) | 12.2 (3.8) | 9.3 (2.6) | 11.0 (3.1) | 9.8 (2.5) |
|  | 70 Percent or Higher | 2.9 (1.0) | 6.4 (3.7) | 6.3 (2.8) | 22.1 (2.6) | 23.2 (2.8) | 28.8 (4.7) | 31.7 (4.2) | 21.7 (4.1) | 25.2 (4.6) |
|  | No Rating Reported | 8.2 (2.2) | 8.2 (3.1) | 8.1 (3.4) | 4.7 (2.1) | 7.5 (1.8) | 9.6 (3.3) | 9.7 (3.5) | 9.8 (4.0) | 15.2 (5.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.8 (1.1) | 4.3 (1.8) | 6.2 (1.9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Work Last Week | Yes | 4.7 (0.3) | 4.5 (0.4) | 6.4 (0.5) | 55.1 (0.9) | 54.7 (0.8) | 50.7 (1.2) | 51.2 (1.2) | 59.5 (1.1) | 58.2 (1.1) |
| Any Work Last Week | Yes | 1.7 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.3) | 0.2 (0.1) | 1.4 (0.3) |
| Place of Work | Worked in State of Residence, in County of Residence | 4.1 (0.5) | 3.9 (0.8) | 5.3 (0.6) | 70.0 (0.9) | 69.9 (0.8) | 73.2 (1.3) | 74.0 (1.3) | 73.5 (1.3) | 72.5 (1.3) |
|  | Worked in State of Residence, Outside County of Residence | 3.7 (0.5) | 3.9 (0.8) | 4.5 (0.5) | 25.6 (0.8) | 25.6 (0.8) | 23.3 (1.3) | 22.0 (1.4) | 23.5 (1.4) | 24.4 (1.4) |
|  | Worked Outside State of Residence | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.5) | 1.1 (0.3) | 4.4 (0.5) | 4.4 (0.5) | 3.5 (0.6) | 4.0 (0.8) | 3.0 (0.5) | 3.1 (0.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.9 (0.5) | 3.8 (0.7) | 5.0 (0.6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commute Transportation | Car, Truck, or Van | 4.4 (0.4) | 4.9 (0.8) | 5.9 (0.7) | 87.7 (0.7) | 86.4 (0.7) | 88.5 (1.1) | 87.8 (1.1) | 85.8 (0.8) | 85.6 (0.9) |
|  | Public Transportation | 0.7 (0.1) | 1.8 (0.5) | 2.0 (0.4) | 3.6 (0.3) | 3.6 (0.3) | 3.2 (0.7) | 2.9 (0.7) | 4.9 (0.6) | 4.6 (0.5) |
|  | Taxicab, Motorcycle, Bicycle, or Other Method | 1.6 (0.4) | 1.2 (0.5) | 2.2 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.4) | 1.2 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.3) |
|  | Walked | 1.3 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.3) | 2.3 (0.4) | 2.0 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.4) | 4.0 (0.5) | 3.7 (0.5) |
|  | Worked At Home | 2.9 (0.3) | 2.8 (0.5) | 2.5 (0.4) | 4.9 (0.4) | 6.6 (0.4) | 5.7 (0.7) | 6.8 (0.8) | 3.4 (0.5) | 4.3 (0.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.0 (0.4) | 4.5 (0.7) | 5.3 (0.6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Commute <br> Number of Riders | Drove Alone | 5.7 (0.6) | 6.0 (1.0) | 7.6 (0.7) | 91.0 (0.7) | 93.1 (0.6) | 89.7 (1.3) | 90.5 (1.2) | 89.1 (1.0) | 85.8 (1.2) |
|  | 2 Riders | 4.8 (0.6) | 5.9 (1.0) | 7.5 (0.8) | 6.4 (0.6) | 5.0 (0.5) | 8.7 (1.2) | 7.6 (1.1) | 8.7 (0.9) | 10.5 (1.0) |
|  | 3 Riders | 1.6 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.5) | 1.9 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.4) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.4) | 2.4 (0.6) |
|  | 4 Riders | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.3) |
|  | 5 or More Riders | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.5 (0.6) | 5.9 (1.0) | 7.4 (0.7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commute <br> Departure <br> Time | 12:00 A.M. to 4:59 A.M. | 1.9 (0.3) | 2.3 (0.6) | 3.7 (0.6) | 3.5 (0.4) | 3.7 (0.4) | 4.6 (0.7) | 4.3 (0.7) | 5.5 (0.7) | 4.9 (0.7) |
|  | 5:00 A.M. to 6:59 A.M. | 7.9 (0.6) | 8.0 (1.1) | 10.1 (0.7) | 28.9 (1.0) | 28.8 (0.9) | 32.0 (1.6) | 33.8 (1.7) | 30.1 (1.3) | 30.6 (1.4) |
|  | 7:00 A.M. to 8:59 A.M. | 9.7 (0.7) | 9.2 (1.0) | 12.0 (1.0) | 49.7 (1.0) | 49.5 (1.0) | 44.8 (1.9) | 43.3 (1.7) | 41.0 (1.3) | 42.3 (1.5) |
|  | 9:00 A.M. to 11:59 A.M. | 4.2 (0.4) | 4.2 (0.6) | 4.9 (0.5) | 8.3 (0.6) | 7.9 (0.5) | 7.1 (0.8) | 7.9 (0.9) | 9.7 (1.0) | 8.3 (0.9) |
|  | 12:00 P.M. to 3:59 P.M. | 2.1 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.5) | 4.0 (0.5) | 4.5 (0.3) | 4.5 (0.4) | 5.0 (0.8) | 4.4 (0.8) | 6.4 (0.8) | 6.8 (0.7) |
|  | 4:00 P.M. to 11:59 P.M. | 2.4 (0.5) | 2.2 (0.6) | 3.4 (0.5) | 5.1 (0.5) | 5.6 (0.6) | 6.5 (0.9) | 6.3 (0.9) | 7.3 (0.8) | 7.1 (0.9) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.6 (0.5) | 7.2 (0.7) | 9.1 (0.6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commute Minutes | Less than 5 Minutes | 4.3 (0.4) | 2.6 (0.6) | 3.3 (0.5) | 4.3 (0.5) | 3.9 (0.4) | 4.6 (0.7) | 4.2 (0.6) | 3.9 (0.5) | 4.2 (0.5) |
|  | 5 to 9 Minutes | 9.6 (0.8) | 7.0 (0.9) | 9.4 (0.9) | 10.3 (0.9) | 12.0 (0.9) | 11.0 (1.2) | 11.4 (1.3) | 11.3 (1.0) | 9.4 (0.8) |
|  | 10 to 14 Minutes | 12.6 (0.9) | 11.5 (1.1) | 12.2 (1.0) | 14.0 (0.8) | 14.5 (1.0) | 12.4 (1.0) | 13.3 (1.0) | 14.1 (1.1) | 14.9 (1.1) |
|  | 15 to 19 Minutes | 14.0 (0.9) | 12.4 (1.2) | 15.0 (1.0) | 14.4 (0.8) | 14.7 (0.8) | 17.4 (1.6) | 17.1 (1.4) | 15.3 (1.0) | 17.3 (1.0) |
|  | 20 to 24 Minutes | 15.4 (0.9) | 14.1 (1.4) | 14.5 (1.1) | 15.2 (0.7) | 14.2 (0.8) | 15.5 (1.2) | 15.3 (1.3) | 13.7 (1.1) | 13.9 (1.1) |
|  | 25 to 29 Minutes | 9.0 (0.7) | 7.9 (0.9) | 8.3 (0.8) | 6.9 (0.7) | 7.3 (0.7) | 7.4 (1.0) | 6.5 (0.9) | 6.3 (0.7) | 6.2 (0.6) |
|  | 30 to 34 Minutes | 11.9 (0.6) | 11.4 (1.3) | 14.3 (1.2) | 13.4 (0.7) | 12.4 (0.6) | 12.0 (1.3) | 11.2 (1.0) | 14.3 (1.1) | 13.1 (1.0) |
|  | 35 to 39 Minutes | 3.8 (0.5) | 2.8 (0.5) | 3.5 (0.5) | 3.0 (0.5) | 3.5 (0.4) | 1.2 (0.4) | 2.8 (0.5) | 2.6 (0.5) | 2.3 (0.3) |
|  | 40 to 44 Minutes | 5.0 (0.4) | 4.2 (0.7) | 4.8 (0.5) | 3.4 (0.3) | 3.9 (0.4) | 3.5 (0.5) | 3.1 (0.6) | 3.3 (0.5) | 4.1 (0.6) |
|  | 45 to 59 Minutes | 6.6 (0.5) | 5.4 (1.0) | 6.7 (0.7) | 7.6 (0.5) | 7.5 (0.5) | 7.6 (1.0) | 7.5 (1.1) | 7.6 (0.8) | 7.1 (0.6) |
|  | 60 to 89 Minutes | 3.7 (0.4) | 3.3 (0.7) | 4.9 (0.6) | 5.4 (0.5) | 4.5 (0.4) | 5.0 (0.8) | 5.2 (0.8) | 5.6 (0.6) | 6.3 (0.7) |
|  | 90 or More Minutes | 1.7 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.5) | 2.4 (0.5) | 1.9 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 10.5 (0.3) | 9.4 (0.5) | 10.8 (0.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Working Layoff | Yes | 2.9 (0.5) | 2.4 (0.6) | 5.9 (0.9) | 4.1 (0.6) | 3.7 (0.7) | 2.8 (0.6) | 3.3 (0.5) | 5.0 (0.9) | 7.3 (1.1) |
| Not Working Absent | Yes | 2.7 (0.5) | 3.6 (0.8) | 3.0 (0.8) | 2.9 (0.5) | 3.0 (0.3) | 3.2 (0.7) | 2.9 (0.6) | 3.1 (0.7) | 3.3 (0.6) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

|  |  |  |  |  | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis <br> Topic | Analysis category | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mail } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | GDR | GDR | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Not Working Informed of Recall | Yes | $\begin{array}{r} 18.5 \\ (10.9) \end{array}$ | 5.4 (4.0) | $\begin{array}{r} 29.4 \\ (14.2) \end{array}$ | 14.0 (3.6) | $\begin{array}{r} 23.7 \\ (10.4) \end{array}$ | 9.0 (4.9) | 5.4 (3.2) | 21.4 (7.2) | $\begin{array}{r} 41.1 \\ (13.0) \end{array}$ |
| Not Working Looking for Work | Yes | 4.8 (0.7) | 3.9 (0.6) | 11.7 (1.1) | 10.1 (0.7) | 10.1 (0.9) | 9.6 (1.0) | 9.6 (0.9) | 16.2 (1.3) | 21.9 (1.5) |
| Not Working Available to Work | Yes | 14.5 (4.0) | 8.9 (3.3) | 5.7 (1.9) | 85.1 (4.1) | 97.0 (0.9) | 93.4 (2.6) | 96.9 (1.9) | 98.3 (1.0) | 95.2 (1.8) |
|  | Within the Past 12 Months | 4.9 (0.5) | 4.9 (0.9) | 8.3 (1.0) | 15.8 (0.8) | 14.4 (0.8) | 14.9 (1.2) | 12.5 (0.9) | 19.7 (1.3) | 23.6 (1.4) |
|  | 1-5 Years Ago | 10.5 (0.7) | 9.8 (1.1) | 16.9 (1.2) | 21.2 (0.8) | 21.3 (0.9) | 18.2 (1.3) | 20.5 (1.5) | 18.3 (1.0) | 22.8 (1.3) |
| Worked | Over 5 Years Ago or Never Worked | 8.5 (0.6) | 8.2 (1.0) | 19.1 (1.5) | 63.1 (1.0) | 64.3 (0.9) | 67.0 (1.5) | 67.0 (1.6) | 62.1 (1.6) | 53.5 (1.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.4 (0.5) | 8.1 (0.9) | 16.3 (1.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worked 50 <br> Weeks or <br> More | Yes | 11.5 (0.6) | 12.0 (1.0) | 14.4 (1.0) | 75.8 (0.9) | 77.4 (0.9) | 78.4 (1.3) | 79.1 (1.1) | 77.0 (1.2) | 74.4 (1.1) |
|  | 50 to 52 Weeks Worked During Past 12 Months | 8.1 (2.0) | 1.4 (0.8) | 3.5 (1.1) | 7.6 (2.0) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.7) | 0.4 (0.4) | 1.0 (0.6) | 2.5 (0.9) |
|  | 48 to 49 Weeks Worked During Past 12 Months | 8.3 (1.1) | 6.7 (2.0) | 6.3 (1.3) | 6.8 (1.1) | 4.4 (0.6) | 6.2 (1.8) | 4.5 (1.5) | 5.9 (1.3) | 2.1 (0.7) |
|  | 40 to 47 Weeks Worked During Past 12 Months | 21.7 (1.9) | 19.3 (2.7) | 19.3 (2.4) | 21.5 (1.3) | 21.8 (2.0) | 15.8 (3.1) | 19.1 (3.3) | 16.4 (2.2) | 20.3 (2.6) |
| Worked | 27 to 39 Weeks Worked During Past 12 Months | 24.9 (2.2) | 21.7 (3.6) | 23.6 (2.6) | 24.4 (1.8) | 27.4 (2.1) | 21.8 (3.8) | 20.2 (2.8) | 22.9 (2.4) | 19.7 (1.9) |
|  | 14 to 26 Weeks Worked During Past 12 Months | 21.4 (1.9) | 20.2 (2.9) | 29.1 (3.0) | 17.3 (1.6) | 24.7 (2.0) | 27.0 (3.8) | 22.8 (4.1) | 27.1 (3.0) | 27.2 (2.7) |
|  | 13 Weeks or Less Worked During Past 12 Months | 14.9 (1.5) | 17.3 (3.0) | 20.2 (2.7) | 22.4 (1.7) | 20.7 (1.4) | 28.3 (3.5) | 32.9 (4.2) | 26.7 (2.3) | 28.1 (2.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 19.5 (0.9) | 18.6 (1.7) | 22.2 (1.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

## Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Usual Hours Worked Per Week | Usually Worked 35 or More Hours Per Week | 5.8 (0.5) | 5.0 (0.6) | 8.5 (0.7) | 78.0 (0.7) | 78.3 (0.8) | 77.3 (1.4) | 78.3 (1.4) | 81.6 (1.0) | 78.9 (1.1) |
|  | Usually Worked 15-34 Hours Per Week | 7.8 (0.5) | 7.4 (0.8) | 9.2 (0.8) | 16.8 (0.7) | 17.0 (0.7) | 18.6 (1.3) | 17.0 (1.3) | 15.3 (0.9) | 17.2 (1.1) |
|  | Usually Worked 1-14 Hours Per Week | 3.4 (0.5) | 3.4 (0.6) | 2.7 (0.4) | 5.2 (0.4) | 4.7 (0.3) | 4.1 (0.6) | 4.7 (0.6) | 3.1 (0.4) | 3.9 (0.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.0 (0.4) | 5.4 (0.6) | 8.4 (0.7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of Worker | Employee of A Private for-Profit Company or Business | 13.0 (1.1) | 13.3 (1.5) | 13.4 (1.2) | 58.1 (1.5) | 59.1 (1.4) | 53.5 (2.6) | 57.9 (2.4) | 68.4 (1.7) | 67.6 (1.5) |
|  | Employee of A Private not-ForProfit Organization | 6.1 (0.6) | 7.3 (1.1) | 6.7 (0.9) | 9.1 (0.7) | 7.5 (0.7) | 9.5 (1.4) | 7.3 (1.1) | 6.4 (0.9) | 6.3 (0.9) |
|  | A Local Government Employee | 5.7 (0.7) | 6.0 (0.7) | 4.4 (0.8) | 11.1 (0.8) | 13.8 (1.0) | 12.3 (1.4) | 15.2 (1.5) | 11.1 (1.2) | 10.8 (1.2) |
|  | A State Government Employee | 5.2 (0.8) | 6.8 (0.9) | 3.6 (0.6) | 8.0 (0.7) | 8.7 (1.0) | 9.9 (1.3) | 6.6 (0.9) | 4.7 (0.7) | 5.2 (0.7) |
|  | A Federal Government Employee | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.4) | 1.2 (0.4) | 4.1 (0.4) | 4.5 (0.5) | 3.6 (0.8) | 4.0 (0.8) | 2.2 (0.5) | 3.3 (0.6) |
|  | Self-Employed in Own not Incorporated Business, Professional Practice, or Farm | 3.5 (0.4) | 4.7 (1.0) | 4.6 (0.7) | 5.7 (0.5) | 4.0 (0.3) | 7.3 (1.0) | 7.2 (1.2) | 6.4 (0.9) | 5.2 (0.7) |
|  | Self-Employed in Own Incorporated Business, Professional Practice, or Farm | 2.5 (0.4) | 2.3 (0.6) | 1.3 (0.4) | 3.7 (0.7) | 2.0 (0.7) | 3.6 (0.8) | 1.5 (0.4) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.4) |
|  | Working Without Pay in A Family Business or Farm | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.8 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.4) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.8 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 9.2 (0.7) | 9.5 (0.8) | 10.1 (0.9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Industry | Agriculture, forestry, Fishing and Hunting, and Mining | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.3) | 3.2 (0.5) | 3.1 (0.5) | 2.2 (0.3) | 1.8 (0.3) |
|  | Construction | 2.2 (0.4) | 3.1 (0.6) | 2.7 (0.4) | 5.1 (0.4) | 5.8 (0.6) | 8.2 (1.1) | 7.7 (1.0) | 7.8 (0.6) | 8.3 (0.6) |
|  | Manufacturing | 4.4 (0.4) | 4.5 (0.6) | 4.0 (0.4) | 11.3 (0.5) | 11.5 (0.6) | 10.8 (0.8) | 11.4 (0.9) | 9.8 (0.7) | 9.9 (0.7) |
|  | Wholesale Trade | 2.8 (0.5) | 3.1 (0.5) | 2.6 (0.3) | 3.2 (0.4) | 3.1 (0.4) | 2.6 (0.5) | 2.6 (0.5) | 2.6 (0.4) | 3.0 (0.4) |
|  | Retail Trade | 3.6 (0.4) | 4.9 (0.7) | 4.1 (0.4) | 10.7 (0.6) | 10.2 (0.6) | 10.9 (1.0) | 10.8 (0.9) | 12.1 (0.9) | 11.1 (0.9) |
|  | Utilities, and Transportation and Warehousing | 1.2 (0.1) | 1.6 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.4) | 4.3 (0.3) | 4.6 (0.3) | 6.0 (0.7) | 5.7 (0.7) | 5.4 (0.6) | 4.8 (0.5) |
|  | Information | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.3) | 2.3 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.5) | 2.2 (0.4) | 2.3 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.3) |
|  | Finance and Insurance, and Real Estate and Rental and Leasing | 1.4 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.3) | 6.8 (0.4) | 6.8 (0.4) | 5.4 (0.6) | 5.6 (0.6) | 5.4 (0.4) | 6.0 (0.5) |
|  | Professional, Scientific, and Management, and Administrative and Waste Management Services | 6.4 (0.4) | 5.0 (0.6) | 5.8 (0.6) | 11.9 (0.6) | 11.6 (0.6) | 8.6 (0.7) | 9.4 (0.8) | 9.5 (0.8) | 10.7 (1.0) |
|  | Educational Services, and Health Care and Social Assistance | 3.5 (0.3) | 3.6 (0.4) | 4.3 (0.6) | 25.2 (0.7) | 25.6 (0.7) | 25.8 (1.2) | 25.2 (1.2) | 22.7 (1.0) | 22.0 (1.1) |
|  | Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation, and Accomadation and Food Services | 1.7 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.4) | 6.4 (0.4) | 6.2 (0.4) | 5.8 (0.7) | 5.9 (0.7) | 10.4 (0.8) | 10.5 (0.8) |
|  | Other Services, Except Public Administration | 2.1 (0.2) | 3.4 (0.5) | 2.8 (0.4) | 4.7 (0.4) | 4.6 (0.4) | 4.7 (0.6) | 4.7 (0.6) | 5.3 (0.5) | 5.2 (0.4) |
|  | Public Administration | 2.2 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.4) | 2.1 (0.4) | 5.6 (0.4) | 5.7 (0.4) | 5.6 (0.6) | 5.7 (0.6) | 4.1 (0.5) | 4.1 (0.5) |
|  | Military | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.3 (0.1) | 3.3 (0.2) | 3.4 (0.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail | CATI | CAPI | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | GDR | GDR | GDR | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACS } \\ \text { percent } \end{gathered}$ | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Industry Type | Manufacturing | 3.8 (0.3) | 6.3 (0.8) | 6.3 (0.7) | 11.2 (0.5) | 11.3 (0.5) | 10.1 (0.9) | 10.9 (0.9) | 8.3 (0.7) | 9.8 (0.8) |
|  | Wholesale Trade | 3.2 (0.4) | 3.6 (0.6) | 4.1 (0.5) | 3.4 (0.4) | 3.5 (0.4) | 3.2 (0.6) | 3.1 (0.5) | 2.2 (0.3) | 3.3 (0.4) |
|  | Retail Trade | 8.5 (0.5) | 10.3 (1.0) | 12.8 (0.8) | 15.3 (0.7) | 15.4 (0.7) | 15.6 (1.0) | 16.0 (1.1) | 14.5 (0.9) | 16.7 (1.1) |
|  | Other (Agriculture, Construction, Service, Government, Etc.) | 9.8 (0.5) | 11.7 (1.0) | 15.8 (1.0) | 70.1 (0.9) | 69.8 (0.9) | 71.1 (1.3) | 70.0 (1.3) | 75.0 (1.2) | 70.1 (1.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.6 (0.4) | 10.5 (0.8) | 13.8 (0.8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

## Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Occupation | Management, Business and Financial Occupations | 11.0 (0.5) | 9.6 (0.8) | 9.0 (0.7) | 18.0 (0.6) | 19.1 (0.7) | 14.9 (1.1) | 13.7 (1.0) | 13.5 (0.9) | 13.8 (0.8) |
|  | Computer, Engineering, and Science Occupations | 3.2 (0.4) | 2.6 (0.5) | 2.3 (0.3) | 7.1 (0.5) | 7.1 (0.5) | 3.7 (0.4) | 4.0 (0.5) | 3.9 (0.5) | 4.2 (0.5) |
|  | Education, Legal, Community Service, Arts, and Media Occupations | 3.8 (0.3) | 2.9 (0.4) | 2.9 (0.4) | 13.3 (0.6) | 12.8 (0.6) | 11.2 (0.8) | 11.4 (0.8) | 8.9 (0.6) | 7.9 (0.6) |
|  | Healthcare Practitioners and Technical Occupations | 1.9 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.4) | 6.3 (0.4) | 5.7 (0.4) | 6.3 (0.8) | 5.8 (0.8) | 5.1 (0.6) | 4.9 (0.7) |
|  | Healthcare Support Occupations | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.4) | 2.0 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.4) | 2.7 (0.4) | 3.5 (0.4) | 3.2 (0.4) |
|  | Protective Service Occupations | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 2.2 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.3) | 2.3 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.4) | 2.1 (0.4) | 2.0 (0.3) |
|  | Food Preparation and Serving Related Occupations | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.4) | 2.9 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.2) | 3.5 (0.6) | 3.4 (0.5) | 7.1 (0.6) | 7.2 (0.6) |
|  | Building and Grounds Cleaning and Maintenance Occupations | 1.4 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.5) | 2.0 (0.3) | 2.8 (0.3) | 2.8 (0.3) | 4.1 (0.5) | 4.6 (0.6) | 4.9 (0.5) | 4.9 (0.5) |
|  | Personal Care and Service Occupations | 1.3 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.4) | 3.0 (0.4) | 2.9 (0.4) | 3.0 (0.4) | 3.1 (0.5) | 3.0 (0.4) | 4.2 (0.5) | 4.8 (0.5) |
|  | Sales and Related Occupations | 5.5 (0.3) | 5.4 (0.7) | 5.3 (0.5) | 9.5 (0.5) | 9.4 (0.4) | 9.4 (0.9) | 9.8 (0.9) | 11.0 (0.8) | 10.4 (0.7) |
|  | Office and Administrative Support Occupations | 9.2 (0.6) | 7.2 (0.7) | 6.7 (0.6) | 15.4 (0.7) | 15.3 (0.8) | 13.2 (0.9) | 14.3 (0.8) | 10.7 (0.7) | 11.5 (0.7) |
|  | Farming, Fishing, and forestry Occupations | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) |
|  | Construction and Extraction Occupations | 2.1 (0.3) | 2.3 (0.3) | 3.1 (0.4) | 3.9 (0.4) | 3.8 (0.4) | 6.3 (0.8) | 6.3 (0.8) | 7.3 (0.6) | 6.7 (0.6) |
|  | Installation, Maintenance, and Repair Occupations | 1.8 (0.2) | 2.4 (0.4) | 2.3 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.2) | 3.3 (0.6) | 3.3 (0.5) | 3.8 (0.4) | 3.7 (0.4) |
|  | Production Occupations | 3.0 (0.4) | 4.2 (0.6) | 3.6 (0.4) | 5.3 (0.5) | 4.8 (0.4) | 7.4 (0.9) | 7.6 (0.8) | 6.2 (0.6) | 6.6 (0.6) |
|  | Transportation Occupations | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.4) | 3.0 (0.3) | 3.0 (0.3) | 5.0 (0.7) | 4.4 (0.7) | 3.9 (0.5) | 4.3 (0.5) |
|  | Material Moving Occupations | 1.7 (0.2) | 3.0 (0.5) | 2.9 (0.5) | 2.0 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.3) | 2.8 (0.4) | 2.4 (0.4) | 2.5 (0.3) | 2.8 (0.5) |
|  | Military Occupations | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.4 (0.2) | 4.5 (0.3) | 4.2 (0.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages Income Amount | Less than \$10,000 | 4.3 (0.6) | 2.9 (0.5) | 5.5 (0.7) | 11.5 (0.7) | 11.3 (0.7) | 12.2 (1.3) | 11.8 (1.3) | 13.2 (1.1) | 13.8 (1.2) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 5.5 (0.6) | 5.0 (0.8) | 8.0 (0.8) | 6.4 (0.6) | 6.3 (0.6) | 5.3 (0.7) | 6.1 (0.8) | 7.7 (0.9) | 9.3 (0.9) |
|  | \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 7.8 (0.6) | 9.7 (1.2) | 12.8 (1.0) | 10.7 (0.7) | 11.9 (0.9) | 14.3 (1.5) | 15.2 (1.4) | 17.3 (1.2) | 18.5 (1.4) |
|  | \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 7.7 (0.6) | 8.5 (1.1) | 12.3 (0.9) | 11.8 (0.8) | 12.1 (0.6) | 15.3 (1.5) | 14.8 (1.5) | 14.5 (1.1) | 13.9 (1.0) |
|  | \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 8.6 (0.6) | 7.6 (1.1) | 10.5 (1.1) | 17.3 (0.7) | 15.9 (0.6) | 17.1 (1.4) | 17.4 (1.3) | 17.3 (1.1) | 15.9 (1.3) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 7.0 (0.4) | 7.1 (1.2) | 7.3 (1.0) | 20.7 (1.0) | 21.6 (1.2) | 19.3 (1.5) | 18.7 (1.5) | 18.3 (1.4) | 17.3 (1.3) |
|  | \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 3.6 (0.3) | 5.0 (0.9) | 2.9 (0.6) | 9.7 (0.6) | 9.2 (0.6) | 9.7 (1.1) | 9.0 (1.2) | 6.3 (0.9) | 6.2 (0.9) |
|  | \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 2.8 (0.3) | 2.6 (0.6) | 2.2 (0.6) | 7.5 (0.6) | 7.3 (0.6) | 4.9 (0.9) | 5.1 (0.8) | 4.0 (0.6) | 3.5 (0.6) |
|  | \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 1.3 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.4) | 1.0 (0.4) | 1.0 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.4) |
|  | \$200,000 or More | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 2.2 (0.3) | 2.0 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.2 (0.2) | 6.7 (0.6) | 8.9 (0.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wages Income Recipiency | Yes | 7.4 (0.5) | 8.6 (1.0) | 7.6 (0.6) | 81.4 (0.7) | 80.3 (0.8) | 80.0 (1.1) | 78.5 (1.1) | 86.6 (0.8) | 85.2 (0.8) |
| Self Employed Income Amount | Loss or Broke Even | 9.6 (4.1) | 1.2 (1.0) | 1.3 (1.2) | 10.1 (4.1) | 0.8 (0.4) | 2.4 (1.4) | 1.2 (1.0) | 1.3 (1.2) | 0.0 (0.5) |
|  | Less than \$10,000 | 13.0 (2.0) | 11.4 (4.3) | 13.9 (6.2) | 35.7 (3.4) | 33.7 (3.4) | 35.6 (5.4) | 34.8 (5.7) | 32.3 (5.3) | 38.1 (6.5) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 14.1 (4.0) | 9.9 (3.1) | 4.7 (1.5) | 10.3 (1.9) | 14.0 (4.6) | 12.6 (3.4) | 6.1 (2.5) | 9.7 (3.1) | 9.9 (2.9) |
|  | \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 13.8 (3.0) | 12.9 (3.5) | 16.5 (6.8) | 14.9 (2.5) | 18.1 (3.1) | 13.8 (3.6) | 16.6 (3.8) | 17.9 (6.7) | 9.7 (3.3) |
|  | \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 8.6 (2.4) | 8.7 (4.1) | 16.1 (3.7) | 8.2 (2.6) | 7.1 (1.8) | 5.2 (2.6) | 11.4 (4.5) | 16.6 (4.2) | 16.9 (4.4) |
|  | \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 9.1 (2.0) | 13.4 (4.2) | 9.5 (3.3) | 7.2 (1.2) | 9.5 (1.9) | 7.9 (2.8) | 11.8 (4.2) | 6.5 (2.5) | 11.6 (3.8) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 4.6 (0.9) | 10.1 (3.5) | 3.7 (2.2) | 4.2 (1.0) | 6.2 (1.3) | 14.4 (4.5) | 8.4 (2.8) | 9.0 (3.5) | 6.4 (3.0) |
|  | \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 2.8 (0.8) | 0.9 (0.7) | 0.6 (0.6) | 2.7 (0.7) | 2.4 (0.6) | 0.6 (0.6) | 0.3 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.5) | 0.5 (0.4) |
|  | \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 4.0 (1.2) | 4.4 (2.6) | 1.3 (1.1) | 2.8 (0.9) | 5.0 (1.4) | 0.3 (0.3) | 4.1 (2.5) | 2.9 (1.8) | 2.0 (1.4) |
|  | \$150,000 or More | 1.8 (1.0) | 2.5 (1.9) | 2.6 (1.7) | 3.9 (1.0) | 3.3 (0.6) | 7.1 (2.9) | 5.3 (2.2) | 2.8 (1.6) | 5.0 (2.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 10.8 (1.1) | 10.4 (1.9) | 11.8 (3.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Self Employed Income Recipiency | Received A Positive Amount of Self-Employment Income | 7.2 (0.6) | 6.8 (0.8) | 7.2 (0.5) | 8.6 (0.5) | 10.8 (0.6) | 12.7 (1.1) | 12.2 (0.9) | 9.3 (0.6) | 8.8 (0.7) |
|  | Did not Receive SelfEmployment Income | 7.1 (0.5) | 6.8 (0.8) | 7.2 (0.5) | 89.7 (0.5) | 89.2 (0.6) | 87.2 (1.1) | 87.8 (0.9) | 90.6 (0.7) | 91.2 (0.7) |
|  | Had A Net Loss or Broke Even for Self-Employment Income | 1.8 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 1.8 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.0 (0.5) | 6.8 (0.8) | 7.2 (0.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property <br> Income <br> Amount | Loss or Broke Even | 3.1 (0.6) | 0.0 (1.5) | 0.0 (0.6) | 2.6 (0.5) | 0.7 (0.3) | 0.0 (1.5) | 0.0 (1.5) | 0.0 (0.6) | 0.0 (0.6) |
|  | Positive, Less than \$100 | 7.3 (0.9) | 5.1 (1.8) | 5.2 (2.5) | 13.3 (1.2) | 14.7 (1.4) | 12.1 (2.8) | 14.0 (2.9) | 13.4 (3.6) | 15.5 (4.4) |
|  | \$100 to \$999 | 21.3 (2.8) | 14.7 (3.0) | 18.2 (5.2) | 26.6 (2.1) | 26.9 (2.6) | 27.5 (3.2) | 25.4 (3.8) | 22.2 (5.0) | 17.6 (4.8) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 21.5 (2.6) | 14.4 (3.0) | 12.5 (3.8) | 25.2 (2.8) | 23.1 (1.7) | 17.8 (3.9) | 21.3 (4.1) | 15.5 (4.3) | 18.1 (4.0) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 11.7 (1.1) | 11.8 (2.4) | 9.2 (3.2) | 9.3 (0.9) | 10.6 (1.1) | 16.8 (3.2) | 14.7 (3.0) | 18.1 (6.5) | 21.1 (8.5) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 13.4 (1.5) | 8.6 (2.3) | 12.5 (4.6) | 10.8 (1.3) | 9.5 (1.1) | 7.4 (2.3) | 10.4 (2.4) | 9.4 (3.9) | 7.3 (3.1) |
|  | \$20,000 or More | 8.1 (1.2) | 11.9 (3.0) | 10.6 (3.4) | 12.2 (1.4) | 14.6 (1.5) | 18.5 (3.1) | 14.2 (3.3) | 21.3 (6.7) | 20.5 (6.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 15.6 (1.5) | 12.0 (1.6) | 11.8 (2.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property <br> Income <br> Recipiency | Received A Positive Amount of Property Income | 16.9 (0.7) | 11.8 (0.8) | 6.2 (0.5) | 18.7 (0.6) | 22.4 (0.8) | 16.5 (0.9) | 16.5 (1.0) | 6.0 (0.5) | 7.6 (0.5) |
|  | Did not Receive Property Income | 16.8 (0.7) | 11.8 (0.8) | 6.3 (0.5) | 80.8 (0.6) | 77.5 (0.8) | 83.5 (0.9) | 83.5 (1.0) | 93.9 (0.5) | 92.4 (0.5) |
|  | Had A Net Loss or Broke Even for Property Income | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 16.8 (0.7) | 11.8 (0.8) | 6.3 (0.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social Security <br> Income <br> Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 1.8 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.5) | 1.7 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.9) | 1.1 (0.7) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 6.0 (0.7) | 5.1 (1.1) | 5.6 (1.3) | 9.6 (0.9) | 6.7 (0.8) | 8.1 (0.9) | 8.9 (1.2) | 10.0 (2.0) | 10.6 (2.1) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 8.2 (1.0) | 9.2 (1.3) | 8.2 (1.8) | 22.3 (1.5) | 24.4 (1.5) | 29.9 (1.9) | 28.5 (2.1) | 28.3 (3.4) | 26.9 (3.2) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 13.6 (1.1) | 10.2 (1.3) | 14.7 (2.4) | 51.3 (1.7) | 53.3 (1.6) | 52.5 (2.0) | 51.0 (2.2) | 50.5 (3.8) | 53.5 (3.7) |
|  | \$20,000 or More | 6.0 (0.7) | 4.7 (1.1) | 6.9 (1.5) | 15.2 (1.2) | 15.3 (1.0) | 9.0 (1.2) | 11.2 (1.5) | 9.5 (1.8) | 7.9 (1.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 10.3 (0.7) | 8.8 (0.9) | 10.9 (1.6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Social Security Income Recipiency | Yes | 4.1 (0.4) | 3.9 (0.4) | 3.4 (0.3) | 25.4 (0.7) | 27.7 (0.7) | 31.3 (1.4) | 31.1 (1.3) | 11.9 (0.7) | 13.3 (0.8) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | $A C S$ percent | CRS percent |
| Supplemental Security Income Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 12.4 (2.6) | 0.0 (5.5) | 8.5 (4.5) | 21.5 (4.5) | 9.7 (4.2) | 0.9 (1.0) | 0.9 (1.0) | 12.4 (4.9) | 4.0 (2.3) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 12.6 (3.7) | 0.4 (0.4) | 7.5 (3.7) | 21.4 (4.5) | 22.0 (4.9) | $\begin{array}{r} 50.6 \\ (13.1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 51.0 (13.0) | 18.2 (5.8) | 19.2 (6.4) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 16.0 (3.9) | 0.4 (0.4) | 15.1 (4.7) | 47.8 (5.8) | 56.9 (5.5) | $\begin{array}{r} 40.4 \\ (12.0) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 40.0 (11.9) | 60.1 (7.8) | 68.0 (7.3) |
|  | \$10,000 or More | 3.9 (1.1) | 0.0 (5.5) | 7.5 (3.7) | 9.2 (2.3) | 11.4 (2.4) | 8.1 (4.9) | 8.1 (4.9) | 9.2 (3.9) | 8.9 (3.8) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 13.4 (2.9) | 0.4 (0.4) | 12.2 (3.3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplemental <br> Security <br> Income <br> Recipiency | Yes | 1.5 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.3) | 2.8 (0.3) | 2.2 (0.3) | 3.0 (0.4) | 2.6 (0.4) | 3.7 (0.4) | 3.8 (0.4) |
| Public <br> Assistance <br> Income <br> Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 9.9 (4.5) | $\begin{array}{r} 10.0 \\ (10.1) \end{array}$ | 7.5 (4.9) | 22.9 (10.1) | 20.7 (9.7) | $\begin{array}{r} 37.1 \\ (14.7) \end{array}$ | 27.1 (12.7) | 9.0 (5.3) | 6.1 (4.1) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 13.7 (5.0) | $\begin{array}{r} 16.6 \\ (11.8) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 25.4 (8.7) | 63.8 (12.9) | $\begin{array}{r} 57.8 \\ (12.1) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50.7 \\ (15.3) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 54.1 (15.4) | 54.2 (10.5) | 67.6 (9.0) |
|  | \$5,000 or More | 8.2 (4.5) | 6.6 (6.9) | 19.6 (8.0) | 13.3 (9.3) | 21.5 (9.6) | $\begin{array}{r} 12.2 \\ (11.9) \end{array}$ | 18.8 (13.1) | 36.8 (10.8) | 26.3 (8.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 11.8 (4.0) | 12.9 (9.5) | 21.9 (7.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public <br> Assistance <br> Income <br> Recipiency | Yes | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.2) |
| Retirement Income Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 2.5 (0.5) | 0.9 (0.8) | 0.2 (0.2) | 3.7 (0.6) | 2.3 (0.5) | 0.6 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.8) | 2.2 (1.1) | 2.4 (1.0) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 7.1 (0.9) | 4.7 (1.3) | 2.8 (1.6) | 16.5 (1.8) | 15.7 (1.7) | 17.2 (2.4) | 18.0 (2.6) | 14.8 (3.9) | 14.0 (3.8) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 7.5 (1.0) | 8.9 (2.0) | 4.7 (2.4) | 15.3 (1.7) | 16.8 (1.7) | 21.0 (3.6) | 21.9 (3.2) | 10.8 (3.2) | 11.5 (3.4) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 10.9 (1.2) | 7.9 (2.0) | 12.3 (3.7) | 23.4 (1.8) | 24.6 (1.8) | 25.7 (3.3) | 22.6 (2.7) | 24.7 (4.8) | 24.3 (4.8) |
|  | \$20,000 to \$49,999 | 9.9 (1.0) | 8.8 (1.7) | 11.1 (3.0) | 32.1 (1.7) | 32.1 (1.7) | 30.3 (2.9) | 31.6 (2.8) | 44.0 (5.4) | 42.7 (5.4) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 4.2 (0.8) | 2.6 (1.0) | 1.6 (0.9) | 6.9 (1.0) | 6.1 (0.9) | 4.0 (1.3) | 2.7 (1.3) | 3.1 (1.9) | 4.7 (2.1) |
|  | \$75,000 or More | 1.1 (0.5) | 1.2 (0.9) | 0.8 (0.4) | 2.1 (0.4) | 2.4 (0.6) | 1.3 (0.7) | 2.0 (1.1) | 0.5 (0.5) | 0.3 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.4 (0.7) | 7.4 (1.1) | 8.9 (2.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

## Appendix C: GDR Estimates By ACS Data Collection Mode (Mail, CATI, or CAPI)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Mail GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CATI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAPI } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Mail |  | CATI |  | CAPI |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent | ACS percent | CRS percent |
| Retirement Income Recipiency | Yes | 5.8 (0.4) | 5.8 (0.5) | 3.6 (0.3) | 15.0 (0.4) | 15.1 (0.5) | 14.9 (0.8) | 13.9 (0.8) | 5.8 (0.4) | 5.8 (0.4) |
| Other Income Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 4.5 (1.5) | 1.2 (0.6) | 4.2 (1.6) | 7.4 (1.6) | 5.3 (1.5) | 1.3 (0.7) | 1.5 (1.0) | 4.1 (1.3) | 6.3 (1.9) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$2,499 | 14.4 (3.4) | 7.1 (2.5) | 15.4 (3.3) | 13.3 (2.2) | 16.8 (3.0) | 20.8 (4.6) | 22.7 (4.7) | 20.9 (5.6) | 23.6 (5.7) |
|  | \$2,500 to \$4,999 | 16.3 (2.4) | 11.1 (3.3) | 14.5 (3.4) | 21.8 (3.1) | 20.5 (2.8) | 18.1 (4.3) | 15.6 (3.6) | 22.4 (4.9) | 18.8 (4.3) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 15.3 (3.1) | 10.3 (3.5) | 10.1 (2.3) | 21.9 (3.2) | 18.5 (2.5) | 20.9 (4.8) | 14.3 (4.0) | 19.3 (3.5) | 16.8 (3.7) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 14.9 (2.9) | 18.7 (4.0) | 15.2 (4.2) | 23.4 (2.9) | 25.0 (2.7) | 26.0 (5.1) | 27.4 (5.2) | 23.6 (4.6) | 25.6 (4.4) |
|  | \$20,000 or More | 7.3 (2.4) | 9.1 (3.1) | 3.6 (1.6) | 12.3 (2.6) | 13.8 (2.8) | 12.9 (3.6) | 18.4 (4.3) | 9.8 (3.3) | 8.7 (2.8) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 13.6 (1.4) | 11.8 (2.2) | 12.6 (2.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Income Recipiency | Yes | 5.0 (0.3) | 5.4 (0.5) | 7.2 (0.5) | 5.1 (0.3) | 6.8 (0.4) | 7.8 (0.6) | 7.4 (0.6) | 8.2 (0.5) | 8.8 (0.6) |
| Total Income Amount | Loss or Broke Even | 5.4 (0.4) | 4.1 (0.6) | 5.4 (0.6) | 12.1 (0.6) | 10.1 (0.5) | 12.8 (0.9) | 13.2 (0.9) | 18.5 (1.1) | 17.8 (1.1) |
|  | Less than \$10,000 | 7.1 (0.5) | 6.9 (0.7) | 9.6 (0.7) | 12.2 (0.5) | 13.5 (0.6) | 16.3 (1.2) | 16.0 (1.2) | 16.0 (1.0) | 16.8 (1.0) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 6.4 (0.5) | 7.2 (0.9) | 8.0 (0.6) | 7.6 (0.5) | 7.5 (0.4) | 9.1 (0.8) | 9.0 (0.9) | 8.7 (0.6) | 9.6 (0.6) |
|  | \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 9.0 (0.5) | 9.0 (0.8) | 10.7 (0.7) | 13.2 (0.6) | 13.9 (0.6) | 13.9 (0.9) | 14.9 (1.2) | 13.9 (0.9) | 14.9 (0.9) |
|  | \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 8.9 (0.5) | 8.6 (0.8) | 9.3 (0.7) | 10.8 (0.5) | 11.4 (0.5) | 12.0 (1.0) | 11.7 (0.9) | 10.4 (0.7) | 10.2 (0.7) |
|  | \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 9.2 (0.5) | 7.8 (0.7) | 8.3 (0.8) | 14.2 (0.5) | 13.4 (0.4) | 12.2 (0.9) | 12.9 (0.9) | 12.2 (0.7) | 11.4 (0.8) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 7.2 (0.4) | 6.1 (0.7) | 5.3 (0.7) | 14.7 (0.6) | 15.1 (0.8) | 13.0 (0.9) | 12.0 (0.8) | 12.0 (1.0) | 11.5 (0.9) |
|  | \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 3.6 (0.2) | 4.1 (0.5) | 2.3 (0.3) | 6.7 (0.4) | 6.6 (0.4) | 5.8 (0.6) | 4.9 (0.6) | 4.4 (0.5) | 4.0 (0.5) |
|  | \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 2.5 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.4) | 5.2 (0.4) | 5.0 (0.4) | 3.1 (0.5) | 3.7 (0.5) | 2.6 (0.4) | 2.4 (0.3) |
|  | \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 1.3 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) |
|  | \$200,000 or More | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.9 (0.2) | 1.6 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.0 (0.2) | 6.7 (0.3) | 7.6 (0.3) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Income Recipiency | Yes, Received A Positive Amount of Income | 5.5 (0.4) | 4.4 (0.7) | 5.6 (0.6) | 87.8 (0.6) | 89.8 (0.5) | 87.3 (0.9) | 86.6 (0.9) | 81.4 (1.1) | 82.2 (1.1) |
|  | No, did not Receive Income | 5.1 (0.4) | 4.4 (0.7) | 5.6 (0.6) | 11.6 (0.6) | 10.2 (0.5) | 12.7 (0.9) | 13.4 (0.9) | 18.4 (1.1) | 17.8 (1.1) |
|  | Had A Net Loss or Broke Even (Loss Box Checked) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.4 (0.4) | 4.4 (0.7) | 5.6 (0.6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian } \\ \text { GDR } \end{gathered}$ | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Type | Mobile home, Boat, RV, van, etc. | 1.5 (0.5) | 1.1 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.4) |
|  | Single unit, detached | 5.7 (0.8) | 2.7 (0.3) | 4.0 (0.7) | 2.3 (1.0) | 3.1 (1.0) |
|  | Single unit, attached | 7.3 (1.0) | 3.6 (0.3) | 5.1 (0.8) | 7.9 (2.5) | 5.8 (2.0) |
|  | Apartment building, 2 units | 4.3 (0.7) | 2.0 (0.2) | 3.7 (0.8) | 2.4 (1.2) | 1.8 (1.2) |
|  | Apartment building, 3 or 4 units | 4.6 (0.8) | 1.4 (0.2) | 2.5 (0.5) | 6.2 (2.2) | 3.8 (1.6) |
|  | Apartment building, 5 to 9 units | 3.5 (0.8) | 1.8 (0.2) | 4.2 (1.0) | 2.6 (0.9) | 5.8 (2.0) |
|  | Apartment building, 10 to 19 units | 3.7 (0.8) | 1.8 (0.2) | 5.5 (1.2) | 2.4 (0.6) | 4.2 (1.6) |
|  | Apartment building, 20 to 49 units | 3.3 (0.7) | 1.5 (0.2) | 2.5 (0.7) | 4.0 (1.5) | 3.7 (1.6) |
|  | Apartment building, 50 or more units | 1.7 (0.5) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.5) | 5.7 (1.9) | 1.0 (0.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.9 (0.5) | 2.4 (0.2) | 3.8 (0.5) | 3.8 (0.9) | 3.5 (0.8) |
| Year Built | Built 2010 or later | 0.3 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.9) | 0.9 (0.7) | 2.0 (1.9) |
|  | Built 2000 to 2009 | 4.1 (1.2) | 2.6 (0.2) | 3.8 (1.1) | 4.4 (2.0) | 2.4 (1.9) |
|  | Built 1990 to 1999 | 7.9 (1.7) | 4.3 (0.3) | 4.4 (1.1) | 3.7 (1.2) | 2.4 (0.8) |
|  | Built 1980 to 1989 | 10.7 (2.0) | 4.6 (0.3) | 5.8 (1.3) | 5.2 (2.4) | 3.8 (1.5) |
|  | Built 1970 to 1979 | 9.3 (1.3) | 4.5 (0.3) | 6.6 (1.2) | 2.7 (0.7) | 5.1 (1.2) |
|  | Built 1960 to 1969 | 10.7 (1.6) | 4.2 (0.3) | 8.8 (1.5) | 6.4 (2.4) | 5.3 (2.3) |
|  | Built 1950 to 1959 | 8.2 (1.5) | 3.9 (0.3) | 7.8 (1.4) | 8.3 (2.7) | 5.1 (2.1) |
|  | Built 1940 to 1949 | 2.6 (0.7) | 2.7 (0.2) | 4.3 (1.5) | 3.5 (1.7) | 6.2 (2.6) |
|  | Built 1939 or earlier | 1.9 (0.5) | 2.2 (0.3) | 4.3 (1.2) | 3.6 (1.6) | 0.6 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.7 (0.8) | 3.7 (0.2) | 5.7 (0.6) | 4.7 (1.1) | 3.9 (0.9) |
| Year Person 1 Moved In | Moved in 2012 or later | 2.2 (0.5) | 1.2 (0.2) | 2.2 (0.7) | 1.6 (0.7) | 2.2 (1.2) |
|  | Moved in 2011 | 6.4 (1.1) | 2.7 (0.3) | 5.0 (1.0) | 3.5 (1.1) | 6.7 (2.2) |
|  | Moved in 2010 | 9.2 (1.3) | 3.5 (0.3) | 9.1 (1.1) | 6.7 (1.4) | 6.2 (2.1) |
|  | Moved in 2009 | 6.7 (1.0) | 2.7 (0.2) | 5.1 (1.0) | 4.0 (1.3) | 1.7 (1.0) |
|  | Moved in 2008 | 5.5 (1.0) | 2.2 (0.2) | 2.4 (0.7) | 3.2 (1.4) | 1.7 (0.7) |
|  | Moved in 2007 or earlier | 4.5 (0.9) | 2.7 (0.2) | 3.4 (0.9) | 4.7 (1.6) | 1.6 (0.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.6 (0.6) | 2.7 (0.2) | 4.4 (0.6) | 4.5 (0.9) | 3.2 (0.9) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | Asian GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Other } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Size | Less than one acre | 9.0 (1.4) | 6.0 (0.4) | 15.2 (2.4) | 6.4 (2.1) | 7.0 (2.3) |
|  | 1 to 9.9 acres | 7.8 (1.4) | 6.4 (0.5) | 14.6 (2.3) | 6.5 (2.1) | 8.7 (2.5) |
|  | 10 or more acres | 1.4 (0.5) | 1.2 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.5) | 0.1 (0.1) | 2.2 (1.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.7 (1.4) | 5.8 (0.4) | 15.0 (2.3) | 6.4 (2.1) | 7.2 (2.3) |
| Agricultural Sales | None | 3.7 (2.2) | 3.5 (0.5) | 1.1 (0.8) | 23.9 (22.2) | 0.4 (0.4) |
|  | \$1 to \$999 | 0.2 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.4) | 0.7 (0.7) | 23.9 (22.2) | 0.5 (0.5) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$2,499 | 0.0 (1.7) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.4) | 0.0 (7.7) | 0.0 (2.7) |
|  | \$2,500 to \$4,999 | 0.6 (0.5) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.0 (1.5) | 0.0 (7.7) | 0.4 (0.4) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 1.8 (1.8) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.0 (1.5) | 0.0 (7.7) | 0.9 (0.7) |
|  | \$10,000 or more | 1.2 (1.0) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.0 (1.5) | 0.0 (7.7) | 0.4 (0.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.6 (2.1) | 3.3 (0.5) | 1.1 (0.8) | 23.9 (22.2) | 0.4 (0.4) |
| Business On Property | Yes | 1.7 (0.7) | 1.9 (0.3) | 1.9 (0.7) | 3.3 (1.4) | 2.0 (1.0) |
| Number Of Rooms | 1 room | 3.2 (0.6) | 2.0 (0.2) | 2.8 (0.6) | 4.7 (1.4) | 7.2 (2.8) |
|  | 2 rooms | 5.2 (1.0) | 2.4 (0.2) | 2.6 (0.6) | 6.4 (1.8) | 4.5 (1.9) |
|  | 3 rooms | 11.4 (1.4) | 6.4 (0.4) | 8.3 (1.3) | 11.7 (2.5) | 12.5 (2.9) |
|  | 4 rooms | 18.3 (1.3) | 11.2 (0.6) | 16.3 (1.5) | 16.3 (2.8) | 18.5 (3.2) |
|  | 5 rooms | 23.2 (1.8) | 16.5 (0.6) | 20.6 (2.3) | 18.9 (2.4) | 12.3 (2.1) |
|  | 6 rooms | 20.2 (2.0) | 18.3 (0.6) | 16.1 (1.6) | 16.4 (2.6) | 10.5 (1.5) |
|  | 7 rooms | 12.1 (1.6) | 15.1 (0.5) | 13.3 (2.0) | 12.3 (2.7) | 9.2 (2.1) |
|  | 8 rooms | 4.4 (0.9) | 11.6 (0.5) | 6.9 (1.0) | 8.3 (2.0) | 6.5 (1.6) |
|  | 9 or more rooms | 3.7 (0.8) | 8.3 (0.4) | 6.2 (1.0) | 5.6 (1.6) | 3.2 (1.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 16.2 (0.9) | 13.2 (0.2) | 14.0 (0.9) | 13.2 (1.1) | 12.2 (1.3) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Black } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian } \\ \text { GDR } \end{gathered}$ | Other <br> GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number Of Bedrooms | No bedrooms | 1.7 (0.5) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) |
|  | 1 bedroom | 2.6 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.5) | 1.6 (0.9) | 1.5 (1.1) |
|  | 2 bedrooms | 5.6 (1.0) | 4.3 (0.3) | 4.3 (0.9) | 2.3 (0.7) | 3.5 (1.2) |
|  | 3 bedrooms | 9.0 (1.3) | 7.2 (0.4) | 7.2 (1.2) | 5.6 (1.6) | 8.0 (2.2) |
|  | 4 bedrooms | 7.1 (1.2) | 5.1 (0.3) | 5.3 (1.2) | 6.9 (2.1) | 6.6 (2.1) |
|  | 5 or more bedrooms | 1.0 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.4) | 2.2 (0.8) | 1.0 (0.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.6 (0.8) | 5.3 (0.2) | 5.1 (0.8) | 4.2 (1.0) | 5.4 (1.3) |
| Running Water | Yes | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.7) |
| Toilet | Yes | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.3) | 1.5 (1.0) |
| Bath Shower | Yes | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.4) | 1.5 (1.0) |
| Sink | Yes | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.6) | 1.5 (1.0) |
| Stove | Yes | 1.1 (0.4) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.7) | 1.7 (1.0) |
| Refrigerator | Yes | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.3) |
| Number Of Vehicles | No vehicle available | 3.5 (0.7) | 2.0 (0.2) | 4.6 (0.9) | 1.7 (0.6) | 2.7 (1.3) |
|  | 1 vehicles available | 9.4 (1.3) | 6.3 (0.5) | 11.2 (1.5) | 6.9 (2.7) | 11.7 (2.7) |
|  | 2 vehicles available | 11.7 (1.2) | 10.5 (0.5) | 11.6 (1.4) | 13.4 (3.3) | 15.0 (3.3) |
|  | 3 vehicles available | 7.2 (1.0) | 6.9 (0.4) | 6.9 (1.3) | 8.1 (2.5) | 6.5 (2.0) |
|  | 4 vehicles available | 3.7 (0.7) | 3.2 (0.3) | 2.5 (0.7) | 2.6 (1.2) | 2.5 (1.2) |
|  | 5 or more vehicles available | 1.4 (0.5) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.6) | 0.0 (0.3) | 1.9 (1.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.7 (0.7) | 7.6 (0.4) | 9.2 (1.0) | 9.1 (2.3) | 11.1 (2.4) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black <br> GDR | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heating Fuel Used | Utility gas | 16.5 (1.6) | 7.0 (0.3) | 12.1 (1.3) | 24.3 (4.0) | 13.6 (3.1) |
|  | Bottled, tank, or LP gas | 1.8 (0.5) | 2.0 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.9) | 4.5 (1.6) |
|  | Electricity | 15.5 (1.5) | 7.9 (0.4) | 12.3 (1.5) | 24.1 (4.0) | 15.3 (3.0) |
|  | Fuel oil, kerosene, etc. | 2.9 (0.7) | 0.9 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.4) | 2.1 (1.1) | 2.1 (1.1) |
|  | Coal or coke | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.1) |
|  | Wood | 0.7 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.1) | 1.4 (0.5) |
|  | Solar energy or other fuel | 1.2 (0.4) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.6) | 2.6 (1.5) | 1.1 (0.6) |
|  | No fuel used | 3.5 (0.8) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.5) | 2.0 (1.0) | 3.4 (1.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 14.4 (1.3) | 6.5 (0.3) | 11.3 (1.2) | 21.9 (3.5) | 12.1 (2.5) |
| Monthly Electricity Cost | Less than \$25 | 1.5 (0.4) | 1.3 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.8) | 0.4 (0.3) |
|  | \$25 to \$49 | 7.1 (0.9) | 6.7 (0.4) | 5.9 (0.8) | 9.0 (1.5) | 5.1 (1.6) |
|  | \$50 to \$74 | 16.4 (1.6) | 12.9 (0.4) | 12.3 (1.6) | 18.5 (2.5) | 9.3 (1.9) |
|  | \$75 to \$99 | 15.8 (1.6) | 14.5 (0.5) | 13.9 (1.5) | 18.1 (2.5) | 15.5 (3.5) |
|  | \$100 to \$149 | 21.1 (1.9) | 21.4 (0.7) | 18.9 (1.8) | 25.8 (3.0) | 17.8 (3.2) |
|  | \$150 to \$199 | 12.5 (1.3) | 15.8 (0.6) | 13.7 (1.5) | 12.2 (2.2) | 16.0 (3.3) |
|  | \$200 or more | 9.4 (1.2) | 11.0 (0.6) | 11.7 (1.5) | 9.9 (2.6) | 12.5 (3.1) |
|  | Included in rent or condominium fee | 1.2 (0.4) | 1.0 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.6) | 1.2 (1.0) | 1.8 (1.0) |
|  | No charge or electricity not used | 1.0 (0.5) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.4) | 1.7 (1.1) | 1.7 (1.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 13.7 (0.7) | 14.2 (0.3) | 12.7 (0.8) | 16.4 (1.4) | 12.6 (1.9) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic <br> GDR | White <br> GDR | Black <br> GDR | Asian <br> GDR | Other <br> GDR |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | Asian GDR | Other <br> GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Other Fuel Cost | Less than \$300 | 1.1 (0.5) | 2.7 (0.2) | 3.0 (1.6) | 1.2 (0.7) | 2.9 (1.3) |
|  | \$300 to \$599 | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.3) | 2.0 (1.1) |
|  | \$600 to \$899 | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.5) | 0.0 (0.3) | 4.4 (2.2) |
|  | \$900 to \$1199 | 0.4 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.4) |
|  | \$1200 to \$1799 | 0.5 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) |
|  | \$1800 to \$2399 | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.4 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.3) | 1.7 (1.2) |
|  | \$2400 or more | 0.1 (0.0) | 1.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.7) | 0.8 (0.6) |
|  | Included in rent or condominium fee | 1.9 (0.4) | 2.2 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.4) | 4.9 (1.4) | 1.8 (0.7) |
|  | No charge | 4.1 (0.7) | 8.3 (0.4) | 6.5 (1.9) | 7.1 (1.7) | 10.1 (2.8) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.0 (0.7) | 7.3 (0.3) | 6.1 (1.7) | 6.7 (1.6) | 8.9 (2.3) |
| Food Stamp Recipiency | Yes | 7.8 (0.9) | 2.7 (0.2) | 7.6 (0.7) | 2.7 (0.8) | 3.2 (1.2) |
| Condominium Fee | Less than \$100 per month | 18.4 (11.6) | 4.3 (1.3) | 3.4 (3.5) | 17.5 (15.4) | 14.1 (9.1) |
|  | \$100 to \$149 | 0.7 (0.8) | 3.2 (1.2) | 0.0 (4.7) | 0.0 (4.0) | 2.6 (2.9) |
|  | \$150 to \$199 | 0.7 (0.8) | 4.8 (1.7) | 3.4 (2.6) | 0.0 (4.0) | 4.6 (3.9) |
|  | \$200 to \$299 | 18.9 (11.3) | 4.2 (1.1) | 5.6 (4.3) | 14.3 (7.6) | 8.7 (9.3) |
|  | \$300 to \$499 | 2.2 (1.4) | 6.2 (1.8) | 1.2 (1.3) | 12.5 (7.3) | 3.5 (2.9) |
|  | \$500 or more per month | 0.0 (2.3) | 3.0 (0.8) | 0.0 (4.7) | 19.3 (15.3) | 0.0 (8.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 10.7 (8.2) | 4.6 (1.0) | 2.6 (2.0) | 14.1 (6.7) | 5.7 (4.7) |
| Condominium Status | Yes | 5.0 (1.1) | 2.0 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.4) | 4.6 (1.5) | 2.9 (1.3) |
| Tenure | Owned with a mortgage | 5.5 (1.1) | 3.9 (0.3) | 4.0 (0.8) | 5.4 (2.0) | 3.2 (1.7) |
|  | Owned without a mortgage | 3.7 (0.9) | 4.2 (0.3) | 4.5 (1.0) | 2.8 (1.3) | 2.1 (0.9) |
|  | Rented | 4.5 (0.9) | 1.3 (0.2) | 2.6 (0.7) | 3.5 (1.8) | 2.1 (1.1) |
|  | Occupied without payment of rent | 2.6 (1.0) | 1.3 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.7) | 1.3 (1.0) | 0.9 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.7 (0.7) | 3.2 (0.2) | 3.3 (0.6) | 4.1 (1.5) | 2.5 (1.2) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)
$\left.\begin{array}{clllll}\hline \hline \text { Analysis Topic } & \text { Analysis category } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Hispanic } \\ \text { GDR }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { White } \\ \text { GDR }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Black } \\ \text { GDR }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Asian } \\ \text { GDR }\end{array} \\ \hline \hline & \text { Less than } \$ 100 & 0.3(0.2) & 0.5(0.2) & 0.7(0.4) & 0.0(0.7) \\ \text { Other } \\ \text { GDR }\end{array}\right\}$

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Black } \\ \text { GDR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian } \\ \text { GDR } \end{gathered}$ | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Value | Less than \$50,000 | 2.5 (0.8) | 2.5 (0.2) | 5.9 (1.7) | 0.8 (0.7) | 9.3 (4.7) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$99,999 | 5.6 (1.2) | 4.7 (0.4) | 8.0 (1.8) | 2.4 (1.7) | 8.2 (2.4) |
|  | \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 9.8 (2.1) | 8.2 (0.5) | 11.8 (2.4) | 5.4 (2.3) | 16.3 (5.5) |
|  | \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 11.3 (2.2) | 8.1 (0.4) | 9.7 (2.2) | 7.7 (2.5) | 12.4 (4.3) |
|  | \$200,000 to \$299,999 | 8.2 (2.0) | 7.6 (0.5) | 5.1 (1.2) | 10.0 (2.6) | 11.0 (4.0) |
|  | \$300,000 to \$499,999 | 5.6 (1.7) | 5.3 (0.5) | 5.2 (1.6) | 8.8 (2.4) | 4.8 (2.5) |
|  | \$500,000 to \$999,999 | 0.5 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.8) | 4.6 (1.6) | 0.4 (0.3) |
|  | \$1,000,000 or more | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.4) | 0.2 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.3 (0.9) | 6.2 (0.3) | 7.7 (1.0) | 6.8 (1.4) | 10.6 (2.5) |
| Annual Property Tax Amount | None | 2.2 (1.1) | 1.7 (0.3) | 4.6 (1.7) | 0.0 (0.7) | 4.0 (2.2) |
|  | \$1 to \$299 | 4.3 (1.5) | 2.7 (0.4) | 6.0 (1.4) | 0.0 (0.7) | 7.5 (3.5) |
|  | \$300 to \$599 | 5.0 (1.3) | 3.7 (0.4) | 8.0 (1.7) | 0.0 (0.7) | 2.7 (1.5) |
|  | \$600 to \$899 | 5.3 (1.4) | 4.8 (0.4) | 8.3 (2.0) | 0.4 (0.3) | 5.7 (2.3) |
|  | \$900 to \$1199 | 6.4 (1.8) | 6.0 (0.4) | 6.3 (1.8) | 3.0 (1.7) | 4.0 (1.7) |
|  | \$1,200 to \$1,499 | 9.4 (2.5) | 7.7 (0.5) | 13.9 (4.7) | 4.3 (1.7) | 6.2 (2.4) |
|  | \$1,500 to \$1,799 | 6.1 (2.0) | 6.3 (0.5) | 7.9 (2.6) | 4.4 (2.0) | 3.3 (2.7) |
|  | \$1,800 to \$2,399 | 9.5 (1.9) | 10.0 (0.7) | 12.7 (4.6) | 7.8 (2.5) | 17.0 (6.0) |
|  | \$2,400 to \$3,599 | 8.6 (1.8) | 9.7 (0.5) | 6.9 (2.3) | 12.8 (3.8) | 15.8 (5.3) |
|  | \$3,600 to \$4,799 | 5.1 (1.2) | 5.0 (0.3) | 2.5 (1.0) | 16.2 (4.4) | 11.8 (4.3) |
|  | \$4,800 to \$5,999 | 5.1 (1.5) | 3.2 (0.3) | 2.4 (1.2) | 5.0 (2.2) | 8.7 (3.4) |
|  | \$6,000 to \$7,199 | 2.7 (0.8) | 3.0 (0.3) | 2.8 (1.6) | 6.0 (1.9) | 5.5 (2.1) |
|  | \$7,200 or more | 0.6 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.4) | 5.5 (1.8) | 2.3 (1.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.4 (0.8) | 6.3 (0.2) | 8.0 (1.5) | 8.9 (1.9) | 9.3 (2.3) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian } \\ \text { GDR } \end{gathered}$ | Other <br> GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Property Insurance Amount | None | 5.6 (1.7) | 5.8 (0.5) | 8.8 (2.8) | 4.3 (1.1) | 2.2 (0.8) |
|  | \$1 to \$119 | 1.7 (0.6) | 1.4 (0.2) | 4.4 (1.9) | 4.4 (2.9) | 0.0 (1.1) |
|  | \$120 to \$299 | 2.9 (1.1) | 3.9 (0.5) | 4.7 (1.5) | 10.1 (3.9) | 0.7 (0.4) |
|  | \$300 to \$599 | 9.7 (2.0) | 13.5 (0.7) | 11.7 (1.9) | 11.4 (3.3) | 14.4 (4.1) |
|  | \$600 to \$899 | 14.3 (2.5) | 16.5 (0.8) | 12.9 (2.4) | 11.3 (2.3) | 15.4 (4.3) |
|  | \$900 to \$1,199 | 10.6 (2.3) | 13.2 (0.7) | 14.0 (3.0) | 14.8 (3.3) | 13.4 (5.3) |
|  | \$1,200 to \$1,799 | 12.1 (2.5) | 12.6 (0.7) | 18.9 (3.5) | 15.2 (4.4) | 15.5 (5.4) |
|  | \$1,800 to \$2,399 | 3.9 (1.1) | 6.0 (0.5) | 10.4 (2.9) | 4.5 (2.4) | 5.0 (2.5) |
|  | \$2,400 to \$3,599 | 5.9 (2.0) | 3.3 (0.3) | 3.9 (1.4) | 6.3 (2.9) | 3.3 (2.3) |
|  | \$3,600 to \$4,799 | 0.9 (0.6) | 0.7 (0.2) | 2.6 (2.2) | 2.1 (1.3) | 2.9 (2.2) |
|  | \$4,800 or more | 2.5 (1.4) | 1.1 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.9) | 0.3 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 9.7 (1.1) | 12.0 (0.3) | 12.0 (1.2) | 11.0 (1.4) | 12.3 (2.3) |
| Mortgage Status | Owned with a mortgage | 10.8 (2.2) | 5.8 (0.4) | 12.4 (4.5) | 4.8 (1.9) | 3.1 (1.0) |
|  | Under contract to purchase | 6.3 (2.1) | 1.7 (0.3) | 2.1 (0.8) | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.4) |
|  | No mortgage | 4.7 (1.5) | 4.5 (0.3) | 10.3 (4.2) | 4.0 (1.8) | 2.6 (1.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 10.2 (2.0) | 5.6 (0.4) | 12.0 (4.3) | 4.7 (1.8) | 3.1 (1.0) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black <br> GDR | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian } \\ \text { GDR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Other } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monthly Mortgage Payment | Less than \$200 | 1.7 (1.3) | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.8) | 0.0 (0.7) | 0.0 (1.1) |
|  | \$200 to \$249 | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.7) | 0.4 (0.4) |
|  | \$250 to \$299 | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.8) | 1.9 (1.9) | 0.9 (0.8) |
|  | \$300 to \$349 | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.2) | 2.4 (1.9) | 0.3 (0.3) |
|  | \$350 to \$399 | 2.2 (1.4) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.8) | 2.9 (2.1) | 1.2 (0.7) |
|  | \$400 to \$449 | 2.5 (1.4) | 1.3 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.9) | 0.4 (0.4) | 0.8 (0.6) |
|  | \$450 to \$499 | 1.0 (0.6) | 1.3 (0.3) | 2.3 (1.0) | 0.4 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.4) |
|  | \$500 to \$599 | 3.8 (1.3) | 2.5 (0.4) | 4.5 (2.1) | 1.3 (1.0) | 2.3 (1.4) |
|  | \$600 to \$699 | 2.6 (1.0) | 3.7 (0.6) | 2.2 (0.5) | 4.5 (3.5) | 6.1 (3.3) |
|  | \$700 to \$799 | 3.9 (1.6) | 3.2 (0.4) | 3.5 (1.0) | 3.7 (3.2) | 2.5 (1.8) |
|  | \$800 to \$999 | 5.7 (1.8) | 4.0 (0.4) | 5.4 (2.2) | 4.9 (3.4) | 9.2 (4.2) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$1,249 | 5.8 (1.9) | 6.7 (0.7) | 2.2 (0.8) | 5.1 (3.2) | 9.4 (4.8) |
|  | \$1,250 to \$1,499 | 4.3 (1.9) | 3.9 (0.4) | 4.4 (2.0) | 4.1 (1.3) | 12.8 (4.8) |
|  | \$1,500 to \$1,999 | 5.5 (1.9) | 4.5 (0.5) | 4.8 (1.9) | 8.3 (2.6) | 13.4 (4.4) |
|  | \$2,000 or more | 1.0 (0.3) | 2.7 (0.5) | 3.9 (1.5) | 8.1 (3.1) | 3.5 (1.8) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.2 (0.8) | 3.8 (0.2) | 3.6 (0.8) | 6.0 (1.5) | 7.7 (1.9) |
| Property Tax Included | Yes | 5.7 (1.7) | 6.7 (0.6) | 10.6 (2.3) | 15.7 (4.3) | 9.8 (4.0) |
| Property Insurance Included | Yes | 8.7 (2.0) | 11.6 (0.8) | 10.9 (1.8) | 19.5 (4.6) | 8.8 (2.9) |
| Second Mortgage Type | Home equity loan | 8.6 (2.2) | 6.3 (0.4) | 5.9 (1.7) | 8.5 (2.8) | 6.9 (3.1) |
|  | Second mortgage | 2.2 (0.9) | 2.6 (0.3) | 7.1 (1.8) | 4.8 (2.1) | 3.8 (2.5) |
|  | Second mortgage and home equity loan | 1.4 (0.6) | 1.3 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.7) | 2.4 (1.5) | 3.6 (2.1) |
|  | No second mortgage or home equity loan | 8.1 (2.2) | 5.8 (0.4) | 8.2 (2.0) | 10.7 (3.0) | 6.5 (2.9) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.8 (2.0) | 5.6 (0.4) | 7.8 (1.7) | 9.8 (2.5) | 6.3 (2.5) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | Asian | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second Mortgage Payment Amount | Less than \$100 | 0.0 (2.4) | 5.3 (1.3) | 2.1 (2.2) | 0.0 (4.1) | 3.2 (3.4) |
|  | \$100 to \$199 | 5.3 (2.5) | 12.3 (1.8) | 6.5 (3.2) | 6.5 (4.2) | 6.7 (5.0) |
|  | \$200 to \$249 | 17.3 (9.8) | 10.4 (1.4) | 21.3 (11.8) | 9.6 (6.8) | 12.7 (7.8) |
|  | \$250 to \$299 | 12.4 (10.0) | 4.7 (0.9) | 9.6 (5.2) | 5.2 (3.5) | 11.9 (7.5) |
|  | \$300 to \$349 | 5.0 (3.1) | 6.8 (1.2) | 7.8 (5.1) | 0.0 (4.1) | 4.9 (4.0) |
|  | \$350 to \$399 | 1.1 (1.0) | 4.3 (1.0) | 0.4 (0.4) | 3.4 (3.8) | 0.0 (7.6) |
|  | \$400 to \$449 | 5.6 (3.4) | 3.4 (0.6) | 0.0 (2.8) | 2.1 (1.8) | 0.0 (7.6) |
|  | \$450 to \$499 | 1.1 (1.1) | 2.2 (0.7) | 1.1 (1.2) | 2.4 (1.9) | 0.0 (7.6) |
|  | \$500 to \$599 | 6.9 (4.8) | 6.8 (1.3) | 3.4 (2.0) | 10.8 (7.1) | 0.5 (0.7) |
|  | \$600 to \$699 | 3.0 (1.7) | 2.3 (0.6) | 0.4 (0.4) | 1.8 (1.9) | 0.0 (7.6) |
|  | \$700 to \$799 | 0.0 (2.4) | 1.5 (0.4) | 0.0 (2.8) | 2.8 (2.3) | 0.0 (7.6) |
|  | \$800 to \$999 | 0.9 (0.9) | 2.0 (0.4) | 15.3 (12.0) | 0.0 (4.1) | 0.0 (7.6) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$1,249 | 0.9 (0.9) | 1.7 (0.5) | 0.0 (2.8) | 4.5 (3.3) | 0.0 (7.6) |
|  | \$1,250 or more | 5.7 (4.7) | 1.8 (0.5) | 0.0 (2.8) | 0.6 (0.6) | 0.0 (7.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.6 (4.5) | 6.9 (0.7) | 9.9 (5.5) | 5.0 (2.4) | 8.6 (5.2) |
| Annual Mobile Home Costs | Less than \$250 | 13.9 (10.8) | 18.3 (4.9) | 0.0 (22.6) | 100.0 (47.4) | 3.2 (5.1) |
|  | \$250 to \$2,499 | 23.3 (12.5) | 24.8 (5.2) | 0.0 (22.6) | 0.0 (47.4) | 3.2 (5.1) |
|  | \$2,500 or more | 15.8 (9.5) | 25.5 (6.3) | 0.0 (22.6) | 100.0 (47.4) | 0.0 (14.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 17.5 (8.8) | 23.7 (4.7) | 0.0 (22.6) | 100.0 (47.4) | 1.1 (3.4) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Relationship To Householder | Householder | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | Husband or Wife | 1.2 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) |
|  | Biological Son or Daughter | 1.9 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.4) | 0.3 (0.2) | 2.7 (0.8) |
|  | Adopted Son or Daughter | 1.0 (0.3) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.2 (0.7) |
|  | Stepson or Stepdaughter | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.5) |
|  | Brother or sister | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.2) |
|  | Father or mother | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.5) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | Grandchild | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | Parent-in-law | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | Son-in-law or daughter-in-law | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) |
|  | Other relative | 1.3 (0.4) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.6) | 0.9 (0.8) |
|  | Roomer or boarder | 0.8 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.2) |
|  | Housemate or roommate | 1.8 (0.5) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.6) | 0.6 (0.3) |
|  | Unmarried partner | 1.2 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.5) | 0.1 (0.1) |
|  | Foster child | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.2) |
|  | Other nonrelative | 2.3 (0.6) | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.4) | 1.5 (0.6) | 1.7 (0.8) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 1.1 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.0) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.4) |
| Sex | Male | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.4) | 0.2 (0.1) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | $\begin{gathered} \text { Black } \\ \text { GDR } \end{gathered}$ | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | Age 0-4 | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | Age 5-9 | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) |
|  | Age 10-14 | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) |
|  | Age 15-17 | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) |
|  | Age 18-19 | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) |
|  | Age 20 | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | Age 21 | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | Age 22-24 | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | Age 25-29 | 1.3 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.0) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.2) |
|  | Age 30-34 | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.0) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) |
|  | Age 35-39 | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.0) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.2) |
|  | Age 40-44 | 1.2 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | Age 45-49 | 1.2 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.2) |
|  | Age 50-54 | 0.7 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.4) |
|  | Age 55-59 | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.4) | 0.6 (0.2) |
|  | Age 60-61 | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | Age 62-64 | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.0) |
|  | Age 65-66 | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.0) |
|  | Age 67-69 | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.2) |
|  | Age 70-74 | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.2) |
|  | Age 75-79 | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) |
|  | Age 80-84 | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) |
|  | Age 85 + | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.8 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.0) | 0.5 (0.0) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) |
| Age Range Estimate | Age Range 0-14 | 11.4 (6.1) | 3.6 (2.0) | 2.7 (2.8) | 0.0 (5.0) | 0.0 (15.5) |
| Not Hispanic | Not Hispanic | 3.6 (0.6) | 1.4 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.6) |
| Hispanic Mexican | Mexican | 5.0 (1.1) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.5) |
| Hispanic Puerto Rican | Puerto Rican | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.2) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian } \\ \text { GDR } \end{gathered}$ | Other <br> GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic Cuban | Cuban | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) |
| Hispanic Other | Other Hispanic | 6.7 (1.3) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.2) |
| Hispanic Write-in Present | Hispanic write-in present | 7.4 (1.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 2.2 (0.9) | 3.1 (2.0) | 0.8 (0.3) |
| Hispanic Aggregate | Not Hispanic or Latino | 2.7 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.3) | 0.2 (0.1) | 1.6 (0.6) |
|  | Mexican alone | 4.8 (0.7) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.5) |
|  | Puerto Rican alone | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.2) |
|  | Cuban alone | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | Other Hispanic or Latino (no write-in, or one write-in alone) | 4.1 (0.7) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) |
|  | Multiple responses (with at least one Hispanic response) | 2.5 (0.5) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.0 (0.6) | 1.4 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.3) | 0.2 (0.1) | 1.6 (0.6) |
| Race White | White | 31.3 (2.0) | 0.7 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.4) | 4.5 (2.5) | 16.4 (3.3) |
| Race Black | Black | 2.1 (0.5) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.8 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.6) | 4.8 (1.4) |
| Race American Indian Alaska Native | American Indian or Alaska Native | 3.4 (0.6) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.6) | 0.3 (0.3) | 14.6 (2.5) |
| Race Asian Indian | Asian Indian | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 3.9 (1.0) | 2.2 (1.0) |
| Race Chinese | Chinese | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 3.4 (1.4) | 0.8 (0.4) |
| Race Filipino | Filipino | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.2) | 3.2 (1.1) |
| Race Japanese | Japanese | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.9 (1.3) | 0.6 (0.3) |
| Race Korean | Korean | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.7 (0.5) | 0.2 (0.2) |
| Race Vietnamese | Vietnamese | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.8 (0.5) | 0.1 (0.1) |
| Race Other Asian | Other Asian | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 6.6 (2.2) | 4.4 (1.9) |
| Race Hawaiian | Native Hawaiian | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.4) |
| Race Guamanian Or Chamorro Or Samoan Or Other Pacific Islander | Guamanian or Chamorro, Samoan, or Other Pacific Islander | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 1.7 (1.1) | 1.5 (0.8) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic <br> GDR | White <br> GDR | Black <br> GDR | Asian <br> GDR |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Race Other | Some other race | $34.1(2.2)$ | $0.8(0.2)$ | $2.2(0.7)$ | $3.1(0.9)$ |
| Race Write-in 1 Present | Race write-in 1 present | $2.7(0.5)$ | $0.8(0.1)$ | $1.9(0.8)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ |
| Race Write-in 2 Present | Race write-in 2 present | $32.3(2.1)$ | $0.8(0.2)$ | $2.0(0.7)$ | $2.4(0.9)$ |
| Race Write-in 3 Present | Race write-in 3 present | $1.9(0.7)$ | $0.1(0.1)$ | $0.2(0.1)$ | $8.2(1.9)$ |
|  | White alone | $33.6(2.1)$ | $2.3(0.2)$ | $0.3(0.1)$ | $0.5(0.3)$ |
|  | Black alone | $1.4(0.3)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ | $5.2(1.0)$ | $0.1(0.1)$ |
|  | American Indian or Alaska Native alone | $1.3(0.4)$ | $0.2(0.1)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ | $0.3(0.3)$ |
|  | Asian alone | $0.1(0.1)$ | $0.1(0.0)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ | $10.3(1.9)$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .
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Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Black } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | Asian GDR | Other <br> GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place of Birth | Born in U.S., in state of current residence | 0.7 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.6) | 0.8 (0.5) | 4.0 (1.7) |
|  | Born in U.S., Northeast region, not state of current residence | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.4) |
|  | Born in U.S., Midwest region, not state of current residence | 0.8 (0.5) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | Born in U.S., South region, not state of current residence | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 1.6 (0.7) | 0.7 (0.5) | 3.5 (1.7) |
|  | Born in U.S., West region, not state of current residence | 0.9 (0.5) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | Puerto Rico and U.S. Island and Outlying Areas | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.4) |
|  | Mexico | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) |
|  | El Salvador | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | Cuba | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | Dominican Republic | 0.3 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | Guatemala | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | All Other Latin America | 0.4 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) | 1.1 (1.1) |
|  | Northern America | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | China | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | India | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.8 (0.5) | 1.2 (1.1) |
|  | Philippines | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | Vietnam | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | Korea | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | All Other Asia | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.1 (0.6) | 0.1 (0.1) |
|  | Europe | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | Africa | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) |
|  | Oceania | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.5 (0.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.5) | 0.6 (0.2) | 2.8 (1.2) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asian } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Place of Birth US or Not | Born in the U.S. (including Puerto Rico and outlying areas) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.4) |
| Place Of Birth Outside US 1 | Born outside the U.S.: Americas | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.1) | 10.1 (9.6) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Asia | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.4) | 0.1 (0.1) | 10.1 (9.6) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Europe | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Africa | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Oceania | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.4) | 0.1 (0.1) | 7.6 (7.8) |
| Place Of Birth Outside US 2 | Born outside the U.S.: Northern America | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Latin America | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.1) | 10.1 (9.6) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Asia | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.4) | 0.1 (0.1) | 10.1 (9.6) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Europe | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Africa | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Born outside the U.S.: Oceania | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.4) | 0.1 (0.1) | 7.3 (7.5) |
| Citizenship Status | U.S. citizen, born in U.S. | 0.7 (0.3) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.6) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | U.S. citizen, born in Puerto Rico or U.S. outlying areas | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.4) |
|  | U.S. citizen, born abroad of American parent(s) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 3.3 (1.8) | 0.5 (0.4) |
|  | U.S. citizen by naturalization | 2.5 (0.5) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.6 (0.3) | 5.0 (2.0) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | Not a U.S. citizen | 1.7 (0.4) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) | 1.5 (1.0) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 1.3 (0.3) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 3.1 (1.2) | 0.2 (0.1) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Asian } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Other } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Of Naturalization | Naturalized 2005 or later | 2.4 (0.9) | 4.6 (3.8) | 3.0 (2.5) | 1.5 (0.7) | 0.0 (4.4) |
|  | Naturalized 2000 to 2004 | 2.8 (0.9) | 9.2 (4.1) | 14.8 (12.8) | 6.8 (4.0) | 0.0 (4.4) |
|  | Naturalized 1995 to 1999 | 6.3 (2.5) | 8.7 (4.4) | 17.3 (12.9) | 11.1 (4.5) | 2.7 (4.4) |
|  | Naturalized 1990 to 1994 | 4.9 (1.5) | 10.4 (4.6) | 6.3 (3.7) | 4.6 (2.2) | 0.0 (4.4) |
|  | Naturalized 1985 to 1989 | 6.7 (2.7) | 3.0 (1.3) | 1.1 (0.9) | 5.1 (2.1) | 0.0 (4.4) |
|  | Naturalized 1980 to 1984 | 6.4 (2.2) | 3.2 (1.8) | 0.0 (0.9) | 4.6 (1.9) | 2.2 (2.6) |
|  | Naturalized before 1980 | 4.0 (1.8) | 4.7 (2.0) | 0.3 (0.3) | 1.9 (1.5) | 4.9 (6.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.1 (0.9) | 6.5 (2.0) | 8.3 (7.5) | 5.3 (1.8) | 1.3 (2.7) |
| Year Of Entry | Entered 2005 or later | 2.5 (0.7) | 0.9 (0.4) | 3.7 (3.2) | 1.1 (0.5) | 0.0 (1.6) |
|  | Entered 2000 to 2004 | 4.0 (1.3) | 4.0 (1.9) | 0.5 (0.5) | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.0 (1.6) |
|  | Entered 1995 to 1999 | 5.6 (1.3) | 5.0 (2.0) | 3.5 (3.2) | 1.1 (0.5) | 3.5 (3.5) |
|  | Entered 1990 to 1994 | 4.4 (0.9) | 2.0 (0.7) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.3) | 8.9 (5.8) |
|  | Entered 1985 to 1989 | 4.9 (1.1) | 2.8 (0.8) | 0.7 (0.6) | 5.6 (2.0) | 8.5 (5.7) |
|  | Entered 1980 to 1984 | 3.9 (1.2) | 1.7 (0.6) | 0.2 (0.2) | 4.5 (2.0) | 3.8 (3.5) |
|  | Entered before 1980 | 2.3 (0.8) | 2.4 (1.1) | 0.6 (0.6) | 2.2 (1.3) | 0.7 (0.8) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.9 (0.6) | 2.8 (0.8) | 1.9 (1.6) | 2.4 (0.8) | 2.5 (2.4) |
| School Attendance | Enrolled in Public School | 5.7 (1.2) | 2.5 (0.3) | 5.0 (0.9) | 1.4 (0.4) | 6.2 (2.6) |
|  | Enrolled in Private School | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.2 (0.2) | 1.9 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.6) | 4.5 (2.6) |
|  | Not enrolled in school | 5.2 (1.1) | 2.3 (0.2) | 3.9 (0.9) | 2.1 (0.6) | 4.0 (1.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.2 (1.1) | 2.3 (0.2) | 4.1 (0.9) | 1.9 (0.6) | 4.6 (1.4) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School Grade Level | Enrolled in nursery school, preschool | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.5) | 0.5 (0.5) | 0.9 (0.9) |
|  | Enrolled in kindergarten | 4.4 (2.8) | 1.3 (0.4) | 0.6 (0.5) | 0.5 (0.5) | 0.0 (0.6) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 1 | 4.7 (2.8) | 0.7 (0.4) | 0.1 (0.1) | 5.6 (5.4) | 0.8 (0.8) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 2 | 1.2 (0.5) | 0.6 (0.2) | 4.0 (2.3) | 5.6 (5.4) | 1.7 (0.9) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 3 | 0.5 (0.3) | 1.8 (0.8) | 3.5 (2.2) | 0.0 (0.6) | 2.5 (2.5) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 4 | 1.9 (1.0) | 1.7 (0.8) | 1.0 (0.6) | 2.9 (2.8) | 5.4 (3.1) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 5 | 3.9 (1.3) | 2.5 (1.1) | 1.6 (1.3) | 2.9 (2.8) | 3.3 (1.9) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 6 | 4.6 (1.7) | 2.7 (1.2) | 1.4 (1.2) | 0.0 (0.6) | 1.1 (0.8) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 7 | 4.8 (1.9) | 1.3 (0.5) | 1.3 (0.8) | 0.0 (0.6) | 0.7 (0.7) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 8 | 4.6 (1.6) | 1.2 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.8) | 0.0 (0.6) | 1.2 (1.0) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 9 | 2.6 (1.1) | 1.9 (0.8) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.6) | 0.7 (0.6) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 10 | 2.0 (1.5) | 2.0 (0.7) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.6) | 1.5 (1.2) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 11 | 0.7 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.5) | 5.2 (2.6) | 1.0 (1.0) | 0.0 (0.6) |
|  | Enrolled in Grade 12 | 4.2 (1.6) | 1.1 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.4) | 1.0 (1.0) | 2.7 (2.5) |
|  | Enrolled in college, undergraduate years | 2.1 (0.8) | 2.1 (0.4) | 6.7 (2.6) | 1.0 (1.1) | 4.2 (2.6) |
|  | Graduate or professional school | 0.5 (0.3) | 1.7 (0.4) | 2.0 (0.9) | 1.0 (1.1) | 1.6 (0.9) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 2.9 (0.7) | 1.7 (0.2) | 3.1 (1.1) | 1.4 (1.2) | 2.3 (1.1) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Asian } \\ \text { GDR } \end{gathered}$ | Other <br> GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Educational Attainment | No schooling completed | 2.5 (0.7) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.5) | 3.7 (1.6) | 1.8 (0.6) |
|  | Nursery school | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.4) | 0.6 (0.6) | 1.0 (0.5) |
|  | Kindergarten | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.9) | 0.3 (0.2) |
|  | 1st grade | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.7 (0.5) | 1.0 (0.9) | 0.3 (0.3) |
|  | 2nd grade | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.5) | 1.5 (1.3) | 0.7 (0.7) |
|  | 3rd grade | 1.9 (0.8) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.3) | 0.7 (0.7) |
|  | 4th grade | 2.8 (0.9) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.4) |
|  | 5th grade | 1.9 (0.4) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.3) | 1.1 (1.0) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | 6th grade | 4.6 (0.9) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) |
|  | 7th grade | 2.1 (0.4) | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.6) | 0.3 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.7) |
|  | 8th grade | 2.9 (0.7) | 0.7 (0.1) | 2.2 (1.0) | 0.5 (0.3) | 2.7 (1.7) |
|  | 9th grade | 4.2 (0.9) | 0.9 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.4) | 1.2 (1.0) | 2.9 (1.7) |
|  | 10th grade | 2.3 (0.6) | 1.1 (0.1) | 3.1 (0.7) | 0.6 (0.3) | 1.7 (1.0) |
|  | 11th grade | 3.3 (0.8) | 1.2 (0.2) | 3.6 (1.1) | 1.6 (0.8) | 1.9 (1.0) |
|  | 12th grade, no diploma | 2.2 (0.5) | 0.9 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.6) | 1.8 (1.0) |
|  | Regular high school diploma | 10.4 (1.2) | 6.9 (0.4) | 8.2 (1.1) | 5.1 (1.2) | 10.2 (2.5) |
|  | GED, or alternative credential | 2.5 (0.5) | 1.7 (0.2) | 2.6 (0.6) | 1.2 (0.6) | 6.6 (2.7) |
|  | Some college, less than one year | 4.7 (0.9) | 6.6 (0.5) | 5.7 (1.0) | 3.2 (1.2) | 6.1 (1.5) |
|  | Some college, one or more years, no degree | 9.4 (1.2) | 8.1 (0.5) | 11.5 (1.5) | 4.9 (1.3) | 11.3 (2.2) |
|  | Associate's degree | 3.8 (0.7) | 2.9 (0.2) | 4.5 (1.0) | 5.9 (1.7) | 4.1 (2.0) |
|  | Bachelor's degree | 3.6 (0.9) | 2.2 (0.2) | 2.4 (0.6) | 7.0 (2.0) | 4.0 (2.0) |
|  | Master's degree | 1.1 (0.6) | 1.7 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.5) | 0.7 (0.3) |
|  | Professional school degree | 1.2 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.4) | 2.8 (1.1) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | Doctorate degree | 1.0 (0.5) | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.6 (0.6) | 0.6 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.2 (0.4) | 4.2 (0.2) | 5.5 (0.6) | 4.1 (0.7) | 5.7 (0.9) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Asian } \\ \text { GDR } \end{gathered}$ | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Field Of Bachelor's Degree | Computers, Mathematics, and Statistics | 3.4 (3.0) | 1.3 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.7) | 3.9 (1.3) | 0.0 (1.2) |
|  | Biological, Agricultural, and Environmental Sciences | 0.4 (0.3) | 1.4 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.4) |
|  | Physical and Related Sciences | 3.8 (1.5) | 2.4 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.7) | 3.0 (1.0) | 0.9 (0.8) |
|  | Psychology | 1.1 (0.5) | 1.0 (0.2) | 2.0 (0.9) | 1.4 (1.2) | 0.0 (1.2) |
|  | Social Sciences | 5.4 (2.5) | 2.7 (0.4) | 4.7 (2.4) | 3.5 (1.9) | 2.6 (1.3) |
|  | Engineering | 3.6 (1.7) | 1.0 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.5) | 2.3 (0.7) | 1.0 (0.8) |
|  | Multidisciplinary Studies | 1.2 (0.7) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.3) | 1.9 (1.1) | 0.3 (0.3) |
|  | Science and Engineering Related | 3.7 (2.0) | 2.3 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.6) | 2.5 (1.0) | 0.9 (0.6) |
|  | Business | 2.9 (1.5) | 3.0 (0.3) | 3.6 (1.2) | 4.6 (1.7) | 4.3 (2.1) |
|  | Education | 3.2 (1.2) | 3.9 (0.5) | 2.2 (1.0) | 0.8 (0.5) | 3.7 (1.7) |
|  | Literature and Languages | 1.3 (0.5) | 1.8 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.7) | 1.1 (0.9) | 3.5 (1.5) |
|  | Liberal Arts and History | 3.2 (1.4) | 3.1 (0.3) | 3.9 (1.9) | 2.9 (1.3) | 4.6 (2.4) |
|  | Visual and Performing Arts | 5.0 (3.3) | 1.5 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.3) | 2.9 (1.9) | 0.0 (1.2) |
|  | Communications | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.8 (0.1) | 1.9 (0.9) | 1.7 (1.1) | 1.1 (0.8) |
|  | Other Bachelor Degree Field | 4.1 (1.6) | 1.8 (0.2) | 3.6 (1.4) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.5) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black <br> GDR | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ancestry | American | 1.1 (0.4) | 8.9 (0.6) | 5.3 (1.2) | 1.7 (0.8) | 6.6 (2.8) |
|  | Arab | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.4) | 0.1 (0.1) |
|  | British | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.4 (0.4) | 0.7 (0.3) |
|  | Czech | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) |
|  | Danish | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) |
|  | Dutch | 0.2 (0.1) | 1.8 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) | 1.5 (0.8) |
|  | English | 0.6 (0.3) | 11.0 (0.5) | 0.9 (0.4) | 1.7 (1.5) | 8.4 (3.0) |
|  | European | 0.1 (0.0) | 2.5 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.3) |
|  | French (except Basque) | 0.5 (0.2) | 4.5 (0.4) | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.0 (0.1) | 2.5 (0.8) |
|  | French Canadian | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.4) |
|  | German | 0.8 (0.2) | 11.9 (0.5) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.1) | 7.1 (2.3) |
|  | Greek | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.2) |
|  | Hungarian | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.2) |
|  | Irish | 1.1 (0.3) | 11.4 (0.5) | 1.0 (0.6) | 0.0 (0.0) | 10.4 (3.2) |
|  | Italian | 1.7 (0.6) | 2.2 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) | 2.3 (1.2) |
|  | Lithuanian | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.2) |
|  | Norwegian | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.2 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.5) |
|  | Polish | 0.6 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | Portuguese | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.2) |
|  | Russian | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.3) |
|  | Scotch-Irish | 0.1 (0.0) | 2.4 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) |
|  | Scottish | 0.0 (0.0) | 2.9 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.5) |
|  | Slovak | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | Sub-Saharan African | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 5.9 (1.1) | 0.5 (0.5) | 3.2 (1.4) |
|  | Swedish | 0.2 (0.1) | 1.4 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 1.9 (1.4) |
|  | Swiss | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.2) |
|  | Ukrainian | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.2) |
|  | Welsh | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.3 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.4) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic <br> GDR | White <br> GDR | Black <br> GDR | Asian <br> GDR |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | West Indian (except Hispanic groups) | $0.6(0.4)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ | $4.6(1.5)$ | $0.0(0.1)$ |
| Other <br> GDR |  |  |  |  |  |
| Language Other Than <br> English Spoken At <br> Home | Yes | $2.5(0.6)$ | $14.1(0.6)$ | $10.9(1.3)$ | $1.2(0.7)$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black <br> GDR | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Specific Language Spoken | Spanish | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.7) | 0.0 (0.8) | 0.3 (0.3) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | French | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.5 (0.8) | 9.1 (6.5) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Italian | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.7) | 0.0 (0.8) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Portuguese | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.8) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | German | 0.1 (0.1) | 1.6 (0.7) | 0.0 (0.8) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Russian | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.8) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Polish, Serbo-Croatian, and other Slavic | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.8) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Gujarati | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.8) | 2.2 (1.8) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Hindi | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.2 (1.1) | 0.0 (0.8) | 2.7 (1.5) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Urdu and other Indic | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.2 (1.1) | 0.0 (0.8) | 4.0 (2.3) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | French Creole, Yiddish, Other W. Germanic, Scandinavian, Greek, Armenian, Persian, and other IndoEuropean | 0.0 (0.0) | 2.1 (1.0) | 8.9 (6.5) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Chinese | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.8) | 0.4 (0.3) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Korean | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.8) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Arabic | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.3 (1.2) | 0.0 (0.8) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Vietnamese | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.8) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Japanese, Mon-Khmer, Cambodian, Hmong, Thai, Laotian, and other Asian | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.8) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Tagalog and other Pacific Island | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.8) | 0.5 (0.4) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | African languages | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.7) | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | Navajo, other Native American, Hungarian, Hebrew, and all others | 0.2 (0.1) | 2.2 (1.4) | 0.7 (0.6) | 0.6 (0.3) | 0.0 (1.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.2 (0.4) | 5.7 (4.1) | 1.2 (0.6) | 0.0 (1.4) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| English Speaking Ability | Very well | 12.7 (1.2) | 15.0 (3.3) | 18.5 (8.7) | 17.3 (4.0) | 14.7 (5.1) |
|  | Well | 21.1 (1.6) | 18.1 (3.6) | 22.9 (8.9) | 21.4 (3.9) | 12.8 (4.6) |
|  | Not well | 19.9 (1.9) | 4.6 (1.4) | 5.4 (4.3) | 9.7 (2.3) | 4.1 (2.3) |
|  | Not at all | 9.2 (1.2) | 2.0 (1.1) | 0.0 (0.8) | 1.8 (0.8) | 1.8 (1.9) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 16.1 (1.0) | 14.5 (3.1) | 16.9 (8.4) | 16.8 (3.3) | 13.3 (4.5) |
| Geographical Mobility In Past Year | Same house one year ago | 7.3 (1.2) | 3.3 (0.3) | 8.3 (1.5) | 7.2 (2.3) | 4.7 (1.4) |
|  | Moved within same county | 6.2 (1.2) | 2.3 (0.2) | 7.0 (1.5) | 3.5 (1.6) | 3.2 (1.0) |
|  | Moved from different county within state | 0.9 (0.3) | 0.9 (0.2) | 2.2 (0.7) | 1.0 (0.8) | 1.4 (0.6) |
|  | Moved from different state | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.2) | 1.5 (0.9) | 0.9 (0.5) |
|  | Moved from outside U.S. | 0.9 (0.4) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.1 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.9) | 0.0 (0.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.9 (1.1) | 3.0 (0.2) | 7.7 (1.4) | 6.1 (1.9) | 4.3 (1.2) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Through Employer | 7.4 (1.0) | 7.2 (0.4) | 10.3 (1.6) | 11.3 (3.1) | 4.7 (1.0) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Purchased Directly | 5.9 (0.9) | 12.0 (0.4) | 13.7 (1.6) | 12.0 (2.2) | 5.5 (1.4) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Medicare | 2.3 (0.5) | 2.5 (0.2) | 4.3 (0.8) | 4.3 (2.1) | 2.8 (1.8) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Medicaid | 8.2 (1.1) | 3.4 (0.3) | 7.5 (1.1) | 1.3 (0.5) | 4.3 (1.9) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Military | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.2 (0.6) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Veterans Administration | 0.7 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.1) | 2.4 (0.6) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.5) |
| Health Insurance | Yes, Indian Health Service | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.0 (0.0) | 2.6 (0.7) |
| Health Insurance Aggregate | With private health insurance coverage only | 7.3 (1.0) | 4.2 (0.3) | 9.2 (1.3) | 4.0 (1.0) | 3.5 (0.9) |
|  | With public health coverage only | 8.5 (1.1) | 6.8 (0.4) | 11.1 (1.5) | 5.2 (1.6) | 4.3 (1.0) |
|  | With both private and public health coverage | 3.4 (0.6) | 7.1 (0.3) | 9.1 (1.5) | 5.9 (2.3) | 3.5 (0.8) |
|  | No health insurance coverage | 9.2 (1.4) | 2.8 (0.2) | 8.2 (1.3) | 5.1 (1.9) | 2.5 (0.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.2 (1.0) | 4.9 (0.2) | 9.6 (1.0) | 4.4 (1.0) | 3.6 (0.7) |
| Difficulty Hearing | Yes | 1.8 (0.4) | 3.8 (0.3) | 2.3 (0.5) | 0.8 (0.3) | 4.8 (1.8) |
| Difficulty Vision | Yes | 3.0 (0.6) | 2.5 (0.2) | 3.4 (0.6) | 0.2 (0.1) | 2.1 (0.8) |
| Difficulty Cognitive | Yes | 4.9 (0.8) | 3.8 (0.3) | 5.0 (0.8) | 0.6 (0.3) | 5.0 (1.3) |
| Difficulty Ambulatory | Yes | 4.0 (0.6) | 5.0 (0.3) | 6.2 (0.8) | 2.7 (1.2) | 3.9 (0.9) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian } \\ \text { GDR } \end{gathered}$ | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Difficulty Self Care | Yes | 2.2 (0.6) | 2.4 (0.3) | 2.6 (0.4) | 0.7 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.5) |
| Difficulty Independent Living | Yes | 3.3 (0.7) | 3.6 (0.3) | 4.5 (0.6) | 2.1 (1.0) | 4.1 (1.2) |
| Marital Status | Now married | 7.0 (1.5) | 1.9 (0.4) | 0.7 (0.3) | 4.0 (2.4) | 0.6 (0.3) |
|  | Widowed | 1.2 (0.4) | 1.2 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.6) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | Divorced | 5.4 (1.2) | 3.2 (0.5) | 2.7 (1.0) | 3.9 (2.5) | 0.7 (0.3) |
|  | Separated | 4.2 (0.9) | 1.9 (0.3) | 2.5 (1.0) | 0.4 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.3) |
|  | Never married | 6.8 (1.3) | 2.0 (0.5) | 1.7 (0.5) | 1.8 (1.1) | 0.5 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.1 (1.0) | 2.2 (0.3) | 1.8 (0.4) | 2.4 (1.1) | 0.5 (0.2) |
| Married In Past Year | Yes | 3.2 (0.7) | 1.1 (0.2) | 3.6 (2.4) | 6.1 (1.9) | 0.4 (0.3) |
| Widowed In Past Year | Yes | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.5) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) |
| Divorced In Past Year | Yes | 1.9 (0.5) | 0.7 (0.1) | 3.2 (2.0) | 0.5 (0.4) | 0.2 (0.1) |
| Number Of Times Married | Once married | 6.1 (1.0) | 2.0 (0.2) | 3.8 (0.8) | 4.7 (1.8) | 2.4 (1.6) |
|  | Twice married | 6.5 (1.0) | 2.7 (0.2) | 5.3 (1.0) | 4.7 (1.8) | 2.7 (1.7) |
|  | Married three or more times | 0.5 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.1) | 2.2 (0.9) | 0.0 (0.2) | 3.4 (2.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.9 (1.0) | 2.1 (0.2) | 4.1 (0.7) | 4.7 (1.8) | 2.5 (1.4) |
| Year Last Married | Before 2000 | 4.0 (1.0) | 0.9 (0.2) | 2.6 (1.3) | 1.3 (0.9) | 1.4 (0.7) |
|  | 2000 to 2004 | 4.0 (1.1) | 1.0 (0.2) | 2.9 (1.3) | 3.1 (1.8) | 1.7 (0.6) |
|  | 2005 to 2009 | 4.7 (1.2) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.6) | 2.5 (1.7) | 2.0 (0.9) |
|  | 2010 | 2.0 (0.7) | 0.6 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.6) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | 2011 | 1.1 (0.4) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.2) |
|  | 2012 | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.8 (0.8) | 0.9 (0.2) | 2.4 (1.1) | 1.7 (1.0) | 1.5 (0.6) |
| Birth In Past Year | Yes | 0.8 (0.4) | 1.5 (0.2) | 1.7 (1.1) | 1.6 (0.8) | 0.0 (0.7) |
| Grandparents Living With Own Grandchildren | Yes | 2.4 (0.6) | 0.8 (0.1) | 1.6 (0.5) | 0.1 (0.1) | 3.7 (2.3) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grandparents Responsible For Grandchildren | Yes | 10.3 (4.5) | 20.1 (7.5) | 9.0 (4.3) | 0.0 (5.5) | 41.4 (20.0) |
| Grandparents Time Responsible For Grandchildren | Less than one year | 6.0 (4.9) | 15.6 (6.1) | 0.4 (0.4) | 0.0 (8.7) | 0.0 (30.0) |
|  | 1 to 2 years | 10.8 (7.3) | 31.9 (10.0) | 2.3 (1.9) | 0.0 (8.7) | 0.0 (30.0) |
|  | 3 or 4 years | 8.4 (7.1) | 7.2 (3.6) | 4.6 (3.4) | 0.0 (8.7) | 0.0 (30.0) |
|  | 5 or more years | 10.4 (7.8) | 22.1 (9.6) | 6.6 (4.2) | 0.0 (8.7) | 0.0 (30.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.7 (5.5) | 23.0 (7.3) | 5.6 (3.3) | 0.0 (8.7) | 0.0 (30.0) |
| Military Service | Now on active duty | 0.1 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.0) | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | On active duty during the last 12 months but not now | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.3) | 0.4 (0.3) | 0.3 (0.1) |
|  | On active duty in the past, but not in last 12 months | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.1) | 2.1 (0.4) | 0.4 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.5) |
|  | Training in Reserves or National Guard only | 0.3 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.4) |
|  | Never in the military | 0.5 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.3) | 1.6 (0.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 0.5 (0.2) | 1.0 (0.1) | 1.3 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.3) | 1.5 (0.6) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Asian } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \hline \text { Other } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period Of Military Service | Between Gulf War I and Vietnam era only | 8.8 (4.8) | 3.5 (0.5) | 6.7 (2.4) | 8.3 (7.0) | 3.4 (1.5) |
|  | Between Korean War and World War II only | 0.3 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.0 (3.6) | 0.0 (1.3) |
|  | Between Vietnam Era and Korean War only | 0.8 (0.5) | 4.2 (0.6) | 3.1 (1.6) | 4.0 (4.3) | 0.3 (0.2) |
|  | Gulf War I and Vietnam era | 0.3 (0.3) | 1.3 (0.3) | 0.8 (0.4) | 0.5 (0.6) | 0.0 (1.3) |
|  | Gulf War I, no Vietnam era | 3.5 (1.6) | 3.2 (0.5) | 5.3 (2.1) | 13.2 (8.4) | 3.4 (1.4) |
|  | Gulf War II and Gulf War I, and Vietnam era / or no Vietnam era | 3.2 (1.3) | 3.6 (0.5) | 5.4 (2.1) | 11.0 (7.2) | 1.7 (1.1) |
|  | Gulf War II, no Gulf War I, no Vietnam Era | 2.8 (1.5) | 3.0 (0.5) | 3.5 (1.3) | 5.3 (4.8) | 1.9 (1.1) |
|  | Korean War and World War II, no Vietnam Era | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (3.6) | 0.0 (1.3) |
|  | Korean War, no Vietnam Era, no World War II | 0.3 (0.3) | 2.3 (0.4) | 1.4 (0.8) | 0.0 (3.6) | 0.3 (0.2) |
|  | Pre-World War II only or World War II, no Korean War, no Vietnam Era | 0.2 (0.2) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (3.6) | 0.0 (1.3) |
|  | Vietnam Era and Korean War, and World War II / or no World War II | 0.4 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (0.3) | 0.0 (3.6) | 0.0 (1.3) |
|  | Vietnam Era, no Korean War, no World War II | 7.2 (4.6) | 5.7 (0.6) | 6.6 (2.1) | 5.3 (4.5) | 0.2 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.7 (2.2) | 3.8 (0.3) | 5.2 (1.1) | 7.6 (4.2) | 1.4 (0.5) |
| Service Connected Disability Status | Yes | 6.2 (2.5) | 2.2 (0.4) | 3.8 (1.2) | 0.8 (0.8) | 2.8 (1.3) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian } \\ \text { GDR } \end{gathered}$ | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service Connected Disability Level | 0 percent | 0.0 (2.2) | 2.3 (1.2) | 0.6 (0.6) | 0.0 (12.9) | 0.0 (5.5) |
|  | 10 or 20 percent | 6.4 (5.1) | 6.7 (1.8) | 0.6 (0.6) | 0.0 (12.9) | 2.5 (2.7) |
|  | 30 or 40 percent | 19.9 (10.8) | 6.2 (2.0) | 1.0 (0.7) | 0.0 (12.9) | 0.0 (5.5) |
|  | 50 or 60 percent | 2.3 (2.5) | 1.8 (0.6) | 0.0 (1.2) | 0.0 (12.9) | 20.4 (17.6) |
|  | 70 percent or higher | 2.8 (2.6) | 4.1 (1.4) | 1.5 (1.1) | 27.0 (18.3) | 24.1 (17.6) |
|  | No rating reported | 13.0 (10.3) | 8.7 (2.1) | 1.6 (1.2) | 27.0 (18.3) | 6.3 (3.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.4 (5.8) | 5.6 (1.1) | 0.9 (0.5) | 12.8 (14.4) | 15.2 (12.5) |
| Work Last Week | Yes | 7.3 (1.0) | 5.0 (0.3) | 6.3 (1.1) | 4.0 (0.9) | 4.7 (1.3) |
| Any Work Last Week | Yes | 2.1 (0.6) | 1.6 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.5) | 1.3 (0.9) | 0.3 (0.2) |
| Place Of Work | Worked in state of residence, in county of residence | 6.7 (1.4) | 4.4 (0.4) | 3.7 (1.0) | 5.8 (2.8) | 1.6 (0.6) |
|  | Worked in state of residence, outside county of residence | 5.5 (1.4) | 3.8 (0.4) | 3.5 (0.9) | 5.6 (2.8) | 1.4 (0.6) |
|  | Worked outside state of residence | 1.5 (0.5) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.3 (0.6) | 0.5 (0.3) | 0.2 (0.1) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.3 (1.3) | 4.1 (0.4) | 3.5 (0.9) | 5.6 (2.7) | 1.5 (0.6) |
| Commute Transportation | Car, truck, or van | 5.1 (0.9) | 4.9 (0.4) | 6.2 (1.2) | 6.4 (2.4) | 4.5 (1.9) |
|  | Public transportation | 2.4 (0.5) | 0.8 (0.2) | 3.3 (0.9) | 2.2 (1.0) | 0.5 (0.4) |
|  | Taxicab, motorcycle, bicycle, or other method | 2.4 (0.7) | 1.5 (0.3) | 1.9 (0.7) | 3.9 (2.4) | 2.0 (1.8) |
|  | Walked | 2.1 (0.5) | 1.5 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.5) | 4.7 (2.7) | 0.3 (0.2) |
|  | Worked at Home | 1.4 (0.3) | 3.2 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.5) | 2.5 (0.9) | 1.9 (0.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.6 (0.7) | 4.5 (0.4) | 5.6 (1.0) | 5.6 (1.9) | 3.8 (1.5) |
| Commute Number Of Riders | Drove alone | 12.6 (1.8) | 5.0 (0.4) | 8.7 (2.6) | 7.7 (2.2) | 2.8 (1.1) |
|  | 2 riders | 13.6 (2.0) | 4.2 (0.4) | 8.7 (2.7) | 7.0 (2.0) | 2.2 (0.9) |
|  | 3 riders | 4.1 (1.4) | 1.5 (0.3) | 0.6 (0.3) | 1.0 (0.5) | 0.7 (0.5) |
|  | 4 riders | 1.7 (0.7) | 0.4 (0.1) | 1.1 (0.6) | 0.8 (0.8) | 0.6 (0.6) |
|  | 5 or more riders | 0.8 (0.3) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.9 (0.6) | 0.0 (0.2) | 0.6 (0.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 12.1 (1.6) | 4.9 (0.4) | 8.3 (2.5) | 7.4 (2.1) | 2.7 (1.0) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commute Departure Time | 12:00 a.m. to 4:59 a.m. | 4.3 (1.2) | 2.2 (0.3) | 5.0 (1.8) | 2.4 (1.6) | 0.7 (0.4) |
|  | 5:00 a.m. to 6:59 a.m. | 11.9 (1.5) | 8.0 (0.6) | 11.9 (2.1) | 6.8 (2.3) | 9.0 (3.2) |
|  | 7:00 a.m. to 8:59 a.m. | 12.9 (1.9) | 10.1 (0.6) | 11.7 (1.9) | 10.8 (1.8) | 12.2 (3.3) |
|  | 9:00 a.m. to 11:59 a.m. | 4.5 (0.8) | 4.3 (0.4) | 4.2 (1.0) | 7.7 (1.8) | 3.0 (1.4) |
|  | 12:00 p.m. to 3:59 p.m. | 4.4 (1.1) | 2.5 (0.3) | 4.1 (1.1) | 2.5 (1.1) | 1.0 (0.6) |
|  | 4:00 p.m. to 11:59 p.m. | 3.5 (0.9) | 2.5 (0.3) | 5.3 (1.3) | 2.6 (1.3) | 0.9 (0.8) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 10.0 (1.2) | 7.8 (0.4) | 9.4 (1.3) | 7.8 (1.3) | 8.7 (2.5) |
| Commute Minutes | Less than 5 minutes | 2.9 (0.8) | 4.3 (0.4) | 3.0 (1.0) | 1.2 (0.7) | 0.7 (0.4) |
|  | 5 to 9 minutes | 9.5 (1.4) | 9.5 (0.6) | 9.1 (2.1) | 8.7 (2.7) | 4.2 (1.5) |
|  | 10 to 14 minutes | 13.6 (1.6) | 11.8 (0.7) | 14.8 (2.0) | 14.6 (3.2) | 8.9 (2.0) |
|  | 15 to 19 minutes | 18.5 (2.0) | 13.3 (0.7) | 15.2 (1.8) | 14.2 (2.9) | 16.4 (3.7) |
|  | 20 to 24 minutes | 17.9 (1.9) | 14.1 (0.8) | 16.8 (2.4) | 12.7 (2.6) | 14.6 (3.2) |
|  | 25 to 29 minutes | 8.8 (1.3) | 8.9 (0.6) | 8.1 (1.6) | 6.6 (1.5) | 2.9 (1.0) |
|  | 30 to 34 minutes | 16.8 (1.7) | 12.0 (0.7) | 15.0 (2.6) | 10.1 (2.5) | 11.5 (3.1) |
|  | 35 to 39 minutes | 2.7 (0.8) | 4.2 (0.4) | 1.8 (0.6) | 1.2 (0.6) | 2.5 (1.3) |
|  | 40 to 44 minutes | 5.0 (0.8) | 4.8 (0.4) | 5.9 (1.4) | 4.5 (1.1) | 3.9 (1.5) |
|  | 45 to 59 minutes | 7.3 (1.5) | 6.1 (0.4) | 9.3 (1.9) | 3.8 (1.5) | 10.7 (3.5) |
|  | 60 to 89 minutes | 5.5 (1.2) | 3.4 (0.3) | 9.4 (2.4) | 1.6 (0.5) | 6.8 (2.7) |
|  | 90 or more minutes | 1.7 (0.6) | 1.4 (0.2) | 3.4 (1.0) | 1.2 (0.5) | 3.5 (1.4) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 12.9 (0.8) | 10.0 (0.3) | 12.1 (0.8) | 10.5 (1.4) | 10.4 (1.6) |
| Not Working Layoff | Yes | 6.2 (1.8) | 3.6 (0.5) | 4.6 (1.0) | 5.2 (3.3) | 3.7 (2.4) |
| Not Working Absent | Yes | 2.8 (1.0) | 2.8 (0.7) | 2.4 (0.7) | 5.1 (2.5) | 5.4 (3.1) |
| Not Working Informed Of Recall | Yes | 6.7 (7.5) | 16.0 (8.7) | 56.7 (21.9) | 0.0 (19.9) | 59.8 (23.2) |
| Not Working Looking For Work | Yes | 12.0 (2.1) | 5.6 (0.7) | 12.0 (2.0) | 8.5 (2.8) | 13.6 (3.3) |
| Not Working Available To Work | Yes | 14.4 (5.3) | 10.6 (3.2) | 2.5 (1.4) | 5.4 (3.4) | 23.6 (10.4) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| When Last Worked | Within the past 12 months | 8.9 (1.7) | 5.6 (0.5) | 7.5 (1.5) | 5.2 (2.8) | 6.0 (2.1) |
|  | 1-5 years ago | 14.0 (1.7) | 12.7 (0.7) | 14.4 (2.1) | 9.5 (2.7) | 11.6 (2.7) |
|  | Over 5 years ago or never worked | 15.0 (2.4) | 11.9 (0.7) | 15.1 (2.2) | 11.1 (2.7) | 9.2 (2.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 13.6 (1.9) | 11.0 (0.6) | 13.5 (1.7) | 9.4 (2.2) | 9.2 (2.1) |
| Worked 50 Weeks Or More | Yes | 16.0 (1.6) | 11.4 (0.6) | 16.7 (2.1) | 15.7 (2.6) | 14.5 (3.3) |
| Weeks Worked | 50 to 52 weeks worked during past 12 months | 4.8 (2.0) | 5.7 (1.4) | 2.3 (1.2) | 13.5 (10.7) | 16.5 (10.8) |
|  | 48 to 49 weeks worked during past 12 months | 7.9 (3.0) | 7.7 (0.9) | 6.4 (2.8) | 5.5 (3.1) | 1.2 (0.8) |
|  | 40 to 47 weeks worked during past 12 months | 17.8 (3.2) | 22.4 (1.6) | 14.1 (4.4) | 18.1 (7.6) | 12.3 (6.7) |
|  | 27 to 39 weeks worked during past 12 months | 28.3 (4.5) | 24.1 (1.9) | 20.0 (4.3) | 19.2 (7.6) | 26.2 (8.3) |
|  | 14 to 26 weeks worked during past 12 months | 24.4 (4.7) | 23.5 (1.7) | 34.8 (8.8) | 21.7 (10.5) | 25.4 (9.4) |
|  | 13 weeks or less worked during past 12 months | 15.2 (4.1) | 16.4 (1.5) | 28.2 (8.8) | 6.6 (3.4) | 34.0 (11.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 20.5 (2.7) | 20.2 (0.8) | 25.9 (5.7) | 17.5 (3.9) | 25.2 (5.0) |
| Usual Hours Worked Per Week | Usually worked 35 or more hours per week | 11.4 (1.4) | 6.0 (0.5) | 8.3 (1.6) | 5.5 (1.6) | 7.4 (2.2) |
|  | Usually worked 15-34 hours per week | 12.2 (1.6) | 7.6 (0.5) | 8.9 (1.7) | 8.4 (2.4) | 8.3 (2.3) |
|  | Usually worked 1-14 hours per week | 3.9 (1.1) | 2.9 (0.3) | 2.9 (0.6) | 4.4 (1.9) | 1.8 (0.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 11.1 (1.3) | 6.1 (0.5) | 8.2 (1.6) | 6.0 (1.6) | 7.4 (2.1) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian } \\ \text { GDR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Other } \\ & \text { GDR } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class Of Worker | Employee of a private for-profit company or business | 10.7 (1.7) | 12.6 (1.0) | 19.9 (3.9) | 17.5 (5.2) | 10.7 (3.0) |
|  | Employee of a private not-for-profit organization | 5.9 (1.3) | 6.0 (0.5) | 11.6 (2.7) | 5.1 (1.5) | 7.4 (2.4) |
|  | A local government employee | 3.2 (0.8) | 5.2 (0.6) | 6.8 (1.8) | 7.2 (4.8) | 5.3 (1.7) |
|  | A state government employee | 3.6 (1.0) | 4.6 (0.5) | 7.0 (1.5) | 5.3 (2.4) | 2.9 (1.2) |
|  | A Federal government employee | 1.4 (0.8) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.6) | 2.5 (2.2) | 1.2 (0.8) |
|  | Self-employed in own not incorporated business, professional practice, or farm | 5.0 (1.3) | 4.1 (0.4) | 2.3 (0.9) | 4.5 (2.3) | 3.2 (1.7) |
|  | Self-employed in own incorporated business, professional practice, or farm | 1.4 (0.5) | 2.4 (0.4) | 0.7 (0.4) | 0.3 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.6) |
|  | Working without pay in a family business or farm | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.6 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.5) | 1.4 (1.3) | 0.5 (0.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.5 (1.2) | 9.1 (0.6) | 14.3 (2.7) | 13.6 (3.7) | 8.4 (2.1) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black <br> GDR | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Industry | Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining | 1.6 (0.4) | 1.1 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.9 (0.7) | 0.8 (0.4) |
|  | Construction | 4.0 (0.9) | 2.4 (0.3) | 1.8 (0.7) | 0.8 (0.5) | 2.3 (1.0) |
|  | Manufacturing | 4.7 (0.8) | 4.1 (0.3) | 3.4 (0.9) | 6.8 (2.3) | 3.9 (1.7) |
|  | Wholesale trade | 4.5 (1.0) | 2.8 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.9) | 0.6 (0.3) |
|  | Retail trade | 6.3 (1.1) | 3.5 (0.3) | 3.2 (1.0) | 2.8 (0.9) | 4.5 (1.5) |
|  | Utilities, and transportation and warehousing | 2.1 (0.4) | 1.5 (0.2) | 2.9 (0.9) | 1.2 (0.5) | 0.4 (0.2) |
|  | Information | 1.0 (0.3) | 1.1 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.7) | 2.1 (0.8) | 0.6 (0.4) |
|  | Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing | 1.4 (0.4) | 1.6 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.6) | 2.2 (0.7) | 1.7 (0.9) |
|  | Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services | 7.3 (1.1) | 5.6 (0.4) | 6.3 (1.4) | 9.2 (2.2) | 5.6 (2.2) |
|  | Educational services, and health care and social assistance | 2.9 (0.6) | 3.4 (0.3) | 8.0 (1.6) | 6.5 (1.8) | 3.2 (1.0) |
|  | Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services | 2.2 (0.5) | 1.9 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.6) | 1.4 (0.7) | 1.2 (0.6) |
|  | Other services, except public administration | 4.7 (0.8) | 2.1 (0.2) | 2.1 (0.5) | 0.6 (0.3) | 3.4 (1.6) |
|  | Public administration | 1.6 (0.4) | 2.1 (0.2) | 2.8 (0.7) | 2.1 (0.9) | 3.9 (1.7) |
|  | Military | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.2 (1.0) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.1 (0.4) | 3.1 (0.1) | 4.7 (0.6) | 5.5 (1.1) | 3.2 (0.6) |
| Industry Type | Manufacturing | 7.7 (1.3) | 4.5 (0.3) | 5.2 (1.0) | 6.0 (1.7) | 5.5 (2.2) |
|  | Wholesale trade | 6.1 (1.2) | 3.3 (0.3) | 2.8 (1.0) | 4.1 (1.9) | 1.8 (0.8) |
|  | Retail trade | 14.4 (1.6) | 10.0 (0.5) | 9.5 (1.3) | 7.1 (1.5) | 10.6 (2.7) |
|  | Other (agriculture, construction, service, government, etc.) | 18.3 (1.9) | 11.4 (0.5) | 10.5 (1.6) | 14.5 (3.0) | 14.9 (3.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 15.5 (1.4) | 10.1 (0.4) | 9.8 (1.3) | 12.0 (2.2) | 13.1 (2.9) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | $\begin{gathered} \text { Black } \\ \text { GDR } \end{gathered}$ | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupation | Management, business and financial occupations | 6.6 (1.0) | 10.8 (0.5) | 8.5 (1.5) | 11.5 (1.8) | 10.0 (2.2) |
|  | Computer, engineering, and science occupations | 1.3 (0.4) | 3.0 (0.2) | 2.5 (1.2) | 4.4 (0.9) | 4.2 (1.7) |
|  | Education, legal, community service, arts, and media occupations | 2.7 (0.7) | 3.3 (0.2) | 3.5 (0.8) | 3.7 (1.4) | 5.9 (1.7) |
|  | Healthcare practitioners and technical occupations | 1.2 (0.5) | 1.9 (0.2) | 3.9 (1.1) | 1.6 (0.7) | 2.0 (0.8) |
|  | Healthcare support occupations | 1.6 (0.4) | 1.7 (0.2) | 3.5 (0.8) | 1.6 (1.0) | 6.1 (3.2) |
|  | Protective service occupations | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.2) | 0.8 (0.5) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.4) |
|  | Food preparation and serving related occupations | 1.8 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.2) | 2.3 (0.6) | 2.4 (1.5) | 1.5 (1.0) |
|  | Building and grounds cleaning and maintenance occupations | 4.0 (1.0) | 1.3 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.4) | 1.5 (1.1) | 0.3 (0.2) |
|  | Personal care and service occupations | 2.0 (0.5) | 1.5 (0.2) | 5.2 (1.3) | 1.5 (1.0) | 7.3 (3.2) |
|  | Sales and related occupations | 5.0 (0.9) | 5.7 (0.3) | 3.5 (0.8) | 7.4 (2.2) | 2.7 (1.1) |
|  | Office and administrative support occupations | 7.8 (1.3) | 7.9 (0.5) | 8.5 (1.5) | 7.5 (2.3) | 8.9 (2.5) |
|  | Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations | 1.2 (0.3) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.1) |
|  | Construction and extraction occupations | 4.6 (1.0) | 2.4 (0.2) | 1.4 (0.6) | 1.4 (0.7) | 1.1 (0.4) |
|  | Installation , maintenance, and repair occupations | 1.3 (0.3) | 2.3 (0.2) | 2.2 (0.7) | 0.7 (0.5) | 1.0 (0.5) |
|  | Production occupations | 6.5 (1.1) | 2.6 (0.3) | 3.4 (0.7) | 4.5 (2.2) | 4.0 (1.8) |
|  | Transportation occupations | 2.4 (0.7) | 1.3 (0.2) | 2.4 (1.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.7 (0.3) |
|  | Material moving occupations | 4.8 (1.0) | 1.8 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.7) | 1.6 (0.7) | 1.5 (1.2) |
|  | Military occupations | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.1 (0.1) | 1.0 (0.9) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 4.5 (0.4) | 5.0 (0.2) | 4.5 (0.5) | 5.7 (1.0) | 5.4 (0.8) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | Asian GDR | Other <br> GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wages Income Amount | Less than \$10,000 | 6.7 (1.7) | 4.0 (0.4) | 7.4 (3.0) | 5.4 (2.1) | 3.5 (1.2) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 12.0 (2.0) | 5.2 (0.4) | 7.1 (1.7) | 6.4 (2.5) | 8.5 (4.9) |
|  | \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 17.6 (2.1) | 7.5 (0.5) | 16.7 (4.0) | 10.1 (3.2) | 12.8 (5.0) |
|  | \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 11.4 (1.6) | 8.9 (0.6) | 10.3 (1.9) | 11.6 (2.9) | 13.5 (3.1) |
|  | \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 9.0 (1.3) | 9.3 (0.5) | 8.6 (2.5) | 11.9 (3.5) | 9.1 (3.1) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 4.2 (1.0) | 7.5 (0.5) | 8.0 (2.7) | 8.3 (2.0) | 7.8 (3.0) |
|  | \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 1.6 (0.5) | 3.6 (0.3) | 3.9 (1.6) | 4.9 (1.2) | 4.5 (2.5) |
|  | \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 0.7 (0.2) | 2.7 (0.3) | 2.4 (1.5) | 6.1 (1.8) | 2.3 (1.6) |
|  | \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 0.2 (0.1) | 1.2 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 1.7 (0.6) | 1.0 (1.0) |
|  | \$200,000 or more | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.2) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.0 (0.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 10.6 (1.1) | 6.6 (0.3) | 9.6 (1.6) | 8.3 (1.2) | 8.9 (1.8) |
| Wages Income Recipiency | Yes | 9.8 (1.0) | 6.8 (0.4) | 9.4 (1.6) | 6.5 (1.8) | 9.0 (2.7) |
| Self Employed Income Amount | Loss or broke even | 0.6 (0.6) | 7.2 (3.2) | 3.0 (2.5) | 2.4 (2.0) | 3.5 (3.5) |
|  | Less than \$10,000 | 31.3 (14.1) | 11.0 (1.8) | 14.5 (6.9) | 0.0 (4.6) | 0.0 (9.3) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 8.4 (3.9) | 11.6 (2.9) | 8.1 (6.5) | 1.2 (1.3) | 2.5 (3.0) |
|  | \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 28.0 (14.6) | 12.5 (2.4) | 11.1 (7.0) | 26.6 (17.0) | 8.4 (7.7) |
|  | \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 12.3 (6.8) | 10.3 (2.1) | 14.5 (7.2) | 26.6 (17.0) | 6.4 (6.2) |
|  | \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 9.5 (6.4) | 9.8 (1.6) | 13.4 (11.7) | 2.7 (2.2) | 0.0 (9.3) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 3.4 (2.7) | 4.5 (0.8) | 13.3 (11.8) | 1.6 (1.7) | 4.4 (5.0) |
|  | \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 0.0 (1.3) | 2.4 (0.6) | 0.0 (3.0) | 0.0 (4.6) | 1.3 (1.6) |
|  | \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 0.0 (1.3) | 3.9 (1.0) | 0.0 (3.0) | 0.0 (4.6) | 0.0 (9.3) |
|  | \$150,000 or more | 0.0 (1.3) | 2.7 (1.0) | 0.0 (3.0) | 0.0 (4.6) | 0.0 (9.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 22.4 (10.3) | 9.7 (0.9) | 12.7 (4.6) | 17.1 (13.8) | 3.6 (3.6) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Self Employed Income Recipiency | Received a positive amount of selfemployment income | 7.2 (0.8) | 7.5 (0.5) | 4.5 (0.8) | 8.1 (2.2) | 4.4 (1.4) |
|  | Did not receive self-employment income | 7.3 (0.9) | 7.5 (0.4) | 4.6 (0.8) | 8.1 (2.2) | 4.1 (1.4) |
|  | Had a net loss or broke even for selfemployment income | 0.0 (0.0) | 1.3 (0.3) | 0.2 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.3 (0.9) | 7.4 (0.4) | 4.6 (0.8) | 8.1 (2.2) | 4.1 (1.4) |
| Property Income <br> Amount | Loss or broke even | 4.6 (3.3) | 2.2 (0.4) | 0.0 (3.0) | 2.1 (1.4) | 12.5 (10.3) |
|  | Positive, less than \$100 | 4.6 (2.6) | 6.7 (0.8) | 6.3 (4.4) | 8.3 (4.0) | 8.3 (6.3) |
|  | \$100 to \$999 | 8.8 (4.1) | 19.6 (2.1) | 55.9 (20.0) | 19.0 (5.8) | 17.7 (10.5) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 9.3 (4.4) | 19.1 (1.7) | 49.1 (23.0) | 16.8 (5.0) | 19.9 (11.2) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 3.8 (2.6) | 12.1 (1.2) | 0.9 (0.9) | 11.1 (4.0) | 5.6 (3.7) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 14.3 (8.9) | 13.7 (1.6) | 3.7 (3.0) | 5.5 (2.4) | 11.7 (8.0) |
|  | \$20,000 or more | 12.1 (9.0) | 8.9 (1.2) | 2.7 (2.3) | 3.4 (1.7) | 16.0 (6.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 9.1 (3.8) | 14.5 (0.9) | 42.5 (20.9) | 13.6 (3.4) | 15.6 (5.3) |
| Property Income Recipiency | Received a positive amount of property income | 3.6 (0.8) | 14.8 (0.6) | 4.4 (0.8) | 11.8 (1.6) | 7.2 (1.4) |
|  | Did not receive property income | 3.6 (0.8) | 14.8 (0.6) | 4.5 (0.8) | 12.0 (1.6) | 6.8 (1.3) |
|  | Had a net loss or broke even for property income | 0.0 (0.0) | 0.3 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.3 (0.2) | 1.1 (0.7) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 3.6 (0.8) | 14.7 (0.6) | 4.5 (0.8) | 11.9 (1.6) | 6.8 (1.3) |
| Social Security Income Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 1.7 (0.5) | 1.4 (0.2) | 3.0 (1.4) | 2.7 (2.1) | 0.2 (0.2) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 4.7 (1.5) | 5.2 (0.5) | 14.0 (4.4) | 1.6 (1.6) | 12.1 (8.5) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 12.8 (4.8) | 7.1 (0.7) | 15.1 (4.9) | 13.1 (10.8) | 16.5 (8.4) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 13.2 (4.7) | 13.6 (1.0) | 13.9 (4.1) | 14.8 (10.7) | 9.0 (3.3) |
|  | \$20,000 or more | 2.4 (1.0) | 6.7 (0.7) | 4.5 (1.8) | 0.5 (0.4) | 4.5 (2.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 10.8 (3.8) | 10.1 (0.6) | 13.6 (3.3) | 11.2 (8.8) | 11.9 (4.9) |
| Social Security Income Recipiency | Yes | 2.4 (0.5) | 4.0 (0.3) | 5.6 (1.0) | 1.4 (0.5) | 3.4 (1.5) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supplemental Security Income Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 8.8 (5.5) | 7.0 (3.0) | 15.3 (6.2) | 0.0 (9.6) | 17.1 (12.5) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 4.4 (2.7) | 7.0 (2.4) | 14.1 (6.5) | 0.0 (9.6) | 32.2 (16.8) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 7.0 (4.9) | 12.8 (3.5) | 23.4 (7.1) | 0.0 (9.6) | 19.5 (16.2) |
|  | \$10,000 or more | 1.9 (1.4) | 5.4 (2.2) | 8.6 (5.3) | 0.0 (9.6) | 4.4 (4.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.3 (3.8) | 9.9 (2.5) | 19.4 (5.1) | 0.0 (9.6) | 22.2 (11.9) |
| Supplemental Security Income Recipiency | Yes | 2.0 (0.4) | 1.9 (0.2) | 3.7 (0.6) | 0.8 (0.4) | 2.2 (0.8) |
| Public Assistance Income Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 0.0 (5.1) | 9.7 (5.6) | 11.3 (8.5) | 0.0 (159.2) | 0.0 (53.1) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 5.5 (5.2) | 24.7 (11.4) | 29.1 (12.1) | 0.0 (159.2) | 39.0 (33.5) |
|  | \$5,000 or more | 5.5 (5.2) | 18.2 (11.0) | 20.4 (10.2) | 0.0 (159.2) | 39.0 (33.5) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 5.5 (5.2) | 19.6 (9.2) | 25.5 (9.7) | 0.0 (159.2) | 21.9 (32.4) |
| Public Assistance Income Recipiency | Yes | 1.8 (0.4) | 1.0 (0.2) | 1.7 (0.4) | 1.6 (1.2) | 4.0 (2.1) |
| Retirement Income Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 3.1 (1.8) | 2.0 (0.4) | 2.2 (1.1) | 0.0 (4.6) | 1.7 (1.8) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$4,999 | 9.1 (3.7) | 6.5 (0.8) | 3.7 (1.4) | 2.8 (2.3) | 1.8 (1.9) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 6.2 (2.7) | 7.6 (1.0) | 5.3 (1.8) | 0.0 (4.6) | 1.8 (1.9) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 6.8 (4.2) | 11.2 (1.2) | 4.2 (1.5) | 26.0 (18.4) | 8.2 (5.5) |
|  | \$20,000 to \$49,999 | 7.5 (4.5) | 9.9 (1.0) | 6.4 (2.2) | 28.9 (18.0) | 11.7 (6.3) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 1.8 (1.4) | 3.7 (0.7) | 3.3 (1.9) | 1.9 (2.1) | 5.2 (3.7) |
|  | \$75,000 or more | 0.9 (1.0) | 1.1 (0.5) | 2.3 (1.6) | 0.0 (4.6) | 0.0 (4.2) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 7.1 (2.5) | 8.5 (0.7) | 5.0 (1.3) | 22.3 (15.9) | 8.0 (4.1) |
| Retirement Income Recipiency | Yes | 1.7 (0.3) | 5.6 (0.3) | 5.2 (0.8) | 3.3 (1.1) | 4.7 (1.6) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100 .

Appendix D: GDR Estimates By Hispanic Origin/Race Subgroup (Hispanic, White, Black, Asian, or Other)

| Analysis Topic | Analysis category | Hispanic GDR | White GDR | Black GDR | Asian GDR | Other GDR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other Income Amount | Less than \$1,000 | 3.7 (2.5) | 3.6 (0.9) | 0.5 (0.4) | 29.3 (24.2) | 12.6 (11.9) |
|  | \$1,000 to \$2,499 | 10.5 (4.9) | 16.5 (3.0) | 6.8 (4.1) | 0.0 (8.9) | 13.6 (12.0) |
|  | \$2,500 to \$4,999 | 14.2 (5.1) | 16.1 (2.0) | 4.4 (2.1) | 29.3 (24.2) | 21.2 (18.3) |
|  | \$5,000 to \$9,999 | 14.1 (4.6) | 12.8 (2.0) | 13.4 (6.4) | 0.0 (8.9) | 5.5 (4.7) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$19,999 | 6.9 (3.4) | 14.4 (2.6) | 24.3 (8.5) | 3.9 (4.1) | 25.7 (17.9) |
|  | \$20,000 or more | 1.0 (0.7) | 7.0 (1.9) | 4.4 (3.5) | 3.9 (4.1) | 0.0 (2.6) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 10.7 (3.0) | 13.4 (1.3) | 14.1 (6.3) | 17.4 (18.5) | 18.0 (12.3) |
| Other Income Recipiency | Yes | 6.3 (0.9) | 5.9 (0.3) | 7.1 (1.3) | 5.0 (1.5) | 3.7 (1.0) |
| Total Income Amount | Loss or broke even | 6.5 (1.1) | 4.9 (0.4) | 5.5 (1.1) | 5.8 (1.4) | 7.4 (3.1) |
|  | Less than \$10,000 | 8.4 (1.0) | 7.2 (0.4) | 13.0 (1.9) | 10.1 (2.3) | 8.9 (2.5) |
|  | \$10,000 to \$14,999 | 10.4 (1.3) | 6.3 (0.5) | 9.2 (1.5) | 6.3 (2.0) | 4.4 (1.3) |
|  | \$15,000 to \$24,999 | 14.2 (1.4) | 8.8 (0.4) | 10.3 (2.3) | 6.0 (1.6) | 10.2 (3.4) |
|  | \$25,000 to \$34,999 | 8.3 (1.1) | 9.1 (0.4) | 8.7 (1.3) | 9.6 (2.1) | 10.8 (2.7) |
|  | \$35,000 to \$49,999 | 6.0 (0.8) | 9.6 (0.5) | 7.2 (1.8) | 8.4 (2.1) | 8.6 (2.4) |
|  | \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 2.7 (0.6) | 7.1 (0.4) | 6.3 (1.9) | 8.0 (2.0) | 5.3 (1.7) |
|  | \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 1.1 (0.3) | 3.6 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.8) | 3.8 (0.8) | 1.8 (1.0) |
|  | \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 0.9 (0.3) | 2.4 (0.2) | 1.8 (0.8) | 3.5 (1.1) | 1.6 (1.0) |
|  | \$150,000 to \$199,999 | 0.6 (0.3) | 1.2 (0.2) | 0.5 (0.4) | 1.0 (0.4) | 0.7 (0.7) |
|  | \$200,000 or more | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.8 (0.1) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.4 (0.2) | 0.0 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 8.4 (0.6) | 7.0 (0.2) | 8.6 (0.9) | 7.0 (0.7) | 7.6 (1.3) |
| Total Income Recipiency | Yes, received a positive amount of income | 6.6 (1.1) | 5.1 (0.4) | 5.5 (1.1) | 5.9 (1.4) | 7.4 (3.1) |
|  | No, did not receive income | 6.6 (1.1) | 4.7 (0.4) | 5.9 (1.2) | 5.6 (1.4) | 6.9 (3.1) |
|  | Had a net loss or broke even (loss box checked) | 0.1 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.1) | 0.5 (0.4) | 0.3 (0.2) | 0.4 (0.3) |
|  | L-Fold (Aggregate) | 6.6 (1.1) | 5.1 (0.4) | 5.6 (1.1) | 5.8 (1.4) | 7.2 (3.0) |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

In the GDR columns the standard error is shown in parentheses following each estimate. You should read both estimates and standard errors as percentages, as we multiplied the original proportion estimates and standard errors by 100.

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

2 How is this person related to Person 17 Mark $(X)$ ONE box.Husband or wifeBiological son or daughterAdopted son or daughterStepson or stepdaughterBrother or sisterFather or motherGrandchildParent-in-lawSon-in-law or daughter-in-lawOther relativeRoomer or boarderHousemate or roommateUnmarried partnerFoster childOther nonrelative

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: RELATIONSHIP TO HOUSEHOLDER
Analysis categories --

1. Householder
2. Husband or wife
3. Biological son or daughter
4. Adopted son or daughter
5. Stepson or stepdaughter
6. Brother or sister
7. Father or mother
8. Grandchild
9. Parent-in-law
10. Son-in-law or daughter-in-law
11. Other relative
12. Roomer or boarder
13. Housemate or roommate
14. Unmarried partner
15. Foster child
16. Other nonrelative

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

## What is Person 2's sex? Mark ( $X$ ) ONE box.

$\square$ Male $\square$ Female

4 What is Person 2's age and what is Person 2's date of birth? Please report babies as age 0 when the child is less than 1 year old. Print numbers in boxes.


## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: SEX
Analysis categories --

1. Male
2. Female

## QUESTION: Person's Age and Date of Birth

We derived two analysis topics from this question. AGE RANGE is derived from a question asked in CATI/CAP, if the respondent is unsure of the exact age of another person in the household. The responses possible are " 0 to 2 ", "3 or 4 ", " 5 to 14 ", and " 15 or older". Because of small sample sizes, we collapsed the first three into one analysis category. In addition, if the response for a person in either ACS or CRS was an AGE RANGE response, we converted the "other" response to an age range category if it was not already.

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

## (image repeated from previous page)

(4) What is Person 2's age and what is Person 2's date of birth? Please report babies as age 0 when the child is less than 1 year old.
Age (in years)
Print numbers in boxes.
$\square$

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

| Analysis Topic Name: AGE <br> Analysis categories -- | Analysis Topic Name: AGE <br> RANGE |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1. Under 5 years  <br> 2. 5 to 9 years  <br> 3. 10 to 14 years 1. 0 to 14 years old <br> 4. 15 to 17 years  <br> 5. 18 to 19 years  <br> 6. 20 years  <br> 7. 21 years  <br> 8. 22 to 24 years  <br> 9. 25 to 29 years  <br> 10. 30 to 34 years  <br> 11. 35 to 39 years  <br> 12. 40 to 44 years  <br> 13. 45 to 49 years  <br> 14. 50 to 54 years  <br> 15. 55 to 59 years  <br> 16. 60 and 61 years  <br> 17. 62 to 64 years  <br> 18. 65 to 66 years  <br> 19. 67 to 69 years  <br> 20. 70 to 74 years  <br> 21. 75 to 79 years  <br> 22. 80 to 84 years  <br> 23. $85+$ years $\quad$. |  |

Analysis categories --

1. Under 5 years
2. 5 to 9 years
3. 10 to 14 years
4. 15 to 17 years
5. 18 to 19 years
6. 20 years
years
7. 22 to 24 years
8. 25 to 29 years
9. 30 to 34 years
10. 40 to 44 years
11. 45 to 49 years
12. 50 to 54 years
13. 55 to 59 years
14. 60 and 61 years
15. 62 to 64 years
16. 65 to 66 years
17. 67 to 69 years

0 to 74 years
22. 80 to 84 years
23. 85 + years

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

Is Person 2 of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin?No, not of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin
Yes, Mexican, Mexican Am., ChicanoYes, Puerto Rican
Yes, CubanYes, another Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish origin - Print origin, for example, Argentinean, Colombian, Dominican, Nicaraguan, Salvadoran, Spaniard,
and so on. and so on. $\frac{7}{7}$

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

QUESTION: Person's Hispanic Origin
We derived seven CRS Analysis Topics from this question.
$\underline{\text { Six analysis topics with "Yes" or "No" analysis categories }}$

1. Not Hispanic
2. Hispanic -- Mexican
3. Hispanic -- Puerto Rican
4. Hispanic -- Cuban
5. Hispanic -- Other
6. Hispanic Write-In Present

7th Analysis Topic: Hispanic Analysis Aggregate
Analysis categories --

1. Not Hispanic or Latino
2. Mexican alone
3. Puerto Rican alone
4. Cuban alone
5. Other Hispanic or Latino (no write-in, or one write-in alone)
6. Multiple responses (with at least one Hispanic response)

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

What is Person 2's race? Mark $(X)$ one or more boxes.
$\square$ WhiteBlack, African Am., or Negro
American Indian or Alaska Native - Print name of enrolled or principal tribe.Asian IndianChineseFlilipinoJapanese

Other Asian - Print race, for example, Hmong,
Laotian, Thai, Pakistan Cambodian, and so on.

Some other race - Print race.

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

QUESTION: Person's Race
We derived 17 Analysis Topics from the Race question. Twelve of these correspond to checkboxes, and we collapsed another three checkboxes for a 13th analysis topic. (We collapsed checkboxes 1214 due to small sample sizes.) Three more analysis topics are "presence of write-in" checks corresponding to the three write-in lines. We derived the 17th analysis topic by defining mutually exclusive categories based on combinations of checkbox and write-in responses.

Note that if a write-in response indicates a race corresponding to one of the checkboxes, but that checkbox is not selected, we edit that checkbox response to be a "Yes". We also use these edited checkbox responses when determining each person's aggregate race category (see next page).

## Sixteen analysis topics with "Yes" or "No" analysis categories

1. Race -- White
2. Race -- Black
3. Race -- American Indian
or Alaska Native
(AIAN)
4. Race -- Asian Indian
5. Race -- Chinese
6. Race -- Filipino
7. Race -- Japanese
8. Race -- Korean
9. Race -- Vietnamese
10. Race -- Other Asian
11. Race -- Native Hawaiian
12. Race -- Guamanian Or Chamorro Or Samoan Or Other Pacific Islander
13. Race -- Some Other Race
14. Race -- Write-In 1 Present
15. Race -- Write-In 2 Present
16. Race -- Write-In 3 Present

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

(image repeated from previous page)


## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

17th Analysis Topic: RACE AGGREGATE
Analysis categories --

1. White alone
2. Black alone
3. AIAN alone
4. Asian alone
5. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander alone
6. Some Other Race alone
7. Multiple races

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

## 1 Which best describes this building? Include all apartments, flats, etc, even if vacant. <br> A mobile home <br> A one-family house detached from any other house <br> A one-family house attached to one or more houses <br> A building with 2 apartments <br> A building with 3 or 4 apartments <br> A building with 5 to 9 apartmentsA building with 10 to 19 apartmentsA building with 20 to 49 apartmentsA building with 50 or more apartmentsBoat, RV, van, etc.

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

## Analysis Topic Name: BUILDING TYPE

We collapsed the first and last responses for this question to create one analysis category. This was necessary because of small sample sizes.

## Analysis categories --

1. Mobile home, Boat, RV, van, etc.
2. Single unit, detached
3. Single unit, attached
4. Apartment building, 2 units
5. Apartment building, 3 or 4 units
6. Apartment building, 5 to 9 units
7. Apartment building, 10 to 19 units
8. Apartment building, 20 to 49 units
9. Apartment building, 50 or more units

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

About when was this building first built?2000 or later - Specify year
$\square$1990 to 19991980 to 19891970 to 19791960 to 19691950 to 19591940 to 19491939 or earlier

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: YEAR BUILT
Analysis categories --

1. Built 2012 or later
2. Built 2011
3. Built 2010
4. Built 2000 to 2009
5. Built 1990 to 1999
6. Built 1980 to 1989
7. Built 1970 to 1979
8. Built 1960 to 1969
9. Built 1950 to 1959
10. Built 1940 to 1949
11. Built 1939 or earlier

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

3 When did PERSON 1 (listed on page 2) move into this house, apartment, or mobile home?
Month Year


## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: YEAR PERSON 1 MOVED IN
Analysis categories --

1. Moved in 2012 or later
2. Moved in 2011
3. Moved in 2010
4. Moved in 2009
5. Moved in 2008
6. Moved in 2007 or earlier

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

Answer questions 4-6 if this is a HOUSE OR A MOBILE HOME; otherwise, SKIP to question $7 a$.

4 How many acres is this house or mobile home on?Less than 1 acre $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 61 to 9.9 acres10 or more acres

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: LOT SIZE
Analysis categories --

1. Less than one acre
2. 1 to 9.9 acres
3. 10 acres or more

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

5 IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS, what were the actual sales of all agricultural products from this property?None\$1 to \$999$\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 2,499$$\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$$\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$$\$ 10,000$ or more

6 Is there a business (such as a store or barber shop) or a medical office on this property?YesNo

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: AGRICULTURAL SALES
Analysis categories --

1. None
2. $\$ 1$ to $\$ 999$
3. $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 2,499$
4. $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$
5. $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$
6. $\$ 10,000$ or more

Analysis Topic Name: BUSINESS ON PROPERTY
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

7 a. How many separate rooms are in this house, apartment, or mobile home? Rooms must be separated by built-in archways or walls that extend out at least 6 inches and go from floor to ceiling.

- INCLUDE bedrooms, kitchens, etc.
- EXCLUDE bathrooms, porches, balconies, foyers, halls, or unfinished basements. Number of rooms
$\square$
b. How many of these rooms are bedrooms? Count as bedrooms those rooms you would list if this house, apartment, or mobile home were for sale or rent. If this is an efficiency/studio apartment, print " 0 ".
Number of bedrooms
$\square$


## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: ROOMS
Analysis categories --

1. 1 room
2. 2 rooms
3. 3 rooms
4. 4 rooms
5. 5 rooms
6. 6 rooms
7. 7 rooms
8. 8 rooms
9. 9 or more rooms

Analysis Topic Name: BEDROOMS
Analysis categories --

1. No bedroom
2. 1 bedroom
3. 2 bedrooms
4. 3 bedrooms
5. 4 bedrooms
6. 5 or more bedrooms

Appendix E: CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis Categories Derived from Each ACS Question

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

8 Does this house, apartment, or mobile home have -
a. hot and cold running water?

Yes No
b. a flush toilet?
c. a bathtub or shower?
d. a sink with a faucet?

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: RUNNING WATER PRESENT
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: FLUSH TOILET PRESENT
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: BATHTUB OR SHOWER PRESENT
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: SINK PRESENT
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

e. a stove or range?
f. a refrigerator?
$\square$

How many automobiles, vans, and trucks of one-ton capacity or less are kept at home for use by members of this household?None1236 or more

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: STOVE OR RANGE PRESENT
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: REFRIGERATOR PRESENT
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: VEHICLES AVAILABLE
Analysis categories --

1. No vehicle available
2. 1 vehicle available
3. 2 vehicles available
4. 3 vehicles available
5. 4 vehicles available
6. 5 or more vehicles available

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

Which FUEL is used MOST for heating this house, apartment, or mobile home?Gas: from underground pipes serving the neighborhoodGas: bottled, tank, or LPElectricityFuel oil, kerosene, etc.Coal or cokeWoodSolar energyOther fuelNo fuel used

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

We collapsed "Solar energy" and "Other fuel" into one category because of small sample sizes.

Analysis Topic Name: HOUSE HEATING FUEL
Analysis categories --

1. Utility gas
2. Bottled, tank, or LP gas
3. Electricity
4. Fuel oil, kerosene, etc.
5. Coal or coke
6. Wood
7. Solar energy or other fuel
8. No fuel used

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: MONTHLY ELECTRICITY COST
Analysis categories --

1. Less than $\$ 25$
2. $\$ 25$ to $\$ 49$
3. $\$ 50$ to $\$ 74$
4. $\$ 75$ to $\$ 99$
5. $\$ 100$ to $\$ 149$
6. $\$ 150$ to $\$ 199$
7. $\$ 200$ or more
8. Included in rent or condominium fee
9. No charge or electricity not used

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

```
b. LAST MONTH, what was the cost
    of gas for this house, apartment,
    or mobile home?
    Last month's cost - Dollars
    |$ 
            OR
```

```Included in rent or condominium fee
```

```Included in electricity payment entered above
```

```No charge or gas not used
```


## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: MONTHLY GAS COST
Analysis categories --

1. Less than $\$ 25$
2. $\$ 25$ to $\$ 49$
3. $\$ 50$ to $\$ 74$
4. $\$ 75$ to $\$ 99$
5. $\$ 100$ to $\$ 149$
6. $\$ 150$ to $\$ 199$
7. \$200 or more
8. Included in rent or condominium fee
9. Included in electricity payment

10 . No charge or gas not used

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

c. IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS, what was the cost of water and sewer for this house, apartment, or mobile home? If you have lived here less than 12 months, estimate the cost.
Past 12 months' cost - Dollars


## OR

Included in rent or condominium feeNo charge
## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: ANNUAL WATER AND SEWER COSTS
Analysis categories --

1. Less than $\$ 120$
2. $\$ 120$ to $\$ 299$
3. $\$ 300$ to $\$ 599$
4. $\$ 600$ to $\$ 899$
5. $\$ 900$ to $\$ 1199$
6. $\$ 1200$ to $\$ 1799$
7. \$1800 to \$2399
8. $\$ 2400$ to $\$ 3599$
9. $\$ 3600$ to $\$ 4799$
10. $\$ 4800$ or more
11. Included in rent or condominium fee
12. No charge

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

d. IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS, what was the cost of oil, coal, kerosene, wood, etc., for this house, apartment, or mobile home? If you have lived here less than 12 months, estimate the cost.
Past 12 months' cost - Dollars


## OR

Included in rent or condominium feeNo charge or these fuels not used
## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: ANNUAL OTHER FUELS COSTS
Analysis categories --

1. Less than $\$ 300$
2. $\$ 300$ to $\$ 599$
3. $\$ 600$ to $\$ 899$
4. $\$ 900$ to $\$ 1199$
5. $\$ 1200$ to $\$ 1799$
6. $\$ 1800$ to $\$ 2399$
7. $\$ 2400$ or more
8. Included in rent or condominium fee
9. No charge

Appendix E: CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis Categories Derived from Each ACS Question

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

12 IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS, did anyone in this household receive Food Stamps or a Food Stamp benefit card? Include government benefits from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). Do NOT include WIC or the National School Lunch Program.YesNo

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: FOOD STAMP RECIPIENCY
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Appendix E: CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis Categories Derived from Each ACS Question

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

13 Is this house, apartment, or mobile home part of a condominium?Yes $\rightarrow$ What is the monthly condominium fee? For renters, answer only if you pay the condominium fee in addition to your rent; otherwise, mark the "None" box.
Monthly amount - Dollars


ORNoneNo

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: CONDOMINIUM STATUS
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: MONTHLY CONDOMINIUM FEE
Analysis categories --

1. Less than $\$ 100$ per month
2. $\$ 100$ to $\$ 149$
3. $\$ 150$ to $\$ 199$
4. $\$ 200$ to $\$ 299$
5. $\$ 300$ to $\$ 499$
6. $\$ 500$ or more per month

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

Is this house, apartment, or mobile home Mark (X) ONE box.Owned by you or someone in this household with a mortgage or loan? Include home equity loans.Owned by you or someone in this household free and clear (without a mortgage or loan)?Rented?Occupied without payment of rent? $\rightarrow$ SKIP to $\mathbf{C}$

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: TENURE
Analysis categories --

1. Owned with a mortgage
2. Owned without a mortgage
3. Rented
4. Occupied without payment of rent

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

3 Answer questions $15 a$ and $b$ if this house, apartment, or mobile home is RENTED. Otherwise, SKIP to question 16.

15 a. What is the monthly rent for this house, apartment, or mobile home?
Monthly amount - Dollars


## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: MONTHLY RENT
Analysis categories --

1. Less than $\$ 100$
2. $\$ 100$ to $\$ 149$
3. $\$ 150$ to $\$ 199$
4. $\$ 200$ to $\$ 249$
5. $\$ 250$ to $\$ 299$
6. $\$ 300$ to $\$ 349$
7. $\$ 350$ to $\$ 399$
8. $\$ 400$ to $\$ 449$
9. $\$ 450$ to $\$ 499$
10. \$500 to \$549
11. \$550 to \$599
12. \$600 to \$649
13. $\$ 650$ to $\$ 699$
14. $\$ 700$ to $\$ 749$
15. $\$ 750$ to $\$ 799$
16. \$800 to \$899
17. $\$ 900$ to $\$ 999$
18. $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,249$
19. $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,499$
20. \$1,500 to \$1,999
21. $\$ 2,000$ or more
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## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

b. Does the monthly rent include any meals?YesNo

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: MEALS INCLUDED IN RENT
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

C. Answer questions 16-20 if you or someone else in this household OWNS or IS BUYING this house, apartment, or mobile home. Otherwise, SKIP to E on the next page.

About how much do you think this house and lot, apartment, or mobile home (and lot, if owned) would sell for if it were for sale?

Amount - Dollars


## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: PROPERTY VALUE
Analysis categories --

1. Less than $\$ 50,000$
2. $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 99,999$
3. $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 149,999$
4. $\$ 150,000$ to $\$ 199,999$
5. $\$ 200,000$ to $\$ 299,999$
6. $\$ 300,000$ to $\$ 499,999$
7. $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 999,999$
8. $\$ 1,000,000$ or more

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

What are the annual real estate taxes on THIS property?
Annual amount - Dollars


## OR

None
## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: ANNUAL REAL ESTATE TAXES
Analysis categories --

1. None
2. \$1 to \$299
3. $\$ 300$ to $\$ 599$
4. $\$ 600$ to $\$ 899$
5. $\$ 900$ to $\$ 1199$
6. $\$ 1200$ to $\$ 1499$
7. $\$ 1500$ to $\$ 1799$
8. \$1800 to \$2399
9. $\$ 2400$ to $\$ 3599$
10. \$3600 to \$4799
11. \$4800 to \$5999
12. \$6000 to \$7199
13. \$ 7200 or more
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18 What is the annual payment for fire, hazard, and flood insurance on THIS property?
Annual amount - Dollars


ORNone

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: ANNUAL PROPERTY INSURANCE AMOUNT

Analysis categories --

1. None
2. $\$ 1$ to $\$ 119$
3. $\$ 120$ to $\$ 299$
4. $\$ 300$ to $\$ 599$
5. $\$ 600$ to $\$ 899$
6. $\$ 900$ to $\$ 1199$
7. $\$ 1200$ to $\$ 1799$
8. \$1800 to \$2399
9. \$2400 to \$3599
10. \$3600 to \$4799
11. \$4800 or more

Appendix E: CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis Categories Derived from Each ACS Question

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

a. Do you or any member of this household have a mortgage, deed of trust, contract to purchase, or similar debt on THIS property?Yes, mortgage, deed of trust, or similar debtYes, contract to purchaseNo $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 20a

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: MORTGAGE STATUS
Analysis categories --

1. Owned with a mortgage
2. Under contract to purchase
3. No mortgage

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

## b. How much is the regular monthly

 mortgage payment on THIS property? Include payment only on FIRST mortgage or contract to purchase.Monthly amount - Dollars


## OR

No regular payment required $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 20a
## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: MONTHLY MORTGAGE PAYMENT
Analysis categories --

1. Less than $\$ 200$
2. $\$ 200$ to $\$ 249$
3. $\$ 250$ to $\$ 299$
4. $\$ 300$ to $\$ 349$
5. $\$ 350$ to $\$ 399$
6. $\$ 400$ to $\$ 449$
7. $\$ 450$ to $\$ 499$
8. $\$ 500$ to $\$ 599$
9. $\$ 600$ to $\$ 699$
10. $\$ 700$ to $\$ 799$
11. \$800 to \$999
12. $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,249$
13. $\$ 1,250$ to $\$ 1,499$
14. $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 1,999$
15. \$2,000 or more

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

c. Does the regular monthly mortgage payment include payments for real estate taxes on THIS property?Yes, taxes included in mortgage paymentNo, taxes paid separately or taxes not required

## d. Does the regular monthly mortgage payment include payments for fire, hazard, or flood insurance on THIS property?

Yes, insurance included in mortgage paymentNo, insurance paid separately or no insurance
## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: REAL ESTATE TAXES INCLUDED
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: HOMEOWNER'S INSURANCE INCLUDED
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

20 a. Do you or any member of this household have a second mortgage or a home equity loan on THIS property?Yes, home equity loanYes, second mortgageYes, second mortgage and home equity loanNo $\rightarrow$ SKIP to D

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: SECOND MORTGAGE TYPE
Analysis categories --

1. Home equity loan
2. Second mortgage
3. Second mortgage and home equity loan
4. No second mortgage or home equity loan

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

b. How much is the regular monthly payment on all second or junior mortgages and all home equity loans on THIS property?
Monthly amount - Dollars


## OR

No regular payment required
## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: SECOND MORTGAGE PAYMENT AMOUNT

Analysis categories --

1. Less than $\$ 100$
2. $\$ 100$ to $\$ 199$
3. $\$ 200$ to $\$ 249$
4. $\$ 250$ to $\$ 299$
5. $\$ 300$ to $\$ 349$
6. $\$ 350$ to $\$ 399$
7. $\$ 400$ to $\$ 449$
8. $\$ 450$ to $\$ 499$
9. $\$ 500$ to $\$ 599$
10. \$600 to \$699
11. $\$ 700$ to $\$ 799$
12. \$800 to \$999
13. $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 1,249$
14. $\$ 1,250$ or more

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

21 What are the total annual costs for personal property taxes, site rent, registration fees, and license fees on THIS mobile home and its site?
Exclude real estate taxes.
Annual costs - Dollars


Where was this person bom?In the United States - Print name of state.Outside the United States - Print name of foreign country, or Puerto Rico, Guam, etc.

QUESTION: Person's Place of Birth
In addition to the primary analysis topic, we also defined three Place of Birth analysis topics at different levels of aggregation.

Analysis Topic Name: PLACE OF BIRTH
Analysis categories --
(U.S. Categories)

1. Born in U.S., in state of current residence
2. Born in U.S. Northeast region, not current residence state
3. Born in U.S. Midwest region, not current residence state
4. Born in U.S. South region, not current residence state
5. Born in U.S. West region, not current residence state
6. Born in Puerto Rico or U.S. Island or Outlying Areas
(Outside the U.S. Categories)

| 7. Mexico | 15. India |
| :--- | :--- |
| 8. El Salvador | 16. Philippines |
| 9. Cuba | 17. Vietnam |
| 10. Dominican Republic | 18. Korea |
| 11. Guatemala | 19. All other Asia |
| 12. All other Latin America | 20. Europe |
| 13. Northern America | 21. Africa |
| 14. China | 22. Oceania |
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Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form
CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories
(image repeated from previous page)
7 Where was this person bom?In the United States - Print name of state.Outside the United States - Print name of foreign country, or Puerto Rico, Guam, etc.

Analysis Topic Name: PLACE OF BIRTH -- U.S. or not
Analysis categories --

1. Born in the U.S. (including Puerto Rico and U.S. Outlying Areas)
2. Not born in the U.S.

Analysis Topic Name: PLACE OF BIRTH -- Outside the U.S. aggregate 1

Analysis categories --

1. Born outside the U.S.: Americas
2. Born outside the U.S.: Asia
3. Born outside the U.S.: Europe
4. Born outside the U.S.: Africa
5. Born outside the U.S.: Oceania

Analysis Topic Name: PLACE OF BIRTH -- Outside the U.S. aggregate 2

Analysis categories --

1. Born outside the U.S.: Northern America
2. Born outside the U.S.: Latin America
3. Born outside the U.S.: Asia
4. Born outside the U.S.: Europe
5. Born outside the U.S.: Africa
6. Born outside the U.S.: Oceania

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: CITIZENSHIP STATUS
Analysis categories --

1. U.S. citizen, born in the United States
2. U.S. citizen, born in Puerto Rico or U.S. Outlying areas
3. U.S. citizen, born abroad of American parent(s)
4. U.S. citizen by naturalization
5. Not a U.S. citizen

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

8 Is this person a citizen of the United States?Yes, born in the United States $\rightarrow$ SKIP to 10aYes, born in Puerto Rico, Guam, the U.S. Virgin Islands, or Northern MarianasYes, born abroad of U.S. citizen parent or parentsYes, U.S. citizen by naturalization - Print year of naturalization
$\square$
No, not a U.S. citizen

9 When did this person come to live in the
United States? Print numbers in boxes.


## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: YEAR OF NATURALIZATION
Analysis categories --

1. 2005 or later
2. 2000 to 2004
3. 1995 to 1999
4. 1990 to 1994
5. 1985 to 1989
6. 1980 to 1984
7. before 1980

Analysis Topic Name: YEAR OF ENTRY
Analysis categories --

1. Entered 2005 or later
2. Entered 2000 to 2004
3. Entered 1995 to 1999
4. Entered 1990 to 1994
5. Entered 1985 to 1989
6. Entered 1980 to 1984
7. Entered before 1980

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

10 a. At any time IN THE LAST 3 MONTHS, has this person attended school or college? In clude only nursery or preschool, kindergarten, elementary school, home school, and schooling which leads to a high school diploma or a college degree.No, has not attended in the last 3 months $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 11Yes, public school, public collegeYes, private school, private college home school

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: SCHOOL ATTENDANCE
Analysis categories --

1. Enrolled in public school
2. Enrolled in private school
3. Not enrolled in school

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

b. What grade or level was this person attending? Mark (X) ONE box.Nursery school, preschoolKindergartenGrade 1 through 12 -Specify grade 1-12 7College undergraduate years (freshman to senior)Graduate or professional school beyond a bachelor's degree (for example: MA or PhD program, or medical or law school)

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: SCHOOL GRADE LEVEL
Analysis categories --

1. Enrolled in nursery school, preschool
2. Enrolled in kindergarten
3. Enrolled in grade 1
4. Enrolled in grade 2
5. Enrolled in grade 3
6. Enrolled in grade 4
7. Enrolled in grade 5
8. Enrolled in grade 6
9. Enrolled in grade 7
10. Enrolled in grade 8
11. Enrolled in grade 9
12. Enrolled in grade 10
13. Enrolled in grade 11
14. Enrolled in grade 12
15. Enrolled in college, undergraduate years
16. Graduate or professional school

11 What is the highest degree or level of school this person has COMPLETED? Mark $(X)$ ONE box this person has COMPLETED? Mark ( $(X)$ ONE box
If currently enrolled, mark the previous grade or If currently enrolled, mark
highest degree recoived.

NO SCHOOLING COMPLETEDNo schooling completed
NURSERY OR PRESCHOOL THROUGH GRADE 12Nursery schoolKindergartenGrade 1 through 11 - Specify grade 1-11712th grade - NO DIPLOMAHIGH SCHOOL GRADUATERegular high school diplomaGED or alternative credential
Some college credit, but less than 1 year of college credit1 or more years of college credit, no degreeAssociate's degree (for example: AA, AS)Bachelor's degree (for example: BA, BS)
Master's degree (for example: MA, MS, MEng,
MEd, MSW, MBA)Professional degree beyond a bachelor's degree (for example: MD, DDS, DVM, LLB, JD)Doctorate degree (for example: PhD, EdD)

Analysis Topic Name: EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT

## Analysis categories --

1. No schooling completed
2. Nursery school
3. Kindergarten
4. 1st grade
5. 2nd grade
6. 3rd grade
7. 4th grade
8. 5th grade
9. 6th grade
10. 7th grade
11. 8th grade
12. 9th grade
13. 10th grade
14. 11th grade
15. 12th grade, no diploma
16. Regular high school diploma
17. GED, or alternative credential
18. Some college, less than one year
19. Some college, one or more years, no degree
20. Associate's degree
21. Bachelor's degree
22. Master's degree
23. Professional school degree
24. Doctorate degree

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form



## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: FIELD OF BACHELOR'S DEGREE
Analysis categories --

1. Computers, Mathematics and Statistics
2. Biological, Agricultural, and Environmental Sciences
3. Physical and Related Sciences
4. Psychology
5. Social Sciences
6. Engineering
7. Multidisciplinary Studies
8. Science and Engineering Related
9. Business
10. Education
11. Literature and Languages
12. Liberal Arts and History
13. Visual and Performing Arts
14. Communications
15. Other

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form


(For example: Italian, Jamaican, African Am., Cambodian, Cape Verdean, Norwegian, Dominican, French Canadian, Haitian, Korean, Lebanese, Polish, Nigerian, Mexican, Taiwanese, Ukrainian, and so on.)

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

## Analysis Topic Name:

ANCESTRY

Analysis categories --

1. American
2. Arab
3. British
4. Czech
5. Danish
6. Dutch
7. English
8. European
9. French (except Basque)
10. French Canadian
11. German
12. Greek
13. Hungarian
14. Irish
15. Italian
16. Lithuanian
17. Norwegian
18. Polish
19. Portuguese
20. Russian
21. Scotch-Irish
22. Scottish
23. Slovak
24. Sub-Saharan African
25. Swedish
26. Swiss
27. Ukrainian
28. Welsh
29. West Indian (except Hispanic groups)
30. Other groups

Appendix E: CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis Categories Derived from Each ACS Question

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

14 a. Does this person speak a language other than English at home?YesNo $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 15a

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: LANGUAGE OTHER THAN ENGLISH SPOKEN AT HOME

Analysis categories --
Yes or No

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

b. What is this language?

For example: Korean, Italian, Spanish, Vietnamese

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: SPECIFIC LANGUAGE SPOKEN
Analysis categories --

1. Spanish
2. French
3. Italian
4. Portuguese
5. German
6. Russian
7. Polish, Serbo-Croatian, and other Slavic
8. Gujarati
9. Hindi
10. Urdu and other Indic
11. French Creole, Yiddish, Other W. Germanic, Scandinavian, Greek, Armenian, Persian, and other Indo-European
12. Chinese
13. Korean
14. Arabic
15. Vietnamese
16. Japanese, Mon-Khmer, Cambodian, Hmong, Thai, Laotian, and other Asian
17. Tagalog and other Pacific Island
18. African languages
19. Navajo, other Native American, Hungarian, Hebrew, and all others

Appendix E: CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis Categories Derived from Each ACS Question
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## c. How well does this person speak English?

Very wellWellNot wellNot at all
## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: ENGLISH SPEAKING ABILITY
Analysis categories --

1. Very well
2. Well
3. Not well
4. Not at all

Appendix E: CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis Categories Derived from Each ACS Question


Analysis Topic Name: GEOGRAPHICAL MOBILITY IN THE PAST YEAR

Analysis categories --

1. Same house one year ago
2. Moved within same county
3. Moved from different county within same state
4. Moved from different state
5. Moved from abroad

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

16 Is this person CURRENTLY covered by any of the following types of health insurance or health coverage plans? Mark "Yes" or "No" for EACH type of coverage in items a-h.
a. Insurance through a current or former employer or union (of this person or another family member
b. Insurance purchased directly from an insurance company (by this person or another family member)
c. Medicare, for people 65 and older, or peoplé with certain disabilities
d. Medicaid, Medical Assistance, or any kind of government-assistance plan for those with low incomes or a disability
e. TRICARE or other military health care
f. VA (including those who have ever used or enrolled for VA health care)
g. Indian Health Service
h. Any other type of health insurance or health coverage plan -Specify 7
$\square$
Yes No$\square$

$\square$
$\square$ $\square$



## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: HEALTH INSURANCE EMPLOYER Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: HEALTH INSURANCE DIRECT Analysis categories --
Yes or No
Analysis Topic Name: HEALTH INSURANCE MEDICARE
Analysis categories --
Yes or No
Analysis Topic Name: HEALTH INSURANCE MEDICAID Analysis categories --
Yes or No
Analysis Topic Name: HEALTH INSURANCE MILITARY
Analysis categories --
Yes or No
Analysis Topic Name: HEALTH INSURANCE VA
Analysis categories --
Yes or No
Analysis Topic Name: HEALTH INSURANCE INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE Analysis categories --
Yes or No
Analysis Topic Name: HEALTH INSURANCE AGGREGATE
Analysis categories --
With private health insurance coverage only
With public health coverage only
With both private and public health coverage
No health insurance coverage

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

```
(17) a. Is this person deaf or does he/she have serious difficulty hearing?
```

```Yes
```

```No
```

b. Is this person blind or does he/she have serious difficulty seeing even when wearing glasses?YesNo

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: DIFFICULTY HEARING
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

## Analysis Topic Name: DIFFICULTY VISION

Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: DIFFICULTY COGNITIVE

Analysis categories --
Yes or No
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b. Does this person have serious difficulty walking or climbing stairs?YesNo
c. Does this person have difficulty dressing or bathing?YesNo

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: DIFFICULTY AMBULATORY
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: DIFFICULTY SELF CARE
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form



20 What is this person's marital status?Now marriedWidowedDivorcedSeparatedNever married $\rightarrow$ SKIP to 1

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: DIFFICULTY INDEPENDENT LIVING
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: MARITAL STATUS
Analysis categories --

1. Now married
2. Widowed
3. Divorced
4. Separated
5. Never married

Appendix E: CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis Categories Derived from Each ACS Question
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## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: MARRIED IN PAST YEAR
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: WIDOWED IN PAST YEAR Analysis categories --

Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: DIVORCED IN PAST YEAR
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

## How many times has this person been married?

OnceTwo timesThree or more times23 In what year did this person last get married? Year

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: NUMBER OF TIMES MARRIED
Analysis categories --

1. Once married
2. Twice married
3. Married three or more times

Analysis Topic Name: YEAR LAST MARRIED
Analysis categories --

1. Before 2000
2. 2000 to 2004
3. 2005 to 2009
4. 2010
5. 2011
6. 2012
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 grandchildren under the age of 18 living in this house or apartment?YesNo $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 26

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: BIRTH IN PAST YEAR
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: GRANDPARENTS LIVING WITH OWN GRANDCHILDREN

Analysis categories --
Yes or No

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

b. Is this grandparent currently responsible for most of the basic needs of any grandchildren under the age of 18 who lives in this house or apartment?YesNo $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 26

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: GRANDPARENTS RESPONSIBLE FOR GRANDCHILDREN

Analysis categories --
Yes or No

We collapsed the first two responses into one category.

Analysis Topic Name: GRANDPARENTS TIME RESPONSIBLE FOR GRANDCHILDREN

Analysis categories --

1. Less than one year
2. 1 to 2 years
3. 3 or 4 years
4. 5 or more years

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

26 Has this person ever served on active duty in the U.S. Armed Forces, military Reserves, or National Guard? Active duty does not include training for the Reserves or National Guard, but DOES include activation, for example, for the Persian Gulf War.Yes, now on active duty
Yes, on active duty during the last 12 months, but not nowYes, on active duty in the past, but not during the last 12 monthsNo training for Reserves or National Guard only $\rightarrow$ SKiP to question 28 aNo, never served in the military $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 29a

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: MILITARY SERVICE
Analysis categories --

1. Now on active duty
2. On active duty during the last 12 months but not now
3. On active duty in the past, but not in last 12 months
4. Training in Reserves or National Guard only
5. Never in the military

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

When did this person serve on active duty in the U.S. Armed Forces? Mark $(X)$ a box for EACH period in which this person served, even if just for part of the period.September 2001 or laterAugust 1990 to August 2001 (including Persian Gulf War)September 1980 to July 1990May 1975 to August 1980Vietnam era (August 1964 to April 1975)March 1961 to July 1964February 1955 to February 1961Korean War (July 1950 to January 1955)January 1947 to June 1950World War II (December 1941 to December 1946)November 1941 or earlier

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: PERIOD OF MILITARY SERVICE
Analysis categories --

1. Between Gulf War I and Vietnam era only
2. Between Korean War and World War II only
3. Between Vietnam Era and Korean War only
4. Gulf War I and Vietnam era
5. Gulf War I, no Vietnam era
6. Gulf War II and Gulf War I, and Vietnam era / or no Vietnam era
7. Gulf War II, no Gulf War I, no Vietnam Era
8. Korean War and World War II, no Vietnam Era
9. Korean War, no Vietnam Era, no World War II
10. Pre-World War II only or World War II, no Korean War, no Vietnam Era
11. Vietnam Era and Korean War, and World War II / or no World War II
12. Vietnam Era, no Korean War, no World War II

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

28 a. Does this person have a VA service-connected disability rating?Yes (such as $0 \%, 10 \%, 20 \%, \ldots$, 100\%)No $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 29a
b. What is this person's service-connected disability rating?0 percent10 or 20 percent30 or 40 percent50 or 60 percent70 percent or higher

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: SERVICE-CONNECTED DISABILITY RATING STATUS

Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: SERVICE-CONNECTED DISABILITY RATING LEVEL

Analysis categories --

1. 0 percent
2. 10 or 20 percent
3. 30 or 40 percent
4. 50 or 60 percent
5. 70 percent or higher
6. No rating reported
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## 29 a. LAST WEEK, did this person work for pay at a job (or business)? <br> Yes $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 30 <br> No - Did not work (or retired)

## 29 b. LAST WEEK, did this person do ANY work for pay, even for as little as one hour? <br> Yes <br> No $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 35 a

Appendix E: CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis Categories Derived from Each ACS Question

30 At what location did this person work LAST WEEK? If this person worked at more than one location, print where he or she worked most location, $p$
last week.
a. Address (Number and street name)

If the exact address is not known, give a description of the location such as the building name or the nearest street or intersection.
b. Name of city, town, or post office
c. Is the work location inside the limits of that city or town?YesNo, outside the city/town limits
d. Name of county
e. Name of U.S. state or foreign country
f. ZIP Code
$\square$

Analysis Topic Name: PLACE OF WORK
Analysis categories --

1. Worked in state of residence, in county of residence
2. Worked in state of residence, outside county of residence
3. Worked outside state of residence

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form



## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

Answer question 32 if you marked "Car, truck, or van" in question 31. Otherwise, SKIP to question 33.

32 How many people, including this person, usually rode to work in the car, truck, or van LAST WEEK?
Person(s)


33 What time did this person usually leave home to go to work LAST WEEK?
Hour
a.m. p.m.

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: COMMUTE NUMBER OF RIDERS
Analysis categories --

1. Drove alone
2. 2 riders
3. 3 riders
4. 4 riders
5. 5 or more riders

Analysis Topic Name: COMMUTE DEPARTURE TIME
Analysis categories --

1. 12:00 a.m. to $4: 59$ a.m.
2. 5:00 a.m. to $6: 59$ a.m.
3. 7:00 a.m. to 8:59 a.m.
4. 9:00 a.m. to 11:59 a.m.
5. 12:00 p.m. to 3:59 p.m.
6. $4: 00$ p.m. to $11: 59$ p.m.

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form



## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: COMMUTE MINUTES
Analysis categories --

1. Less than 5 minutes
2. 5 to 9 minutes
3. 10 to 14 minutes
4. 15 to 19 minutes
5. 20 to 24 minutes
6. 25 to 29 minutes
7. 30 to 34 minutes
8. 35 to 39 minutes
9. 40 to 44 minutes
10. 45 to 59 minutes
11. 60 to 89 minutes
12. 90 or more minutes

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

Answer questions $35-38$ if this person did NOT work last week. Otherwise, SKIP to question 39a.

35 a. LAST WEEK, was this person on layoff from a job?Yes $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question $35 c$No
b. LAST WEEK, was this person TEMPORARILY absent from a job or business?Yes, on vacation, temporary illness, maternity leave, other family/personal reasons, bad weather, etc. $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 38No $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 36

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: NOT WORKING LAYOFF
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: NOT WORKING ABSENT
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

c. Has this person been informed that he or she will be recalled to work within the next 6 months OR been given a date to return to work?Yes $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 37No

36 During the LAST 4 WEEKS, has this person been ACTIVELY looking for work?YesNo $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 38

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: NOT WORKING INFORMED OF RECALL
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: NOT WORKING LOOKING FOR WORK
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Appendix E: CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis Categories Derived from Each ACS Question

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

LAST WEEK, could this person have started a job if offered one, or returned to work if recalled?Yes, could have gone to workNo, because of own temporary illnessNo, because of all other reasons (in school, etc.)

38 When did this person last work, even for a few days?Within the past 12 months1 to 5 years ago $\rightarrow$ SKIP to $\mathbf{L}$Over 5 years ago or never worked $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 47

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

We collapsed the two "No" answers into one category.

Analysis Topic Name: NOT WORKING AVAILABLE TO WORK
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

## Analysis Topic Name: WHEN LAST WORKED

Analysis categories --

1. Within the past 12 months
2. 1-5 years ago
3. Over 5 years ago or never worked

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

39 a. During the PAST 12 MONTHS ( 52 weeks), did this person work 50 or more weeks? Count paid time off as work.Yes $\rightarrow$ SKIP to question 40No
b. How many weeks DID this person work, even for a few hours, including paid vacation, paid sick leave, and military service?50 to 52 weeks48 to 49 weeks40 to 47 weeks27 to 39 weeks14 to 26 weeks13 weeks or less

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: WORKED 50 OR MORE WEEKS
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: WEEKS WORKED
Analysis categories --

1. 50 to 52 weeks worked during past 12 months
2. 48 to 49 weeks worked during past 12 months
3. 40 to 47 weeks worked during past 12 months
4. 27 to 39 weeks worked during past 12 months
5. 14 to 26 weeks worked during past 12 months
6. 13 weeks or less than worked during past 12 months

Appendix E: CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis Categories Derived from Each ACS Question

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form



## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: USUAL HOURS WORKED PER WEEK
Analysis categories --

1. Usually worked 35 or more hours per week
2. Usually worked 15 - 34 hours per week
3. Usually worked $1-14$ hours per week

Answer questions 41-46 if this person worked in the past 5 years. Otherwise, SKIP to question 47.

41 - 46 CURRENT OR MOST RECENT JOB
ACTIVITY. Describe clearly this person's chief job activity or business last week. If this person had more than one job, describe the one at which this person worked the most hours. If this person had no job or business last week, give information for his/her last job or business.

Was this person Mark (X) ONE boxan employee of a PRIVATE FOR-PROFIT company or business, or of an individual, for wages, salary, or commissions?an employee of a PRIVATE NOT-FOR-PROFIT, tax-exempt, or charitable organization?a local GOVERNMENT employee (city, county, etc.)?a state GOVERNMENT employee?a Federal GOVERNMENT employee?SELF-EMPLOYED in own NOT INCORPORATED business, professional practice, or farm?SELF-EMPLOYED in own INCORPORATED business, professional practice, or farm?working WITHOUT PAY in family business or farm?

## Analysis Topic Name: CLASS OF WORKER

Analysis categories --

1. Employee of a private for-profit company or business
2. Employee of a private not-for-profit organization
3. A local government employee
4. A state government employee
5. A Federal government employee
6. Self-employed in own not incorporated business, professional practice, or farm
7. Self-employed in own incorporated business, professional practice, or farm
8. Working without pay in a family business or farm

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

What kind of business or industry was this?
Describe the activity at the location where employed. (For example: hospital, newspaper publishing, mail order house, auto engine manufacturing, bank)

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: INDUSTRY
Analysis categories --

1. Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining
2. Construction
3. Manufacturing
4. Wholesale trade
5. Retail trade
6. Transportation and warehousing, and utilities
7. Information
8. Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing
9. Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services
10. Educational services, and health care and social assistance
11. Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services
12. Other services, except public administration
13. Public administration
14. Military

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

Is this mainly - Mark ( $X$ ) ONE box.
manufacturing?wholesale trade?
retail trade?
other (agriculture, construction, service, government, etc.)?

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: INDUSTRY CLASS
Analysis categories --

1. Manufacturing
2. Wholesale trade
3. Retail trade
4. Other (agriculture, construction, service, government, etc.)

## 45 What kind of work was this person doing?

(For example: registered nurse, personnel manager, supervisor of order department, secretary, accountant)

46 What were this person's most important activities or duties? (For example: patient care, directing hiring policies, supervising order derks, typing and filing, reconciling financial records)

Analysis Topic Name: OCCUPATION
Analysis categories --

1. Management, business and financial occupations
2. Computer, engineering, and science occupations
3. Education, legal, community service, arts, and media occupations
4. Healthcare practitioners and technical occupations
5. Healthcare support occupations
6. Protective service occupations
7. Food preparation and serving related occupations
8. Building and grounds cleaning and maintenance occupations
9. Personal care and service occupations
10. Sales and related occupations
11. Office and administrative support occupations
12. Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations
13. Construction and extraction occupations
14. Installation, maintenance, and repair occupations
15. Production occupations
16. Transportation occupations
17. Material moving occupations
18. Military occupations

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

## 47 INCOME IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS

Mark ( $X$ ) the "Yes" box for each type of income this person received, and give your best estimate of the TOTAL AMOUNT during the PAST 12 MONTHS. (NOTE: The "past 12 months" is the period from today's date one year ago up through today.)

Mark ( $X$ ) the " No " box to show types of income NOT received.

If net income was a loss, mark the "Loss" box to the right of the dollar amount.

For income received jointly, report the appropriate share for each person - or, if that's not possible, report the whole amount for only one person and mark the "No" box for the other person.
a. Wages, salary, commissions, bonuses, or tips from all jobs. Report amount before deductions for taxes, bonds, dues, or other items.Yes $\rightarrow$

TOTAL AMOUNT for past 12 months

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: WAGES RECIPIENCY
Analysis categories --
Yes or No
Analysis Topic Name: WAGES AMOUNT
Analysis categories --

1. Less than $\$ 10,000$
2. $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 14,999$
3. $\$ 15,000$ to $\$ 24,999$
4. $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 34,999$
5. $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 49,999$
6. $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 74,999$
7. $\$ 75,000$ to $\$ 99,999$
8. $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 149,999$
9. $\$ 150,000$ to $\$ 199,999$
10. $\$ 200,000$ or more

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

b. Self-employmentincome from own nonfarm businesses or farm businesses, including proprietorships and partnerships. Report NET income after business expenses.
No

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: SELF-EMPLOYMENT INCOME RECIPIENCY

Analysis categories --

1. Received a positive amount of self-employment income
2. Did not receive self-employment income
3. Had a net loss or broke even for self-employment income

Analysis Topic Name: SELF-EMPLOYMENT INCOME AMOUNT
Analysis categories --

1. Loss or broke even
2. Less than $\$ 10,000$
3. $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 14,999$
4. $\$ 15,000$ to $\$ 24,999$
5. $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 34,999$
6. $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 49,999$
7. $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 74,999$
8. $\$ 75,000$ to $\$ 99,999$
9. $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 149,999$
10. $\$ 150,000$ or more

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

c. Interest, dividends, net rental income, royalty income, or income from estates and trusts. Report even small amounts credited to an account.Yes $\rightarrow$


TOTAL AMOUNT for past Loss 12 months

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: PROPERTY INCOME RECIPIENCY
Analysis categories --

1. Received a positive amount of property income
2. Did not receive property income
3. Had a net loss or broke even for property income

Analysis Topic Name: PROPERTY INCOME AMOUNT
Analysis categories --

1. Loss or broke even
2. Positive, less than $\$ 100$
3. $\$ 100$ to $\$ 999$
4. $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 4,999$
5. $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$
6. $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 19,999$
7. $\$ 20,000$ or more

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

## d. Social Security or Railroad Retirement.



## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: SOCIAL SECURITY INCOME RECIPIENCY

Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: SOCIAL SECURITY INCOME AMOUNT
Analysis categories --

1. Less than $\$ 1,000$
2. $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 4,999$
3. $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$
4. $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 19,999$
5. $\$ 20,000$ or more

Appendix E: CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis Categories Derived from Each ACS Question

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

e. Supplemental Security Income (SSI).
No
TOTAL AMOUNT for past 12 months

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME RECIPIENCY

Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME AMOUNT

Analysis categories --

1. Less than $\$ 1,000$
2. $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 4,999$
3. $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$
4. $\$ 10,000$ or more

Appendix E: CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis Categories Derived from Each ACS Question

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

f. Any public assistance or welfare payments from the state or local welfare office.


TOTAL AMOUNT for past
12 months

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: PUBLIC ASSISTANCE INCOME RECIPIENCY

Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: PUBLIC ASSISTANCE INCOME AMOUNT
Analysis categories --

1. Less than $\$ 1,000$
2. $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 4,999$
3. $\$ 5,000$ or more

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

h. Any other sources of income received regularly such as Veterans* (VA) payments, unemployment compensation, child support or alimony. Do NOT include lump sum payments such as money from an inheritance or the sale of a home.Yes $\rightarrow$ No


TOTAL AMOUNT for past 12 months

## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: OTHER INCOME RECIPIENCY
Analysis categories --
Yes or No

Analysis Topic Name: OTHER INCOME AMOUNT
Analysis categories --

1. Less than $\$ 1,000$
2. $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 2,499$
3. $\$ 2,500$ to $\$ 4,999$
4. $\$ 5,000$ to $\$ 9,999$
5. $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 19,999$
6. $\$ 20,000$ or more

## Image of Question from 2012 ACS Mail form

48 What was this person's total income during the PAST 12 MONTHS? Add entries in questions 47a PAST to $47 \mathrm{~h} ;$ subtract any losses. If net income was a loss, enter the amount and mark $(X)$ the "Loss "box next to the dollar amount.None OR


## CRS Analysis Topics and Analysis categories

Analysis Topic Name: TOTAL INCOME RECIPIENCY
Analysis categories --

1. Yes, received a positive amount of income
2. No, did not receive income
3. Had a net loss or broke even (loss box checked)

Analysis Topic Name: TOTAL INCOME AMOUNT
Analysis categories --

1. Loss or broke even
2. Less than $\$ 10,000$
3. $\$ 10,000$ to $\$ 14,999$
4. $\$ 15,000$ to $\$ 24,999$
5. $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 34,999$
6. $\$ 35,000$ to $\$ 49,999$
7. $\$ 50,000$ to $\$ 74,999$
8. $\$ 75,000$ to $\$ 99,999$
9. $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 149,999$
10. $\$ 150,000$ to $\$ 199,999$
11. $\$ 200,000$ or more

| Module 1 (Housing) |  | Module 2 (Person - $1^{\text {st }}$ Half) |  | Module 3 (Person - $2^{\text {nd }}$ Half) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) | ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) | ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) |
| H1 | Building Type | P2 | Relationship | P4 | Age \& Date of Birth (whole household) |
| H2 | Year Structure Built | P3 | Sex | H12 | Food Stamps |
| H3 | Move in Month and Year | P4 | Age \& Date of Birth | P26 | Veteran Status |
| H4 | Number of Acres | P5 | Hispanic Origin | P27 | Period of Service |
| H5 | Amount of Agricultural Sales | P6 | Race | P28a | Service-Connected Disability Rating |
| H6 | Business on Property | P7 | Place of Birth | P28b | What is Service-Connected Disability Rating |
| H7a | Rooms | P8 | Citizenship | P29a | Work for Pay at Job |
| H7b | Bedrooms | P9 | Year Came to Live in U.S. | P29b | Any Work for Pay |
| H8a | Hot and Cold Running Water | P10a | School Attendance | P30 | Where Work |
| H8b | Flush Toilet | P10b | Grade Level Attended | P30a | Address (Number and Street Name) |


| Module 1 (Housing) |  | Module 2 (Person - $1^{\text {st }}$ Half) |  | Module 3 (Person - $2^{\text {nd }}$ Half) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) | ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) | ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) |
| H8c | Bathtub or Shower | P11 | Educational Attainment | P30b | Name of City, Town, or Post Office |
| H8d | Sink with a Faucet | P12 | Field of Degree | P30c | Inside Limits of City or Town |
| H8e | Stove or Range | P13 | Ancestry/Ethnic Origin | P30d | Name of County |
| H8f | Refrigerator | P14a | Language Spoken | P30e | Name of U.S. State or Foreign Country |
| H9 | Vehicles Available | P14b | Name of Language | P30f | Zip Code |
| H10 | Heating Fuel Type | P14c | How Well Speak English | P31 | Transportation to Work |
| H11a | Electricity Cost | P15a | Live Here One Year Ago | P32 | Number of People in Vehicle to Work |
| H11b | Gas Cost | P15b | Residence One Year Ago | P33 | Time Leave Home to Go to Work |
| H11c | Water and Sewer Cost | P15b | Address (Number and Street Name) | P34 | Number of Minutes to Get to Work |
| H11d | Oil, Coal, Kerosene, Wood Cost | P16a | Health Insurance employer | P35a | Layoff from Job |


| Module 1 (Housing) |  | Module 2 (Person - $1^{\text {st }}$ Half) |  | Module 3 (Person - $2^{\text {nd }}$ Half) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) | ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) | ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) |
| H12 | Food Stamps | P16b | Health Insurance direct | P35b | Temporarily Absent from Job |
| H13 | Condominium | P16c | Health Insurance Medicare | P35c | Recalled to Work in Next 6 Months |
| H14 | Tenure | P16d | Health Insurance Medicaid | P36 | Actively Looked for Work |
| H15a | Monthly Rent | P16e | Health Insurance TRICARE | P37 | Could have started Job if offered |
| H15b | Rent Include Meals | P16f | Health Insurance VA | P38 | When Last Worked |
| H16 | Selling Price | P16g | Health Insurance Indian | P39a | Worked 50 or More Weeks |
| H17 | Annual Real Estate Taxes | P16h | Health Insurance other | P39b | Number of Weeks Worked |
| H18 | Annual Fire, Hazard, Flood Insurance | P17a | Deaf | P40 | Number of Hours Worked Per Week |
| H19a | Mortgage | P17b | Blind | P41 | Type of Employee |
| H19b | Mortgage Payment | P18a | Difficulty Concentrating | P42 | Name of Company, Business |


| Module 1 (Housing) |  | Module 2 (Person - $1^{\text {st }}$ Half) |  | Module 3 (Person - $2^{\text {nd }}$ Half) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) | ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) | ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) |
| H19c | Include Real Estate Taxes | P18b | Difficulty Walking | P43 | Type of Business |
| H19d | Include Fire, Hazard, Flood Insurance | P18c | Difficulty Dressing | P44 | Main Type of Business |
| H20a | Second Mortgage | P19 | Difficulty Doing Errands | P45 | Type of Work |
| H20b | Monthly Second Mortgage Payment | P20 | Marital Status | P46 | Most Important Work Activities |
| H21 | Annual Mobile Home Costs and Fees | P21 | Recently Married/Widowed/Divorced | P47a | Wages, Salaries, Tips |
|  |  | P22 | Times Married | P47b | Self-employment Income |
|  |  | P23 | Year Last Married | P47c | Interest, Dividends, Trusts Income |
|  |  | P24 | Fertility | P47d | Social Security Income |
|  |  | P25a | Live-in Grandchildren | P47e | Supplemental Security Income |

Appendix F: ACS Questions Included In Each CRS Module

| Module 1 (Housing) |  | Module 2 (Person - $1^{\text {st }}$ Half) |  | Module 3 (Person - $2^{\text {nd }}$ Half) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) | ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) | ID | Analysis Topic (Descriptive Name) |
|  |  | P25b | Responsible for Live-in Grandchildren | P47f | Public Assistance or Welfare Payments |
|  |  | P25c | How Long Responsible for Grandchildren | P47g | Retirement, Survivor, Disability Pensions |
|  |  | P26 | Veteran Status | P47h | Other Regular Income |
|  |  | P27 | Period of Service | P48 | Total Income |
|  |  | P28a | Service-Connected Disability Rating |  |  |
|  |  | P28b | What is Service-Connected Disability Rating |  |  |

## WRITE-UP ON THE DIFFICULTY OF HISPANICS REPORTING RACE (WITH REFERENCES)

Census Bureau studies have demonstrated over the past couple decades (Alberti 2006; Martin 2007; U.S. Census Bureau 1997) that when presented with separate race and Hispanic origin questions, Hispanics have great difficulty responding to the race question. The 2010 Census Race and Hispanic Origin Alternative Questionnaire Experiment (AQE) focused on testing a number of different questionnaire design strategies to better understand and improve the reporting of race and Hispanic origin.

The major 2010 AQE research findings regarding item nonresponse rates echo what previous research has shown, that on separate race and Hispanic origin questions Hispanics overwhelmingly had more difficulty responding to the race question compared with nonHispanics. Earlier qualitative research found that many Hispanics leave the race question blank because they do not identify with the OMB race categories (Gerber and Crowley 2005). The 2010 Census AQE Focus Group research echoed these results, as many Hispanic respondents advised that they did not find a category that described their identity in the separate question format, but when presented with a combined question format they easily found that they identify as "Hispanic" and provide detailed responses. For Hispanics, item nonresponse to the separate AQE race question ranged from 19.2 percent to 32.8 percent. In stark contrast, item nonresponse to the race question by non-Hispanic respondents was about 1 percent (Compton et al., 2012). The research also found that many Hispanics did not identify with the Office of Management and Budget race categories and felt the note stating that Hispanic origins were not races prevented them from self-identifying their race.

The 2010 AQE research demonstrates that a combined question on race and Hispanic origin has the overall impact of gaining success in both Hispanics and non-Hispanics alike finding a place to identify and report their race and/or origin. The validity of these responses was further confirmed through the AQE reinterview results, which showed that when asked a series of follow-up questions about respondent identification with any of the possible response categories, overall consistency between combined question responses and reinterview "truth" were much greater than separate question responses and reinterview "truth." The greater illustrator of this pattern was that "Hispanics" who reported they were "White" in the separate race question did not identify as "White" (only "Hispanic") in the reinterview; while "Hispanics" who identified as "White" and "Hispanic" in the combined question also confirmed this identity in the reinterview (Compton et al., 2012).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We use the term content reinterview to distinguish this type of evaluation from a quality reinterview. For surveys (including the ACS) where data are collected using interviewers, the U.S. Census Bureau conducts a quality reinterview survey to help determine whether interviewers are following proper procedures.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ CRS evaluation reports from the past three decennial censuses are available on the U.S. Census Bureau web site. See the list of references (section 5) for a URL address for each document. [1990 CRS report: (US Census Bureau, 1993); 2000 CRS report: (Singer \& Ennis, 2003); 2010 CRS report: (Dusch \& Meier, 2012); 2010 AQE report: (Compton, Bentley, Ennis, \& Rastogi, 2012)]
    ${ }^{3}$ For example, see description of content follow-up interview for Food Stamps in the 2010 ACS Content Test: (Loveless, 2012).

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ For two topics, Ancestry and Field of Bachelor's Degree, it is impractical to define mutually exclusive categories. Both have open-ended write-in response options that allow a respondent to give multiple answers. For Ancestry, we capture and code up to two responses per person. For Field of Bachelor's degree, we capture and code up to ten

[^3]:    responses per person. In both cases, it is possible for the coded responses to result in a person being in two or more CRS analysis categories simultaneously.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ See section 2.4.1 for a description of the three CRS modules.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ The single exception is that we did not ask about telephone service (" 8 g " on the paper questionnaire) in the CRS since the CRS was administered by telephone.

[^6]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2012 ACS Content Reinterview Survey, January to December 2012

